

MAXIMAL SUBMONOIDS OF THE TRANSLATIONAL HULL

MARIO PETRICH

Maximal submonoids of a semigroup have recently attracted attention in semigroup literature. This is particularly true for the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of binary relations on a set. The interesting results of Zareckii in this direction point to the fact that some of these statements pertain to the more general situation of the translational hull of a Rees matrix semigroup. More generally, we consider here maximal submonoids of the translational hull of a regular semigroup.

The first, and the main, theorem in this paper says that if ω is an idempotent bitranslation of a regular semigroup S , then $\omega\Omega(S)\omega \cong \Omega(\omega S\omega)$; here $\omega\Omega(S)\omega$ is a maximal submonoid of $\Omega(S)$. The second theorem pertains to subdirect irreducibility of certain subsemigroups of the translational hull of a Rees matrix semigroup. Finally, the third theorem concerns regular semigroups in which every maximal submonoid is a retract. These results have a number of consequences. The paper ends with several examples of concrete semigroups to which some of the preceding results are applied.

We start with a list of needed definitions and simple results. Let S be a semigroup. A function λ (resp. ρ), written on the left (resp. right) is a *left* (resp. *right*) *translation* of S if $\lambda(xy) = (\lambda x)y$ (resp. $(xy)\rho = x(y\rho)$) for all $x, y \in S$. The set $A(S)$ (resp. $P(S)$) of all left (resp. right) translations of S under composition $(\lambda\lambda')x = \lambda(\lambda'x)$ (resp. $x(\rho\rho') = (x\rho)\rho'$) is a semigroup. The pair $(\lambda, \rho) \in A(S) \times P(S)$ is a *bitranslation* of S if $x(\lambda y) = (x\rho)y$ for all $x, y \in S$; the subsemigroup of $A(S) \times P(S)$ consisting of all bitranslations is the *translational hull* $\Omega(S)$ of S . Its elements will be usually written as $\omega = (\lambda, \rho)$, where ω is considered as a bioperator on S . For any $s \in S$, the function λ_s (resp. ρ_s) defined by $\lambda_s x = sx$ (resp. $x\rho_s = xs$) for all $x \in S$, is the *inner left* (resp. *inner right*) *translation* and $\pi_s = (\lambda_s, \rho_s)$ is the *inner bitranslation* of S induced by s . The set $\Pi(S) = \{\pi_s | s \in S\}$ is an ideal of $\Omega(S)$ called its *inner part*. The mapping $\pi: s \rightarrow \pi_s$ is the *canonical homomorphism* of S into $\Omega(S)$. It is one-to-one if and only if S is *weakly reductive*. In such a case for any $(\lambda, \rho), (\lambda', \rho') \in \Omega(S)$, $s \in S$, we have $(\lambda s)\rho = \lambda(s\rho)$, and thus all parentheses may be omitted.

An element $s \in S$ is *regular* if $s = sts$ for some $t \in S$; if also $t = tst$, then t is an *inverse* of s . A semigroup in which every element is regular is a *regular semigroup*. Note that every regular

element has an inverse, and that a regular semigroup is weakly reductive, and hence the canonical homomorphism above is one-to-one. A semigroup S is *completely regular* if every element of S has an inverse with which it commutes (equivalently, S is a union of groups).

An element e of S is idempotent if $e^2 = e$; the set of all *idempotents* of S will be denoted by E_S . If $e \in E_S$, then the set $eSe = \{ese \mid s \in S\}$ is the set of all elements of S having e as a (two-sided) identity, and is thus called a *maximal submonoid* of S (since a semigroup with an identity element is called a *monoid*). It is easy to see that every maximal submonoid of a regular semigroup is again a regular semigroup. If $\omega = (\lambda, \rho) \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, the above definitions and conventions yield

$$(1) \quad \omega S \omega = \{\lambda s \rho \mid s \in S\} = \{s \in S \mid s = \lambda s = s \rho\}.$$

If I is an ideal of S , then S is an (ideal) *extension* of I ; S is a *dense extension* of I if the equality relation on S is the only congruence on S whose restriction to I is the equality relation; if S is a maximal dense extension of I , then I is a *densely embedded ideal* of S . For a weakly reductive semigroup S , $\Omega(S)$ is a densely embedded ideal of $\Omega(S)$.

The proofs of the above statements as well as the concepts used in the paper but not defined can be found in the book [5]. This reference as well as the survey article [2] contain a comprehensive collection of results concerning the translational hull.

2. **The main theorem.** This result gives a suitable isomorphic copy of maximal submonoids of the translational hull of a regular semigroup.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a regular semigroup. If $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, then the function χ defined by*

$$\chi: (\varphi, \psi) \longrightarrow (\varphi|_{\omega S \omega}, \psi|_{\omega S \omega}) \quad ((\varphi, \psi) \in \omega \Omega(S) \omega),$$

is an isomorphism of $\omega \Omega(S) \omega$ onto $\Omega(\omega S \omega)$.

Proof. Let $\omega = (\lambda, \rho)$ and note that

$$(2) \quad \omega \Omega(S) \omega = \{(\varphi, \psi) \in \Omega(S) \mid \varphi = \lambda \varphi = \varphi \lambda, \lambda = \rho \psi = \psi \rho\}.$$

Next let $(\varphi, \psi) \in \omega \Omega(S) \omega$. For any $x \in \omega S \omega$, using (1) and (2) we have

$$\varphi x = (\lambda \varphi)(x \rho) = \lambda(\varphi x) \rho \in \omega S \omega$$

so that $\varphi|_{\omega S \omega}$ maps $\omega S \omega$ into itself. Similarly $\psi|_{\omega S \omega}$ has the same property. It then follows without difficulty that χ is a homomorphism of $\omega \Omega(S) \omega$ into $\Omega(\omega S \omega)$.

Next let $(\varphi, \psi), (\varphi', \psi') \in \omega \Omega(S) \omega$ and assume that $(\varphi, \psi)\chi = (\varphi', \psi')\chi$. Let $x \in S$; there exists $u \in S$ such that $\lambda x = (\lambda x)u(\lambda x)$. Then $\lambda(xu)\rho \in \omega S \omega$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi x &= (\varphi \lambda)x = \varphi(\lambda x) = \varphi[(\lambda x)u(\lambda x)] = [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x \\ &= [\varphi'(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x = \varphi'[(\lambda x)u(\lambda x)] = \varphi'(\lambda x) = (\varphi' \lambda)x = \varphi' x \end{aligned}$$

so that $\varphi = \varphi'$; analogously $\psi = \psi'$. Consequently χ is one-to-one.

Next let $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Omega(\omega S \omega)$. Define φ' and ψ' on S by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' x &= [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x \quad \text{if } \lambda x = (\lambda x)u(\lambda x), \\ \psi' x &= x[(\lambda(vx)\rho)\psi] \quad \text{if } x\rho = (x\rho)v(x\rho). \end{aligned}$$

We will show first that the definition of φ' is independent of the choice of the element u . Hence assume that

$$\lambda x = (\lambda x)u(\lambda x) = (\lambda x)t(\lambda x).$$

Then

$$\lambda(xu)\rho = (\lambda x)(u\rho) = (\lambda x)t(\lambda x)(u\rho) = [\lambda(xt)\rho][\lambda(xu)\rho]$$

so that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x &= \{\varphi[(\lambda(xt)\rho)(\lambda(xu)\rho)]\}x = [\varphi(\lambda(xt)\rho)][\lambda(xu)\rho]x \\ &= [\varphi(\lambda(xt)\rho)](\lambda x)u(\lambda x) = [\varphi(\lambda(xt)\rho)](\lambda x) \end{aligned}$$

which evidently implies independence of φ' on the choice of u . Similarly the definition of ψ' is independent of the choice of v .

Now let $x, y \in S$, $\lambda x = (\lambda x)u(\lambda x)$, $\lambda(xy) = \lambda(xy)w\lambda(xy)$. Using (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi' x)y &= [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]xy = [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)](\lambda x)y \\ &= [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]\lambda(xy)w\lambda(xy) = [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)][\lambda(xy)w\rho]xy \\ &= \{\varphi[(\lambda(xu)\rho)(\lambda(xy)w\rho)]\}xy \\ &= \{\varphi[(\lambda(xu)\rho)(\lambda x)(yw\rho)]\}xy \\ &= \{\varphi[(\lambda x)u(\lambda x)(yw\rho)]\}xy \\ &= \{\varphi[(\lambda x)(yw\rho)]\}xy = [\varphi(\lambda(xy)w\rho)]xy = \varphi'(xy). \end{aligned}$$

Hence φ' is a left translation of S , a symmetric proof shows that ψ' is a right translation of S .

Let $x, y \in S$, $x\rho = (x\rho)s(x\rho)$, $\lambda y = (\lambda y)z(\lambda y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
x(\varphi'y) &= x[\varphi(\lambda(yz))\rho]y = x[(\lambda\varphi)(\lambda(yz)\rho)]y \\
&= x\{\lambda[\varphi(yz)\rho]\}y = (x\rho)[\varphi(\lambda(yz)\rho)]y \\
&= (x\rho)s(x\rho)[\varphi(\lambda(yz)\rho)]y = x[\lambda(sx)\rho][\varphi(\lambda(yz)\rho)]y \\
&= x[(\lambda(sx)\rho)\psi][\lambda(yz)\rho]y = x[(\lambda(sx)\rho)\psi](\lambda y)z(\lambda y) \\
&= x[(\lambda(sx)\rho)\psi](\lambda y) = x\{[(\lambda(sx)\rho)\psi]\rho\}y \\
&= x[(\lambda(sx)\rho)(\psi\rho)]y = x[(\lambda(sx)\rho)\psi]y = (x\psi')y
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\varphi', \psi') \in \Omega(S)$.

Further, for $x \in S$ and $\lambda x = (\lambda x)u(\lambda x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda\varphi')x &= \lambda(\varphi'x) = \lambda\{[\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x\} = [(\lambda\varphi)(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x \\
&= [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x = \varphi'x, \\
(\varphi'\lambda)x &= \varphi'(\lambda x) = [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x = \varphi'x
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that $\varphi' = \lambda\varphi' = \varphi'\lambda$; analogously $\psi' = \rho\psi' = \psi'\rho$. Consequently $(\varphi', \psi') \in \omega\Omega(S)\omega$.

Finally let $x \in \omega S\omega$, $x = xux$. Recall formula (3); then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi'x &= [\varphi(\lambda(xu)\rho)]x = \{\varphi[(\lambda x)(u\rho)]\}x = \{\varphi[(x\rho)(u\rho)]\}x \\
&= \{\varphi[x(\lambda u\rho)]\}x = \varphi[x(\lambda u\rho)x] = \varphi[(x\rho)(u\rho)x] \\
&= \varphi[xu(\lambda x)] = \varphi(xux) = \varphi x
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\varphi'|_{\omega S\omega} = \varphi$, analogously $\psi'|_{\omega S\omega} = \psi$. Therefore $(\varphi', \psi')\chi = (\varphi, \psi)$ and χ maps $\omega\Omega(S)\omega$ onto $\Omega(\omega S\omega)$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let S be a regular semigroup. If $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, then $\omega\Omega(S)\omega \cap \Pi(S)$ is a densely embedded ideal of $\omega\Omega(S)\omega$.*

Proof. Let $\pi: S \rightarrow \Omega(S)$ be the canonical homomorphism. It is easy to verify that

$$\Pi(\omega S\omega) \cong \omega S\omega \cong \pi(\omega S\omega) = \omega\Omega(S)\omega \cap \Pi(S).$$

On the other hand, $\Pi(\omega S\omega)$ is a densely embedded ideal of $\Omega(\omega S\omega)$, which is in turn isomorphic to $\omega\Omega(S)\omega$ by the theorem.

COROLLARY 2. *If $\Omega(S)$ is a regular semigroup, and $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, then $\Omega(\omega S\omega)$ is a regular semigroup.*

Proof. This follows from the theorem since $\Omega(\omega S\omega) \cong \omega\Omega(S)\omega$ and any maximal submonoid of a regular semigroup is regular.

LEMMA 1. *If S is a regular semigroup and $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, then $\omega S\omega$ is a regular semigroup.*

Proof. Let $x \in \omega S \omega$ and x' be an inverse of x . Then

$$x = xx'x = (x\rho)x'(\lambda x) = x(\lambda x')\rho x$$

which shows that $\omega S \omega$ is regular.

COROLLARY. *If S is an inverse semigroup (resp. a semilattice of groups) and $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, then both $\omega S \omega$ and $\Omega(\omega S \omega)$ are inverse semigroups (resp. semilattices of groups).*

Proof. In view of the lemma, the assertion follows easily from ([5], V.4.6) (resp. V.6.6).

3. Rees matrix semigroups. The theorem of this section relates subdirect irreducibility of a maximal subgroup of a Rees matrix semigroup S with that of a number of subsemigroups of $\Omega(S)$. We start with a general discussion and a string of lemmas.

Throughout this section we fix a (regular) Rees matrix semigroup $S = \mathcal{M}^0(I, G, M; P)$. We outline briefly a construction of $\Omega(S)$, see ([5], V.3). For a partial transformation α on I , whose domain is denoted by $d\alpha$, and a function φ mapping $d\alpha$ into G , the mapping λ defined by

$$\lambda(i, g, \mu) = (\alpha i, (\varphi i)g, \mu) \quad \text{if } i \in d\alpha$$

and $\lambda(i, g, \mu) = 0$ otherwise, is a left translation of S ; analogously

$$(i, g, \mu)\rho = (i, g(\mu\psi), \mu\beta) \quad \text{if } \mu \in d\beta$$

and $(i, g, \mu)\rho = 0$ otherwise, is a right translation of S ; they are linked if and only if

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} i \in d\alpha, p_{\mu(\alpha i)} \neq 0 \iff \mu \in d\beta, p_{(\mu\beta)i} \neq 0 \\ \implies p_{\mu(\alpha i)}(\varphi i) = (\mu\psi)p_{(\mu\beta)i} . \end{cases}$$

In such a case, we write $\omega = (\lambda, \rho) \sim (\alpha, \varphi; \beta, \psi)$. Conversely, every bitranslation of S is of this form for unique parameters $\alpha, \varphi, \beta, \psi$. It is easy to verify that $\omega^2 = \omega$ if and only if

$$\alpha|_{r\alpha} = \iota_{r\alpha}, \varphi|_{r\alpha}: r\alpha \longrightarrow 1, \quad \beta|_{r\beta} = \iota_{r\beta}, \psi|_{r\beta}: r\beta \longrightarrow 1$$

where $r\alpha$ is the range of α , $\iota_{r\alpha}$ is the identity mapping on $r\alpha$, 1 is the identity of G , etc. With this notation, we have

LEMMA 2. *If $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, then $\omega S \omega = \mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, G, r\beta; P^\omega)$ where P^ω is the restriction of P to $r\beta \times r\alpha$.*

Proof. Indeed, for $0 \neq (i, g, \mu) \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i, g, \mu) \in \omega S \omega &\iff (i, g, \mu) = \lambda(i, g, \mu) = (i, g, \mu)\rho \\ &\iff (i, g, \mu) = (\alpha i, (\varphi i)g, \mu) = (i, g(\mu\psi), \mu\beta) \\ &\iff i = \alpha i, \varphi i = 1, \mu\psi = \mu, \mu\beta = \mu \\ &\iff i \in r\alpha, \mu \in r\beta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, $\omega S \omega$ is regular, hence the sandwich matrix P^ω has a nonzero element in each row and each column.

If the sandwich matrix P has no two distinct rows (or columns) which have the corresponding entries simultaneously nonzero, then P (and also S) is said to *have no contractions*, see ([3], § 6). The importance of this notion stems from the fact that these are precisely completely 0-simple semigroups all of whose proper congruences are contained in \mathcal{H} .

LEMMA 3. *Let the notation be as in Lemma 2. If P has no contractions, then neither does P^ω .*

Proof. Let $i, j \in r\alpha$ and assume that

$$(5) \quad p_{\mu i} \neq 0 \iff p_{\mu j} \neq 0 \quad (\mu \in d\beta).$$

Let $\mu \in M$ be such that $p_{\mu i} \neq 0$. Now $i \in r\alpha$ implies that $i \in d\alpha$ and $\alpha i = i$ since $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Hence $i \in d\alpha$ and $p_{\mu(\alpha i)} \neq 0$ which by (4) implies that $\mu \in d\beta$ and $p_{(\mu\beta)i} \neq 0$. Here $\mu\beta \in r\beta$ and $p_{(\mu\beta)i} \neq 0$ so that by (5), we have $p_{(\mu\beta)j} \neq 0$. But then $\mu \in d\beta$ and $p_{(\mu\beta)j} \neq 0$ and hence $j \in d\alpha$ and $p_{\mu(\alpha j)} \neq 0$ by (4). Since $\alpha j = j$, it follows that $p_{\mu j} \neq 0$. By symmetry, we conclude that

$$p_{\mu i} \neq 0 \iff p_{\mu j} \neq 0 \quad (\mu \in M),$$

which by hypothesis that P has no contractions implies that $i = j$. One proves symmetrically that for $\mu, \nu \in r\beta$,

$$p_{\mu i} \neq 0 \iff p_{\nu i} \neq 0 \quad (i \in r\alpha)$$

implies $\mu = \nu$. Therefore P^ω has no contractions.

The next result is of general interest for extensions of regular semigroups.

LEMMA 4. *Let V be an extension of a regular semigroup S . Then every congruence on S contained in \mathcal{H} can be extended to a congruence on V .*

Proof. Let σ be a congruence on S contained in \mathcal{H} and τ be the equivalence relation on V whose classes are the σ -classes and singletons $\{v\}$ with $v \in V \setminus S$. Then τ is a congruence if and only if for any $v \in V$, $a, b \in S$, $a\sigma b$ implies $va\sigma vb$ and $av\sigma bv$. Let $a, b \in S$ be such that $a\sigma b$. The hypothesis implies that $a\mathcal{H}b$, and thus $a = bx$ for some $x \in S$. Let b' be an inverse of b . Then

$$a = bx = (bb'b)x = bb'(bx) = bb'a ,$$

and thus for any $v \in V$, we have

$$va = v(bb'a) = (vbb')a\sigma(vbb')b = vb$$

since $vbb' \in S$. A symmetric argument can be used to show that $av\sigma bv$. Consequently τ is a congruence and is obviously an extension of σ .

LEMMA 5. *Let V be a dense extension of a semigroup S . If S is subdirectly irreducible, then so is V . The converse holds if every congruence on S can be extended to a congruence on V .*

Proof. This is a part of ([5], III.5.19 Exerc. 5).

We can now prove the desired result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $S = \mathcal{M}^0(I, G, M; P)$ and assume that P has no contractions. Let $\omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$ and V be a subsemigroup of $\Omega(S)$ such that*

$$\omega\Omega(S)\omega \cap \Pi(S) \subseteq V \subseteq \omega\Omega(S)\omega .$$

Then G and V are simultaneously subdirectly reducible or irreducible.

Proof. We have mentioned above that the hypothesis that P has no contractions is equivalent to S having all proper congruences contained in \mathcal{H} ([3], Proposition 6.2). Any one of the numerous descriptions of congruences on a Rees matrix semigroup can be used to easily show that the lattice of all congruences on S contained in \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to the lattice of all congruences (and thus normal subgroups) on G . Under our hypothesis this means that G is subdirectly irreducible if and only if S is.

By Lemma 3, the matrix P^ω has no contractions. The above argument for S is now valid for $\omega S \omega$ in view of Lemma 2. Hence G and $\omega S \omega$ are simultaneously subdirectly irreducible or not. By Lemma 1, $\omega S \omega$ is regular. It follows that

$$(6) \quad \omega S \omega \cong \omega \Omega(S) \omega \cap \Pi(S)$$

as in the proof of Corollary 1 to Theorem 1. According to the last reference, we also have that $\omega \Omega(S) \omega \cap \Pi(S)$ is a densely embedded ideal of $\omega \Omega(S) \omega$. Hence by ([5], III.5.6), V given in the statement of the theorem is a dense extension of $\omega \Omega(S) \omega \cap \Pi(S)$. Since the last semigroup has no contractions, its proper congruences are contained in \mathcal{H} , so by Lemma 4, are extendible to V . But then Lemma 5 asserts that $\omega \Omega(S) \omega \cap \Pi(S)$ is subdirectly irreducible if and only if V is.

Now a combination of the statements concerning G and $\omega S \omega$, (6), and $\omega \Omega(S) \omega \cap \Pi(S)$ and V , establishes the theorem.

Note that for $\omega = (\iota_s, \iota_s)$, the identity bitranslation, we may take $V = \Pi(S)$ (and $\Pi(S) \cong S$), or $V = \Omega(S)$. Also for any nonzero idempotent e of S , the bitranslation $\omega = (\lambda_e, \rho_e)$ gives for $\omega S \omega$ the maximal subgroup G_e of S with identity e (and $G_e \cong G$). Also observe that we have used Theorem 1 via its Corollary 1.

4. **Retracts.** A subsemigroup T of a semigroup S is a *retract* (of S) if there exists a homomorphism φ of S onto T which leaves all elements of T fixed; φ is then a *retraction*. We discuss here regular semigroups in which all its maximal submonoids are retracts. A related condition will be expressed by means of bitranslations; for this reason we introduce

DEFINITION. Let S be a semigroup and $(\lambda, \rho) \in E_{\rho(S)}$ such that $(\lambda x)\rho = \lambda(x\rho)$ for all $x \in S$ (so we can write $\lambda x \rho$ without ambiguity). The mapping

$$[\lambda, \rho]: x \longrightarrow \lambda x \rho \quad (x \in S)$$

is said to be *induced* by (λ, ρ) .

LEMMA 6. Consider the following conditions on a semigroup S .

- (a) For any $a, b \in S$, $e \in E_s$, $eabe = eaebe$.
- (b) Every maximal submonoid of S is a retract.
- (c) Every idempotent inner bitranslation on S induces a retraction.

Then (a) and (b) are equivalent; (c) implies (a); and (a) implies (c) if S is weakly reductive.

Proof. Straightforward.

Recall that an idempotent semigroup satisfying the condition

(a) in Lemma 6 is called a *regular band*. We are now ready for the theorem of this section.

THEOREM 3. *Let S be a regular semigroup. If S satisfies condition (a) in Lemma 6, then it also satisfies the following conditions.*

- (d) S is completely regular.
- (e) Every idempotent bitranslation induces a retraction.
- (f) Idempotents of S form a regular band.

Proof. (d). Let a' be an inverse of an element a of S . Then

$$a = (aa')aa'(aa')a = (aa')a(aa')a'(aa')a \in a^2Sa$$

which by ([5], IV.1.6) implies that S is completely regular.

(e) Let $(\lambda, \rho) \in E_{\mathcal{Q}(S)}$, $x, y \in S$. Using part (d), for any element $z \in S$, we let z' be the inverse of z in the maximal subgroup of S containing z . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda(xy)\rho &= [\lambda(xy)\rho][\lambda(xy)\rho]'[\lambda(xy)\rho] \\
 &= \{[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)'](\lambda x)(y\rho)[\lambda(xy)\rho]'[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)']\}(\lambda x)(y\rho) \\
 &= \{[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)'](\lambda x)[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)'](y\rho)[\lambda(xy)\rho]'[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)']\}(\lambda x)(y\rho) \\
 (7) \quad &= \{[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)'](\lambda x\rho)[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)'](y\rho)[\lambda(xy)\rho]'[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)']\}(\lambda x)(y\rho) \\
 &= \{[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)'](\lambda x\rho)(y\rho)[\lambda(xy)\rho]'[(\lambda x)(\lambda x)']\}(\lambda x)(y\rho) \\
 &= (\lambda x)(\lambda y\rho)[\lambda(xy)\rho]'[\lambda(xy)\rho] \\
 &= (\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)[\lambda(xy)\rho]'[\lambda(xy)\rho] ;
 \end{aligned}$$

analogously

$$(8) \quad \lambda(xy)\rho = [\lambda(xy)\rho][\lambda(xy)\rho]'(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho) .$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho) &= (\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)]'(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho) \\
 &= [(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](\lambda x\rho)(y\rho)[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)]'[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho) \\
 &= [(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](\lambda x\rho)[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](y\rho) \\
 (9) \quad &\times [(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)]'[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho) \\
 &= [(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](\lambda x)[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](y\rho) \\
 &\times [(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)]'[(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'](\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho) \\
 &= (\lambda x\rho)(\lambda x\rho)'[\lambda(xy)\rho][(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)]'(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The conjunction of (7) and (9) shows that $\lambda(xy)\rho$ and $(\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)$ are \mathcal{L} -related. Since S is completely regular, they are contained in a completely simple subsemigroup of S . Hence (7) and (8) imply that

they are also contained in the same maximal subgroup G of S . But then $[\lambda(xy)\rho][\lambda(xy)\rho]$ must be the identity of G , which together with (7) shows that $\lambda(xy)\rho = (\lambda x\rho)(\lambda y\rho)$. This is evidently equivalent to the statement that the bitranslation (λ, ρ) induces a retraction.

(f) It suffices to show that idempotents of S form a subsemigroup. Using a Rees matrix representation of a completely simple semigroup T , it is an easy exercise to show that condition (a) in Lemma 6 implies that E_T is a subsemigroup of T . Since S is a semilattice of completely simple semigroups, ([5], IV.3.7) implies that E_S is a subsemigroup of S .

Comparing Lemma 6 with Theorem 3, we see that if in a regular semigroup every idempotent inner bitranslation induces a retraction, then so does every idempotent bitranslation. The semigroup S of all transformations on a set of two elements is regular and trivially satisfies condition (a); in this semigroup \mathcal{H} is not a congruence. However, if S is a regular semigroup satisfying (a) in which \mathcal{H} is a congruence, then it follows easily from ([4], Theorem 3.2) that S is a subdirect (even spined) product of a semilattice of groups and a regular band. Conversely, it is easy to see that a regular semigroup S which is a subdirect product of a semilattice of groups and a regular band must satisfy (a) and \mathcal{H} is a congruence on S . It seems unlikely that conditions (d) and (f) in Theorem 3 imply condition (a).

One might conjecture that if a regular semigroup S satisfies condition (a) and $\Omega(S)$ is regular, then $\Omega(S)$ also satisfies (a). This, however, is far from being the case. If T is the semigroup of all transformations on a set with at least three elements, then the constants in T form an ideal S of T such that: (a) S is a left (if the transformations are written on the left) zero semigroup, thus regular and satisfying (a), (b) $\Omega(S) \cong T$ so that $\Omega(S)$ is a regular semigroup. If $\Omega(S)$ satisfied (a), then by Theorem 3, it would have to be completely regular. But T is not completely regular, so $\Omega(S)$ does not satisfy (a).

5. Examples. The following examples illustrate some of the applications of Theorems 1 and 2. The proofs of many assertions that follow are either omitted or can be found in [5].

(a) The semigroup $\mathcal{T}(X)$ of transformations on a set X (written on the left). For the constants $\mathcal{T}_0(X)$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_0(X) \cong \mathcal{M}(X, 1, \{X\}; P)$$

with $P = (p_{x_a})$, $p_{x_a} = 1$ (right zero semigroup on X), 1 is a one element group,

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}(X, 1, \{X\}, P)) .$$

For any $\alpha \in E_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$, we have

$$\alpha \mathcal{F}(X) \alpha \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}(r\alpha, 1, \{r\alpha\}; P^\alpha)) \cong \mathcal{F}(\alpha X) ,$$

where P^α is essentially the restriction of P .

(b) *The semigroup $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of partial transformations on a set X (written on the left). For the (partial) constants $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_0(X) \cong \mathcal{M}^0(X, 1, \mathfrak{B}(X); P_X)$$

where $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ is the set of all nonempty subsets of X , $P_X = (p_{Aa})$, $p_{Aa} = 1$ if $a \in A$, $p_{Aa} = 0$ if $a \notin A$;

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{F}(X) \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(X, 1, \mathfrak{B}(X); P_X)) .$$

For any $\alpha \in E_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$, we have

$$(11) \quad \alpha \mathcal{F}(X) \alpha \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, 1, r\beta; P^\alpha))$$

where β is a partial transformation on $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} d\beta &= \{B \subseteq X \mid B \cap r\alpha \neq \emptyset\} , \\ B\beta &= \{x \in d\alpha \mid \alpha x \in B\} \quad \text{if } B \in d\beta , \\ r\beta &= \{B \mid B \cap r\alpha \neq \emptyset\} , \end{aligned}$$

and P^α is essentially the restriction of P_X . It can be proved that

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, 1, r\beta; P^\alpha) \cong \mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, 1, \mathfrak{B}(r\alpha); P_{r\alpha})$$

and thus (10)-(12) yield

$$\alpha \mathcal{F}(X) \alpha \cong \mathcal{F}(r\alpha) .$$

It can be shown that none of the Rees matrix semigroups here has contractions. Hence all these semigroups are subdirectly irreducible.

(c) *The semigroup $\mathcal{S}(V)$ of linear transformations on a (left) vector space V (written on the right). We will use the notation and results of ([6], I.2). The semigroup $\mathcal{S}_0(V)$ of linear transformations of rank ≤ 1 has the property*

$$\mathcal{S}_0(V) \cong \mathcal{M}^0(I_{V^*}, \mathcal{M}\Delta^-, I_V; P)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(V) \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(I_{V^*}, \mathcal{M}\Delta^-, I_V; P)) .$$

For any $0 \neq \alpha \in E_{\mathcal{S}(V)}$, we have

$$\alpha \mathcal{S}(V) \alpha \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(I_{\alpha^*V^*}, \mathcal{M}\Delta^-, I_{V\alpha}; P^\alpha)) \cong \mathcal{S}(V\alpha) .$$

It can be shown that the matrix P has no contractions. Consequently \mathcal{M}^Δ (the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of the division ring Δ of the vector space V), $\mathcal{S}_0(V)$ and $\mathcal{S}(V)$ are simultaneously subdirectly reducible or irreducible.

(d) *Brandt semigroups* $S = \mathcal{M}^0(X, G, X; \Delta)$. For $0 \neq \omega \in E_{\Omega(S)}$, we have

$$\omega\Omega(S)\omega \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, G, r\alpha; \Delta)).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the semigroup of partial 1-1 transformations on X , and $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ be the partial 1-1 constants on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_0(X) &\cong \mathcal{M}^0(X, 1, X; \Delta) \\ \mathcal{F}(X) &\cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(X, 1, X; \Delta)),\end{aligned}$$

and if $0 \neq \alpha \in E_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$, then

$$\alpha\mathcal{F}(X)\alpha \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, 1, r\alpha; \Delta)) \cong \mathcal{F}(r\alpha).$$

None of these Rees matrix semigroups has contractions; hence G , $\mathcal{M}^0(X, G, X; \Delta)$, $\Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(X, G, X; \Delta))$ are simultaneously subdirectly reducible or irreducible. In particular both $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are subdirectly irreducible.

(e) *The semigroup* $\mathcal{B}(X)$ *of binary relations on a set* X . For the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of all rectangular binary relations on X , we have

$$\mathcal{B}(X) \cong \mathcal{M}^0(\mathfrak{P}(X), 1, \mathfrak{P}(X); P)$$

with $p_{AB} = 1$ if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $p_{AB} = 0$ otherwise. Further,

$$\mathcal{B}(X) \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(\mathfrak{P}(X), 1, \mathfrak{P}(X); P)).$$

Let $0 \neq \sigma \in E_{\mathcal{B}(X)}$. Then

$$\sigma\mathcal{B}(X)\sigma \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, 1, r\beta; P^\sigma))$$

where α and β are partial transformations on X for which

$$\begin{aligned}d\alpha &= \{A \subseteq X \mid (X \times A) \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \alpha A &= \{x \in X \mid x\sigma y \text{ for some } y \in A\} \quad \text{if } A \in d\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

and $d\beta$ and $B\beta$ are defined symmetrically, P^σ is essentially the restriction of P ; see [1]. We may let $Y = (r\beta \cup \{\emptyset\}) \setminus (X\sigma)$ and

$$(13) \quad T = \mathcal{M}^0(Y, 1, r\beta; Q)$$

with $Q = (q_{AB})$, $q_{AB} = 1$ if $A \not\subseteq B$ and $q_{AB} = 0$ otherwise. Using some results of Zareckiĭ [7], one can show that

$$\mathcal{M}^0(r\alpha, 1, r\beta; P^\sigma) \cong \mathcal{M}^0(Y, 1, r\beta; Q)$$

so that

$$\sigma \mathcal{B}(X) \sigma \cong \Omega(\mathcal{M}^{\circ}(Y, 1, r\beta; Q)) .$$

None of these Rees matrix semigroups has contractions. Hence all these semigroups are subdirectly irreducible. In particular, this implies ([7], Proposition 4.4). Also Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 for $S = \mathcal{B}(X)$ implies ([7], Theorem 3.2). The semigroup T in (13) is particularly interesting since it can be constructed directly by means of a completely distributive lattice, which then yields an abstract characterization of maximal submonoids of $\mathcal{B}(X)$, see [7].

REFERENCES

1. M. Petrich, *The translational hull and semigroups of binary relations*, Glasgow Math. J., **9** (1968), 12-21.
2. ———, *The translational hull in semigroups and rings*, Semigroup Forum, **1** (1970), 283-360.
3. ———, *Regular semigroups satisfying certain conditions on idempotents and ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **179** (1972), 245-269.
4. ———, *Regular semigroups which are subdirect products of a band and a semi-lattice of groups*, Glasgow Math. J., **14** (1973), 27-49.
5. ———, *Introduction to Semigroups*, Merrill, Columbus, 1973.
6. ———, *Rings and Semigroups*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 380, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.
7. K. A. Zareckiĭ, *Maximal submonoids of the semigroup of binary relations*, Semigroup Forum, **9** (1974), 196-208.

Received December 19, 1974.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802

