

POSITIVE OPERATORS AND THE ERGODIC THEOREM

RYOTARO SATO

Let T be a positive linear operator on $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ satisfying $\sup_n \|(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i\|_1 < \infty$, where (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) is a finite measure space. It will be proved that the two following conditions are equivalent: (I) For every f in $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ the Cesàro averages of $T^{*n}f$ converge almost everywhere on X . (II) For every f in $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ the Cesàro averages of $T^n f$ converge in the norm topology of $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. As an application of the result, a simple proof of a recent individual ergodic theorem of the author is given.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a finite measure space and T a positive linear operator on $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. If T is a contraction, then we denote by C and D the conservative and dissipative parts of T , respectively (cf. Foguel [4]). In [5] Helmsberg proved that if T is a contraction then the two following conditions are equivalent: (I) For every $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ the Cesàro averages

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} f$$

converge a.e. on X . (II) $\lim_n T^{*n} 1_D = 0$ a.e. on X and there exists a function $0 \leq u \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ satisfying $Tu = u$ and $\{u > 0\} = C$. It is easily seen that condition (II) is equivalent to each of the following conditions. (III) For every $u \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ the Cesàro averages

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i u$$

converge in the norm topology of $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. (IV) For every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ the Cesàro averages

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int T^{*i} 1_A d\mu$$

converge. (Cf. Lin and Sine [6].)

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that the equivalence of conditions (I), (III), and (IV) holds, even if T is not a contraction but satisfies $\sup_n \|(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i\|_1 < \infty$. That is, we shall prove the

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a finite measure space and T a positive linear operator on $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ satisfying $\sup_n \|(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i\|_1 < \infty$. Then the three following conditions are equivalent:*

(I) For every $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, $(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i}f$ converges a.e. on X .

(III) For every $u \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, $(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i u$ converges in the norm topology of $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$.

(IV) For every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int T^{*i} \mathbf{1}_A d\mu$ converges.

Proof. (I) \Rightarrow (IV): Immediate from Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem.

(IV) \Rightarrow (III): The Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem shows that the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i \mathbf{1}$$

converges weakly in $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. By this and the fact that $\lim_n \|(1/n)T^n \mathbf{1}\|_1 = 0$, due to Derriennic and Lin [2], we see that $(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i \mathbf{1}$ converges in the norm topology of $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ (cf. Theorem VIII.5.1 in [3]). Thus (III) follows easily from a standard approximation argument.

(III) \Rightarrow (I): Define a function $0 \leq t \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ by the relation:

$$t(x) = \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} \mathbf{1}(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

Since $T^*t \geq t$, if we set

$$s(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} t(x) \quad (x \in X),$$

then we have

$$(1) \quad s = T^*s.$$

Let us put

$$Y = \{s > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \{s = 0\}.$$

Then, by [2] and [7], we have:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &u \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \{u \neq 0\} \subset Z \quad \text{imply} \\ &\{Tu \neq 0\} \subset Z \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \left\| (1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i u \right\|_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using condition (III), take a function $0 \leq h \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ so that

$$\lim_n \left\| h - (1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i \mathbf{1} \right\|_1 = 0.$$

Since $Th = h$, for all $0 \leq f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ we have

$$\int (T^*f)h d\mu = \int f(Th) d\mu = \int fh d\mu .$$

By this, T^* may be regarded as a positive linear contraction on $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, h d\mu)$, and therefore for every $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ ($\subset L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, h d\mu)$) the limit

$$(3) \quad \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} f(x) = \lim_n s(x) \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} f(x)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} s(x)}$$

exists a.e. on $\{h > 0\} \cap Y$, by the Chacon-Ornstein theorem (cf. [4]).

To prove the almost everywhere existence of the limit (3), we now define

$$\bar{f}(x) = \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} f(x) \quad (x \in X)$$

and

$$\underline{f}(x) = \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} f(x) \quad (x \in X) .$$

Since $T^*\bar{f} \geq \bar{f} \geq \underline{f} \geq T^*\underline{f}$, if we set

$$f^*(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} \bar{f}(x) \quad (x \in X)$$

and

$$f_*(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} \underline{f}(x) \quad (x \in X) ,$$

then: $0 \leq f^* - f_* \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and $T^*(f^* - f_*) = f^* - f_*$. This and (2) imply $f^* - f_* = 0$ a.e. on Z , and thus $\bar{f} = \underline{f}$ a.e. on $\{h > 0\}$. Hence $f^* = f_*$ a.e. on $\{h > 0\}$, because $T^*1_{\{h=0\}} = 0$ a.e. on $\{h > 0\}$. Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f^* - f_*) d\mu &= \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{*i} 1 \right) (f^* - f_*) d\mu \\ &= \int h(f^* - f_*) d\mu = 0 , \end{aligned}$$

and so $f^* = f_*$ a.e. on X . This completes the proof.

As an easy application of Theorem 1, we shall show the following individual ergodic theorem due to the author [8]. His arguments given in [8] are rather long and complicated.

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a finite measure space and T a bounded (not necessarily positive) linear operator on $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$.*

Let τ denote the linear modulus of T in the sense of Chacon and Krengel [1]. Assume the conditions:

$$(4) \quad \sup_n \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \right) \right\|_1 < \infty ,$$

$$(5) \quad \sup_n \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \right) \right\|_\infty < \infty .$$

Then, for every $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, $(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i f$ converges a.e. on X .

Proof. Let $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. Since $|T^n f| \leq \tau^n |f|$ for each $n \geq 1$, we have $\lim_n \|(1/n)T^n f\|_1 \leq \lim_n \|(1/n)\tau^n |f|\|_1 = 0$, and by (5), the set

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i f : n \geq 1 \right) \right\}$$

is weakly sequentially compact in $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. Hence, a well-known mean ergodic theorem (cf. Theorem VIII.5.1 in [3]) implies that $\lim_n \|g - (1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i f\|_1 = 0$ for some $g \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with $Tg = g$. Condition (5) implies $g \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, and hence $f - g \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. It is easily seen that $f - g$ belongs to the L_1 -norm closure of the set $\{h - Th : h \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\}$, because $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a dense subspace of $L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. So, given an $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose an $h \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ so that

$$\|(f - g) - (h - Th)\|_1 < \varepsilon .$$

Write $k = (f - g) - (h - Th)$. Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i (f - g) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i |k| + \frac{1}{n} (|h| + \tau^n |h|)$$

and

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} (|h| + \tau^n |h|) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } X,$$

because Theorem 1 implies that the Cesàro averages of $\tau^n |h|$ converge a.e. on X . Thus

$$\limsup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i (f - g) \right| \leq \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i |k| ,$$

and by Fatou's lemma,

$$\left\| \lim_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i |k| \right) \right\|_1 \leq \varepsilon \left(\sup_n \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \right) \right\|_1 \right) .$$

Consequently we have

$$\lim_n (1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i(f - g) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } X,$$

and this establishes Theorem 2.

REMARK. It is known (cf. [2]) that Theorem 2 need not hold in general if we replace $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ by $f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. But the author does not know whether, in Theorem 2, $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ can be replaced by $f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with $1 < p < \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. R. V. Chacon and U. Krengel, *Linear modulus of a linear operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (1964), 553-559.
2. Y. Derriennic and M. Lin, *On invariant measures and ergodic theorems for positive operators*, J. Functional Analysis, **13** (1973), 252-267.
3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I: General Theory, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958.
4. S. R. Foguel, *The Ergodic Theory of Markov Processes*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1969.
5. G. Helmberg, *On the converse of Hoph's ergodic theorem*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, **21** (1972), 77-80.
6. M. Lin and R. Sine, *The individual ergodic theorem for noninvariant measures*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, **38** (1977), 329-331.
7. R. Sato, *Ergodic properties of bounded L_1 -operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **39** (1973), 540-546.
8. ———, *An individual ergodic theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **65** (1977), 235-241.

Received July 20, 1977.

JOSAI UNIVERSITY
SAKADO, SAITAMA, 350-02 JAPAN

