

ON A REPRESENTATION OF A STRONGLY HARMONIC RING BY SHEAVES

KWANGIL KOH

A ring R is strongly harmonic provided that if M_1, M_2 are a pair of distinct maximal modular ideals of R , then there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1, \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}(R)$ be the maximal modular ideal space of R . If $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, let $O(M) = \{r \in R \mid \text{for some } y \in M, rxy = 0 \text{ for every } x \in R\}$. Define $\mathcal{R}(R) = \bigcup \{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. If R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1, then R is isomorphic to the ring of global sections of the sheaf of local rings $\mathcal{R}(R)$ over $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ be the ring of global sections of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ over $\mathcal{M}(R)$. For every unitary (right) R -module A , let $A_M = \{a \in A \mid aRx = 0 \text{ for some } x \in M\}$ and let $\tilde{A} = \bigcup \{A/A_M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. Define $\hat{a}(M) = a + A_M$ and $\hat{r}(M) = r + O(M)$ for every $a \in A, r \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$. Then the mapping $\xi_A: a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a semi-linear isomorphism of A onto $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ -module $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \tilde{A})$ in the sense that ξ_A is a group isomorphism satisfying $\xi_A(ar) = \hat{a}\hat{r}$ for every $a \in A$ and $r \in R$.

1. If R is a ring with 1, R is called *harmonic* (or *regular*) if the maximal modular ideal space, say $\mathcal{M}(R)$, with the hull-kernel topology, is a Hausdorff space (refer [5]). A ring R is *strongly harmonic* provided that for any pair of distinct maximal modular ideals M_1, M_2 there exist ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} in R such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1, \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. For any nonempty subset S of a ring R define $(S)^\perp = \{r \in R \mid sr = 0 \text{ for every } s \in S\}$ and if $a \in R$ let aR_1 be the principal right ideal generated by a . If M is a prime ideal of a ring R let $O(M) = \{r \in R \mid (rR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M\}$. An ideal \mathcal{A} of a ring R is called *M -primary* for some maximal modular ideal M of R provided that M/\mathcal{A} is the unique maximal modular ideal of R/\mathcal{A} and if \mathcal{A}' is an ideal of R such that $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}' \neq \mathcal{A}$ then R/\mathcal{A}' is no longer a local ring (here by a local ring we mean a ring with the unique maximal modular ideal). The principal results in this paper are as follows: Let R be a ring such that if R/S is a local ring for some ideal S of R then R/S has a unit. Then R is strongly harmonic if and only if $O(M)$ is M -primary for every maximal modular ideal M of R . If R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1 then R is isomorphic to $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ the ring of global sections of the sheaf of local rings $\mathcal{R}(R) = \bigcup \{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$ over $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and if A is a unitary right R -module then the mapping $\xi_A: a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a semi-linear isomorphism of A onto $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ —

module $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \tilde{A})$ in the sense that ξ_A is a group isomorphism satisfying $\xi_A(ar) = \hat{a} \cdot \hat{r}$ for $a \in A, r \in R$ where $\hat{a}(M) = a + A_M, \hat{r}(M) = r + O(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ and $\hat{A} = \bigcup \{A/A_M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$, the disjoint union of the family of right R -modules A/A_M indexed by $\mathcal{M}(R)$, and $A_M = \{a \in A \mid (aR)^\perp \not\subseteq M\}$. If R is a ring with 1 such that it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements then R is *biregular* (see [2: p. 104] for definition) if and only if every prime ideal of R is a maximal ideal. Our results here generalize S. Teleman's result that in case $1 \in R$, a strongly semi-simple harmonic ring or a von Neumann algebra can be represented as a ring of global sections of the sheaf of local algebras over its maximal modular ideal space (refer [5], [6] and [7]). The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professors K. H. Hofmann and S. Teleman for their many invaluable suggestions for the preparation of this paper.

2. Let R be a ring and A be a right R -module. For each prime ideal M of R , define $A_M = \{a \in A \mid (aR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M\}$ where aR_1 is the submodule of A which is generated by the element a and $(aR_1)^\perp = \{r \in R \mid aR_1 r = 0\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. A_M is a submodule of A .

Proof. Let $a, b \in A_M$. Then $(a-b)R_1 \subseteq aR_1 + bR_1$ and $((a-b)R_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1 + bR_1)^\perp = (aR_1)^\perp \cap (bR_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1)^\perp (bR_1)^\perp$. Hence if $a-b \notin A_M$ then $(aR_1)^\perp (bR_1)^\perp \subseteq M$ and either $(aR_1)^\perp \subseteq M$ or $(bR_1)^\perp \subseteq M$ since M is a prime ideal of R . Hence either $a \notin A_M$ or $b \notin A_M$. This is impossible. Thus $a-b \in A_M$. Now if $r \in R$ and $a \in A_M$ then $arR_1 \subseteq aR_1$ and $(arR_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1)^\perp$. Since $(aR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M, (arR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$ and $ar \in A_M$.

COROLLARY 2.2. If A is R , whose module multiplication is given by the ring multiplication, then A_M is an ideal of R which is contained in M for any prime ideal M of R . In this case, we denote A_M by $O(M)$.

Proof. $O(M)$ is already a right ideal of R by 2.2. Let $r \in R$ and $a \in O(M)$. Then $(raR_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1)^\perp$. Since $(aR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M, (raR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$ and $ra \in O(M)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. If A is a right R -module for some ring R then $AO(M) \subseteq A_M$ for any prime ideal M of R .

Proof. Since A_M is a submodule of A , it suffices to show that if $a \in A$ and $x \in O(M)$ then $ax \in A_M$. But this is immediate since $(axR_1)^\perp \supseteq (xR_1)^\perp$ and $(xR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let R be a ring such that if \mathcal{P} is a proper ideal of R then there is a maximal modular ideal M in R such that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq M$. Let A be a right R -module such that if $aR = 0$ for some $a \in A$ then $a = 0$. Then $\bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal modular ideal of } R\}$ is zero.*

Proof. Let $a \in \bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal modular ideal of } R\}$ such that $a \neq 0$. Then $(aR)^\perp \neq R$, for if $(aR)^\perp = R$ then $aR = 0$ and $a = 0$. Since $(aR)^\perp \neq R$, $(aR)^\perp$ is a proper ideal of R . Hence there is a maximal modular ideal M in R such that $(aR)^\perp \subseteq M$. This means that $a \notin A_M$ and $a \notin \bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal modular ideal of } R\}$. This is a contradiction.

COROLLARY 2.5. *If R is a ring with 1 and A is a unitary right R -module, then $\bigcap \{AO(M) \mid M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\}$ is zero.*

Proof. By 2.4, $\bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\} = 0$. Since $AO(M) \subseteq A_M$ for any prime ideal of R by 2.3, the conclusion now follows.

DEFINITION 2.6. We say that a ring R is *strong harmonic* provided that for any pair of distinct maximal modular ideals M_1, M_2 there exist ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} in R such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1, \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *If R is strongly harmonic, then $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. If M_1, M_2 are distinct maximal modular ideals of R , then, by definition, there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1, \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Therefore, two open sets $\{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M\}$ and $\{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M\}$ are disjoint.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let R be a strongly semi-simple ring, that is a ring in which the intersection of maximal modular ideals is zero. If the maximal modular ideal space, $\mathcal{M}(R)$ with the hull-kernel topology, is a Hausdorff space, then R is strongly harmonic.

EXAMPLE 2.9. If R is a ring with 1 such that it is strongly harmonic then it is harmonic. However, if $1 \notin R$ then a strongly harmonic ring may not be harmonic. For example, let R be the algebra of sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of 2×2 -matrices over the field of complex numbers C , such that $a_n \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda \in C$. Then

the intersection of the maximal modular ideals of R is zero and $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is Hausdorff. Hence R is strongly harmonic; however, it is not harmonic.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Let R be a von Neumann algebra. Then for any distinct pair of maximal ideals M_1, M_2 there exist central idempotents e_1, e_2 in R such that $e_1 \notin M_1, e_2 \notin M_2$ and such that $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$. Hence R is strongly harmonic.

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let Q be the field of rational numbers and let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l be a finite number of distinct prime numbers. Let $R = \{m/n \in Q \mid n \text{ is not divisible by any } p_i, 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(R)$ consist of l points and it is a Hausdorff space. However, since R is an integral domain, R is not strongly harmonic if $l > 1$.

DEFINITION 2.12. Let R be a ring and M be a maximal modular ideal of R . An ideal \mathcal{O} in R is said to be M -primary, for some maximal modular ideal M of R , provided that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq M, R/\mathcal{O}$ is a ring with a unique maximal modular ideal M/\mathcal{O} , and if P is an ideal of R such that $P \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ and $P \neq \mathcal{O}$, then R/P is not a local ring. Here, by a *local ring* we mean a ring with a unique maximal modular ideal.

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let R be a ring and M be a maximal modular ideal of R . If an M -primary ideal, say \mathcal{O} , exists, then it is unique.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a M -primary ideal of R . If either $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ then, by definition, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$. So assume $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{P}$ is properly contained in \mathcal{O} or \mathcal{P} . Then the ideal $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}$ is properly contained in \mathcal{O} and $R/\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}$ is not a local ring. Hence there is a maximal modular ideal N in R such that $N \neq M$ and $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P} \subseteq N$. Since N is a prime ideal, this means that either $\mathcal{O} \subseteq N$ or $\mathcal{P} \subseteq N$. In either case, this means that \mathcal{O} or \mathcal{P} is not M -primary. This is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let R be a ring such that if R/\mathcal{O} is a local ring for some ideal \mathcal{O} in R , then R/\mathcal{O} has a unit. If $R/O(M)$ is a local ring for some maximal modular ideal M in R , then $O(M)$ is M -primary.*

Proof. Observe that $O(M) \subseteq M$. Hence $M/O(M)$ is the unique maximal modular ideal of the local ring $R/O(M)$. Let \mathcal{P} be an ideal of R such that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq O(M), \mathcal{P} \neq O(M)$ and R/\mathcal{P} is a local ring. Let $t \in O(M)$ such that $t \notin \mathcal{P}$. Then $(tR_1)^+ \not\subseteq M$. If $\mathcal{P} + (tR_1)^+ \neq$

R then there is a maximal modular ideal N in R such that $\mathcal{P} + (tR_1)^\perp \subseteq N$, since R/\mathcal{P} has a unit. Since $(tR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$, this means that $M \neq N$. This is impossible. Hence $R = \mathcal{P} + (tR_1)^\perp$. Let $e + \mathcal{P}$ be the identity of R/\mathcal{P} for some $e \in R$. Then $e = p + s$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $s \in (tR_1)^\perp$. Hence $te = tp$ and $t - te = t - tp \in \mathcal{P}$. This means that $t \in \mathcal{P}$ and this is a contradiction. Thus $O(M)$ must be M -primary.

THEOREM 2.15. *Let R be a ring such that if R/\mathcal{O} is a local ring for some ideal \mathcal{O} , then it has a unit. Then R is strongly harmonic if, and only if, $O(M)$ is M -primary for every maximal modular ideal M in R .*

Proof. Assume R is strongly harmonic. By 2.14, it suffices to show that $R/O(M)$ is a local ring for each maximal modular ideal M of R . If $R/O(M)$ is not a local ring for some maximal modular ideal M , then there is a maximal modular ideal N in R such that $N \neq M$ and $O(M) \subseteq N$. Since R is strongly harmonic, there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq N$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. This means that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq O(M)$. Since $O(M) \subseteq N$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq N$. This is a contradiction. Conversely, assume $O(M)$ is M -primary for each maximal modular ideal M of R . Let M_1, M_2 be two distinct maximal modular ideals of R . Then $O(M_1) \not\subseteq M_2$ and $O(M_2) \not\subseteq M_1$. Hence there exist $a \in O(M_1)$ such that $a \notin M_2$ and $b \in O(M_2)$ such that $b \notin M_1$. Then (b) , the ideal generated by b , is not contained in M_1 . Let $\mathcal{A} = (b)$ and let $\mathcal{B} = (bR_1)^\perp$. Then $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$.

REMARK 2.16. If R is a strongly semi-simple ring with 1 such that $\mathcal{M}(R)$, the maximal modular ideal space of R , is a Hausdorff space, then by [5: Theorem 6.5] and [5: Theorem 6.15], the M -primary ideal exists for each maximal modular ideal M in R . In this case, the M -primary ideal $p(M)$ is given by the set $\{x \in R \mid \overline{\text{supp}(RxR)} \cap \{M\} = \emptyset\}$, where $\text{supp}(RxR) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid RxR \not\subseteq M\}$ by [5: Theorem 6.14].

3. If \mathcal{A} is an ideal of a ring R , let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M\}, & h(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}), \\ k(\mathcal{A}) &= \bigcap \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid M \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let R be a ring and let*

$$\mathcal{R}(R) = \bigcup \{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\},$$

the disjoint union of a family of rings $\{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. For

each $r \in R$ define \hat{r} to be the function from $\mathcal{M}(R)$ into $\mathcal{R}(R)$ such that $\hat{r}(M) = r + O(M)$ for each $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$. Let $\tau = \{\hat{r}(U) \mid r \in R \text{ and } U \text{ is an open set in } \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. Let ρ be a family of sets consisting of arbitrary unions of the members of τ . Then $(\mathcal{R}(R), \rho)$ is a topological space and each point $\hat{r}(M)$ of $\mathcal{R}(R)$, $r \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, is contained in an open set which is homeomorphic to an open set of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ under the canonical projection: $\hat{r}(M) \mapsto M$, that is, $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is a sheaf of rings over $\mathcal{M}(R)$.

Proof. In $\eta \in \hat{r}_1(U) \cap \hat{r}_2(V)$ for some $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and some open sets U, V in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ then there is $M \in U \cap V$ such that $r_1 - r_2 \in O(M)$. Hence $((r_1 - r_2)R_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$. Let $W = U \cap V \cap \text{supp}((r_1 - r_2)R_1)^\perp$. Then $M \in W$ and $\eta \in \hat{r}_1(W) \subseteq \hat{r}_1(U) \cap \hat{r}_2(V)$. Since W is an open set of $\mathcal{M}(R)$, $\hat{r}_1(W) \in \tau$ and hence $(\mathcal{R}(R), \rho)$ is a topological space. In view of [1: 2.2 p. 151], it suffices to show that if $\hat{r}(M) = 0$ for some $r \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ then there exists an open set U of M such that $\hat{r}(U) = 0$. But this is immediate since if $\hat{r}(M) = 0$ then $r \in O(M)$ and $(rR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$. Therefore, if we let $U = \text{supp}((rR_1)^\perp)$ then $\hat{r}(U) = 0$ since $r \in \bigcap \{O(M) \mid M \in U\}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring. If F is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and $M_0 \notin F$ for some $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ then there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$, $M_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{A})$ and $F \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. Since R is strongly harmonic, for any $M \in F$ there exist ideals $\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}'$ in R such that $M_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}')$, $M \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{B}')$ and $\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}' = 0$. Since F is compact, there exist a finite number of ideals, say $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n$ such that

$$M_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}_i) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n)$$

and $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(\mathcal{B}_i) = \text{supp}(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_i)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{B}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2 \cdots \mathcal{A}_n)(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_i) = 0$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring. If F is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ then $F = h(\bigcap \{O(M) \mid M \in F\})$.*

Proof. Since $\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M) \subseteq k(F)$, $F \subseteq h(\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M))$. Suppose there is $M_0 \in h(\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M))$ such that $M_0 \notin F$. Then by 3.2 there exist ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} in R such that $M_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{A})$, $F \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Hence if $M \in F$ then $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq O(M)$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcap_{M \in F} O(M)$. Since $M_0 \in h(\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M))$, this means that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_0$ and this is a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring with 1 and let $\mathcal{R}(R)$ be the sheaf of local rings over $\mathcal{M}(R)$, which is described in 3.1. If F_0 is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and σ is a section from F_0 into $\mathcal{R}(R)$, then there is $r \in R$ such that $\hat{r}|_{F_0} = \sigma$.*

Proof. If $M_0 \in F_0$ then there exists an open set U in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ which contains M_0 and $r \in R$ such that if $M \in U \cap F_0$ then $\sigma(M) = \hat{r}(M)$. Let $U_0 = \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus F_0$. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is Hausdorff by 2.7, F_0 is a closed set. Hence U_0 is an open subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$. There exist a finite number of points M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n in F_0 , open sets U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n such that $M_i \in U_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n in R such that $\sigma(M) = \hat{r}_i(M)$ for every $M \in U_i \cap F_0$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Furthermore, $F_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and $\mathcal{M}(R) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i$. Let $F_i = \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus U_i$ and let $I_i = \bigcap_{M \in F_i} O(M)$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since F_i is a closed subset of a compact space, it is compact. Hence $F_i = h(I_i)$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ by 3.3. Since $\phi = \bigcap_{i=0}^n F_i = \bigcap_{i=0}^n h(I_i) = h(\sum_{i=0}^n I_i)$, $R = \sum_{i=0}^n I_i$ and $1 = \sum_{i=0}^n e_i$ for some $e_i \in I_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $M \in F_i \cap F_0$, then $\hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) = O(M) = \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M)$. If $M \in U_i \cap F_0$, then $\hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) = \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M)$. Hence, for every $M \in F_0$, $\hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) = \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M)$. Thus if we let $r = e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_i$, then for every

$$\begin{aligned} M \in F_0 \hat{r}(M) &= \hat{e}_0(M) + \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) \\ &= \sigma(M)\hat{e}_0(M) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M) \\ &= \sigma(M)\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \hat{e}_i(M)\right) = \sigma(M). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.5. *If R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1 then $R \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$.*

Proof. By 2.5, $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ is a monomorphism from R into $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a compact space, by 3.4 if $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ then there is $r \in R$ such that $\sigma = \hat{r}$. Thus $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ is an isomorphism of R onto $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$.

DEFINITION 3.6. We say that a sheaf \mathcal{R} over the space X is soft provided that if F is a compact subset of X and $\sigma \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{R})$ then there is $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{R})$ such that $\bar{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$.

THEOREM 3.7.¹ *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring with 1. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{R}(R)$ of local rings which is constructed in 3.1 is soft. Conversely, if \mathcal{R} is a soft sheaf of local rings over a Hausdorff compact space \mathcal{M} , then $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$ is a strongly harmonic ring.*

¹ The author is indebted to Professor S. Teleman for this theorem.

Proof. By 3.4, $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is soft if R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1. Suppose now that \mathcal{R} is a soft sheaf of local rings over a Hausdorff compact space \mathcal{M} . Let $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$. By Theorem 11 of [6: p. 712], \mathcal{M} is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Hence we may take $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R})$. Since \mathcal{M} is Hausdorff, if $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ such that $M_1 \neq M_2$ then there exist open sets $U_i, i = 1, 2$, in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ such that $M_1 \in U_1, M_2 \in U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. If $\sigma \in R$, define

$$|\sigma| = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \sigma(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Let $A_i = \{\sigma \in R \mid |\sigma| \subseteq U_i\}, i = 1, 2$. Clearly, A_1, A_2 are ideals of R and $A_1 A_2 = 0 = A_2 A_1$ since $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. There exists compact sets K_1, K_2 such that $M_i \in K_i$ and $K_i \subseteq U_i, i = 1, 2$. Let $F_i = \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus U_i$. Since \mathcal{R} is soft there exist σ_i in $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R})$ such that $\sigma_i(K_i) = 1$ and $\sigma_i(F_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$. Hence $A_i \not\subseteq M_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus R is strongly harmonic.

REMARK 3.8. Let R be a ring and A be a right R -module. We will associate with A a sheaf of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ -modules over $\mathcal{M}(R)$ (refer [4] for definition). For $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, denote $\tilde{A} = \bigcup \{A/A_M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$, the disjoint union of a family of R -modules A/A_M indexed by $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Let $\pi: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(R)$ be given by $\pi^{-1}(M) = A/A_M$. For $a \in A$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, let $t_a(M)$ be the image of a , under the natural homomorphism of A onto A/A_M . Topologize \tilde{A} by taking all sets $t_a(U)$, with $a \in A, U$ is an open set in $\mathcal{M}(R)$, as a basis for the open sets. Then \tilde{A} becomes a sheaf of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ -modules over $\mathcal{M}(R)$. The justification of this statement and proof of this result require only slight modifications of 3.1.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring with 1 and let A be a unitary right R -module. Then the mapping $\xi_A: a \mapsto t_a$ is a semi-linear isomorphism of A onto the $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ -module $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \tilde{A})$ in the sense that ξ_A is a group isomorphism satisfying $\xi_A(ar) = t_a \cdot \hat{r}$ for $a \in A, r \in R$ where $t_a(M) = a + A_M$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}(R)$.*

Proof. We omit the proof because it is only a variant of the proof of 3.4. However, it is worth noting that the full strength of 2.4 is needed here to prove that ξ_A is an injection.

4. A ring is called *biregular* if every principal ideal of the ring is generated by a central idempotent. In [2], Dauns and Hofmann proved that if R is a ring with 1 then R is biregular if and only if R is isomorphic to the ring of all global sections of a sheaf of simple rings over a Boolean space. By applying this theorem, we

will show that if R is a ring with 1 such that it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements then R is biregular if, and only if, every prime ideal of R is a maximal ideal of R .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If R is a biregular ring then every prime ideal M of R is a maximal ideal of R .*

Proof. If R is biregular then so is the ring R/M for any ideal M of R . Hence if M is a prime ideal then R/M is a prime biregular ring. Therefore, R/M contains no proper principal ideal for if R/M contains a proper principal ideal, then R/M would have two nonzero ideals whose product is zero. Thus R/M is a simple ring and M is a maximal ideal of R .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let R be a ring and M be a prime ideal of R . Define $O_M = \{x \in R \mid xy = 0 \text{ for some } y \notin M\}$. If R contains no nonzero nilpotent elements then $O_M = O(M)$.*

Proof. Clearly $O(M) \subseteq O_M$. If x, y are elements of R such that $xy = 0$ then yx is zero since $xyx = 0$ and R contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. Furthermore, if $r \in R$, $xy = 0$ since $xry = 0$. Thus $O(M) = O_M$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let R be a ring without nilpotent elements. If every prime ideal of R is maximal, then $M = O(M)$ for every prime ideal M of R .*

Proof. If every prime ideal of R is maximal, then every prime ideal is a maximal prime ideal. Hence by [3: 2.4], $M = O_M$ for each prime ideal M of R . Thus by 4.2 $M = O(M)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If R is a ring with 1 such that R contains no nonzero nilpotent elements and if every prime ideal of R is maximal, then $\mathcal{N}(R)$ is a Boolean space.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [3: 2.5].

THEOREM 4.5. *Let R be a ring with 1 such that it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. Then R is biregular if, every prime ideal of R is maximal.*

Proof. If R is biregular then by 4.1, every prime ideal is maximal. Conversely, suppose that every prime ideal of R is maximal. Since R is a ring without nilpotent elements, the intersection of

prime ideals of R is zero. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a Hausdorff space by 4.4, if M_1, M_2 are two distinct elements in $\mathcal{M}(R)$, then there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Hence $O(M)$ is M -primary for every $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ by 2.13 and thus $R \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ by 3.5. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a Boolean space by 4.4 and $M = O(M)$ by 4.3, R is a biregular ring by [2: 2.19, p. 108].

REFERENCES

1. J. Dauns and K. H. Hofmann, *Representation of Rings by Sections*, Memoirs, No. 83, 1968, Amer. Math. Soc.
2. ———, *The representation of biregular rings by Sheaves*, Math. Zeit., **91** (1966), 103-123.
3. K. Koh, *On functional representations of a ring without nilpotent elements*, Canad. Math. Bull., (to appear).
4. R. S. Pierce, *Modules over Commutative Regular Rings*, Memoirs, No. 70, Amer. Math. Soc. (1967).
5. S. Teleman, *Analyse harmonique dans les algèbres régulières*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures, Appl., **12** (1968), 691-750.
6. ———, *La représentation des anneaux réguliers par les faisceaux*, Rev. Roum. Math., **14** (1969), 703-717.
7. ———, *Representation of Von Neuman algebras by Sheaves*, Acta. Scient. Math. Szeged, (to appear).

Received February 10, 1971.

TULANE UNIVERSITY

AND

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY