

## ON COMMUTATIVE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

WOLMER V. VASCONCELOS

**This note deals with a finitely generated faithful module  $E$  over a commutative semi-prime noetherian ring  $R$ , with commutative endomorphism ring  $\text{Hom}_R(E, E) = \Omega(E)$ . It is shown that  $E$  is identifiable to an ideal of  $R$  whenever  $\Omega(E)$  lacks nilpotent elements; a class of examples with  $\Omega(E)$  commutative but not semi-prime is discussed.**

1. **Main result.** Throughout  $R$  will denote a commutative noetherian ring and modules will be finitely generated. In order to use the full measure of the ring, we shall consider mostly faithful modules. As for notation, unadorned  $\otimes$  and  $\text{Hom}$  are taken over the base ring.

In case  $R$  is semi-prime (meaning here: no nilpotent elements distinct from 0) we recall that its total ring of quotients  $K$  is semi-simple, and thus a direct sum of fields  $K = \bigoplus \sum K_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Any ideal  $I$  of  $R$  has the property that  $\text{Hom}(I, I)$  is commutative and semi-prime: for if  $S$  denotes the set of regular elements of  $R$ ,

$$\text{Hom}(I, I) \cong \text{Hom}(I, I)_S = \text{Hom}_{R_S}(I_S, I_S).$$

But this last is a subring of  $K$ . The content of the next theorem is precisely a converse to this observation.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $E$  be a finitely generated faithful module over the semi-prime ring  $R$ . Then, if  $\text{Hom}(E, E)$  is commutative and semi-prime,  $E$  is isomorphic to an ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $T$  the torsion submodule of  $E$ , i.e., let  $T$  be the set of elements of  $E$  annihilated by a regular element of  $R$ . If  $T = 0$ , then  $\text{Hom}(E, E) \cong \text{Hom}(E, E)_S = \text{Hom}_{R_S}(E_S, E_S)$ ; using the decomposition of  $R_S = K$  as a direct sum of fields,

$$\text{Hom}_K(E \otimes K, E \otimes K) = \bigoplus \sum \text{Hom}_{K_i}(E \otimes K_i, E \otimes K_i).$$

Since  $\text{Hom}_K(E \otimes K, E \otimes K)$  is commutative, we must have, for each  $i$ ,  $E \otimes K_i = 0$  or isomorphic to  $K_i$ . This allows identification of  $E_S$  to a submodule of  $K$  and consequently of  $E$  to an ideal of  $R$ , since  $E$  is finitely generated.

Assume then, by way of contradiction,  $T \neq 0$  and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 0.$$

It yields

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, T) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{Hom}(F, F)$$

as  $T$  is a characteristic submodule of  $E$ ; observe also that  $\pi_*$  is an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism. Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  minimal over the annihilator  $J$  of  $T$ . Then  $T_P \neq 0$  and can be viewed as a  $R_P/J_P$ -module; by the choice of  $P$  this last ring is artinian [2; Chap. IV, p. 147] and  $T_P$  has finite length as an  $R_P$ -module. On the other hand, localization at  $P$  does not introduce nilpotent elements in either  $R_P$  or  $\Omega = \text{Hom}_{R_P}(E_P, E_P) (= \text{Hom}(E, E)_P)$ . Let  $I$  denote  $\text{Hom}(E, T)_P$ ; since  $T_P$  has finite length,  $I$  also has finite length and the sequence

$$I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I^n \supseteq \dots$$

must eventually become stationary. Say  $I^n = I^{2n}$  for some  $n$ ; by [2; Chap. I, p. 83]  $I^n$  is generated by an idempotent  $e$  of  $\Omega$ . Actually,  $I = \Omega e$ , for  $\Omega$  lacks nilpotent elements and  $(I(1 - e))^n = 0$ . The idempotent  $e$  induces the direct sum decomposition  $M = eM \oplus (1 - e)M$ , with  $M = E_P$ . Thus

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Hom}_{R_P}(eM, eM) & \text{Hom}_{R_P}(eM, (1 - e)M) \\ \text{Hom}_{R_P}((1 - e)M, eM) & \text{Hom}_{R_P}((1 - e)M, (1 - e)M) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is semi-prime,  $\text{Hom}_{R_P}((1 - e)M, eM) = 0$ . Observe that  $eM \subseteq T_P$  and thus  $(1 - e)M$  is a faithful  $R_P$ -module. To conclude we need the

**LEMMA 1.2.** *If  $A$  is a finitely generated faithful module over the commutative ring  $R$ , then every simple  $R$ -module is a homomorphic image of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Just note that for each maximal ideal  $P$ ,  $PA \neq A$  [2; Chap. I, p. 83 again].

Returning to the proof of the theorem, observe that  $eM$  must contain a simple submodule, unless  $e = 0$ . Then  $I = 0$  and again by the lemma,  $T_P = 0$ .

**2. Examples.** In order to construct examples of faithful modules  $E$  with commutative  $\Omega(E)$  but not isomorphic to ideals, by the preceding it will be necessary to waive the requirement that  $\Omega(E)$  be semi-prime.

We shall need a special case of the following result, which has various amusing consequences. Let  $R$ , as before, be a commutative noetherian ring and  $E$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Assume that  $E$  is faithful; then  $R$  can be viewed as a subring of the center  $C$  of

$\text{Hom}(E, E)$ .  $E$  is said to be *balanced* if  $R = C$ . A mild homological hypothesis will imply that torsion-less modules (i.e., submodules of direct products of  $R$ ) are, very often, balanced.

To state this condition we recall the notion of grade of an ideal  $I$ : it is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $I$  contains no  $R$ -sequence of length  $n + 1$  [3].

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a finitely generated, torsion-less, faithful  $R$ -module. Then if  $E_P$  is  $R_P$ -free for each prime ideal  $P$  with grade  $PR_P \leq 1$  (as  $R_P$  ideal), then  $E$  is balanced.*

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

induced by the inclusion of  $R$  into  $C$ . With the present finiteness conditions, " $C$  localizes", i.e., for each prime ideal  $P$ ,  $C_P$  is the center of  $\text{Hom}(E, E)_P = \text{Hom}_{R_P}(E_P, E_P)$ . Thus for each prime ideal  $P$ , with grade  $PR_P \leq 1$ ,  $L_P = 0$  as  $E_P$  is then  $R_P$ -free. Let  $J$  be the annihilator of  $L$ . The preceding says that  $J$  has grade  $\geq 2$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}(R/J, -)$  to the sequence (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R/J, R) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R/J, C) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R/J, L) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(R/J, R) . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C$  is torsion-free,  $\text{Hom}(R/J, C) = 0$ , while by [3]

$$\text{Ext}(R/J, R) = 0 .$$

Thus  $\text{Hom}(R/J, L) = 0$ , which evidently leads to  $L = 0$ .

The following are cases where the proposition applies:

- (i)  $I$  ideal of  $R$  of grade 2; then  $\text{Hom}(I, I) = R$ .
- (ii) Serre's normality criterion [4; III-13].
- (iii)  $E$  is a finitely generated, torsion-less, faithful  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension; then  $E$  is balanced.
- (iv) Commutative noetherian rings of finite global dimension are integrally closed.

**EXAMPLE 2.3.** Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal of a commutative domain  $R$ , such that grade  $P \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{Ext}(P, R/P) \neq 0$ , as otherwise  $R_P$  would be a discrete valuation ring, which is not the case [1]. Let  $E$  be a nontrivial extension of  $P$  by  $R/P$ , that is, consider a non-splitting sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R/P \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} P \longrightarrow 0 .$$

The exact sequence corresponding to (1) is

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, R/P) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \text{Hom}(P, P).$$

By (i) above,  $\text{Hom}(P, P) = R$  and  $\pi_*$  is actually a surjection with the endomorphisms of  $E$  induced by multiplication by elements of  $R$  mapping injectively onto  $\text{Hom}(P, P)$ . Thus

$$\text{Hom}(E, E) = R + I$$

with  $I = \text{Hom}(E, R/P)$ . By Lemma 1.2 we know that  $I \neq 0$ .  $\text{Hom}(E, E)$  will be commutative if  $I^2 = 0$ . If  $I^2 \neq 0$ , there would be  $f, g \in I$ , with  $f \circ g \neq 0$ . This however says that  $f: E \rightarrow R/P$  is non-trivial on  $R/P$ . We could then modify  $f$  by multiplication by an element in  $R - P$ , and thus accomplish a splitting of (3), against the assumption.

In the example above the projective dimension of  $E$  is at least 2; it would be interesting to find an example with similar properties but lower projective dimension (=1).

If  $R$  is no longer noetherian, then Theorem 1.1 looks still plausible if  $E$  is assumed of finite presentation.

As a final remark, in a lighter vein, it should be of interest to determine all commutative rings  $R$  in which endomorphism rings of ideals are always commutative. In the noetherian case, we conjecture that the total ring of quotients of  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius.

The author is grateful to the referee for various constructive suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, *Homological dimensions in local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 390-405.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative*, Hermann, Paris, 1961-1965.
3. D. Rees, *The grade of an ideal or module*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **53** (1957), 28-42.
4. J.-P. Serre, *Algèbre Locale, Multiplicités*, Lectures Notes **11** Springer, 1965.

Received March 3, 1970. This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-8619.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY