

## ON THE RADICALS OF LATTICE-ORDERED RINGS

H. J. SHYR AND T. M. VISWANATHAN

In this note, it is shown that for several classes of lattice-ordered rings, the  $l$ -radical  $L(A)$  and the prime radical  $P(A)$  coincide and that  $A$  modulo the  $l$ -radical is an  $f$ -ring. In particular, this is true for the class of positive square rings satisfying the identity  $a_+a_- = 0$ .

The most well-behaved lattice-ordered rings are the  $f$ -rings satisfying the identities  $xa_+ \wedge a_- = 0$  where  $x$  is an arbitrary positive element and  $a$  an arbitrary element of the  $l$ -ring  $A$ . All other rings are then studied by dissecting the ring into parts — one part called the radical where the idiosyncracies of the ring play a role and the other is the ring modulo the radical where the ring is expected to behave more like an  $f$ -ring. The radicals are themselves varied: There is the  $l$ -radical  $L(A)$  of Birkhoff and Pierce which is the union of nilpotent  $l$ -ideals of  $A$  and the  $P$ -radical  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , being the intersection of all the prime  $l$ -ideals of  $A$ . It is known that  $L(A) \subseteq P(A)$ . The object of this note is to show that equality holds and that the radicals behave well for several classes of  $l$ -rings.

2. Square-archimedean rings. A square-archimedean ring  $A$  is an  $l$ -ring satisfying the following: Given  $x, y$  in the positive cone  $A_+$ , there exists a positive integer  $n = n(x, y)$  such that  $xy + yx \leq n(x^2 + y^2)$ . The positive square  $l$ -rings, having square elements positive or zero are indeed square-archimedean. The following is an example of a commutative  $l$ -ring with identity which is square-archimedean but not positive square: The ring  $A$  has the additive group of two copies of the ordered group  $Z$  of integers with multiplication defined by  $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)$  and order provided by  $(a_1, a_2)$  in  $A^+$  if  $a_2 \geq a_1 \geq 0$  in  $Z$ . Notice also that the bound  $n(x, y)$  may not be uniform.

It is appropriate at this point to introduce the upper  $l$ -radical  $U(A)$  which is the union of all nil  $l$ -ideals of  $A$ .  $U(A)$  is an  $l$ -ideal whereas the set  $H(A)$  of all absolutely nilpotent elements need not be an ideal. We have the containment relation  $L(A) \subseteq P(A) \subseteq U(A) \subseteq H(A)$ . Throughout the remaining part of this section  $A$  is assumed to be a square-archimedean ring.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of  $A^+$  and  $m$  a positive integer, then there exist positive integers  $\lambda_m$  and  $\mu_m$  such that  $(x + y)^{2^m} \leq \lambda_m(x^{2^m} + y^{2^m})$  and  $(xy)^{2^m} \leq \mu_m(x^{2^{m+1}} + y^{2^{m+1}})$ .*

*Proof.* Use induction on  $m$ . For the second inequality,  $xy \leq xy + yx \leq n(x^2 + y^2)$  and so  $(xy)^{2^m} \leq n^{2^m}(x^2 + y^2)^{2^m}$  and now use the first.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The set  $H(A)$  is a sublattice subring of  $A$  which is also square-archimedean.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Proposition 1 and the following identity in  $A$ :  $a + b = (a \vee b) + (a \wedge b)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $A$  is a square-archimedean ring, then  $L(A) = P(A) = U(A)$ . In particular, the three radicals coincide for positive square  $l$ -rings.*

*Proof.* We shall obtain a reduction to the case when  $A$  itself will be a nil ring. For this,  $U(A)$  is an  $l$ -ideal of  $A$  and so by (2.18) of [2], the  $l$ -radical of  $U(A)$  is equal to  $L(A)$ . Since  $U(A)$  is a nil ring, the theorem will be proved if we show that the  $l$ -radical of a nil ring is the whole ring. This is the next lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *For every integer  $m \geq 1$ , let  $p(m) = 2^m$ . If  $A$  is a nil ring then the set  $I_m = \{x \in A : |x|^{p(m)} = 0\}$  is a nil potent  $l$ -ideal. Hence  $L(A) = A$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the result for  $m = 1$ , since the general case would then follow by induction by passing to the quotient say  $A/I_{m-1}$ . For  $m = 1$ , we already know from Proposition 1 that  $I_1$  is a sublattice subring of  $A$ . Given  $x \geq 0$  in  $I_1$  and  $a$  in  $A^+$ , we have  $xax = xax + ax^2 \leq n(ax)^2$  for some positive integer  $n$  and by iteration,  $xax \leq n^s a^s xax$  for every  $s \geq 2$  and so  $xax = 0$ , making the square of both  $ax$  and  $xa$  vanish. Thus  $I_1$  is a nilpotent  $l$ -ideal of index 2.

**REMARK 1.** The question naturally arises whether there exists a positive square  $l$ -ring for which  $U(A) \neq H(A)$ . This is another form of a question of Diem. (See p. 79 of [2].)

**3. Rings with well-behaved radicals.** We shall now complete the work of Diem by showing that for several classes of rings satisfying specific  $l$ -ring identities, the  $l$ -radical equals the set  $N$  of nilpotents so that all the radicals coincide. A basic tool is the notion of an  $\underline{f}$ -ideal, which is an  $l$ -ideal  $I$  such that  $A/I$  is an  $\underline{f}$ -ring. Thus an  $l$ -ideal  $I$  is ad  $\underline{f}$ -ideal if and only if it contains all elements of the form  $xa^+ \wedge a^-$  and  $a^+x \wedge a^-$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and for all  $a$  in  $A$ . We observe that if the  $l$ -ring  $A$  has a nilpotent  $\underline{f}$ -ideal, then  $L(A) = N$ , making all the radicals coincide and in this case the  $l$ -radical indeed behaves well since  $A/L(A)$  is an  $\underline{f}$ -ring without nilpotent elements.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be an  $l$ -ring which satisfies one of the following identities:*

- (i)  $xa^+ \wedge xa^- = 0$  and  $a^+x \wedge a^-x = 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $a$  in  $A$ .
- (ii)  $xa^+x \wedge xa^-x = 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $a$  in  $A$ .
- (iii)  $a^+xa^- = 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $a$  in  $A$ .
- (iv)  $xa^+xa^-x = 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $a$  in  $A$ .
- (v)  $a^+a^- = 0$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ . Then  $L(A) = N$ .

*Proof.* We shall produce a nilpotent  $f$ -ideal in all cases except (v).

(i) and (ii). Let  $I = \{x \in A : AxA = 0\}$ . Let us show that  $I$  is an  $f$ -ideal in the case of (ii). A similar proof works for (i). If  $c, d$ , and  $x \geq 0$  in  $A$  and  $a$  an element of  $A$ , then  $c(xa^+ \wedge a^-)d \leq cxa^+d \wedge ca^-d \leq ea^+e \wedge ea^-e$  where  $e$  is any upper bound of  $c, cx$ , and  $d$  and this last element is 0. Since any element is the difference of two positive elements, this shows that  $xa^+ \wedge a^-$  belongs in  $I$ . Similarly  $a^+x \wedge a^-$  belongs in  $I$ . Clearly  $I$  is a nilpotent  $l$ -ideal.

(iii) and (iv). It is clearly enough to prove (iv). Notice that for every  $x \geq 0$  and  $a$  in  $A$ , the element  $(xa)^2x \geq 0$ . Using this, it is easy to show that the set  $J = \{a \in A : (x|a|)^2x = 0 \forall x \in A^+\}$  is a nilpotent  $f$ -ideal.

(v) Since  $A$  in this case is a positive square ring, by Theorem 1,  $L(A) = P(A)$  and by Corollary 4.6 of [2],  $P(A) = N$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be an  $l$ -ring. Suppose the upper radical is square-archimedean or satisfies one of the identities above, then  $L(A) = P(A) = U(A)$ .*

**REMARK 2.** The  $l$ -ring satisfying the identity  $a^+a^- = 0$  also has a nilpotent  $f$ -ideal. The proof however requires that  $H(A)$  be an  $l$ -ideal, a consequence of Corollary 3.8 of [2]. Since the existence of a nilpotent  $f$ -ideal implies that only a part of the  $l$ -radical behaves undesirably, it may be useful to describe this  $f$ -ideal.

From Lemma 1, if  $a$  and  $s$  are elements of  $A^+$  and if  $a^2 = 0$  and  $s$  nilpotent, then  $asa = 0$ . Now if  $r \in A^+$  and  $a \in A^+$  an element such that  $a^2 = 0$ , then  $rar$  is nilpotent, since  $H(A)$  is an  $l$ -ideal. Hence for every  $r$  in  $A^+$  we have  $arara = 0$ .

Now if  $a \in A$  and  $r \in A^+$  then  $(ra^+ \wedge a^-)^2 \leq ra^+a^- = 0$ . Hence  $(ra^+ \wedge a^-)^2 = 0$ . Similarly  $(a^+r \wedge a^-)^2 = 0$ .

Let  $Z_1(A) = \{a \in A : (x|a|)^2x = 0 \forall x \in A^+\}$ . Since  $A$  is a positive square ring,  $Z_1(A)$  is a nilpotent  $l$ -ideal. Since it may not contain  $ra^+ \wedge a^-$ , we construct  $Z_2(A)$  as the inverse image of  $Z_1(A/Z_1(A))$ , using the natural epimorphism  $A \rightarrow A/Z_1(A)$ .  $Z_2(A)$  is a nilpotent  $f$ -ideal of  $A$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff and R. S. Pierce, *Lattice-ordered rings*, An. Acad. Brasil Ci., **28** (1956), 41-69.
2. J. E. Diem, *A radical for lattice-ordered rings*, Pacific J. Math., **25** (1968), 71-82.
3. L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1963.
4. I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, Number Fifteen, The Mathematical Association of America, 1968.
5. D. G. Johnson, *A structure theory for a class of lattice-ordered rings*, Acta Math., **104** (1960), 163-215.
6. P. Ribenboim, *Rings and Modules*, Interscience Publishers, 1967.

Received July 14, 1972 and in revised form January 8, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON  
AND  
IMPA, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL