

RATIONAL APPROXIMATION AND THE GROWTH OF ANALYTIC CAPACITY

CLAES FERNSTRÖM

Let X be a compact set in the complex plane \mathbf{C} . Denote by $R(X)$ the closure in the supremum norm of the rational functions with poles off X and by $A(X)$ the set of continuous functions, which are analytic on the interior of X . The analytic capacity of a set S is denoted by $\gamma(S)$. For the definition of γ see below. Let $B_z(\delta) = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C}; |z - \zeta| < \delta\}$ and let ∂X denote the boundary of X . Vitushkin has proved that $R(X) = A(X)$ if

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(B_z(\delta) \setminus X)}{\delta} > 0 \text{ for all } z \in \partial X.$$

Let ψ be a function from \mathbf{R}^+ to \mathbf{R}^+ , where $\mathbf{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbf{R}; x \geq 0\}$. We now ask the following questions. If $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \psi(\delta) = 0$, is it possible to find a compact set X such that $R(X) \neq A(X)$ and such that $\gamma(B_z(\delta) \setminus X) \geq \delta\psi(\delta)$ for all $z \in \partial X$ and for all δ , $0 < \delta < \delta_2$? If the answer is yes, can the answer still be yes, if $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \psi(\delta) = 0$ is replaced by $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \psi(\delta) > 0$? The answers of these questions can be found in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

DEFINITION. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbf{C} . Then $\gamma(K) = \sup |f'(\infty)|$, where the supremum is taken over all functions f such that f is analytic on the unbounded component of $\mathbf{C} \setminus K$, $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $f(\infty) = 0$. Let S be an arbitrary subset of \mathbf{C} . Then $\gamma(S) = \sup \gamma(K)$, where the supremum is taken over all compact subsets of S .

For further information about this capacity see for instance [2], [3], [4] and [5].

THEOREM 1. Let $\delta_n \searrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma(B_z(\delta_n) \setminus X)}{\delta_n} > 0 \text{ for all } z \in \partial X.$$

Then $R(X) = A(X)$.

THEOREM 2. Let ψ be a function from \mathbf{R}^+ to \mathbf{R}^+ . Suppose that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \psi(\delta) = 0$. Then there exists a compact set X such that

(a) $R(X) \neq A(X)$

and

(b) $\gamma(B_z(\delta) \setminus X) \geq \psi(\delta)\delta$ for all $z \in \partial X$ and for all δ , $0 < \delta < \delta_z$.

REMARK. Theorem 1 gives the following. Let ψ be a function from \mathbf{R}^+ to \mathbf{R}^+ . Suppose that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \psi(\delta) > 0$ and suppose that $\gamma(B_z(\delta) \setminus X) \geq \psi(\delta)\delta$ for all $z \in \partial X$ and for all δ , $0 < \delta < \delta_z$. Then $R(X) = A(X)$.

2. The proofs. Theorem 1 can be proved in the same way as the theorem of Vitushkin mentioned in the introduction. See [4], Ch. 2, §4. We omit the proof.

In [1] A. M. Davie constructed a compact set X such that every point of ∂X is a peak point for $R(X)$, but $R(X) \neq A(X)$. Our proof of Theorem 2 is a refinement of Davie's construction. We start by formulating two lemmas. The first lemma is well-known (see for instance [2], p. 199). The second lemma is due to Carleson. For a proof see [1].

LEMMA 1. *Let L be a compact set on a line. Then*

$$\gamma(L) \geq \frac{1}{4} \{\text{the length of } L\}.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let E be a perfect subset of the real line and I the closed interval $[0, 1]$. Then we can find a continuous function on \mathbf{C} , analytic outside $I \times E$, such that $f(\infty) = 0$, $f'(\infty) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$.*

If $x \in \mathbf{R}$, let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x .

Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that $\psi(\delta)$ is a strictly increasing function. Put $a_n = 16\psi(2^{-n+1})$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $a_n \searrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let f be an increasing function such that $f(-2 - \log a_n) = n$. Put

$$b_0 = 1$$

and

$$b_n = \min(e^{-f(n)}, \frac{1}{4}b_{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Let E be the usual Cantor set on the real axis such that the set E_n obtained in n th step consists of 2^n intervals of length b_n . Let $I = [0, 1]$.

Let n be fixed for a moment. There exists an integer k_n such that

$$(1) \quad b_n \geq 2^{-k_n}.$$

Denote the intervals in E_n by $I_{n,i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$. In every $I \times I_{n,i}$ choose open disjoint discs with radius $2^{-k_n-3}e^{-n-1}$ in the following way. Every disc must not intersect $I \times E_{n+1}$ but every disc must touch $I \times E_{n+1}$. Moreover, the discs are arranged such that the centres of the discs lie on two horizontal lines in every $I_{n,i}$. There are 2^{k_n+3} centres on each line and the distance between two successive centres is 2^{-k_n-3} . Call the chosen discs $U_{n,j}$.

Repeat the construction for all n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Put

$$X = \overline{B_0(2)} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n,j} U_{n,j} \right),$$

where $\overline{B_0(2)}$ denotes the closure of $B_0(2)$. X is a compact set and

$$\partial X = \partial B_0(2) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n,j} \partial U_{n,j} \right) \cup (I \times E).$$

It is easy to see that $\sum_{n,j} \text{diam } U_{n,j} < \infty$. Lemma 2 and a standard argument give

$$R(X) \neq A(X).$$

See [2], p. 220.

(i) Let

$$z \in \partial B_0(2) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n,j} \partial U_{n,j} \right).$$

Lemma 1 gives for all $m \geq m_z$

$$\gamma(B_z(2^{-m}) \setminus X) \geq \frac{1}{4} 2^{-m} \geq \frac{1}{4} a_m 2^{-m}.$$

(ii) Let $z \in I \times E$. Let m be a positive integer such that $a_m < e^{-2}$. The definition of f gives $f(-2 - \log a_m) = m$. Fix n such that $n = \lceil -\log a_m \rceil - 1$. If we use that f is an increasing function and the definition of b_n , we obtain

$$2^{-m} = e^{-f(-2-\log a_m)} \geq e^{-f(-1+\lceil -\log a_m \rceil)} = e^{-f(n)} \geq b_n.$$

Thus

$$(2) \quad 2^{-m} \geq b_n.$$

One now easily shows that $B_z(2^{-m})$ contains disjoint discs $U_{n,i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{k_n+2}2^{-m} - 2$, such that their centres are on one straight line. Lemma 1, (1) and (2) give

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(B_z(2^{-m}) \setminus X) &\geq \gamma\left(\bigcup_i U_{n,ji}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\{2^{k_n+2}2^{-m} - 2\}2^{-k_n-2}e^{-n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}e^{-n-1}\{2^{-m} - 2^{-k_n-1}\} \geq \frac{1}{4}e^{-n-1}\{2^{-m} - \frac{1}{2}b_n\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}e^{-n-1}\{2^{-m} - \frac{1}{2}2^{-m}\} = \frac{1}{8}2^{-m}e^{-n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\gamma(B_z(2^{-m}) \setminus X) \geq \frac{1}{8}2^{-m}e^{-n-1}.$$

If we use that $n = \lceil -\log a_m \rceil - 1$, we obtain

$$e^{-n-1} = e^{-\lceil -\log a_m \rceil} \geq e^{\log a_m} = a_m.$$

Thus

$$\gamma(B_z(2^{-m}) \setminus X) \geq \frac{1}{8}a_m 2^{-m}.$$

Now (i) and (ii) give that for all $z \in \partial X$ there is a constant m_z such that

$$\gamma(B_z(2^{-m}) \setminus X) \geq \frac{1}{8}a_m 2^{-m} \text{ for all } m \geq m_z.$$

The definition of a_m gives for all $z \in \partial X$ and for all $m \geq m_z$

$$\gamma(B_z(2^{-m}) \setminus X) \geq 2\psi(2^{-m+1})2^{-m}.$$

If we use that ψ is increasing, we get

$$\gamma(B_z(\delta) \setminus X) \geq \psi(\delta)\delta$$

for all $z \in \partial X$ and for all δ , $0 < \delta < \delta_z$.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. Davie, *An example on rational approximation*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **2** (1970), 83–86.
2. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall series in modern analysis (1969).
3. J. Garnett, *Analytic capacity and measure*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. **297**, Springer-Verlag (1972).
4. A. G. Vitushkin, *The analytic capacity of sets in problems of approximation theory*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **22** (1967), 141–199. (Russian Math. Surveys, **22** (1967), 139–200.)
5. L. Zalcman, *Analytic capacity and rational approximation*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 50, Springer-Verlag (1968).

Received August 31, 1976 and in revised form January 20, 1977.

UPPSALA UNIVERSITY
SYSSLOMANGATAN
S-75223 UPPSALA, SWEDEN