

## AN ALGEBRA OF GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS ON AN OPEN INTERVAL: TWO-SIDED OPERATIONAL CALCULUS

GREGERS KRABBE

Let  $(a, b)$  be any open sub-interval of the real line, such that  $-\infty \leq a < 0 < b \leq \infty$ . Let  $L^{loc}(a, b)$  be the space of all the functions which are integrable on each interval  $(a', b')$  with  $a < a' < b' < b$ . There is a one-to-one linear transformation  $\mathfrak{T}$  which maps  $L^{loc}(a, b)$  into a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of (linear) operators. This transformation  $\mathfrak{T}$  maps convolution into operator-multiplication; therefore, this transformation  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a useful substitute for the two-sided Laplace transformation; it can be used to solve problems that are not solvable by the distributional transformations (Fourier or bi-lateral Laplace).

In essence, the theme of this paper is a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of generalized functions on the interval  $(a, b)$ ; besides containing the function space  $L^{loc}(a, b)$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  contains every element of the distribution space  $\mathcal{D}'(a, b)$  which is regular on the interval  $(a, 0)$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the direct sum  $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}_+$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{A}_+$ )  $(a, 0)$  (respectively, to the interval  $(0, b)$ ). There is a subspace  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that, if  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $y$  has an "initial value"  $\langle y, 0- \rangle$  and a "derivative"  $\partial_i y$  (which corresponds to the usual distributional derivative). If  $y$  is a function  $f(\cdot)$  which is locally absolutely continuous on  $(a, b)$ , then  $y$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}$ , the initial value  $\langle y, 0- \rangle$  equals  $f(0)$ , and  $\partial_i y$  corresponds to the usual derivative  $f'(\cdot)$ . If  $y$  is a distribution (such as the Dirac distribution) whose support is a locally finite subset of the interval  $(a, b)$ , then both  $y$  and  $\partial_i y$  belong to the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}$ . In case  $a = -\infty$  and  $b = \infty$ , the subspace  $\mathcal{Y}$  contains the distribution space  $\mathcal{D}'_+$ .

The resulting operational calculus takes into account the behavior of functions to the left of the origin (in case  $a = -\infty$  and  $b = \infty$ , the whole real line is accounted for—whereas Mikusiński's operational calculus only accounts for the positive axis). Since the functions are not subjected to growth restrictions, the transformation  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a useful substitute for the two-sided Laplace transformation (no strips of convergence need to be considered: see Examples 2.21 and the four problems 6.3–6.7). Problems such as

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} y + y = \sec \frac{\pi t}{2\alpha} \quad (-\alpha < t < \alpha)$$

can be solved by calculations which duplicate the ones that would arise if the Laplace transformation could be applied to such problems.

The differential equation

$$(1) \quad \partial_t^2 y + y = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - 2k\pi)$$

is solved in 6.7 in order to illustrate our operational calculus; the right-hand side of this equation represents a series of unit impulses starting at  $t = -\infty$ . The differential equation (1) cannot be solved by the distributional Fourier transformation nor by the distributional two-sided Laplace transformation. When  $-\infty = a < t < b = \infty$  the equation

$$y(t) = c_0 \cos t + c_1 \sin t + \left(1 + \left[\frac{t}{2\pi}\right]\right) \sin t$$

defines the general solution of the equation (1).

The paper is subdivided as follows. §1: the space of generalized functions, §2: two-sided operational calculus, §3: translation properties, §4: the topological space  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ , §5: derivative of an operator, §6: four problems.

The concepts introduced in §5 (initial value, derivative, anti-derivative of an operator) are more general and more appropriate than the corresponding ones in my textbook [5].

**0. Preliminaries.** Henceforth,  $\omega$  is an open sub-interval ( $\omega_-$ ,  $\omega_+$ ) of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ ; we suppose that  $\omega_- < 0 < \omega_+$ . If  $h(\ )$  is a function on  $\omega$ , we denote by  $h_+(\ )$  the function defined by

$$(0.1) \quad h_+(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \\ h(t) & \text{for } t \geq 0; \end{cases}$$

we set

$$(0.2) \quad h_{\text{II}}(\ ) = h(\ ) - h_+(\ ).$$

As usual, the support of a function  $f(\ )$  (denoted  $\text{Supp } f$ ) is the complement of the largest open subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  on which  $f(\ )$  vanishes. Let  $e_t(\ )$  be the function defined by

$$(0.3) \quad e_t(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq u < t \\ -1 & \text{for } t < u < 0, \end{cases}$$

and by  $e_t(u) = 0$  for all other values of  $u$ . It will be convenient to denote by  $e_t$  the support of the function  $e_t(\ )$ ; thus,  $e_t$  is the interval with end-points 0 and  $t$ :

$$(0.4) \quad e_t = (t, 0) \cup [0, t] = \begin{cases} [0, t] & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ (t, 0) & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Unless otherwise specified, suppose that  $f(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  belong to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  (this is the space of all the complex-valued functions which are Lebesgue integrable on each interval  $(a, b)$  with  $\omega_- < a < 0 < b < \omega_+$ ). We denote by  $f \wedge g(\cdot)$  the function defined by

$$(0.5) \quad f \wedge g(t) = \int_0^t f(t-u)g(u)du \quad (\text{all } t \text{ in } \omega);$$

that is,

$$(0.6) \quad f \wedge g(t) = \int_{e_t} f(t-u)e_t(u)g(u)du.$$

REMARK 0.7. Suppose that  $\omega_- \leq a \leq 0 \leq b < \omega_+$ :

$$(0.8) \quad \text{if } a < t < b \text{ and } u \in e_t \text{ then } (t-u) \in e_t \subset (a, b).$$

This is easily verified.

REMARKS 0.9. The following properties are direct consequences of (0.1)–(0.8):

$$(0.10) \quad f \wedge g(t) = f_+ \wedge g(t) = f_+ \wedge g_+(t) \quad (\text{for } t > 0),$$

and

$$(0.11) \quad f \wedge g(t) = f_{\cup} \wedge g(t) = f_{\cup} \wedge g_{\cup}(t) \quad (\text{for } t < 0).$$

FINAL REMARK 0.12. If  $f_1(\cdot) = f(\cdot)$  and  $g_1(\cdot) = g(\cdot)$  almost-everywhere on  $\omega$ , then  $f_1 \wedge g_1(\cdot) = f \wedge g(\cdot)$  almost-everywhere on  $\omega$ . This is another easy consequence of (0.5)–(0.8).

LEMMA 0.13. If  $a \leq 0 \leq b$  and if  $f(\cdot) = 0$  almost-everywhere on the interval  $(a, b)$ , then  $f \wedge g(\cdot) = 0$  on  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* If  $t \in (a, b)$  it follows from (0.8) that

$$u \in e_t \text{ implies } (t-u) \in e_t \subset (a, b);$$

therefore,  $(t-u) \in (a, b)$ , whence our hypothesis ( $f(\cdot) = 0$  almost-everywhere on  $(a, b)$ ) gives  $f(t-u) = 0$  for  $u$  almost-everywhere on the interval  $e_t$ : the conclusion  $f \wedge g(t) = 0$  now follows directly from (0.6).

LEMMA 0.14. Suppose that  $a < 0 < b$ . If  $f(\cdot) = 0$  on the interval  $(\omega_-, b)$ , then

$$(0.15) \quad f \mathbf{\Lambda} g(t) = \int_0^{t-b} f(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau \quad (\text{for } b < t < \omega_+).$$

If  $h(\cdot) \in L^{\text{loc}}(\omega)$  and if  $h(\cdot) = 0$  on the interval  $(a, \omega_+)$ , then

$$(0.16) \quad h \mathbf{\Lambda} g(t) = -\int_{t-a}^0 h(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau \quad (\text{for } \omega_- < t < a).$$

*Proof.* First, the case  $b < t < \omega_+$ . From (0.5) we have

$$(1) \quad f \mathbf{\Lambda} g(t) = \int_0^{t-b} f(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau + \int_{t-b}^t f(t-u)g(u)du.$$

From (0.8) we see that

$$u \in [0, t) \text{ implies } (t-u) \in e_t \subset \omega,$$

so that  $(t-u) \in \omega$ . If  $u > t-b$ , then  $b > t-u$ , whence  $(t-u) \in (\omega_-, b)$ ; consequently, our hypothesis ( $f(\cdot) = 0$  on  $(\omega_-, b)$ ) gives  $f(t-u) = 0$  whenever  $u > t-b$ : Conclusion (0.15) is now immediate from (1).

Next, the case  $\omega_- < t < a$ . From (0.5) we have

$$(2) \quad h \mathbf{\Lambda} g(t) = -\int_t^{t-a} h(t-u)g(u)du - \int_{t-a}^0 h(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau.$$

From (0.8) we again see that

$$u \in (t, 0) \text{ implies } (t-u) \in e_t \subset \omega,$$

so that  $(t-u) \in \omega$ . If  $u < t-a$  then  $t-u > a$ , whence  $(t-u) \in (a, \omega_+)$ ; consequently, our hypothesis ( $h(\cdot) = 0$  on  $(a, \omega_+)$ ) gives  $h(t-u) = 0$  whenever  $u < t-a$ : Conclusion (0.16) is now immediate from (2).

0.17. *Convolution.* If  $F(\cdot)$  and  $G(\cdot)$  belong to  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ , then  $F * G(\cdot)$  is the function defined by

$$F * G(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} F(x-u)G(u)du \quad (\text{all } x \text{ in } \mathbf{R});$$

it is well-known that  $F * G(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  (see [1], p. 634). Further,

$$(0.18) \quad \text{Supp } F * G \subset (\text{Supp } F) + (\text{Supp } G):$$

see p. 385 in [2].

**THEOREM 0.19.** *If  $f(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  belong to  $L^{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ , then  $f \mathbf{\Lambda} g(\cdot)$  belongs to  $L^{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ , and*

$$(0.20) \quad f \mathbf{\Lambda} g(\cdot) = g \mathbf{\Lambda} f(\cdot) \text{ almost-everywhere on } \omega.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\omega_- < a < 0 < b < \omega_+$ . If  $h(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ , we can define the function  $h_b(\cdot)$  by

$$(1) \quad h_b(t) = \begin{cases} h(t) & \text{for } 0 < t < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly,  $h_a(\cdot)$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad h_a(t) = \begin{cases} h(t) & \text{for } a < t < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that both  $h_b(\cdot)$  and  $h_a(\cdot)$  belong to  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Set

$$(3) \quad F(\cdot) = -f_a * g_a(\cdot) + f_b * g_b(\cdot).$$

The four functions on the right-hand side of (3) are all integrable on  $\mathbf{R}$ ; consequently, both  $f_a * g_a(\cdot)$  and  $f_b * g_b(\cdot)$  are integrable on  $\mathbf{R}$ ; from (3) it now follows that  $F(\cdot)$  is integrable on  $\mathbf{R}$ . In consequence, if we can prove that

$$(4) \quad F(t) = f \wedge g(t) \quad \text{for } a < t \neq 0 < b,$$

then  $f \wedge g(\cdot)$  is integrable on the arbitrary sub-interval  $(a, b)$  of the interval  $\omega$ ; our conclusion  $f \wedge g \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  is at hand; moreover, Conclusion (0.20) comes from (4)-(3) and the property  $F_1 * F_2(\cdot) = F_2 * F_1(\cdot)$  (see [1], p. 635). Accordingly, the proof will be accomplished by proving (4).

The proof of (4) is divided into two cases. *First case:*  $a < t < 0$ . Since  $\text{Supp } f_b$  and  $\text{Supp } g_b$  are subsets of the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , we see from (0.18) that

$$\text{Supp } f_b * g_b \subset [0, \infty);$$

consequently,  $f_b * g_b(\cdot)$  vanishes for  $t < 0$ ; therefore, (3) gives

$$(5) \quad F(t) = -f_a * g_a(t) = -\int_a^0 f_a(t-u)g(u)du$$

(for  $a < t < 0$ ); the second equation comes from (2) and the fact that  $g_a(u) = 0$  when  $u < a$  and when  $u > 0$ . From (5) it follows that

$$F(t) = -\int_a^t f_a(t-u)g(u)du - \int_t^0 f_a(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau;$$

but  $a < u < t$  implies  $t-u > 0$ , so that  $f_a(t-u) = 0$ ; therefore,

$$(6) \quad F(t) = -\int_t^0 f_a(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau;$$

but  $0 > \tau > t$  implies  $t < t-\tau < 0$ ; in consequence, since  $a < t$ , we

have  $a < t - \tau < 0$ , so that (2) gives  $f_a(t - \tau) = f(t - \tau)$ : Equation (6) becomes

$$F(t) = \int_{e_t} f(t - u)e_t(u)g(u)du .$$

In view of (0.6), this concludes the proof of (4) in case  $a < t < 0$ .

*Second case.*  $0 < t < b$ . As in the first case, we observe that  $f_a * g_a(t) = 0$ ; it is a question of proving that  $F(t) = f_b * g_b(t)$ : the reasoning is entirely analogous to the one used in the first case.

**THEOREM 0.21<sup>1</sup>.** *Suppose that the functions  $f(\cdot)$ ,  $g(\cdot)$ , and  $h(\cdot)$  all belong to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ . If the function  $|f| \wedge (|g| \wedge |h|)(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $\omega$  then*

$$(0.22) \quad f \wedge (g \wedge h)(x) = (f \wedge g) \wedge h(x) \quad \text{for every } x \text{ in } \omega .$$

*Proof.* From (0.6) it follows that

$$(1) \quad F \wedge (G \wedge H)(x) = \int_{e_x} \int_{e_t} F(x - t)G(t - u)H(u)dudt .$$

Since  $|f| \wedge (|g| \wedge |h|)(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $\omega$  (by hypothesis), we therefore have  $|f| \wedge (|g| \wedge |h|)(x) < \infty$ , so that (1) gives

$$\int_{e_x} \int_{e_t} |f(x - t)g(t - u)h(u)|dudt < \infty ;$$

we may therefore apply Tonelli's Theorem [3, p. 131] to write

$$(2) \quad f \wedge (g \wedge h)(x) = \int_{e_x} \int_{x_u} f(x - t)g(t - u)h(u)dtdu ,$$

where  $x_u$  is the appropriate interval. Let us prove that

$$(3) \quad f \wedge (g \wedge h)(x) = \int_0^x h(u) \int_u^x f(x - t)g(t - u)dtdu .$$

In case  $x > 0$  the double integral is taken over the interior of the triangle

$$\{(u, t): 0 < t < x \text{ and } 0 < u < t\} ;$$

consequently, the range of  $t$  (in the integral (2)) is the interval  $x_u = [u, x]$ : this establishes (3). In case  $x < 0$  the double integral is taken over the triangle

$$\{(u, t): x < t < 0 \text{ and } t < u < 0\} ;$$

<sup>1</sup> The principle of this proof is due to R. B. Darst.

consequently, the range of  $t$  (in the integral (2)) is the interval  $x_u = [x, u]$ ; the integral (2) becomes

$$f \mathbf{\Lambda} (g \mathbf{\Lambda} h)(x) = \int_x^0 \int_x^u f(x-t)g(t-u)h(u)dtdu ,$$

which again establishes the equation (3). The change of variable  $\tau = t - u$  brings (3) into the form

$$f \mathbf{\Lambda} (g \mathbf{\Lambda} h)(x) = \int_0^x h(u) \int_0^{x-u} f(x-u-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau du ;$$

consequently, (0.5) gives

$$f \mathbf{\Lambda} (g \mathbf{\Lambda} h)(x) = \int_0^x h(u)[f \mathbf{\Lambda} g(x-u)]du :$$

Conclusion (0.22) is now immediate from (0.5).

**DEFINITION 0.23.** For any integer  $n \geq 1$  we denote by  $q_n( )$  the function defined by the equation  $q_n(0) = 0$  and

$$q_n(t) = \exp\left(\frac{-1}{|nt|}\right) \quad (\text{for } t \neq 0).$$

**THEOREM 0.24.** Suppose that  $f( )$  belongs to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ . If  $\omega_- \leq a \leq 0 \leq b \leq \omega_+$  and if

$$(4) \quad f \mathbf{\Lambda} q_n(t) = 0 \text{ for } a < t < b \text{ and every integer } n \geq 1 ,$$

then  $f( )$  vanishes almost-everywhere on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* From (4) and (0.20) it follows that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n \mathbf{\Lambda} f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{e_t} q_n(t-u)e_i(u)f(u)du ;$$

since  $|q_n( )| \leq 1$  we may apply the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem :

$$(5) \quad 0 = \int_{e_t} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \exp \frac{-1}{n(t-u)} \right] e_i(u)f(u)du = \int_{e_t} e_t(u)f(u)du .$$

From (5) and (0.3)-(0.4) we see that

$$0 = \int_0^t f \text{ for } 0 < t < b, \text{ and } 0 = -\int_t^0 f \text{ for } a < t < 0 ,$$

which implies our conclusion:  $f( )$  vanishes almost-everywhere on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

1. The space  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$  of generalized functions. As before,  $\omega$  is an arbitrary sub-interval of  $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $\omega \ni 0$ . If  $f(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  are functions, the equation  $f(\cdot) = g(\cdot)$  will mean that the functions are equal almost-everywhere on the interval  $\omega$ .

NOTATION 1.0. Let  $\mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$  be the space of all the functions which are continuous on  $\omega$  and which vanish at the origin.

NOTATION 1.1. We denote by  $1(\cdot)$  the constant function defined by  $1(t) = 1$  for all  $t$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

LEMMA 1.2. If  $g(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  then  $1 \wedge g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$ .

*Proof.* From (0.5) we see that

$$(1.3) \quad 1 \wedge g(t) = \int_0^t 1(t-u)g(u)du = \int_0^t g(u)du.$$

On the other hand,  $g(\cdot) \in L^1(a, b)$  whenever  $(a, b)$  is a compact sub-interval of the open set  $\omega$ : the conclusion is now at hand.

LEMMA 1.4. If  $\Psi(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $\omega$ , then  $(1 \wedge \Psi)' = \Psi(\cdot)$ .

*Proof.* The equations

$$(1 \wedge \Psi)'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (1 \wedge \Psi)(t) = \Psi(t)$$

are immediate from (1.3) and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.

LEMMA 1.5. Suppose that  $v(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$ . If  $v'(\cdot)$  has only countably many discontinuities and is integrable in each compact sub-interval of the open interval  $\omega$ , then  $v(\cdot) = 1 \wedge v'(\cdot)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $t$  in  $\omega$ . If  $t > 0$  the equations

$$v(t) = v(t) - v(0) = \int_0^t v'(u)du = 1 \wedge v(t)$$

are from  $v(0) = 0$ , [4, p. 143], and (1.3). If  $t < 0$ , the same reasoning yields

$$v(t) = -[v(0) - v(t)] = -\int_t^0 v'(u)du = 1 \wedge v(t).$$

THEOREM 1.6. Let  $G(\cdot)$  be a function whose derivative is continuous on the interval  $\omega$ . If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ , then  $G \wedge f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$  and



$$(1.7) \quad G \wedge f(\cdot) = G(0)(1 \wedge f)(\cdot) + 1 \wedge (G' \wedge f)(\cdot).$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the function  $v(\cdot) = G(\cdot) - G(0)1(\cdot)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$ ; consequently, 1.5 gives

$$G(\cdot) - G(0)1(\cdot) = 1 \wedge G'(\cdot),$$

so that 0.12 implies

$$(1) \quad G \wedge f(\cdot) - G(0)(1 \wedge f)(\cdot) = (1 \wedge G') \wedge f(\cdot).$$

From 0.19 it follows that  $(|G'| \wedge |f|)(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ ; we can therefore conclude from 1.2 that the function  $|1 \wedge (|G'| \wedge |f|)(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $\omega$ , whence the equation

$$(2) \quad (1 \wedge G') \wedge f(\cdot) = 1 \wedge (G' \wedge f)(\cdot)$$

now comes from 0.21. Conclusion (1.7) is immediate from (1)-(2). It still remains to prove that  $G \wedge f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$ .

Set  $g_1(\cdot) = G' \wedge f(\cdot)$ ; Equation (1.7) becomes

$$(3) \quad G \wedge f(\cdot) = G(0)(1 \wedge f)(\cdot) + 1 \wedge g_1(\cdot).$$

From 0.19 we see that  $g_1(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ ; the conclusion  $G \wedge f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$  is obtained from (3) by setting  $g = f$  and then  $g = g_1$  in 1.2.

**1.8. The space of test-functions.** Let  $W_\omega$  be the linear space of all the complex-valued functions which are infinitely differentiable on  $\omega$  and whose every derivative vanishes at the origin. Thus,  $w(\cdot) \in W_\omega$  if  $w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$  and  $w^{(k)} \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$  for every integer  $k \geq 1$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.9.** Let  $q_n(\cdot)$  be the function defined in 0.23; it is easily verified that  $q_n^{(k)}(0) = 0$  for every integer  $k \geq 1$ ; therefore,  $q_n(\cdot) \in W_\omega$ .

**LEMMA 1.10.** *If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  and  $q(\cdot) \in W_\omega$  then*

$$(1.11) \quad q \wedge f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad (q \wedge f)'(\cdot) = q' \wedge f(\cdot).$$

*Proof.* Since  $q'(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$ , we can set  $G = q$  in 1.6 to obtain (1.11) and the equations

$$(4) \quad q \wedge f(\cdot) = q(0)(1 \wedge f)(\cdot) + 1 \wedge (q' \wedge f)(\cdot) = 1 \wedge (q' \wedge f)(\cdot)$$

now come from (1.7) and  $q(0) = 0$  (since  $q(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\omega)$ ). Next, set

$$(5) \quad \Psi(\cdot) = q' \wedge f(\cdot):$$

Equation (4) becomes

$$(6) \quad q \wedge f(\cdot) = 1 \wedge \Psi(\cdot).$$

Setting  $G = q'$  in 1.6, we see from (5) that  $\Psi(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_0(\omega)$ ; the equations

$$(7) \quad (1 \wedge \Psi)'(\cdot) = \Psi(\cdot) = q' \wedge f(\cdot)$$

therefore follow from 1.4 and (5). Conclusion (1.12) is immediate from (6)–(7).

**LEMMA 1.13.** *If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  and  $w(\cdot) \in W_\omega$ , then  $w \wedge f(\cdot) \in W_\omega$ , and*

$$(1.14) \quad (f \wedge w)'(\cdot) = w' \wedge f(\cdot) = f \wedge w'(\cdot).$$

*Proof.* If the equation

$$(8) \quad (w \wedge f)^{(k)}(\cdot) = w^{(k)} \wedge f(\cdot)$$

holds for  $k = n$ , then it holds for  $k = n + 1$ : this is easily seen by observing that the equations

$$[(w \wedge f)^{(n)}]'(\cdot) = (w^{(n)} \wedge f)'(\cdot) = w^{(n+1)} \wedge f(\cdot)$$

come from (8) and (1.12). Since (8) holds for  $k = 0$ , it holds for any integer  $k \geq 0$ . From (8) and (1.11) (with  $q = w^{(k)}$ ) it follows that

$$(w \wedge f)^{(k)}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_0(\omega) \quad \text{for any integer } k \geq 0;$$

therefore,  $w \wedge f(\cdot) \in W_\omega$ . Conclusion (1.14) comes from (1.12) and (0.20).

**DEFINITIONS 1.15.** An operator is a linear mapping of  $W_\omega$  into  $W_\omega$ . If  $A$  is an operator and  $w(\cdot) \in W_\omega$ , we denote by  $.Aw(\cdot)$  the function that the operator  $A$  assigns to  $w(\cdot)$ .

As usual, the product  $A_1A_2$  of two operators is defined by

$$(1.16) \quad .A_1A_2w(\cdot) = .A_1(.A_2w)(\cdot) \quad (\text{every } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega).$$

**1.17. The space of generalized functions.** Let  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  be the set of all the operators  $A$  such that the equation

$$(1.18) \quad .A(w_1 \wedge w_2)(\cdot) = (.Aw_1) \wedge w_2(\cdot)$$

holds whenever  $w_1(\cdot)$  and  $w_2(\cdot)$  belong to  $W_\omega$ .

DEFINITION 1.19. If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  we denote by  $f^*$  the operator which assigns to each  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$  the function  $f \wedge w(\cdot)$ :

$$(1.20) \quad \cdot f^* w(\cdot) = f \wedge w(\cdot) \quad (\text{for each } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega).$$

THEOREM 1.21. If  $f_1(\cdot)$  and  $f_2(\cdot)$  belong to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ , then

$$(1.22) \quad f_1^* f_2^* = (f_1 \wedge f_2)^* .$$

*Proof.* Take any  $w_2(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$ . From 1.13 and (0.20) we see that  $|f_2| \wedge |w_2|(\cdot) \in W_\omega$ ; consequently, we can set  $w = |f_2| \wedge |w_2|$  and  $f = |f_1|$  in 1.13 to obtain

$$|f_1| \wedge (|f_2| \wedge |w_2|)(\cdot) \in W_\omega :$$

from 0.21 it therefore follows that

$$(1.23) \quad f_1 \wedge (f_2 \wedge w_2)(\cdot) = (f_1 \wedge f_2) \wedge w_2(\cdot) ,$$

which, in view of 1.19, means that

$$\cdot f_1^* (\cdot f_2^* w_2)(\cdot) = \cdot (f_1 \wedge f_2)^* w_2(\cdot) .$$

Since  $w_2(\cdot)$  is an arbitrary element of  $W_\omega$ , Conclusion (1.22) is immediate from (1.16).

REMARK 1.24. If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  then  $f^* \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ . Indeed,  $f^*$  is an operator (by (1.20), (0.20), and 1.13): it only remains to prove that the equation (1.18) holds for  $A = f^*$ . Setting  $f_1 = f$  and  $f_2 = w_1$  in (1.23), we obtain

$$f \wedge (w_1 \wedge w_2)(\cdot) = (f \wedge w_1) \wedge w_2(\cdot) ;$$

in view of (1.20), this becomes

$$\cdot f^* (w_1 \wedge w_2)(\cdot) = (\cdot f^* w_1) \wedge w_2(\cdot) :$$

therefore, (1.18) holds when  $A = f^*$ .

DEFINITIONS 1.25. We denote by  $D$  the differentiation operator:

$$(1.26) \quad \cdot D w(\cdot) = w'(\cdot) \quad (\text{all } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega).$$

Let  $I$  be the identity-operator :

$$(1.27) \quad \cdot I w(\cdot) = w(\cdot) \quad (\text{all } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega).$$

If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ , we denote by  $\{f(t)\}$  the operator defined by

$$(1.28) \quad \cdot \{f(t)\} w(\cdot) = f \wedge w'(\cdot) \quad (\text{all } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega) ;$$

the operator  $\{f(t)\}$  will be called the operator of the function  $f(\ )$ .

REMARK 1.29.  $\{1(t)\} = I$ . Indeed, the equations

$$\cdot\{1(t)\}w(\ ) = 1 \mathbf{\wedge} w'(\ ) = w(\ )$$

are from (1.28) and 1.5.

REMARK 1.30.  $D \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$ . Indeed,  $D$  is clearly an operator, and the equations

$$\cdot D(w_1 \mathbf{\wedge} w_2)(\ ) = (w_1 \mathbf{\wedge} w_2)'(\ ) = w_1' \mathbf{\wedge} w_2(\ ) = (\cdot Dw_1) \mathbf{\wedge} w_2(\ )$$

are from (1.26), (1.14), and (1.26).

DEFINITION 1.31. Let  $(a, b)$  be a sub-interval of  $\omega$  such that  $a \leq 0 \leq b$ ; if  $A \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$  and  $B \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$ , we say that  $A$  agrees with  $B$  on  $(a, b)$  if

$$\cdot Aw(t) = \cdot Bw(t) \text{ for } a < t < b \text{ and for every } w(\ ) \text{ in } W_\omega.$$

THEOREM 1.32. Suppose that  $f_k(\ ) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . If  $\{f_1(t)\}$  agrees with  $\{f_2(t)\}$  on  $(a, b)$ , then  $f_1(\ ) = f_2(\ )$  almost-everywhere on the interval  $(a, b)$ . Conversely, if the functions are equal almost-everywhere on  $(a, b)$ , then their operators agree on  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $h(\ ) = f_1(\ ) - f_2(\ )$ . By hypothesis, the relation

$$(1) \quad \cdot\{h(t)\}w(t) = 0 \quad (\text{for } a < t < b)$$

holds for every  $w(\ )$  in  $W_\omega$ : it will suffice to show that  $h(\ ) = 0$  almost-everywhere on  $(a, b)$ . Take any integer  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $q_n(\ )$  be the function that was defined in 0.23; since  $q_n(\ ) \in W_\omega$  (see 1.9), it follows from 1.13 (with  $f = 1$ ) that  $q_n \mathbf{\wedge} 1(\ ) \in W_\omega$ ; in view of (0.20) we may therefore set  $w(\ ) = 1 \mathbf{\wedge} q_n(\ )$  in (1) to obtain

$$(2) \quad \cdot\{h(t)\}(1 \mathbf{\wedge} q_n)(t) = 0 \quad (\text{for } a < t < b).$$

The equations

$$(3) \quad \cdot\{h(t)\}(1 \mathbf{\wedge} q_n)(\ ) = h \mathbf{\wedge} (1 \mathbf{\wedge} q_n)'(\ ) = h \mathbf{\wedge} q_n(\ )$$

are from (1.28) and 1.4. Combining (2) and (3), we see that  $h \mathbf{\wedge} q_n(t) = 0$  for  $a < t < b$  and for every integer  $n \geq 1$ ; the conclusion  $h(\ ) = 0$  (almost-everywhere on  $(a, b)$ ) now comes from 0.24.

Conversely, suppose that  $f_1(\ ) = f_2(\ )$  almost-everywhere; this means that  $h(\ ) = 0$  almost-everywhere on  $(a, b)$ ; we may therefore apply 0.13 to conclude that

$$h \wedge w'( ) = 0 \quad \text{for } a < t < b \text{ and every } w( ) \text{ in } W_\omega;$$

consequently, (1.28) gives  $\cdot\{h(t)\}w(t) = 0$ , so that

$$\cdot\{f_1(t)\}w(t) = \cdot\{f_2(t)\}w(t) \quad \text{for } a < t < b \text{ and } w( ) \in W_\omega:$$

this proves that  $\{f_1(t)\}$  agrees with  $\{f_2(t)\}$  on  $(a, b)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.33.** *Suppose that  $f_1( )$  and  $f_2( )$  belong to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ :*

$$f_1( ) = f_2( ) \text{ if (and only if) } \{f_1(t)\} = \{f_2(t)\} .$$

*Proof.* Set  $a = \omega_-$  and  $b = \omega_+$  in 1.32: by definition, two operators are equal if they agree on  $(a, b)$ ; moreover, we agree that the equation  $f_1( ) = f_2( )$  means that these functions are equal almost-everywhere on  $(a, b)$ . The conclusion is now immediate from 1.32.

**THEOREM 1.34.** *The mapping  $f( ) \mapsto \{f(t)\}$  is an injective linear transformation of  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  into  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  such that*

$$(1.35) \quad \{f(t)\} = f^*D .$$

*Proof.* The equation (1.35) is immediate from (1.28), (1.16), and (1.26). On the other hand, it is easily verified that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  is an algebra (if  $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  for  $k = 1, 2$ , then  $A_1A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ ): since  $f^* \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  (by 1.24), and since  $D \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  (by 1.30), the conclusion  $\{f(t)\} \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  comes from (1.35). From 1.33 we may now conclude that  $f( ) \mapsto \{f(t)\}$  is an injective transformation of  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  into  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ : the linearity is clear from (1.28).

**LEMMA 1.36.** *If  $B \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  then the equation*

$$(1.37) \quad \cdot B(p_1 \wedge p_2)( ) = p_1 \wedge (\cdot Bp_2)( )$$

*holds for every  $p_1( )$  and  $p_2( )$  in  $W_\omega$ .*

*Proof.* The equations

$$\cdot B(p_1 \wedge p_2)( ) = \cdot B(p_2 \wedge p_1)( ) = (\cdot Bp_2) \wedge p_1( )$$

are from (0.20), (0.12), and (1.18); conclusion (1.37) is now immediate from (0.20).

**THEOREM 1.38.**  *$\mathcal{A}_\omega$  is a commutative algebra.*

*Proof.* The multiplication of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  is the usual operator-multiplication (defined in (1.16)); it is easily verified that  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  is

an algebra. Take  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ ; to prove the commutativity, it will suffice to demonstrate that  $A_1A_2 - A_2A_1 = 0$ . Let  $q_1(\ )$  and  $q_2(\ )$  be any two elements of  $W_\omega$ ; we begin by observing that

$$(1) \quad .A_1A_2(q_1 \wedge q'_2)(\ ) = .A_1[ (.A_2q_1) \wedge q'_2 ](\ ) = (.A_2q_1) \wedge (.A_1q'_2)(\ ) :$$

these equations are from (1.16), (1.18), and (1.37) (with  $p_1 = .A_2q'_1$  and  $p_2 = q'_2$ ). On the other hand, the equations

$$(2) \quad .A_2A_1(q_1 \wedge q'_2)(\ ) = .A_2(q_1 \wedge (.A_1q'_2)) = (.A_2q_1) \wedge (.A_1q'_2)(\ )$$

are from (1.16), (1.37), and (1.18). We now subtract (2) from (1) to obtain

$$(3) \quad .A(q_1 \wedge q'_2)(\ ) = 0, \text{ where } A = A_1A_2 - A_2A_1.$$

From (3) and (1.18) it results that

$$0 = (.Aq_1) \wedge q'_2(\ ) = \{.Aq_1(t)\}q_2(\ ) \quad (\text{all } q_2(\ ) \text{ in } W_\omega) ;$$

the last equation is from (1.28). Consequently,  $0 = \{.Aq_1(t)\}$ ; we may now infer from 1.33 that  $0 = .Aq_1(\ )$  for each  $q_1(\ )$  in  $W_\omega$ : the desired conclusion  $A = 0$  is at hand.

**THEOREM 1.39.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  and  $w(\ ) \in W_\omega$ , then  $\{.Aw(t)\} = A\{w(t)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w_2(\ )$  be an arbitrary element of  $W_\omega$ ; the equations

$$(4) \quad \{.Aw(t)\}w_2(\ ) = (.Aw) \wedge w'_2(\ ) = .A(w \wedge w'_2)(\ )$$

are from (1.28) and (1.18). On the other hand, the equations

$$(5) \quad .A\{w(t)\}w_2(\ ) = .A(\{w(t)\}w_2)(\ ) = .A(w \wedge w'_2)(\ )$$

come from (1.16) and (1.28). Comparing (4) and (5):

$$(6) \quad \{.Aw(t)\}w_2(\ ) = .(A\{w(t)\})w_2(\ ) .$$

Since (6) holds for every  $w_2(\ )$  in  $W_\omega$ , the proof is complete.

**THEOREM 1.40.** *If  $f_1(\ )$  and  $f_2(\ )$  both belong to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ , then*

$$(7) \quad D\{f_1 \wedge f_2(t)\} = \{f_1(t)\}\{f_2(t)\} .$$

*Proof.* The equations

$$(8) \quad D\{f_1 \wedge f_2(t)\} = D(f_1 \wedge f_2)^*D = Df_1^*f_2^*D = (f_1^*D)(f_2^*D)$$

are obtained by using (1.35) (with  $f = f_1 \wedge f_2$ ), by using (1.22), and by utilizing the commutativity and the associativity of the multiplication in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ . Conclusion (7) comes directly from (8) and two more

applications of 1.35.

**2. Two-sided operational calculus.** If  $c$  is a scalar (that is, a complex number), the equation  $\{c1(t)\} = cI$  comes from 1.29 and the linearity of the transformation  $f(\ ) \mapsto \{f(t)\}$ ; consequently,  $cI \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  (recall that  $I$  is the identity: (1.27)). Since the correspondence  $c \mapsto cI$  is an algebraic isomorphism of the field of scalars into the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ , there is no reason to distinguish between the scalar  $c$  and the operator  $cI$ :

$$(2.0) \quad c = cI = \{c1(t)\} \quad \text{for any scalar } c .$$

Since  $ct^n 1(t) = ct^n$  for all  $t$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , it is natural to write  $\{ct^n\}$  instead of  $\{ct^n 1(t)\}$ ; in particular,

$$(2.1) \quad c = cI = \{c\} \text{ and } 1 = I = \{1\} .$$

Substituting  $f_1 = 1$  into 1.40:

$$(2.2) \quad D\{1 \wedge f_2(t)\} = \{f_2(t)\} .$$

We can also combine the linearity property with (2.1) to obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \{c_1 f_1(t) + c_2 f_2(t) + c_3\} = c_1 \{f_1(t)\} + c_2 \{f_2(t)\} + c_3 ;$$

of course, we suppose throughout that  $c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3$ ) are scalars, and  $f_k(\ )$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) belong to  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Suppose that  $f(\ )$  is a function which is continuous on the interval  $\omega$ . If  $f'(\ )$  has at most countably-many discontinuities and is integrable in each compact sub-interval of  $\omega$ , then*

$$(2.5) \quad \{f'(t)\} = D\{f(t)\} - f(0)D .$$

*Proof.* If  $v(\ ) = f(\ ) - f(0)1$ , then  $v'(\ ) = f'(\ )$  and we may apply 1.5:

$$(1) \quad f(\ ) - f(0)1 = v(\ ) = 1 \wedge f'(\ ) .$$

From (1) and (2.3) it follows that

$$(2) \quad \{f(t)\} - f(0) = \{1 \wedge f'(t)\} .$$

Multiplying by  $D$  both sides of (2), we obtain

$$D\{f(t)\} - f(0)D = D\{1 \wedge f'(t)\} = \{f'(t)\} :$$

the last equation is from (2.2).

**2.6. Invertibility.** As usual, an operator  $A$  is called invertible

if  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  and there exists an operator  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  such that  $AX = 1$ . Suppose that  $A$  is an invertible operator; since  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  is a commutative algebra, it is easily verified that there exists exactly one operator  $A^{-1}$  such that  $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  and  $AA^{-1} = 1$ . Setting  $f(t) = t$  in 2.4, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \{1\} = D\{t\};$$

consequently,  $D$  is an invertible operator, and  $D^{-1} = \{t\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Suppose that  $Y \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  and  $V \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ . If the equation  $VY = R$  holds for some invertible  $R$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ , then  $V$  is invertible, and  $Y = R/V$ , where  $R/V$  denotes  $RV^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Easy; see 1.76 in [5].

**REMARKS 2.9.** From (2.5) we see that

$$(2.10) \quad D\{\sin t\} = \{\cos t\},$$

whence  $D^2\{\sin t\} = D\{\cos t\} = -\{\sin t\} + D$  (this last equation also comes from (2.5)); we may therefore use 2.8 to obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \{\sin t\} = \frac{D}{D^2 + 1}.$$

The equation

$$(2.12) \quad D^{-k} = \left\{ \frac{t^k}{k!} \right\} \quad (\text{for any integer } k \geq 0)$$

is an easy consequence of (2.7) and (2.5).

**2.13. NOTATION.** *We shall often write  $f$  instead of  $\{f(t)\}$ . Consequently, (2.3) can be re-written in the form*

$$(2.14) \quad \{c_1 f_1(t) + c_2 f_2(t) + c_3\} = c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 + c_3,$$

and 1.33 becomes

$$(2.15) \quad f_1 = f_2 \text{ if (and only if) } f_1(\ ) = f_2(\ ).$$

Combining 1.40 with (0.5):

$$(2.16) \quad f_1 \mathbf{\wedge} f_2 = f_1 D^{-1} f_2 = \left\{ \int_0^t f_1(t-u) f_2(u) du \right\}.$$

Also, note that (2.2) gives

$$(2.17) \quad f_2 = D(1 \mathbf{\wedge} f_2);$$



that is,

$$(2.18) \quad D^{-1}f_2 = 1 \wedge f_2 ;$$

combining with (1.3):

$$(2.19) \quad \left\{ \int_0^t f_2 \right\} = D^{-1}f_2 .$$

Finally, note that Theorem 1.39 becomes

$$(2.20) \quad .Aw = Aw \quad (\text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}_\omega \text{ and } w( ) \in W_\omega).$$

APPLICATION 2.21. Given a function  $f( )$  in  $L^{loc}(-\alpha, \alpha)$ , let us solve the differential equation

$$(1) \quad y''(t) + y(t) = f(t) \quad (-\alpha < t < \alpha) ;$$

for example, we could have  $f(t) = \sec(\pi t/2\alpha)$ . To solve (1), set  $\omega = (-\alpha, \alpha)$ ,  $c_0 = y(0)$ ,  $c_1 = y'(0)$ , and inject both sides of (1) into  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ ; this gives  $D^2y + y = c_1D + c_0D^2 + f$ ; solving for  $y$ :

$$y = c_1 \frac{D}{D^2 + 1} + c_0D \frac{D}{D^2 + 1} + \frac{D}{D^2 + 1} D^{-1}f :$$

we can now use (2.11), (2.10), and (2.16) to write

$$y = c_1 \sin + c_0 \cos + \left\{ \int_0^t (\sin(t-u))f(u)du \right\} .$$

3. Translation properties. In this section we shall describe some two-sided analogues of the translation properties described in [5].

If  $b \geq 0$  we define the function  $\tau_b( )$  by

$$(3.0) \quad \tau_b(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < b \\ 1 & \text{for } t \geq b . \end{cases}$$

If  $a < 0$  we set

$$(3.1) \quad \tau_a(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } t < a \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq a . \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$(3.2) \quad \tau_x( ) = 0 \quad \text{on } (-|x|, |x|) \quad (\text{for any } x \text{ in } \mathbf{R}).$$

Until further notice, let  $g( )$  be a function in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ , and let  $g_x( )$  be the function defined by

$$(3.3) \quad g_x(u) = \tau_x(u)g(u-x) \quad (\text{for } u \in \omega) ;$$

note that  $g_x(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ .

LEMMA 3.4. *If  $b \geq 0$  then  $1 \wedge g_b(\cdot) = \tau_b \wedge g(\cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $g_b(\cdot) = 0 = \tau_b(\cdot)$  on the interval  $(\omega_-, b)$ ; from 0.13 it therefore follows that

$$(1) \quad g_b \wedge 1(t) = 0 = \tau_b \wedge g(t) \quad (\text{for } t \in (\omega_-, b)).$$

Next, suppose that  $t > b$  and  $t \in \omega$ : the equation

$$1 \wedge g_b(t) = \int_0^t 1(t-u)\tau_b(u)g(u-x)du$$

comes from (0.5) and (3.3); in view of (3.0), we see that

$$(2) \quad 1 \wedge g_b(t) = \int_b^t g(u-x)du = \int_0^{t-b} g(\tau)d\tau = \tau_b \wedge g(t) :$$

the second equation is obtained by the change of variable  $\tau = u - b$ ; the last equation comes from (0.15) by setting  $f = \tau_b$  in 0.14. The conclusion is immediate from (1)-(2).

THEOREM 3.5. *If  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  then  $1 \wedge g_x(\cdot) = \tau_x \wedge g(\cdot)$  and*

$$(3.6) \quad g_x = g\tau_x .$$

*Proof.* In view of 3.4, it only remains to consider the case  $x = a < 0$ . Observe that  $g_a(\cdot) = 0 = \tau_a(\cdot)$  on the interval  $(a, \omega_+)$ ; from 0.13 it therefore follows that

$$(3) \quad g_a \wedge 1(t) = 0 = \tau_a \wedge g(t) \quad (\text{for } t \in (a, \omega_+)).$$

Next, suppose that  $t < a$  and  $t \in \omega$ : as in the proof of 3.4, we see that

$$(4) \quad 1 \wedge g_a(t) = -\int_t^a g(u-x)du = -\int_{t-a}^0 g(\tau)d\tau :$$

the second equation is obtained by the change of variable  $\tau = u - a$ . Note that  $\tau_a(\cdot) = 0$  on the interval  $(a, \omega_+)$ : we can therefore set  $h = \tau_a$  in 0.14 and use (0.16) to obtain

$$(5) \quad \tau_a \wedge g(t) = -\int_{t-a}^0 \tau_a(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau = -\int_{t-a}^0 g(\tau)d\tau .$$

From (4)-(5) it results that  $1 \wedge g_a(t) = \tau_a \wedge g(t)$  for  $\omega_- < t < a$ ; the conclusion  $1 \wedge g_a(\cdot) = \tau_a \wedge g(\cdot)$  is now immediate from (3). The equations

$$g_x = D(1 \wedge g_x) = D(\tau_x \wedge g) = \tau_x g$$

are from (2.17), from our conclusion  $(1 \wedge g_x(\cdot)) = \tau_x \wedge g$ , and from (2.17): this proves (3.6).

3.7. *Particular cases.* In view of (3.3), we can write (3.6) in the form

$$(3.8) \quad \{\tau_x(t)g(t - x)\} = \tau_x g \quad (\text{for } x \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } g(\cdot) \in L^{\text{loc}}(\omega)).$$

This equation is a useful substitute for the Laplace-transform identity

$$\mathfrak{L}[\tau_x(t)g(t - x)] = e^{-xs}\mathfrak{L}[g(t)].$$

Let  $\sqcup(\cdot)$  be the function  $1(\cdot) - 1_+(\cdot)$ ; that is,

$$(3.9) \quad \sqcup(\cdot) = 1(\cdot) - \tau_0(\cdot).$$

From (0.1) and (3.0) it follows that  $g_+(\cdot) = T_0(\cdot)g(\cdot)$ ; but (3.8) then gives  $\{g_+(t)\} = \tau_0 g$ , so that

$$(3.9.1) \quad \{g_{\sqcup}(t)\} = g - \tau_0 g = \sqcup g \quad (\text{by (0.2) and (3.9)}).$$

Setting  $g(\cdot) = T_0(\cdot)$  in (3.8) we see that  $\tau_0 = \{\tau_0(t)\tau_0(t)\} = \tau_0 \tau_0$ , whence it results that

$$(3.10) \quad \tau_0 \sqcup = 0, \quad \tau_0^2 = \tau_0, \quad \text{and } \sqcup^2 = \sqcup.$$

If  $A \in \mathscr{A}_\omega$  we set  $A_+ = \tau_0 A$  and  $A_{\sqcup} = \sqcup A$ ; clearly,  $A = A_{\sqcup} + A_+$  and  $A_{\sqcup} A_+ = 0$ . If  $B \in \mathscr{A}_\omega$  then

$$(3.11) \quad A_{\sqcup} B = A_{\sqcup} B_{\sqcup} = \sqcup(AB)$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad A_+ B = AB_+ = A_+ B_+ = (AB)_+.$$

Let  $(B\mathscr{A})$  denote the set  $\{BA: A \in \mathscr{A}\}$ ; it is easily seen that  $(\sqcup\mathscr{A})$  and  $(\tau_0\mathscr{A})$  are ideals in the algebra  $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ , and  $\mathscr{A}_\omega$  is the direct sum of these ideals:

$$(3.13) \quad \mathscr{A} = (\sqcup\mathscr{A}) \oplus (\tau_0\mathscr{A}).$$

Note that  $\text{sgn } t = -\sqcup(t) + \tau_0(t)$ , so that  $\text{sgn} = -\sqcup + \tau_0$ . It is easily verified that  $\{|t|\} = D^{-1} \text{sgn}$ , and

$$(3.14) \quad \{e^{\alpha|t|}\} = \frac{D^2 + \alpha D \text{sgn}}{D^2 - \alpha^2}.$$

If  $\alpha > 0$  we set

$$1^\alpha(\cdot) = -\tau_{-\alpha}(\cdot) + \tau_\alpha(\cdot);$$

from (3.8) it follows readily that

$$1^\alpha g = \{-\tau_{-\alpha}(t)g(t + \alpha) + \tau_\alpha(t)g(t - \alpha)\}.$$

If  $h(\cdot)$  is a periodic function of period  $\alpha$ , then

$$h = \frac{\{[1 - 1^\alpha(t)]h(t)\}}{1 - 1^\alpha}.$$

Finally, if  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  then  $1^\alpha 1^\beta = 1^{\alpha+\beta}$  and

$$(3.15) \quad \tau_\alpha \tau_\beta = \tau_{\alpha+\beta} :$$

we define  $1^\alpha$  to be 1 in case  $\alpha = 0$ .

3.16. *Other operational calculi.* Mikusiński's injection (of  $L^{\text{loc}}(0, \infty)$  into the Mikusiński field) is an extension of the Laplace transformation; analogously, our injection  $f(\cdot) \mapsto \{f(t)\}$  is comparable to the two-sided Laplace transformation. However, if  $\mathfrak{L}\{f(t)\}$  denotes the Laplace transform of the function  $f(\cdot)$ , then

$$\mathfrak{L}\{e^{-t} - e^t\}(s) = \frac{2}{1 - s^2} = \mathfrak{L}\{e^{-|t|}\}(s);$$

the first equation holds for  $s > 1$ , the second for  $0 < s < 1$ . This contrasts with

$$\{e^{-t} - e^t\} = \frac{2D}{1 - D^2} \neq \{e^{-|t|}\} \quad (\text{see (3.14)}).$$

A problem which is not Laplace-transformable is discussed in 6.7.

**THEOREM 3.17.** *If  $\alpha > 0$  and  $h(\cdot) \in L^{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ , then the equation*

$$(3.18) \quad \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \tau_{k\alpha}(t)g(t - k\alpha) \right\} = g \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \tau_{k\alpha}(t) \right\}$$

*holds for any scalar-valued sequence  $c_k$  ( $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ ).*

*Proof.* Set

$$(1) \quad g(\tau_\alpha)(\cdot) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k g_{k\alpha}(\cdot).$$

Take any  $t$  in  $\omega$ : there exists an integer  $m > 0$  such that  $|t| < m\alpha$ . Clearly,

$$(2) \quad g(\tau_\alpha)(t) = \sum_{|k| < m} c_k g_{k\alpha}(t) + \sum_{|i| \geq m} c_i g_{i\alpha}(t).$$

Since  $t \in (-m\alpha, m\alpha) \subset (-|i|\alpha, |i|\alpha)$  and since  $g_{i\alpha}(\cdot) = 0$  on the interval

$(-|i|\alpha, |i|\alpha)$  (by (3.2) and (3.3)), we have  $g_{i\alpha}(t) = 0$ : consequently, the series (1) converges, and (3.3) gives

$$(3) \quad g(\tau_\alpha)(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \tau_{k\alpha}(t) g(t - k\alpha) .$$

The equations

$$g(\tau_\alpha) = D\{1 \wedge g(\tau_\alpha)\} = D\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k (1 \wedge g_{k\alpha})(t) \right\}$$

are from (2.17) and (1); from 3.5 it therefore follows that

$$(4) \quad g(\tau_\alpha) = D\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k (\tau_{k\alpha} \wedge g)(t) \right\} .$$

Equation (4) gives

$$(5) \quad g(\tau_\alpha) = D\left\{ g \wedge \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \tau_{k\alpha}(t) \right\} = g\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \tau_{k\alpha}(t) \right\} :$$

the second equation is from 1.40. Conclusion (3.18) now comes from (3) and (5).

REMARK 3.19. If  $c$  is a scalar and if  $\lambda \geq 0$ , the equation

$$\frac{1^\lambda h}{1 - c1^\alpha} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c^k (h_{\sqcup}(t + k\alpha + \lambda) + h_+(t - k\alpha - \lambda)) \right\}$$

is not hard to verify; it is the two-sided analogue of Theorem 5.29 in [5].

THEOREM 3.20. If  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $w(\ ) \in W_\omega$  then

$$(3.21) \quad \tau_x w(t) = \tau_x(t) w(t - x) \quad (\text{for } t \in \omega) .$$

Proof. The equations

$$\{\tau_x(t) w(t - x)\} = \tau_x w = \tau_x w$$

come from (3.8) and (2.20): Conclusion (3.21) now follows from (2.15).

LEMMA 3.22. If  $R \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  and  $w(\ ) \in W_\omega$  then

$$(3.23) \quad \tau_{\sqcup} R w(\ ) = [\tau_{\sqcup} R w]_{\sqcup}(\ ) .$$

Proof. Setting  $g = \tau_{\sqcup} R w$  in (3.9.1), we obtain

$$(1) \quad \{[\tau_{\sqcup} R w]_{\sqcup}(t)\} = \sqcup\{\tau_{\sqcup} R w(t)\} = \sqcup R\{w(t)\} :$$

the last equation is from 1.39. Since  $B_{\sqcup} = \sqcup B$  (by definition), Equa-

tion (1) becomes

$$(2) \quad \{[.Rw]_{\sqcup}(t)\} = R_{\sqcup}\{w(t)\} = \{.R_{\sqcup}w(t)\} :$$

the second equation is from 1.39. Conclusion (3.23) is immediate from (2) and 1.33.

**THEOREM 3.24.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ , then*

$$A_{\sqcup} = B_{\sqcup} \text{ if (and only if) } A \text{ agrees with } B \text{ on } (\omega_-, 0).$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $(\omega_-, 0) = \omega \cap (-\infty, 0)$ . Let  $w(\ )$  be any element of  $W_\omega$ ; the equations

$$(3) \quad [.Aw]_{\sqcup}(\ ) = .A_{\sqcup}w(\ ) = .B_{\sqcup}w(\ ) = [.Bw]_{\sqcup}(\ )$$

are from (3.23), our hypothesis  $A_{\sqcup} = B_{\sqcup}$ , and (3.23). Since  $h_{\sqcup}(t) = h(t)$  for  $t < 0$  (see (0.1)-(0.2)), Equation (3) implies

$$(4) \quad .Aw(t) = .Bw(t) \quad (\text{for } \omega_- < t < 0).$$

From (4) and 1.31 we see that  $A$  agrees with  $B$  on  $(\omega_-, 0)$ . Conversely, if  $A$  agrees with  $B$  on  $(\omega_-, 0)$ , then (4) holds, whence the equation  $[.Aw]_{\sqcup}(\ ) = [.Bw]_{\sqcup}(\ )$ : combining this with (3.23), we obtain

$$.A_{\sqcup}w(\ ) = .B_{\sqcup}w(\ ) \quad (\text{for every } w(\ ) \text{ in } W_\omega),$$

which gives  $A_{\sqcup} = B_{\sqcup}$ .

**THEOREM 3.25.** *The space  $(\tau_0\mathcal{A})$  consists of all the elements of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  which agree with 0 on  $(\omega_-, 0)$ . Moreover,*

$$(3.26) \quad B \in (\tau_0\mathcal{A}) \iff B_{\sqcup} = 0 \iff B = B_+ .$$

*Proof.* We begin with (3.26). If  $B \in (\tau_0\mathcal{A})$  then  $B = \tau_0A$  for some  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ ; therefore,  $\sqcup B = 0$  (by (3.10)); this gives  $B_{\sqcup} = 0$ ; since  $B = B_{\sqcup} + B_+$ , the equation  $B_{\sqcup} = 0$  implies  $B = B_+$ ; if  $B = B_+$  then  $B = \tau_0B$ , whence  $B \in (\tau_0\mathcal{A})$ . This proves (3.26).

If  $B \in (\tau_0\mathcal{A})$  then  $B_{\sqcup} = 0$  (by (3.26)), which implies that  $B$  agrees with 0 on the interval  $(\omega_-, 0)$  (by 3.24). Conversely, if  $B$  agrees with 0 on the interval  $(\omega_-, 0)$ , then  $B_{\sqcup} = 0$  (by (3.24)): the conclusion  $B \in (\tau_0\mathcal{A})$  now comes from (3.26).

**THEOREM 3.27.** *If  $B \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  is such that the equation  $f = B_{\sqcup}$  holds for some  $f(\ )$  in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ , then  $f$  agrees with  $B$  on the interval  $(\omega_-, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* The equations

$$(3.28) \quad f_{\sqcup} = \sqcup f = \sqcup B_{\sqcup} = \sqcup^2 B = \sqcup B = B_{\sqcup}$$

are from the definition ( $f_{\sqcup} = \sqcup f$ ), from our hypothesis, from the definition ( $B_{\sqcup} = \sqcup B$ ), from (3.10), and again from the definition ( $B_{\sqcup} = \sqcup B$ ). From (3.28) and 3.24 we see that  $f$  agrees with  $B$  on the interval  $(\omega_-, 0)$ .

4. The topological space  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ . Let the function space  $W_\omega$  be endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence on the interval  $\omega$ : this enables us to topologize  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$  by endowing it with the product topology (recall that  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$  consists of mappings of  $W_\omega$  into the topological space  $W_\omega$ ). Consequently, the equation

$$B = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} A_\lambda \quad (\text{for } B \text{ and } A_\lambda \text{ in } \mathcal{S}_\omega)$$

means that

$$(1) \quad .Bw(t) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} .A_\lambda w(t) \quad (\text{for } t \in \omega \text{ and } w(\cdot) \in W_\omega).$$

It is immediately clear that  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$  is a locally convex Hausdorff vector space: in fact, H. Shultz has proved that it is sequentially complete and that the multiplication of the algebra  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$  is sequentially continuous.

We denote by  $\lim A_\lambda$  the mapping that assigns to each  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$  the function  $.Bw(\cdot)$  defined by (1):

$$(4.1) \quad \left( \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} A_\lambda \right) w(\cdot) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \mu} .A_\lambda w(\cdot) \quad (\text{every } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega).$$

If  $x \mapsto F(x)$  is a mapping into  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$ , we set

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{d}{dx} F(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [F(x + \varepsilon) - F(x)];$$

in view of (4.1), this means that  $dF(x)/dx$  is the operator defined for any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$  by

$$(4.3) \quad \left( \frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) w(\cdot) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (.F(x)w(\cdot)).$$

**THEOREM 4.4.** *If  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , then  $\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Gamma_x = -\Gamma_x D$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$ , take any  $t \neq x$  in  $\omega$ ; from (4.3) we see that

$$(2) \quad \left( \frac{d}{dx} \Gamma_x \right) w(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (. \Gamma_x w(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma_x(t) w(t - x):$$

the second equation is from (3.21). Set  $E_1 = \{x: x > t\}$  and  $E_2 = \{x: x < t\}$ : note that the function  $x \mapsto \tau_x(t)$  is constant on  $E_k$  when  $k = 1, 2$ ; consequently, since  $x \neq t$  then  $x \in E_k$  for some  $k$ , whence  $\partial\tau_x(t)/\partial x = 0$ ; we can use this to infer from (2) that

$$\cdot\left(\frac{d}{dx} \tau_x\right)w(t) = \tau_x(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w(t - x) = -\tau_x(t)w'(t - x) \quad (\text{all } t \neq x).$$

Consequently, we may use (3.21) to write

$$\cdot\left(\frac{d}{dx} \tau_x\right)w(\cdot) = -\cdot\tau_x w'(\cdot) \quad (\text{all } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W_\omega).$$

Calling  $B = dT_x/dx$ , this gives  $\cdot Bw(\cdot) = -\cdot\tau_x D w(\cdot)$ , whence the conclusion  $B = -\tau_x D$ .

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *if  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  then  $DT_x = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (1/\varepsilon)(\tau_x - \tau_{x+\varepsilon})$ .*

*Proof.* From 4.4 and (4.2) it follows that

$$-\tau_x D = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\tau_{x+\varepsilon} - \tau_x),$$

which implies directly our conclusion.

**REMARK 4.6.** Corollary 4.5 indicates that  $DT_x$  corresponds to the Dirac delta distribution  $\delta_x$  concentrated at the point  $x$ .

**THEOREM 4.7.** *If  $F_k(\cdot)$  ( $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ ) is a sequence in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$ , then*

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k\alpha} F_k = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k\alpha}(t) F_k(t - k\alpha) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_{k\alpha} F_k(\cdot)$  be the function defined by

$$(1) \quad \tau_{k\alpha} F_k(t) = \tau_{k\alpha}(t) F_k(t - k\alpha).$$

Set

$$(2) \quad f_s(\cdot) = \sum_{k=-s}^s \tau_{k\alpha} F_k(\cdot).$$

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , observe that

$$(3) \quad f_\infty(\cdot) = f_n(\cdot) + \sum_{|i| > n} \tau_{i\alpha} F_i(\cdot);$$

since  $(-n\alpha, n\alpha) \subset (-|i|\alpha, |i|\alpha)$  and since  $\tau_{i\alpha} F_i(\cdot) = 0$  on the interval  $(-|i|\alpha, |i|\alpha)$  (because of (3.2) and (1)), we may conclude that  $\tau_{i\alpha} F_i(\cdot) =$



0 on the interval  $(-n\alpha, n\alpha)$ : consequently, (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad f_\infty(\cdot) = f_n(\cdot) \text{ on } (-n\alpha, n\alpha) \text{ for any integer } n \geq 1.$$

If  $t \in \omega$  there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $t \in (-m\alpha, m\alpha)$ : from (4), (2), and (1) we see that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma_{k\alpha}(t) F_k(t - k\alpha) = f_\infty(t) = \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} \Gamma_{k\alpha} F_k(t).$$

On the other hand,

$$(6) \quad f_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=-n}^n \Gamma_{k\alpha} F_k(t) \right\} = \sum_{k=-k}^n \Gamma_{k\alpha} F_k;$$

the second equation is from (3.8) and (1).

In view of (5)-(6), the proof of (4.8) will be accomplished by showing that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f_\infty.$$

To that effect, take any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$ , and any  $t$  in the interval  $\omega$ ; we must prove that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n w(t) = f_\infty w(t).$$

Observe that there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $|t| < m\alpha$ ; suppose that  $n \geq m$ ; from (4) and 1.32 it follows that the operators  $f_n$  and  $f_\infty$  agree on  $(-n\alpha, n\alpha)$ : therefore, 1.31 gives

$$(9) \quad f_n w(t) = f_\infty w(t) \quad (\text{for all } n \geq m);$$

this is because  $w(\cdot) \in W_\omega$  and  $-m\alpha < t < m\alpha$ . Conclusion (8) is immediate from (9).

REMARK 4.9. Let  $c_k$  ( $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ ) be a scalar-valued sequence. Setting  $F_k(\cdot) = c_k$  in (4.8), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \Gamma_{k\alpha} = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \Gamma_{k\alpha}(t) \right\};$$

combining with (3.18):

$$(4.11) \quad \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \Gamma_{k\alpha}(t) g(t - k\alpha) \right\} = g \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \Gamma_{k\alpha}.$$

Obviously, if  $g(\cdot)$  is a periodic function of period  $\alpha > 0$ , then (4.11) becomes

$$(4.12) \quad g \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \Gamma_{k\alpha} = \left\{ g(t) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \Gamma_{k\alpha}(t) \right\}.$$

**5. Derivative of an operator.** Given  $A \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$  and  $B \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ , let us indicate by  $A \subset B$  the existence of a number  $a < 0$  such that  $A$  agrees with  $B$  on the interval  $(a, 0)$ . The notion of “agreeing with” has been defined in 1.31. Recall that  $F = \{F(t)\}$  (see 2.13); as usual,  $F(0-)$  denotes the limit of  $F(t)$  as  $t$  approaches zero through negative values.

**THEOREM 5.0.** *Suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ . There is at most one scalar  $c_1$  such that the equation  $c_1 = f_1(0-)$  holds for some function  $f_1(\cdot)$  in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  with  $f_1 \subset B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that the equation  $c_2 = f_2(0-)$  holds for some function  $f_2(\cdot)$  in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  with  $f_2 \subset B$ : we must prove that  $c_1 = c_2$ . By definition, there exists an interval  $(a_k, 0)$  such that  $f_k$  agrees with  $B$  on the interval  $(a_k, 0)$  (for  $k = 1, 2$ ); from 1.31 we now see that  $f_1$  agrees with  $f_2$  on  $(a, 0)$ , where  $a$  is the largest of the two negative numbers  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ; from 1.32 it follows that  $f_1(\cdot) = f_2(\cdot)$  on  $(a, 0)$ , whence  $f_1(0-) = f_2(0-)$ : this proves that  $c_1 = c_2$ .

**5.1. Derivable operators.** An operator  $B$  is said to be derivable if  $B \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$  and if there exists a function  $f_1(\cdot)$  in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  such that  $|f_1(0-)| < \infty$  and  $f_1 \subset B$ .

**5.2. Initial value of an operator.** If  $B$  is derivable, we denote by  $\langle B, 0- \rangle$  the unique scalar  $c_1$  such that the equation  $c_1 = f_1(0-)$  holds for some function  $f_1(\cdot)$  in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  such that  $f_1 \subset B$ ; we also set

$$(5.3) \quad \partial_t B = DB - \langle B, 0- \rangle D.$$

The uniqueness of  $c_1$  comes from 5.0, while the existence of  $c_1$  can be verified by setting  $c_1 = f_1(0-)$  in 5.1.

**REMARKS 5.4.** If  $f(\cdot)$  is a function in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  such that  $|f(0-)| < \infty$ , then the operator  $f$  is derivable, and  $\langle f, 0- \rangle = f(0-)$  (this is immediate from 5.1); from (5.3) we see that

$$\partial_t f = Df - f(0-)D.$$

**5.5.** Suppose that  $f(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $\omega$ ; if  $f'(\cdot)$  has at most countably-many discontinuities and is integrable on each compact sub-interval of the open interval  $\omega$ , then

$$\partial_t f = \{f'(t)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f, 0- \rangle = f(0):$$

this follows immediately from 2.4, 2.13, and 5.4.

5.6. Suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{S}'_\omega$ . If  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$  is such that  $|f(0-)| < \infty$  and  $f \subset B$ , then  $B$  is derivable and  $\langle B, 0- \rangle = f(0-)$ : this follows directly from 5.0-5.2.

5.7. If  $B \in \mathcal{S}'_\omega$  is such that the equation  $B_{\mathbb{I}} = f$  holds for some function  $f(\cdot)$  in  $L^{loc}(\omega)$  such that  $|f(0-)| < \infty$ , then  $B$  is derivable and  $\langle B, 0- \rangle = f(0-)$ . This is immediate from 3.27 and 5.6.

**THEOREM 5.8.** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $A_k$  ( $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ ) is a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}'_\omega$  such that the equation*

$$(1) \quad B = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_{k\alpha} A_k$$

*defines an element  $B$  of  $\mathcal{S}'_\omega$ , then  $B$  is derivable,  $\langle B, 0- \rangle = 0$ , and  $\partial_i B = DB$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$ . From (1) and (3.21) it follows that

$$(2) \quad .Bw(t) = \tau_0(t) \cdot A_0 w(t) + \sum_{k \neq 0} \tau_{k\alpha}(t) \cdot A_k w(t - k\alpha) \quad (\text{for } t \in \omega).$$

If  $k \neq 0$  we see from (3.2) that  $\tau_{k\alpha}(\cdot) = 0$  on  $(-\alpha, \alpha)$ : consequently, the equation (2) implies that

$$(3) \quad .Bw(t) = \tau_0(t) \cdot A_0 w(t) \quad (\text{for } |t| < \alpha).$$

Since  $\tau_0(\cdot) = 0$  on  $(-\alpha, 0)$ , it now follows from (3) that  $.Bw(t) = 0$  for  $-\alpha < t < 0$  and for any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W_\omega$ : therefore, the operator  $0$  agrees with  $B$  on  $(-\alpha, 0)$ , whence  $0 \subset B$ ; the conclusion  $\langle B, 0- \rangle = 0$  now follows from 5.6; in view of (5.3), the proof is concluded.

**THEOREM 5.9.** *Suppose that  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Each element of  $(\tau_x \mathcal{S}'_\omega)$  is infinitely derivable; in fact,*

$$(5.10) \quad \langle B, 0- \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_i^k B = D^k B \quad (\text{for each integer } k \geq 1)$$

*whenever  $B \in (\tau_x \mathcal{S}'_\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $(\tau_x \mathcal{S}'_\omega)$  is the set  $\{\tau_x A : A \in \mathcal{S}'_\omega\}$ . If  $B$  is an element of  $(\tau_x \mathcal{S}'_\omega)$ , then  $B = \tau_x A$  for some  $A$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_\omega$ : clearly,  $B$  can be written in the form (1) (set  $\alpha = |x|$  and  $A_k = A$  for  $k = \text{sgn } x$  and  $A_k = 0$  for other values of  $k$ ): the conclusion  $\langle B, 0- \rangle = 0$  now comes from 5.8. Since  $\partial_i^k B = B$  (by definition) for  $k = 0$ , we proceed by induction on  $k \geq 1$ . To that effect, we assume that  $\partial_i^n B = D^n B$ : clearly,

$$(4) \quad \partial_t^{n+1}B = \partial_t(D^n B) = D^{n+1}B + \langle D^n B, 0- \rangle D.$$

On the other hand,  $D^n B = D^n \tau_x A = \tau_x D^n A$ ; consequently,  $D^n B$  belongs to  $(\tau_x \mathcal{A})$ , whence  $\langle D^n B, 0- \rangle = 0$  (by what we established at the beginning of this proof); therefore (4) gives  $\partial_t^{n+1}B = D^{n+1}B$ . The induction proof is completed.

*Note 5.11.* Both  $\tau_x$  and the Dirac delta distribution  $D\tau_x$  belong to the space  $(\tau_x \mathcal{A})$ . If  $B = B_+$  or if  $B_{\sqcup} = 0$  then  $B$  belongs to  $(\tau_0 \mathcal{A})$ : see 3.25.

**THEOREM 5.12.** *Set  $a = \omega_-$  and suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$ . If the equation  $B_{\sqcup} = f$  holds for some function  $f(\cdot)$  in  $L^1(a, 0)$ , there exists a unique scalar  $c_1$  such that the equation*

$$(5) \quad c_1 = \int_a^0 f_1(u) du$$

holds for some  $f_1(\cdot)$  in  $L^1(a, 0)$  with  $f_1 = B_{\sqcup}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, such a scalar exists. If

$$(6) \quad c_2 = \int_a^0 f_2(u) du$$

for  $f_2(\cdot)$  in  $L^1(a, 0)$  and  $f_2 = B_{\sqcup}$ , then both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  agree with  $B$  on  $(a, 0)$  (by 3.27): therefore,  $f_1(\cdot)$  equals  $f_2(\cdot)$  almost-everywhere on  $(a, 0)$  (by 1.32); the conclusion  $c_1 = c_2$  now comes from (5)-(6).

**5.13. The anti-derivative.** Let  $B$  be as in 5.12. We set

$$(7) \quad \int_a^t B = D^{-1}B + c_1.$$

In a subsequent paper we shall prove that

$$\left\langle \int_a^t B, 0- \right\rangle = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \int_a^t B = B.$$

In case  $B = f$  with  $f(\cdot) \in L^1(a, 0)$  and  $f(\cdot) \in L^{loc}(\omega)$ , it follows immediately from (2.19) and (3) (7) that

$$\int_a^t f = \left\{ \int_a^t f(u) du \right\}.$$

**6. Four problems.** Recall that  $D\tau_x$  corresponds to the Dirac delta distribution concentrated at the point  $x$  (see 4.6), it is infinitely derivable (see 5.11). If an operator  $A$  is twice derivable, it follows directly from (5.3) that

$$(6.0) \quad \partial_i^2 A = D^2 A - \langle A, 0- \rangle D^2 - \langle \partial_i A, 0- \rangle D .$$

We shall need two more facts. Each operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{N}_\omega$  can be written as a sum

$$(6.1) \quad A = A_{\text{II}} + A_+, \text{ where } A_+ = A\tau_0 \quad (\text{see 3.7}) ;$$

moreover, if  $g(\cdot) \in L^{1oc}(\omega)$  then

$$(6.2) \quad g\tau_0 = \{\tau_0(t)g(t)\} \quad (\text{see (3.8)}) .$$

6.3. *First problem.* Given two scalars  $m$  and  $a$ , to find an operator  $y$  such that

$$(6.4) \quad m\partial_i y = D\tau_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle y, 0- \rangle = a :$$

Definition (5.3) gives  $mDy - maD = D\tau_0$ , whence  $y(\cdot) = a + m^{-1}\tau_0(\cdot)$ . This same problem has been discussed in [5, p. 38].

6.5. *Second problem.* The equations

$$(1) \quad i = \partial_i q \quad \text{and} \quad q = CE$$

relate the current  $i$  to the charge  $q$  in a simple electric circuit having capacitance  $C$ , impressed electromotive force  $E$ , no inductance, and no resistance (see 7.19 in [5]). From (1) and (5.3) it follows that

$$(2) \quad i = CDE - \langle q, 0- \rangle D .$$

Multiplying by  $\tau_0$  both sides of (2), we can use (6.1) to write

$$(3) \quad i_+ = CDE_+ - \langle q, 0- \rangle D\tau_0 .$$

If there is a short-circuit at the time  $t = 0$ , then  $E_+ = 0$ , so that (3) gives the answer  $i_+ = -\langle q, 0- \rangle D\tau_0$ : this is an impulse whose magnitude is the negative of the initial charge  $\langle q, 0- \rangle$ .

6.6. *Third problem.* Given a scalar  $c$ , to find an operator  $y$  such that

$$\partial_i^2 y + y = \partial_i(D\tau_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \partial_i y, 0- \rangle = \langle y, 0- \rangle = c .$$

Since  $\partial_i(D\tau_0) = D^2\tau_0$  (by 5.9), we can use (6.0) to write

$$(D^2 + 1)y = D^2\tau_0 + \langle y, 0- \rangle D^2 + \langle \partial_i y, 0- \rangle D ;$$

we now use the initial conditions and solve for  $y$ :

$$(4) \quad y = \frac{D^2}{D^2 + 1} \tau_0 + c \left( \frac{D^2}{D^2 + 1} + \frac{D}{D^2 + 1} \right) .$$

From (4) and (2.10)–(2.11) it results that

$$y = \{\cos t\}T_0 + c(\sin + \cos),$$

whence our conclusion  $y(\cdot) = T_0(\cdot) \cos + c(\sin + \cos)$  now comes directly from (6.2) and 1.33.

*Last problem 6.7.* To find an element  $y$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  such that

$$(5) \quad \partial_t^2 y + y = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} D T_{2k\pi}.$$

Setting  $c_0 = \langle y, 0- \rangle$  and  $c_1 = \langle \partial_t y, 0- \rangle$ , we see from (6.0) that

$$(6) \quad (D^2 + 1)y = c_0 D^2 + c_1 D + D \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi}.$$

Solving (6) for  $y$ , we obtain  $y = c_0 \cos + c_1 \sin + y_p$ , where

$$(7) \quad y_p = \frac{D}{D^2 + 1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi} = \{\sin t\} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi}:$$

the second equation is from (2.11). From (7) and (4.12) it now follows that

$$(8) \quad y_p = \left\{ \sin t \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi}(t) \right\}.$$

From (8) and (2.15) we can now write

$$(9) \quad y_p(t) = \sin t \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi}(t) = \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{t}{2\pi} \right] \right) \sin t;$$

as usual,  $[t/2\pi]$  is the greatest integer  $< t/2\pi$  (the last equation follows directly from the definition of  $T_x(\cdot)$ ). In case  $\omega = \mathbf{R}$ , the answer (9) to the problem (5) cannot be obtained by the Fourier transformation nor by the distributional two-sided Laplace transformation.

*Added in proof.* There still remains to connect the theory presented in this paper with the theory of distributions; this has been done in the Research Announcement “An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval; two-sided operational calculus” (by Gregers Krabbe), Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 78–84.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I: General Theory. Interscience, New York, 1958.
2. J. Horváth, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966.

3. H. G. Garnir, *Fonctions de Variables Réelles, Tome II*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1965.
4. R. L. Jeffery, *The Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
5. G. Krabbe, *Operational Calculus*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

Received August 19, 1971.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

