

Chebyshev Centers in Spaces of Continuous Functions

JOSEPH D. WARD

A bounded set F in a Banach space X has a Chebyshev center if there exists in X a "smallest" ball containing F . A Banach space X is said to admit centers if every bounded subset of X has a center. The purpose of this paper is to show that certain spaces of continuous functions admit centers.

1. Introduction. Let X be a real normed linear space, G a subset of X and f an element of X . Then a best approximant, g_* , to f from G (if it exists) is a solution to

$$(1.1) \quad \inf \{ \|g - f\|, g \in G \} .$$

It may happen that f is not defined exactly but is known to lie in a bounded set F . It is reasonable then to approximate simultaneously all $f \in F$ by solving

$$(1.2) \quad \inf \sup \{ \|g - f\|, f \in F \} \equiv R_G(F)$$

where the inf is taken over all $g \in G$. Thus we may view problem (1.2) as a natural generalization of the best approximation problem (1.1). If $G = X$ then the solutions of (1.2) are called Chebyshev centers of F , following Garkavi [2]. In [3], Kadets and Zamyatin showed that $C([a, b], R)$, the space of real-valued continuous functions on $[a, b]$, admits centers. This means that (1.2) has a solution in $C([a, b], R)$ for F an arbitrary bounded set in $C([a, b], R)$.

The purpose of this note is to show that the Kadets-Zamyatin result holds under much greater generality. Let

Ω = a paracompact Hausdorff space

S = a normal space

$C(A, B)$ = space of continuous functions from A to B .

Our main theorems are:

THEOREM 1. *$C(\Omega, X)$ admits centers if X is a finite dimensional, rotund space.*

THEOREM 2. *$C(S, H)$ admits centers if H is an arbitrary Hilbert space.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X and E be Banach spaces and $F: X \rightarrow 2^E$. F is said to be *upper semi-continuous* (u.s.c) if the set $\{x | F(x) \subset G\}$ is open in X for every open $G \subset E$. F is said to be *lower semi-continuous* (l.s.c) if the set $\{x | F(x) \cap G\}$ is open in X for every open $G \subset E$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We use the following notation:

- F = fixed but arbitrary bounded set in $C(\Omega, X)$
- $\mathcal{N}(t)$ = the directed family of open t -neighborhoods, $t \in \Omega$
- $\Omega(t, N) = \bigcup \{f(s)\}$ where $f \in F, s \in N$
- $A_N(t)$ = convex closure of $\Omega(t, N)$
- $B(x, K)$ = ball of radius K centered at x
- $R(F)$ = Chebyshev radius of F with respect to $C(\Omega, X)$ as defined in (1.2).

Suppose F is bounded by K . Now for each $t \in \Omega$, consider the net $N \rightarrow A_N(t)$ defined on $\mathcal{N}(t)$. The range of this net lies in the metric space $\mathcal{F}(B(0, K))$ whose elements are the compact, convex and nonempty subsets of $B(0, K)$. We put

$$(2.2) \quad A(t) = \lim A_N(t), N \in \mathcal{N}(t).$$

This limit exists in $\mathcal{F}(B(0, K))$ by virtue of the compactness of this space and the monotonicity of the net $\{A_N(t)\}, N \in \mathcal{N}(t)$. It may be verified that

$$(2.3) \quad A(t) = \bigcap A_N(t), N \in \mathcal{N}(t).$$

We show that the map $A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B(0, K))$ is u.s.c.. This requires us to choose any nonempty open set $G \subset X$ and then show that $\{t \in \Omega: A(t) \subset G\}$ is open in Ω . Let t_0 belong to this set. Then by (2.2), there is an $N \in \mathcal{N}(t_0)$ for which $A_N(t_0) \subset G$. Hence, if $t \in N$, we have by (2.3) that

$$A(t) \subset A_N(t) = A_N(t_0) \subset G$$

and so A is upper semi-continuous.

Let $R_x(A) = \sup \{R_x(A(t)): t \in \Omega\}$. Following Olech [4], we introduce the map $G: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$ defined by

$$G(t) = \{\beta \in X: A(t) \subset B(\beta, R_x(A))\}.$$

Olech proved (under the assumption that X is uniformly rotund which is the same as rotund in finite dimensions) that the values $G(t)$ are compact, convex and nonempty subsets of X and G is lower semi-continuous in t . Thus by appealing to the Michael selection theorem,

there is a continuous selection f for G .

It is clear that $\|f - g\| \leq R_X(A)$ for all $g \in F$. It remains to show that $R_X(A) \leq R(F)$. Let ε be arbitrary and choose $t \in \Omega$ so that $R_X(A(t)) > R_X(A) - \varepsilon$. Since $f \in C(\Omega, X)$, we may choose $N \in \mathcal{N}(t)$ for which $\text{osc}(f: N) < \varepsilon$. Due to (2.2) and (2.3) we may assume that N has been chosen so "small" that there is a $\gamma \in N$ and $g \in F$ for which $R_X(A) - 2\varepsilon < |g(\gamma) - f(\gamma)| \leq R(F)$.

3. *Proof of Theorem 2.* The problem with X being infinite dimensional is that we have no right to expect $\lim A_N(t), N \in \mathcal{N}(t)$, to exist as in Theorem 1. Thus the method of proof of Theorem 1 must be abandoned. Nevertheless, Theorem 2 may still be proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $F \subset C(S, H)$ be bounded by K . There exist "approximate centers", call them f_n , such that f_n is within $R(F) + 1/n$ of each element of F . We clearly have for any approximate center f_i and f_j the relationship $\|f_i - f_j\| \leq 4K$.

Step 1. We show that for arbitrary $\delta > 0$, there exists an $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that for any $(R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta)$ -approximate center f_1 , we may construct an $(R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2})$ -center f_2 such that $f_2 \in B(f_1, \delta)$.

Proof of Step 1. Pick $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ so that $\delta = (2\varepsilon_\delta R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta^2)^{1/2}$. Pick g where g is an $(R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2})$ -approximate center for F . It is clear that $\|g - f_1\| \leq 4K$. Let $F(t) = \{f(t): f \in F\}$. By definition of approximate center, for all $t \in S$,

$$B(f_1(t), R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta) \cap B(g(t), R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2}) \supset F(t).$$

For convenience sake set

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta; r_2 = R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2} \\ d(t) &= \|f_1(t) - g(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$f_2(t) = f_1(t) + \beta(t)(g(t) - f_1(t))$$

where

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (r_1^2 - r_2^2)/d^2 \geq 1 \\ ((r_1^2 - r_2^2)/d^2)^{1/2} & \text{if } (r_1^2 - r_2^2)/d^2 < 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $0 \leq \beta(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in S$. We now make three claims about f_2 .

- (1) f_2 is a continuous function, i.e., $f_2 \in C(S, H)$

- (2) $\|f_2 - f_1\| \leq (2\varepsilon_\delta R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta^2)^{1/2} \leq \delta$
 (3) f_2 is an $(R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2})$ -approximate center of F

Proof of (1). Since g and f_1 are continuous functions and $d(t) = \|f_1(t) - f_2(t)\|$ is continuous, $\beta(t)$ is also continuous. This clearly implies the continuity of f_2 .

Proof of (2). It suffices to show that $\|f_2(t) - f_1(t)\| \leq (2\varepsilon_\delta R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta^2)^{1/2} \leq \delta$ for all $t \in S$. Thus for fixed t_0 , $\|f_2(t_0) - f_1(t_0)\| = \|\beta(t_0)(g(t_0) - f_1(t_0))\|$. If $\beta(t_0) = 1$, $r_1^2 - r_2^2 \geq d^2$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta(t_0)(g(t_0) - f_1(t_0))\| &= \|g(t_0) - f_1(t_0)\| = d(t_0) \\ &\leq (r_1^2 - r_2^2)^{1/2} \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta(t_0) < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(t_0) - f_1(t_0)\|^2 &= \|\beta(t_0)(f_2(t_0) - f_1(t_0))\|^2 \\ &= ((r_1^2 - r_2^2)/d^2)d^2 = r_1^2 - r_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

so $\|f_2(t_0) - f_1(t_0)\| \leq (r_1^2 - r_2^2)^{1/2} \leq \delta$. This proves (2).

Proof of (3). Since by (1) $f_2 \in C(S, H)$, it suffices to show that for each $t_0 \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} B(f_2(t_0), R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2}) \\ \supset B(f_1(t_0), R(F) + \varepsilon_\delta) \cap B(g(t_0), R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta/2}) \supset F(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

The above is equivalent to showing that for all x such that $\|x - f_1\| \leq r_1$ and $\|x - g\| \leq r_2$, then $\|x - f_2\| \leq r_2$.

Without loss of generality assume f_1 is 0. The above problem then simplifies to showing that the implication $\|x\| \leq r_1$ and $\|x - g\| \leq r_2$, then $\|x - f_2\| \leq r_2$ holds for all $x \in V$ and for all $V \subset H$ where V is a two dimensional subspace containing g . Hence we are reduced to a problem in two dimensional Hilbert space and a few simple applications of the Pythagorean theorem prove the assertion.

Step 2. Let f_1 be any $(R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta_1})$ -approximate center of F . Having defined f_n , take f_{n+1} to be an $(R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta_{n+1}})$ -approximate center such that $f_{n+1} \in B(f_n, \delta_n)$ and $\delta_{n+1} = \delta_n/2$, which we may do by Step 1. Evidently $\varepsilon_{\delta_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now consider $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. For all $i, j \geq K$, $\|f_i - f_j\| \leq \|f_i - f_K\| + \|f_K - f_j\| \leq 2 \sum_{n=K}^\infty \delta_n/2^n = \delta_K/2^{K-1}$. So $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a uniformly convergent sequence with limit point f' , $f' \in C(S, H)$. Also for each $g \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \{\|g - f'\|, g \in F\} &\leq \sup \{\|g - f_n\| + \|f_n - f'\|\}, g \in F\} \\ &\leq R(F) + \varepsilon_{\delta_n} + \gamma_n \end{aligned}$$

where γ_n is a null sequence. Hence $\sup \{\|g - f'\|, g \in F\} = R(F)$ and f' is a Chebyshev center of F .

REMARK 1. Since paracompact spaces are normal [1], Theorem 2 generalizes Theorem 1 in the case that the range space of the space of continuous functions is a finite dimensional Hilbert space.

REMARK 2. This author was unable to resolve the question whether Theorem 2 holds when the range space of $C(S, H)$ is an arbitrary uniformly convex space.

The author thanks the referee whose suggestions simplified the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1966.
2. A. L. Garkavi, *The best possible net and the best possible cross-section of a set in a normed space*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, **26** (1962), 87-106. (Russian) (Translated in Amer. Math. Soc. Trans., Ser. 2, **39** (1964)).
3. I. M. Kadets and V. Zamyatin, *Chebyshev centers in the space $C[a, b]$* , Teo. Funk., Funkcion. Anal. Pril., **7** (1968), 20-26. (Russian).
4. C. Olech, *Approximation of set-valued functions by continuous functions*, Coll. Math., **19** (1968), 285-293.

Received October 9, 1973 and in revised form January 22, 1974. This research comprised a part of the author's Ph. D. thesis at Purdue University. The author wishes to express his gratitude to his advisor, Professor Richard Holmes, for numerous illuminating discussions.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Current address: Texas A & M University
College Station, Texas 77843

