

RESIDUALLY CENTRAL WREATH PRODUCTS

ROGER D. KONYNDYK

This paper is concerned with the problem of determining which standard restricted wreath products of two groups A and G are residually central. Complete characterizations are obtained in the case where G is orderable and in the case where A and G are locally nilpotent.

The contents of this paper formed a part of the author's doctoral dissertation submitted to Michigan State University in 1975. I wish to thank Professor Richard E. Phillips for his guidance and advice. I also wish to thank the referee for his suggestions for simplifying the proofs of Lemma 1, Theorem 2, and Lemma 3.

A group G is said to be residually central if for all $1 \neq x \in G$, $x \notin [x, G]$. Other definitions may be found in [10] and [11]. Residually central groups were first studied by Durbin in [3] and [4]. Further information may be found in papers by Ayoub [1], Slotterbeck [12], and Stanley [13] and [14].

The wreath product of two groups A and G is the semi-direct product $W = \bar{A} \wr B$, where \bar{A} is the direct sum $\Pi\{A_g \mid g \in G\}$ of copies of A . If $\alpha \in \bar{A}$, then α can be written as $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^m a_i^{g_i}$, meaning that $\alpha(g_i) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $\alpha(g) = 1$ if $g \notin \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$. If $g \in G$, then $\alpha^g = \prod_{i=1}^m a_i^{g_i g}$. The subgroup \bar{A} is called the base group of W . Note that if $a \in A$, the element a^1 in \bar{A} can be identified with a . Note also that if $B \triangleleft G$, then $(A/B) \wr G$ is a homomorphic image of $A \wr G$ in the obvious way; the kernel of the homomorphism is $\bar{B} = \Pi\{B_g \mid g \in G\}$. Throughout this paper W will denote the wreath product $A \wr G$ and \bar{A} its base group.

LEMMA 1. *If $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, then $\Pi_{i=1}^n [g_i, G] = [\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle, G]$.*

Proof. Since each $[g_i, G] \leq [\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle, G]$, $\Pi_{i=1}^n [g_i, G] \leq [\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle, G]$. Let $K = \Pi_{i=1}^n [g_i, G]$, a normal subgroup of G . If Z/K is the center of G/K , then each $g_i \in Z$. Hence $\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle \leq Z$, and so $[\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle, G] \leq K$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $W = A \wr G$ is residually central. If G is infinite, then A is a Z -group.*

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$, $K = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$. By a theorem of Hic-kin and Phillips [7], it suffices to show that $K \not\leq [K, A]$. Let g_1, \dots, g_m be

distinct elements of G , and set $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^m a_i^{g_i} \in \bar{A}$. Since W is residually central, $\alpha \notin [\alpha, W] \cong [\alpha, \bar{A}] = \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, A]^{g_i}$ as a direct sum. Let $b_i \in [a_i, A]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $b_i^{g_i} \in [a_i, A]^{g_i} \leq [\alpha, W] \triangleleft W$; thus $b_i^{1\alpha} = (b_i^{g_i})^{g_i^{-1}} \in [\alpha, W]$. Hence $\prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, A] = [K, A] \leq [\alpha, W] \triangleleft W$, and so $\prod_{i=1}^m \{[K, A]^{g_i} \mid g \in G\} \leq [\alpha, W]$. If $K \leq [K, A]$, then $a_i \in [K, A]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^m a_i^{g_i} \in \prod_{i=1}^m [K, A]^{g_i} \leq [\alpha, W]$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. *Let A and G be residually central groups. Then $W = A \text{ wr } G$ is residually central if and only if for all $1 \neq \alpha \in \bar{A}$, $\alpha \notin [\alpha, G][\alpha, \bar{A}]^G$.*

Proof. The necessity of the condition follows from the definition of residual centrality.

Let $w \in W$. Since W is a semi-direct product $\bar{A} \wr G$, w can be expressed uniquely in the form αg , where $\alpha \in \bar{A}$ and $g \in G$. Now $[\alpha g, W] \leq [\alpha, W][g, \bar{A}G] \leq \bar{A}[g, G]$. If $g \neq 1$, then $g \notin [g, G]$, since G is residually central. Thus $\alpha g \notin [\alpha g, W]$. If $g = 1$, then $[\alpha, W] \leq [\alpha, G][\alpha, \bar{A}]^G$. Hence if $\alpha \notin [\alpha, G][\alpha, \bar{A}]^G$, then W is residually central.

A group G is ordered if it possesses a total order \leq which is preserved under right and left multiplication. Further information may be found in [8]. Orderable groups must be torsion-free. Examples of orderable groups are free groups [8, p. 17] and torsion-free locally nilpotent groups [8, p. 16].

THEOREM 2. *If G is a residually central orderable group, and A is a Z -group, then $W = A \text{ wr } G$ is residually central.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^m a_i^{g_i} \in \bar{A}$, where $g_i \in G$, $a_i \in A$, and $a_i \neq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Lemma 2 it is enough to assume that $\alpha \in [\alpha, G][\alpha, \bar{A}]^G$ and reach a contradiction. Let $L = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle, A$. Since A is a Z -group, some $a_i \notin L$, by [7]. If $\bar{L} = \prod \{L^{g_i} \mid g \in G\}$, then $\alpha \notin \bar{L}$, but $\alpha \bar{L} \in \zeta_1(\bar{A}/\bar{L})$, where $\zeta_n(H)$ denotes the n th center of a group H . Let $A_1 = A/L$, and $W_1 = A_1 \text{ wr } G$, a homomorphic image of W . Then $\alpha \in [\alpha, W]$ implies that $\alpha \bar{L} \in [\alpha \bar{L}, W_1]$. Because $\alpha \bar{L} \in \zeta_1(\bar{A}_1)$, a characteristic subgroup of \bar{A}_1 , $[\alpha \bar{L}, W_1] \leq \zeta_1(\bar{A}_1)$. Let $A_2 = \zeta_1(\bar{A}_1)$; then $W_2 = A_2 \text{ wr } G$ is not residually central, and so we may assume that the base group \bar{A} is abelian. We may also assume that $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$.

With these assumptions, there is a prime p and subgroup B of index p in A . Since some $a_i \notin B$, $\alpha \notin B^G$, so that we may factor out B and assume that A is cyclic of prime order p . Denoting the field of p elements by Z_p , we note that \bar{A} is a free $Z_p G$ -module of rank 1. Let $\Delta = (1 - g \mid g \in G)$ denote the augmentation ideal of $Z_p G$. If $g \in G$, then $[\alpha, g]$ may be written in (additive) module notation as $-\alpha + \alpha g =$

$-\alpha(1-g)$; thus the assumption that $\alpha \in [\alpha, G]$ means, in module notation, that $\alpha \in \alpha\Delta$. Hence there exists $\delta \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\delta$. Then $\alpha(1-\delta) = 0$, and $\alpha \neq 0, 1-\delta \neq 0$. But since G is orderable, $Z_p G$ can have no zero divisors [10, 26.2 and 26.4], a contradiction.

This shows that if G is a residually central, orderable group, then $A wr G$ is residually central if and only if A is a Z -group. For example, free groups are orderable and are residually nilpotent; thus the wreath product of two free groups is residually central.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that $W = A wr G$ is residually central, and G has an element g of prime order p . Then every element of A and of G of finite order has p -power order.*

Proof. Suppose $a \in A$ has prime order $q \neq p$. As elements of \bar{A} , $a \neq a^q$. However, in a residually central group, elements of relatively prime, finite orders commute [10, Theorem 6.14], and so $a = a^q$, which is impossible.

Suppose $h \in G$ has prime order $q \neq p$. Then g and h commute, and $\langle g, h \rangle$ is cyclic of order pq . Let $1 \neq a \in A$ and $A_1 = \langle a \rangle$. Then $W_1 = A_1 wr \langle g, h \rangle$ is residually central with an abelian base group. Let $\alpha = [a, g, h]$. Modulo $[\alpha, g]$ we have

$$1 = [a, g, h^q] \equiv [a, g, h]^q = \alpha^q.$$

Since h and g commute, and \bar{A}_1 is abelian,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= [a, g, h] = [a, g]^{-1}[a, h]^{-1}[a, gh] = [a, h]^{-1}[a, g]^{-1}[a, hg] \\ &= [a, h, g]. \end{aligned}$$

As before, modulo $[\alpha, G]$,

$$1 = [a, h, g^p] \equiv [a, h, g]^p = \alpha^p.$$

Thus $\alpha^p \in [\alpha, G]$, $\alpha^q \in [\alpha, G]$ for the distinct primes p and q , so that $\alpha \in [\alpha, G]$, implying that W is not residually central, a contradiction.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose A and G are locally nilpotent. Then $W = A wr G$ is residually central if and only if either*

- (1) *G is torsion-free, or*
- (2) *For some prime p , all elements of G and of A of finite order have p -power order.*

Proof. The necessity of (1) or (2) follows from Lemma 3. If (1) holds, then G is orderable [8, p. 16], and Theorem 2 applies.

Suppose (2) holds. Since residual centrality is a local property [3], it suffices to show that every finitely generated subgroup $\langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle$ of W is contained in a residually central subgroup. Each $w_i = \alpha_i g_i$, where $g_i \in G$ and $\alpha_i \in \bar{A}$, and each $\alpha_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij}^{g_{ij}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_1, \dots, w_m \rangle &\leq \langle a_{ij}, g_{ij}, g_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, i \leq j \leq n_i \rangle \\ &= \langle a_{ij} \rangle wr \langle g_{ij}, g_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may assume that both A and G are finitely generated and hence nilpotent.

Let $\alpha = \prod_{k=1}^l a_k^{g_k}$. By Lemma 2, it suffices to assume that $1 \neq \alpha \in [\alpha, G][\alpha, \bar{A}]^G$ and reach a contradiction. Since A is nilpotent, there is an integer r such that each $a_i \in \zeta_r(A)$ and some $a_i \notin \zeta_{r-1}(A)$. Then

$$[\alpha, \bar{A}]^G \leq [\langle a_1, \dots, a_l \rangle, A]^G \leq [\zeta_r(A), A]^G \leq (\zeta_{r-1}(A))^G.$$

$W_1 = (A/\zeta_{r-1}(A)) wr G$ is a homomorphic image of W in the obvious way. If $\bar{\alpha}$ denotes the image of α in W_1 , then $\bar{\alpha} \in [\bar{\alpha}, G][\bar{\alpha}, A/\zeta_{r-1}(A)] = [\bar{\alpha}, G]$ in W_1 , since $\alpha \in [\alpha, G][\alpha, A]^G$ in W . Let $A_1 = \zeta_r(A)/\zeta_{r-1}(A)$. Thus $A_1 wr G$ is a subgroup of W_1 containing $\bar{\alpha}$. $[\bar{\alpha}, G] \leq \bar{A}_1$, since A_1 is a characteristic subgroup of $A/\zeta_{r-1}(A)$. By [2, Corollary 2.11], every element of A_1 of finite order has p -power order. By [5, Theorem 2.1], A_1 and G are residually finite p -groups. Because $\bar{\alpha} \in [\bar{\alpha}, G]$, $A_1 wr G$ is not residually central and therefore not residually nilpotent. Hartley [6], however, has shown that $A_1 wr G$ is residually nilpotent, a contradiction.

COROLLARY. *If A is abelian and G is locally nilpotent, then $W = A wr G$ is residually central if and only if W is locally a residually nilpotent group.*

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition is clear. Theorem 3 and Theorems B1 and B2 of [6] combine to prove the necessity.

REFERENCES

1. C. Ayoub, *On properties possessed by solvable and nilpotent groups*, J. Austr. Math. Soc., **9** (1969), 218–227.
2. G. Baumslag, *Lecture Notes on Nilpotent Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc., (Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, no. 2), Providence, Rhode Island, 1971.
3. J. R. Durbin, *Residually central elements in groups*, J. Algebra, **9** (1968), 408–413.
4. ———, *On normal factor coverings in groups*, J. Algebra, **12** (1969), 191–194.
5. K. W. Gruenberg, *Residual properties of infinite solvable groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **7** (1957), 29–62.

6. B. Hartley, *The residual nilpotence of wreath products*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **20** (1970), 365–392.
7. K. K. Hickin, and R. E. Phillips, *On classes of groups defined by systems of subgroups*, Archiv. der Math., **24** (1973), 346–350.
8. A. I. Kokorin, and V. M. Kopytov, *Fully Ordered Groups*, transl. D. Louvish., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1974.
9. D. S. Passman, *Infinite Groups Rings*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1971.
10. D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups*, Part I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
11. ———, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups*, Part II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
12. O. Slotterbeck, *Finite factor coverings of groups*, J. Algebra, **17** (1971), 67–73.
13. T. E. Stanley, *Generalizations of the classes of nilpotent and hypercentral groups*, Math. Z., **118** (1970), 180–190.
14. ———, *Residual \mathcal{X} -centrality in groups*, Math. Z., **126** (1972), 1–5.

Received February 18, 1976 and in revised form August 9, 1976.

URSINUS COLLEGE
COLLEGEVILLE, PA 19426

