

## SOME PROPERTIES OF THE CHEBYSHEV METHOD

MATTHEW LIU AND B. E. RHOADES

Several properties of the Chebyshev method of summability, defined by G. G. Bilodeau, are investigated. Specifically, it is shown that the Chebyshev method is translative and is a Gronwall method. It is shown that the de Vallee Poussin method is stronger than the Chebyshev method, and that the Chebyshev method is not stronger than the  $(C, 1)$  method. The final result shows that the Chebyshev method exhibits the Gibbs phenomenon.

Let  $\Sigma(-1)^i u_i$  be an alternating series with partial sums  $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i u_i$ . Define a sequence of polynomials  $\{P_n(t)\}$  by  $P_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} t^k$ ,  $P_n(1) = 1$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The series  $\Sigma(-1)^i u_i$  will be called summable  $(P_n)$  to the value  $s$  if  $\lim \sigma(P_n) = s$ , where  $\sigma(P_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} s_k$ . Bilodeau [1] considered the following question. What are sufficient conditions on  $P_n$  for  $\sigma(P_n)$  to speed up the rate of convergence of a convergent sequence  $\{s_n\}$ ? For sequences  $\{u_n\}$  which are moment sequences, i.e.,  $u_n$  has the representation  $u_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\alpha(t)$ , where  $\alpha(t) \in BV[0, 1]$ , he obtains the estimate  $|\sigma(P_n) - s|/|r_n| \leq (\mu_n/|r_n|) \int_0^1 t(1+t)^{-1} |d\alpha(t)|$ , where  $s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i u_i$ ,  $r_n = s_n - s$ , and  $\mu_n = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |P_n(-t)|$ . Adopting  $\mu_n$  as a measure of the value of the method  $\sigma(P_n)$ , the most desirable sequence of polynomials will be those for which  $\mu_n$  is a minimum, subject to the constraint  $P_n(1) = 1$  for each  $n$ . The Chebyshev polynomials, defined by  $T_n(x) = \cos nx$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $x = \cos \theta$ , form the best approximation to the zero function over the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . When translated to  $[0, 1]$  they give  $P_n(t) = T_n(1+2t)/T_n(3)$  as the best polynomials to minimize  $\mu_n$ , where

$$(1) \quad T_n(x) = [(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n + (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n]/2,$$

and

$$T_n(3) = (\alpha^n + \alpha^{-n})/2, \quad \alpha = 3 + \sqrt{8} \approx 5.828.$$

The infinite matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$ , associated with these polynomials, has entries

$$(2) \quad a_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1/T_n(3), & k = 0 \\ \frac{2^{2k-1}}{T_n(3)} \left[ 2 \binom{n+k}{n} - \binom{n+k-1}{n-k} \right], & 0 < k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n. \end{cases}$$

Bilodeau calls the associated summability method the Chebyshev or  $\sigma$ -method.

We begin by establishing some properties of the maximal entry in each row of  $\sigma$ .

LEMMA 1. *For each positive integer  $n > 2$ , there exists an integer  $p$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{nk} &< a_{n,k+1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < p \\ a_{nk} &\geq a_{n,k+1} \quad \text{for } p \leq k < n. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $0 < k \leq n$  we may write

$$(3) \quad a_{nk} = \frac{2^{2k-1}n}{kT_n(3)} \binom{n+k-1}{n-k},$$

so that  $a_{nk}/a_{n,k+1} = (k+1)(2k+1)/2(n^2-k^2)$ . Treating  $k$  as a continuous variable and differentiating with respect to  $k$ , it follows that  $a_{nk}/a_{n,k+1}$  is increasing in  $k$ . The proof is completed by noting that  $a_{n0} < a_{n1} < a_{n2}$  and  $a_{n,n-1} > a_{nn}$  for each  $n > 2$ .

LEMMA 2. *For each  $n$ ,  $p = [x_0]$  where  $x_0 = (-3 + (32n^2 - 7)^{1/2})/8$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a_{n1} < a_{n2}$  and  $a_{n,n-1} > a_{nn}$ , there exists a real positive number  $x_0$  such that  $a_{nx_0} = a_{n,x_0+1}$  which implies  $2x_0^2 + 3x_0 + 1 = 2n^2 - 2x_0^2$ . Since  $x_0$  is positive,  $x_0 = (-3 + (32n^2 - 7)^{1/2})/8$ .

LEMMA 3. *For each  $n > 6$ ,  $p = [x_0] > n/2$ .*

It is sufficient to show that  $x_0 - 1 \geq n/2$ ; i.e.,  $8(2n^2 - 11n - 16) \geq 0$ , for  $n > 6$ . With  $g(n) = 2n^2 - 11n - 16$  we have  $g'(n) > 0$  for  $n > 11/4$ , hence  $g$  is increasing for  $n > 11/4$ , and  $g$  is positive for  $n > 6$  and  $n$  an integer.

LEMMA 4. *With  $p$  and  $a_{np}$  as defined in Lemmas 2 and 3,  $\lim_n a_{np} = 0$ .*

From (3), and Stirling's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{np} &= \frac{n2^{2p-1}\Gamma(n+p)}{PT_n(3)\Gamma(n-p+1)\Gamma(2p)} \\ (4) \quad &\sim \frac{n2^{2p-1}(n+p-1)^{n+p-1}e^{-(n+p-1)}(2\pi(n+p-1))^{1/2}}{p\alpha^n(n-p)^{n-p}e^{-(n-p)}(2\pi(n-p))^{1/2}(2p-1)^{2p-1}e^{-(2p-1)}(2\pi(2p-1))^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\left(p - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}}{p} \frac{n}{((n+p-1)(n-p))^{1/2}} \left(\frac{n+p-1}{\alpha(n-p)}\right)^{n-p} \left(\frac{n+p-1}{\sqrt{\alpha}\left(p - \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Both  $((n + p - 1)/\alpha(n - p)^{n-p})$  and  $((n + p - 1)/\sqrt{\alpha}(p - 1/2)^{2p})$  are bounded above. Therefore  $\lim_n a_{np} = 0$ .

Cooke [3, p. 119] shows that a necessary and sufficient condition for a regular matrix to be absolutely translative for all bounded sequences  $\{z_n\}$  is that the matrix  $A$  satisfies  $\lim_n \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}| = 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The  $\sigma$ -method is absolutely translative for all bounded sequences.*

*Proof.* Bilodeau [1, p. 296] has shown that the  $\sigma$ -method is regular. From Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}| &= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (a_{n,k+1} - a_{nk}) + \sum_{k=p}^n (a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}) \\ &= 2a_{np} - a_{n0}. \end{aligned}$$

The regularity of  $A$  implies that  $\lim_n a_{n0} = 0$ , and the result follows from Lemma 4.

For unbounded sequences, we consider the class of sequences  $\{z_n\}$  satisfying  $|z_k| \leq \theta_k$  ( $\theta_k$  real, positive, and increasing), where  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{nk}\theta_{k+1}$ ,  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k+1}\theta_{k+1}$ , and  $\rho_n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty |(a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1})\theta_{k+1}|$  exist for each  $n$ . Cooke [3, p. 119] shows that a necessary and sufficient condition for a regular matrix to be absolutely translative for all (unbounded)  $\{z_n\}$  satisfying  $|z_k| \leq \theta_k$  together with conditions stated above, is that  $\lim_n \rho_n = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The  $\sigma$ -method is absolutely translative for all (unbounded) sequences  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $z_k = o(\sqrt{k})$ . This result is best possible.*

With  $|z_n| = \theta_n$ , and using Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (a_{n,k+1} - a_{nk})\theta_{k+1} + \sum_{k=p}^n (a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1})\theta_{k+1} \\ (5) \quad &\leq \theta_{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (a_{n,k+1} - a_{nk}) + \theta_n \sum_{k=p}^n (a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}) \\ &\leq \theta_n (a_{np} - a_{n0} + a_{np} - 0) = 0(\sqrt{n})(2a_{np} - a_{n0}). \end{aligned}$$

It will be sufficient to show that  $\overline{\lim}_n 2\sqrt{n}a_{np}$  is finite. But this follows immediately from (4), since  $\lim_n (n(p - 1/2))^{1/2}/p = 2^{1/4}$ , and the remaining limits have already been shown to be finite.

To show that the result is best possible we shall replace  $o(\sqrt{k})$

by  $\sqrt{k}$  and verify that  $\rho_n$  does not tend to zero.

From (5),  $\rho_n \geq \sqrt{p} \sum_{k=p}^n (a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}) = \sqrt{p} a_{np}$ , which does not tend to zero.

Direct calculations verify that  $\sigma$  is not a weighted mean, Nörlund, Hausdorff, or generalized Hausdorff method.

Gronwall [4, p. 102] defined a general class of summability methods, each member of which involves a pair of analytic functions  $f$  and  $g$ . Specifically, the  $(f, g)$ -transform of a given series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$  is the sequence  $\{U_n\}$  defined implicitly by the formal power series identity

$$(6) \quad g(w) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n [f(w)]^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n U_n w^n,$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the following properties. Let  $\Delta = \{w \mid |w| < 1\}$ . The function  $z = f(w)$  is analytic in  $\bar{\Delta} - \{1\}$ , continuous and  $1 - 1$  in  $\bar{\Delta}$ , with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ , and  $|f(w)| < 1$  for  $w \in \Delta$ . Moreover,  $w = f^{-1}(z)$  has the representation  $w = 1 - (1 - z)^\lambda [a + a_1(1 - z) + \dots]$ , where  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $a > 0$ , and the quantity in brackets is a power series in  $1 - z$  with a positive radius of convergence. The function  $g$  satisfies  $g(w) \neq 0$  for  $w \in \Delta$  and has the form  $g(w) = (1 - w)^{-\delta} + \gamma(w)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , where  $\gamma(w)$  is analytic in  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Also  $g(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n w^n$ , with  $b_n \neq 0$  for each  $n$ . The series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$  is said to be  $(f, g)$ -summable to  $s$  if  $\lim U_n = s$ .

Examples of  $(f, g)$ -methods are the Cesàro methods of order  $k$ ,  $(C, k)$ , for  $k$  real and greater than  $-1$ ;  $(E, \beta)$  (Euler-Knopp) for  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ; de la Vallée Poussin summability  $(V)$ ; a generalized  $(V)$ -summability  $(Vk)$ , introduced by Gronwall; and a method of summation of Obrechhoff. We will now show that the Chebyshev method is also a Gronwall method.

Writing  $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$ , the  $(f, g)$ -method can be expressed as a sequence to sequence method by rewriting (6) in the form

$$(7) \quad g(w)[1 - f(w)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n [f(w)]^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n U_n w^n.$$

Using (7),  $(f, g)$  can be expressed as a triangular matrix transformation of the form  $U_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} s_k$ , with  $a_{nk} = \gamma_{nk}/b_n$ , where  $\gamma_{nk}$  is defined by

$$(8) \quad [1 - f(w)]g(w)[f(w)]^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \gamma_{nk} w^n.$$

(See, for example, the discussion on page 40 of [2], where the roles of  $\gamma_{nk}$  and  $a_{nk}$  have been interchanged.) From (8) it follows that

$$(9) \quad a_{nn} = [f'(0)]^n / b_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

**THEOREM 3.** *The Chebyshev method is a Gronwall method with  $f(w) = w(\alpha - 1)^2 / (\alpha - w)^2$ ,  $g(w) = (1 - w)^{-1} + \gamma(w)$ , and  $\gamma(w) = w / (\alpha^2 - w)$ , where  $\alpha = 3 + \sqrt{8}$ .*

*Proof.* If (6) is a Gronwall method, then, from (8) with  $k = 0$  and (2),

$$[1 - f(w)]g(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n a_{n0} w^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n w^n / T_n(3).$$

Thus

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} f(w) &= 1 - [g(w)]^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n w^n / T_n(3), \\ f'(w) &= [g'(w)/g^2(w)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n w^n / T_n(3) - [g(w)]^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n w^{n-1} / T_n(3) \end{aligned}$$

and  $f'(0) = [g'(0)/g^2(0)](b_0/T_0(3)) - b_1/g(0)T_1(3) = 2b_1/3b_0$ , since  $T_0(3) = 1$  and  $T_1(3) = 3$ .

From (9) and (3),

$$(11) \quad b_n = (2b_1/3b_0)^n T_n(3) / 2^{2n-1} = (b_1/6b_0)^n (\alpha^n + \alpha^{-n}).$$

In particular,  $b_1 = b_1/b_0$ , which implies  $b_0 = 1$ , since each  $b_n \neq 0$ . One can also deduce that  $b_0 = 1$  from (9), since  $a_{00} = 1$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(w) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n w^n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(b_1 \alpha w / 6)^n + (b_1 w / 6\alpha)^n] \\ &= 1 + \frac{b_1 \alpha w}{6 - b_1 \alpha w} + \frac{b_1 w}{6\alpha - b_1 w} \\ &= \frac{6}{6 - b_1 \alpha w} + \frac{b_1 w}{6\alpha - b_1 w}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $g$  to have the required form choose  $b_1 = 6/\alpha$ .

From (10), and (11), with  $b_1 = 6/\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= 1 - [g(w)]^{-1} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(w/\alpha)^n \right] \\ &= 1 - [g(w)]^{-1} \left[ 1 + \frac{2w}{\alpha - w} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{(\alpha + w)}{\alpha - w} \cdot \frac{(1 - w)(\alpha^2 - w)}{(\alpha^2 - w^2)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 - w)(\alpha^2 - w)}{(\alpha - w)^2} = \frac{w(\alpha - 1)^2}{(\alpha - w)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that  $f$  is a 1-1 selfmapping of  $\Delta$ . If  $f(w_1) = f(w_2)$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{w_1(\alpha - 1)^2}{(\alpha - w_1)^2} = \frac{w_2(\alpha - 2)^2}{(\alpha - w_2)^2},$$

then  $(w_1 - w_2)(\alpha^2 - w_1 w_2) = 0$ . Since  $w_1, w_2 \in \Delta$ ,  $w_1 w_2 \neq \alpha^2$ , so  $w_1 = w_2$ . By the Maximum Modulus Theorem, it is sufficient to show that  $|f(w)| \leq 1$  for  $w = e^{i\theta}$ .  $|f(e^{i\theta})| = (\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha^2 - 2\cos\theta + 1) \leq 1$ .

We now verify that  $w = f^{-1}(z)$  is regular on  $\bar{\Delta} - \Delta$ , except possibly at  $z = 1$ , and that  $0 \in \Delta$ .  $f^{-1}$  is regular except at  $z = 0$ , so now we must show

$$\min_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})| \geq \delta > 0.$$

$|f(e^{i\theta})| = (\alpha - 1)^2/T(\theta)$ , where  $T(\theta) = (\alpha + 1)^2 - 4\alpha \cos^2 \theta/2$ . A direct calculation certifies that the maximum of  $T(\theta)$  occurs at  $\theta = \pi$ , and  $T(\pi) = [(\alpha - 1)/(\alpha + 1)]^2 > 0$ .

It remains to show that at  $z = 1$ ,  $1 - w = (1 - z)^\lambda [a + a_1(1 - z) + \dots]$ ,  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $a > 0$ .  $z = f(w) = (\alpha - 1)^2 w / (\alpha - w)^2$ . From the equation  $z = f(w)$  we obtain  $1 - z = (1 - w)(\alpha^2 - w) / (\alpha - w)^2$ , which when solved for  $1 - w$  yields

$$1 - w = \frac{-(\alpha - 1)(1 - 2z - \alpha) \pm (\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)\sqrt{1 - 4\alpha(1 - z)/(\alpha + 1)^2}}{-2z}.$$

Now divide the numerator and the denominator by  $-2$  and write  $z$  in the denominator as  $1 - (1 - z)$ .

$$1 - w = \left\{ \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2} [2(1 - z) - (\alpha + 1)] \pm \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)}{-2} \left[ 1 - \frac{4\alpha}{2(\alpha + 1)^2} (1 - z) + \frac{1}{8} \frac{16\alpha^2}{(\alpha + 1)^4} (1 - z)^2 + \dots \right] \right\} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - z)^k.$$

Using the negative branch,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - w &= \left\{ (\alpha - 1)(1 - z) - \frac{\alpha(\alpha^2 - 1)}{(\alpha + 1)^2} (1 - z) - \frac{1}{8} \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)}{2} \frac{16\alpha^2}{(\alpha + 1)^4} (1 - z)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots \right\} \cdot \{1 + (1 - z) + (1 - z)^2 + \dots\} \\ &= (1 - z) \left\{ (\alpha - 1) - \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha + 1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k (1 - z)^k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thefore  $1 - w = (1 - z)^\lambda [a + a_1(1 - z) + \dots]$  where  $\lambda = 1$  and  $a = (\alpha - 1)/(\alpha + 1) > 0$ .

Theorem 3, along with Theorems 1 and 2 of [2] show that the Chebyshev method is neither an  $[F, d_n]$  nor a Sonnenschein method.

One of the important properties of  $(f, g)$ -summability is the following [5, p. 267]:

Let  $(f, g)$ ,  $(f_1, g_1)$  be two Gronwall means which map regions  $D, D_1$  and with exponents  $\lambda, \lambda_1$ . If  $\lambda > \lambda_1$ , and  $D$  is interior to  $D_1$ , then  $(f, g)$  is stronger than  $(f_1, g_1)$ ; i.e.,  $(f, g) \supset (f_1, g_1)$ .

The de la Vallee Poussin method  $(V)$  [4, p. 103] is a Gronwall method with  $\delta = 2^{-1}$ ,  $f(w) = (1 - \sqrt{1-w})/(1 + \sqrt{1-w})$ ,  $g(w) = (1-w)^{-1/2}$  and  $\lambda = 2$ .

**THEOREM 4.**  $(V) \supset (\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda_{(V)} = 2$ ,  $\lambda_{(\sigma)} = 1$ , it is enough to show that  $D(V)$  is interior to  $D(\sigma)$ , that is,

$$\left| \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-w}}{1 + \sqrt{1-w}} \right| \leq \left| \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 w}{(\alpha - w)^2} \right|.$$

It suffices to consider  $|w| = 1$ ; thus we need to show

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{|(1 + \sqrt{1-w^2})|} \leq \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2}{|(\alpha - w)^2|}.$$

Writing  $1 - w = \rho e^{i\phi}$ , where  $-\pi < \phi < \pi$ , (12) becomes

$$|\alpha - 1 + \rho e^{i\phi}|^2 \leq (\alpha - 1)^2 |1 + \rho^{1/2} e^{i\phi/2}|^2,$$

i.e.,

$$2(\alpha - 1) \cos \phi + \rho \leq 4\alpha(2\rho^{-1/2} \cos \phi/2 + 1).$$

Since  $\cos \phi/2 > 0$ , it is sufficient to show that  $2(\alpha - 1) \cos \phi + \rho \leq 4\alpha$ , which is readily verified.

**THEOREM 5.**  $\sigma \not\supseteq (C, 1)$ .

We shall make use of the well-known result that if  $A$  and  $B$  are regular summability methods, and  $B$  is a triangle, then  $(A) \supseteq (B)$  if and only if  $AB^{-1}$  is regular.

Consider  $D = AC^{-1}$ , where  $A$  is the Chebyshev method and  $C$  is  $(C, 1)$ .  $C^{-1}$  has entries

$$c_{nk}^{-1} = \begin{cases} -n, & k = n - 1 \\ n + 1, & k = n \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$d_{nk} = \begin{cases} (k+1)a_{nk} - (k+1)a_{n,k+1}, & k < n \\ (n+1)a_{nn}, & k = n \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We shall show that  $D$  has infinite norm.

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |d_{nk}| = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k+1)(a_{n,k+1} - a_{nk}) + \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} (k+1)(a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}) + a_{nn}(n+1).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k+1)(a_{n,k+1} - a_{nk}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k+1)a_{n,k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} ka_{nk} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p ja_{nj} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} ka_{nk} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk} \\ &= pa_{np} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} (k+1)(a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}) &= \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} ka_{nk} + \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} a_{nk} - \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} (k+1)a_{n,k+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} ka_{nk} + \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} a_{nk} - \sum_{j=p+1}^n ja_{nj} \\ &= pa_{np} - na_{nn} + \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} a_{nk}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |d_{nk}| = pa_{np} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk} + pa_{np} - na_{nn} + \sum_{k=p}^{n-1} a_{nk} + a_{nn}(n+1).$$

Since the Chebyshev method has row sums equal to 1,

$$\sum_{k=p}^{n-1} a_{nk} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk} - a_{nn}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^n d_{nk} = 2pa_{np} - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk} + 1.$$

But  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_{nk} \leq 1$ , so it is sufficient to show  $pa_{np} \rightarrow \infty$ . This follows immediately from (2), since  $\lim \sqrt{n} = \infty$  and the remaining limits have already been shown to be finite and nonzero.

The Fourier series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sin kt/k = (\pi - t)/2, \quad 0 < t \leq \pi,$$

converges for all  $t$ , and the function has a jump at  $t = 0$ . Hence

the convergence is nonuniform at  $t = 0$ ; that is, the sequence  $\{s_n(t_n)\}$ , where  $\{t_n\}$  is a positive null sequence and

$$(13) \quad s_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sin kt/k, \quad s_0 = 0,$$

has several limit points, depending on the manner in which  $t_n$  approaches 0.

If  $\lim nt_n = \tau \geq 0$ , then  $\lim s_n(t_n) = \int_0^\tau (\sin t/t)dt$ , and the maximal limit is attained when  $\tau = \pi$ , in which case

$$(14) \quad \lim s_n(t_n) = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin t}{t} dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \times 1.17897\dots$$

On the other hand,  $(\pi - t)/2 \rightarrow \pi/2$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ . Thus the limit points of  $\{s_n(t_n)\}$  cover an interval which extends beyond  $f(0+)$  if  $f(0+) \neq 0$ . This situation is called the Gibbs phenomenon relative to the partial sums.

We shall now show that the corresponding phenomenon occurs for the Chebyshev means.

**THEOREM 6.** *The Chebyshev means of (13) satisfy*

$$(15) \quad \lim \sigma_n(t_n) = \int_0^{\pi/\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin y}{y} dy \text{ as } nt_n \rightarrow \tau \text{ and } nt_n^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\limsup \sigma_n(t_n) \leq \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin t}{t} dt.$$

*The lim sup inequality is an immediate consequence of (14) and the well-known fact that, for any totally regular matrix  $A$ , and any sequence  $x = \{x_n\}$ ,  $\limsup A_n(x) \leq \limsup x_n$ .*

The proof of the theorem is similar to that of [6]. One may write  $s_n(t)$  in the form

$$s_n(t) = -t/2 + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(n + 1/2)x}{2 \sin(x/2)} dx.$$

Since  $\sin(k + 1/2)x = \mathcal{S}(\exp(i(k + 1/2)x))$ ,

$$\sigma_n(t) = -t/2 + \mathcal{S} \left[ \int_0^t \frac{1}{2 \sin(x/2)} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} e^{ikx} e^{ix/2} dx \right].$$

From [1, p. 297],  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} e^{ikx} = T_n(1 + 2e^{ix})/T_n(3)$ , where  $T_n(x)$  is defined by (1).

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho e^{i\beta} &= 1 + 2e^{ix} + [(1 + 2e^{ix})^2 - 1]^{1/2} \\ &= 1 + 2e^{ix} + 2e^{ix/2}e^{ix/4}(2 \cos x/2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a = (2 \cos x/2)^{1/2}$ . Then  $\rho \cos \beta = 1 + 2(\cos x + a \cos (3x/4))$ ,

$$(16) \quad \rho \sin \beta = 2(\sin x + a \sin (3x/4)),$$

and

$$(17) \quad \rho^2 = 5 + 4(\cos x + a \cos (3x/4)) + 8(\cos (x/2) + a \cos (x/4)).$$

Therefore  $1 + 2e^{ix} - [(1 + 2e^{ix})^2 - 1]^{1/2} = \rho^{-1}e^{-i\beta}$ , and assume  $0 < x \leq t \leq \pi/2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(t) + t/2 &= \frac{1}{2T_n(3)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{2 \sin (x/2)} [\rho^n \sin (n\beta + x/2) \\ &\quad - \rho^{-n} \sin (n\beta - x/2)] dx = \frac{1}{4T_n(3)} \left\{ \int_0^t \rho^n \cot (x/2) \sin n\beta dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \rho^n \cos n\beta dx + - \int_0^t \rho^{-n} \cot (x/2) \sin n\beta dx + \int_0^t \rho^{-n} \cos n\beta dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (17),  $\rho$  is monotone decreasing in  $x$  for  $0 < x \leq \pi/2$ . Therefore for  $0 < x \leq \pi/2$ ,  $\rho < \alpha$ . Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{2T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^n \cos n\beta dx \right| < \int_0^t (\rho/\alpha)^n dx < t,$$

so that there exists an  $\eta$  satisfying  $|\eta| < 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^n \cos n\beta dx = \eta t.$$

Now assume that  $t = t_n$ ,  $nt_n \rightarrow \tau$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq \infty$ , and  $nt_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ .

Since, from (17),  $\rho \geq \sqrt{5}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{4T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^{-n} \cos n\beta dx \right| < \pi/4(\alpha\sqrt{5})^n = o(1).$$

$$(18) \quad \left| \frac{1}{4T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^{-n} \cot (x/2) \sin n\beta dx \right| < \frac{1}{2(\alpha\sqrt{5})^n} \int_0^t n\beta \cot (x/2) dx.$$

We wish to show that  $\beta < x$ . For  $0 < x \leq \pi/2$ , from (16),  $\rho \sin \beta < 2(1 + a) \sin x$ . From (17), if  $\cos (3x/4) + 2 \cos (x/4) \geq 2$ , then  $\rho > 2(a + 1)$ . In the interval  $[0, \pi/2]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \cos (3x/4) + 2 \cos (x/4) &\geq \cos (3\pi/8) + \cos (\pi/8) \\ &= \cos (\pi/8)(4 \cos^2 (\pi/8) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\cos (\pi/8) = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}/2$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}{2} \left( \frac{4}{4} (2 + \sqrt{2}) - 1 \right) \geq 2,$$

which is easily verified. Therefore  $0 < \sin < \beta(\rho/2(1 + a)) \sin \beta < \sin x$ , and  $\beta < x$ .

For  $0 < x \leq \pi/2$ ,  $2 \leq x/\sin(x/2) \leq \pi/\sqrt{2}$ . Substituting in (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{4T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^{-n} \cot(x/2) \sin n\beta dx \right| &< \frac{n}{2(\alpha\sqrt{5})^n} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos(x/2) \cdot \frac{x}{\sin(x/2)} dx \\ &< \frac{n\pi^2}{4\sqrt{2}(\alpha\sqrt{5})^n} = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_n(t) + (1 - \eta)t/2 = \frac{1}{4T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^n \cot(x/2) \sin n\beta dx + o(1).$$

Using (17), and the values of  $a$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (\rho/\alpha)^2 &= [17 + 12\sqrt{2} - 5 - 4(\cos x + a \cos(3x/4)) \\ &\quad - 8(\cos(x/2) + a \cos(x/4))]/\alpha^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha^2} [1 - \cos x + 2(1 - \cos(x/2)) + \sqrt{2}(1 - \cos(3x/4)\sqrt{\cos(x/2)}) \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}(1 - \cos(x/4)\sqrt{\cos(x/2)})]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \cos(x/2) < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \cos(x/4)\sqrt{\cos(x/2)} &\leq 1 - \cos(x/4)\cos(x/2) \\ &= 1 - (\cos(3x/4) + \cos(x/4))/2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $1 - \cos(3x/4)\sqrt{\cos(x/2)} \leq 1 - (\cos(5x/4) + \cos(x/4))/2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (\rho/\alpha)^2 &\leq \frac{4}{\alpha^2} [2 \sin^2(x/2) + 4 \sin^2(x/4) + \sqrt{2}(2 \sin^2(5x/8) \\ &\quad + 2 \sin^2(x/8))/2 + \sqrt{2}(2 \sin^2(3x/8) + 2 \sin^2(x/8))] \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\alpha^2} [2(x/2)^2 + 4(x/4)^2 + \sqrt{2}((5x/8)^2 + (x/8)^2) \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}((3x/8)^2 + (x/8)^2)] \\ &= \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \left( 3/4 + \frac{46\sqrt{2}}{64} \right) x^2 < \frac{x^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \rho/\alpha < 1$ ,  $1 - \rho/\alpha \leq 1 - (\rho/\alpha)^2$ , so that  $1 - \rho/\alpha < x^2/4$ .  $0 <$

$1 - (\rho/\alpha)^n = (1 - \rho/\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\rho/\alpha)^k < n(1 - \rho/\alpha) < nx^2/4$ . Therefore  $1 - (\rho/\alpha)^n = \lambda nx^2$  for some  $\lambda$  satisfying  $0 < \lambda < 1/4$ , so that we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2T_n(3)} \int_0^t \rho^n \cot(x/2) \sin n\beta dx &= \frac{\alpha^n}{2T_n(3)} \left[ \int_0^t \cot(x/2) \sin n\beta dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - n \int_0^t \lambda x^2 \cot(x/2) \sin n\beta dx \right] \\ &\times n \left| \int_0^t \lambda x^2 \cot(x/2) \sin n\beta dx \right| < n \int_0^t x^2 \cot(x/2) dx \\ &\leq \frac{n\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t dx < nt^2 = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\lim nt_n^2 = 0$ . Note that  $\lim \alpha^n/2T_n(3) = 1$ .

Using (17),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho\beta}{2} - \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} \right) x &= \frac{\rho}{\alpha} (\beta - \sin \beta) - \frac{2}{\alpha} (x - \sin x) \\ &\quad - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\alpha} \left( \frac{3x}{4} - \sin(3x/4) \sqrt{\cos(x/2)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho\beta/\alpha - rx| &\leq \frac{\rho}{\alpha} |\beta - \sin \beta| + \frac{2}{\alpha} |x - \sin x| \\ &\quad + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\alpha} \left| \frac{3x}{4} - \sin(3x/4) \sqrt{\cos(x/2)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = 2(1 + 3\sqrt{2}/4)/\alpha = (4 + 3\sqrt{2})/2\alpha = (4 + 3\sqrt{2})(3 - 2\sqrt{2})/2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ .

But  $0 \leq 3x/4 - \sin(3x/4) \sqrt{\cos(x/2)} \leq 3x/4 - \sin(3x/4) \cos(x/2)$ ,  $\sin(3x/4) \geq 3x/4 - (3x/4)^3/3!$ , and  $\cos(x/2) \geq 1 - x^2/4$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} |3x/4 - \sin(3x/4) \sqrt{\cos(x/2)}| &\leq 3x/4 - (3x/4 - (3x/4)^3/6)(1 - x^2/4) \\ &= 33x^3/128. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < x - \sin x < x^3$  and  $\beta < x$ ,

$$|\rho\beta/\alpha - x/\sqrt{2}| \leq (\rho\beta^3 + 2x^3 + 33\sqrt{2}x^3/64)/\alpha < 2x^3.$$

Also,  $|\beta - x/\sqrt{2}| \leq |\rho\beta/\alpha - x/\sqrt{2}| + (1 - \rho/\alpha)\beta < 2x^3 + x^3 = x^3$ , so that  $\beta = x/\sqrt{2} + \mu x^3$ , where  $|\mu| < 3$ .

The remainder of the proof of (15) is the same as that of [6], beginning with formula (2.7), and will therefore be omitted.

## REFERENCES

1. G. G. Bilodeau, *On the use of summability methods to increase the rate of convergence of series*, J. Math. and Physics, **40** (1961), 289-299.
2. J. Bustoz and D. Wright, *A Note on Gronwall Transforms*, J. Applicable Analysis, **6** (1976), 39-46.
3. R. G. Cooke, *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*, Macmillan and Co. Ltd., 1950.
4. T. H. Gronwall, *Summation of series and conformal mapping*, Annals of Math., **32** (1932), 101-117.
5. W. T. Scott and H. S. Wall, *The transformation of series and sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **51** (1942), 255-279.
6. O. Szasz, *On the Gibbs' phenomenon for Euler means*, Acta Sci. Math., **12** (1950), 107-111.

Received August 25, 1977 and in revised form July 12, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-STEVENS POINT  
STEVENS POINT, WI 54481  
AND  
INDIANA UNIVERSITY  
BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405

