

STOCHASTIC DIFFUSION ON AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN

ROBERT MARCUS

In this paper we study a stochastic partial equation of the following form.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 1/2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - f(u) + \alpha(x, t)$$

where f is a monotone nonlinear operator and α is a "white noise" process in x and t . In a previous paper we demonstrated the existence of a unique solution in a generalized sense for x in a bounded domain. This solution was decomposed into the sum of a stationary process and a transient process. An explicit representation was found for the stationary distribution of the stationary process. If f is an ordinary function of $u(x)$ then the stationary distribution is associated with a Markov process in x . The purpose of this paper is to remove the restriction of boundedness for the bounded domain.

The motivation for this study was to establish a link between stochastic partial differential equations and constructive quantum field theory. The basic idea is that the stationary distributions of certain stochastic partial differential equations will be Euclidean Markov fields. See Nelson [3]. For an example see Appendix.

1. Definitions. The equation studied is formally

$$(1) \quad u_t(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} u_{xx}(x, t) - \lambda^2 u(x, t) - f(u(x, t)) + \alpha(x, t)$$

$$(x \in (-\infty, +\infty), \lambda > 0)$$

and for convenience $u(x, 0) = 0$, $\alpha(x, t)$ is a "white noise" process i.e., $E(\alpha(x, t)\alpha(y, s)) = \delta(x - y)\delta(t - s)$.

Converting (1) to an integral equation

$$(2) \quad u(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t - s, x, y) f(u(y, s)) dy ds + W(x, t)$$

with

$$G_\lambda(t - s, x, y) = \exp(-\lambda^2 t - (x - y)^2/2(t - s))/\sqrt{2\pi(t - s)}.$$

$W(x, t)$ is a Gaussian process with mean 0 and covariance equal to

$$E(W(x, t)W(y, s)) = \int_0^{\min(t, s)} G_\lambda(t + s - 2r, x, y) dr$$

formally

$$W(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t - s, x, y) \alpha(y, s) dy ds .$$

In addition the following conditions are required on $f: R^1 \rightarrow R^1$:

- (i) $(f(u) - f(v))(u - v) > c_1 |u - v|^p$
- (ii) $|f(u)|^q < c_2(|u|^p + 1)$

with $c_1, c_2 > 0, p > 2$, and $q = p/(p - 1)$.

DEFINITIONS. Let L_k^p be the Banach space with norm $|\cdot|_{0k}$ defined by

$$|u(x)|_{0k}^p = \int_0^\infty \exp(-k|x|) |u(x)|_{0k}^p dx \text{ with } 0 < k/2 < \lambda .$$

Let L_k^q be the dual space with norm $|\cdot|_{0k}^*$.

Let B_k be the Banach space with norm $|\cdot|_k$ satisfying

$$|u|_k^p = \int_0^t |u|_{0k}^p dt. \text{ Let } B_k^* \text{ be the dual of } B_k \text{ with norm } |\cdot|_k^*.$$

LEMMA 1. $W(x, t) \in B_k$ almost surely.

Proof.
$$\begin{aligned} E(W^2(x, t)) &= \int_0^t G_\lambda(2t - 2r, x, x) dr \\ &= \int_0^t \exp(-2\lambda^2 r) / \sqrt{4\pi r} dr \\ &< \int_0^\infty \exp(-2\lambda^2 r) / \sqrt{4\pi r} dr < \infty . \end{aligned}$$

From the Gaussian properties of W it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) |W(x, t)|^p dx\right) &< \infty \\ \text{uniformly in } t \text{ and hence } E(|W|_k^p) &< \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Then Chebyshev's inequality can be used to complete the proof of the lemma.

The method of solving (2) will be to construct a sequence of approximations $u_N(x, t)$ that converge to a solution. Let $G_{\lambda N}(t, x, y)$ satisfy

$$\frac{\partial G_{\lambda N}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_{\lambda N}}{\partial x^2} - \lambda^2 G_{\lambda N} .$$

$G_{\lambda N}(t, x, y) = 0$ for $|x| \geq N$ or $|y| \geq N$ and $G_{\lambda N}(0, x, y) = \delta(x - y)$. Using the reflection method it is easy to show that

$$0 \leq G_\lambda(t, x, y) - G_{\lambda N}(t, x, y) \leq G_\lambda(t, x, 2N - y) + G_\lambda(t, x, -2N - y) .$$

Then $u_N(x, t)$ will be the solutions of

$$(3) \quad u_N(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) f(u_N(y, s)) dy ds + W(x, t).$$

2. Results.

THEOREM 1. Equation (3) has a unique solution u_N for each N almost surely satisfying $|u_N|_{o_k} \leq c$ where c is independent of N .

Proof. This theorem follows from Theorem 1 of Marcus [2] and an estimate similar to Theorem 26.6 of Vainberg [5].

The results of Marcus [2] are not applicable to equation (2) because in general $u \in B_k$ does not imply that

$$\int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, y) f(u(y, s)) dy ds$$

is in B_k . However it will be shown by a series of lemmas that the solutions of (3) converge in B_k as $N \rightarrow \infty$ to a solution of (2).

Let

$$u_N(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) f(u_N(y, s)) dy ds + W(x, t)$$

and

$$u_M(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{-M}^{+M} G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M(y, s)) dy ds + W(x, t)$$

with $M > N$.

$$(4) \quad u_N - u_M = - \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} (G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) (f(u_N) - f(u_M))) dy ds \\ - \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} (G_{\lambda N} f(u_M) - G_{\lambda M} f(u_M)) dy ds - \int_0^t \int_{+N}^{+M} G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M) dy ds \\ - \int_0^t \int_{-M}^{-N} G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M) dy ds.$$

Multiplying by $\exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(u_M))$ and then integrating

$$(5) \quad \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (f(u_N) - f(u_M)) (u_N - u_M) dx dt \\ = \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (f(u_N) - f(u_M))$$

\times [Right hand side of (4)] $dx dt$.

LEMMA 2. Left hand side of (5) $\geq c |u_N - u_M|_k^2$ for some $c > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(u_M))(u_N - u_M) dx dt \\ & \geq c \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) |u_N - u_M|^2 dx dt = c |u_N - u_M|_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. *Expand the expression on the right hand side of (5) the first term is nonpositive, i.e.,*

$$(6) \quad \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(u_M)) \left(\int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y)(f(u_N(y, s)) - f(u_M(y, s))) dy ds \right) dx dt \leq 0.$$

Proof. Let

$$V(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y)(f(u_N(y, s)) - f(u_M(y, s))) dy ds.$$

Then $V_t = (1/2)V_{xx} - \lambda^2 V + f(u_N(x, t)) - f(u_M(x, t))$ with $V(x, t) = 0$ if $|x| \geq N$ and $V(x, 0) = 0$. Rewriting the left hand side of (6) using V and then integrating by parts, the left hand side of (6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) \left(V_t - \frac{1}{2} V_{xx} + \lambda^2 V \right) V(x, t) dx dt \\ & = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-N}^{+N} \exp(-k|x|) V^2(x, T) dx - \lambda^2 \int_0^T \int_{-N}^{+N} \exp(-k|x|) V^2(x, t) dx dt \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{-N}^{+N} \exp(-k|x|) V_x^2 dx dt - \frac{1}{2} k \int_0^T V^2(0, t) dt \\ & \quad + k^2/4 \int_0^T \int_{-N}^{+N} \exp(-k|x|) V^2(x, t) dx dt \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $k/2 < \lambda$ by definition.

To complete the proof that $\lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} |u_N - u_M|_k = 0$ it is necessary to show that the remaining three terms on the right hand side go to 0 as $N, M \rightarrow \infty$. The proofs are very similar and therefore only one will be shown in detail.

LEMMA 4. *Almost surely*

$$(7) \quad \lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(u_M)) \times \left(\int_0^t \int_N^M G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M) dy ds \right) dx dt = 0.$$

Proof. By repeated use of Hölder's inequality, Theorem 1 and (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(u_M)) \left(\int_0^t \int_N^M G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M) dy ds \right) dx dt \\
& \leq \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) |f(u_N) - f(u_M)|^q dx dt \right)^{1/q} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) \left(\int_0^t \int_N^M G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M) dy ds \right)^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (|u_N|^p + |u_M|^p) dx dt \right)^{1/q} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) \left[\int_0^t \int_N^M G_{\lambda M}^p(t-s, x, y) \exp(pk_1|y|) dy \right]^{1/p} \right)^{1/p} \\
& \quad \times \left[\int_N^M |f(u_M)|^q \exp(-qk_1|y|) dy \right]^{1/q} ds \Big]^p dx dt \Big)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

[If k_1 is chosen so that $k > pk_1 > 0$ then almost surely]

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq c_1 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_N^M G_{\lambda M}^p(t-s, x, y) \exp(pk_1|y|) dy \right)^{1/p} ds \right]^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq c_2 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_N^M \exp(-p\lambda^2(t-s) - p(x-y)^2/2(t-s)) / (2\pi(t-s))^{p/2} \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \left. \exp(pk_1 y) dy \right)^{1/p} ds \right]^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq c_3 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left[\int_0^t \exp(-p\lambda^2(t-s)) \left[\int_{N-x}^{\infty} \exp(-pz^2/2(t-s)) / (2\pi(t-s))^{p/2} \right. \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \left. \exp(pk_1(z+x)) dz \right]^{1/p} ds \right]^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq c_4 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1 x) \left[\int_0^t \exp(-p\lambda^2(t-s)) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \left[\sup_{z > N-x} \exp(-pz^2/4(t-s)) / (2\pi(t-s))^{p/4} \right]^{1/p} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left. \left. \left(\int_{N-x}^{\infty} \exp(-pz^2/4(t-s)) / (2\pi(t-s))^{p/4} \exp(pk_1 z) dz \right)^{1/p} ds \right]^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq c_5 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1 x) \left[\int_0^t \exp(-p\lambda^2(t-s)) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left. \left[\sup_{z > N-x} \exp(-z^2/4(t-s)) / (2\pi(t-s))^{1/4} \right] \right. \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left. \left. \left[\exp((t-s)pk_1^2) / (2\pi(t-s))^{(p-2)/4} \right]^{1/p} ds \right]^p dx dt \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_6 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1x) \left[\int_0^t \exp(-p\lambda^2(t-s) + (t-s)k_1^2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. / (2\pi(t-s))^{(p-1)/4p} \cdot \sup_{z>N-x} \exp(-z^2/4(t-s)) ds \right]^p dxdt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq c_7 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1x) \left[\int_0^t \exp(-p\lambda^2(t-s) + (t-s)k_1^2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. / (2\pi(t-s))^{(p-1)/4p} ds \right]^p \cdot \left[\sup_{z>N-x} (\exp(-pz^2/4t)) \right] dxdt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq c_8 \left(\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1x) \sup_{z>N-x} (\exp(-pz^2/4t)) dxdt \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

where c_8 depends on T and W .

Since $\sup_{z>N-x} (\exp(-pz^2/4t)) \leq 1$ and $k > pk_1$ the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem can be used to show

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1x) \sup_{z>N-x} (\exp(-pz^2/4t)) dxdt \\
&\quad = \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x| + pk_1x) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z>N-x} (\exp(-pz^2/4t)) dxdt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. *Almost surely*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (f(u_N) - f(u_M)) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^t \int_{-M}^{-N} G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) f(u_M) dy ds \right) dxdt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is almost identical to Lemma 4.

LEMMA 6. *Almost surely*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (f(u_N) - f(u_M)) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} (G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) - G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y)) f(u_M) dy ds \right) dxdt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4. However the estimate $G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) - G_{\lambda M}(t-s, x, y) \leq G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, 2N-y) + G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, -2N-y) + G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, 2M-y) + G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, -2M-y)$ from the reflection method is used to complete the proof.

THEOREM 2. $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N$ exists in B_k almost surely. Also if $u \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N$ then $|u(\cdot, t)|_{0k} < c$ almost surely for almost all t .

Proof. From (5) using Lemmas 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 $|u_N - u_M|_k^2$ is less than or equal to the sum of expressions whose limit as $N, M \rightarrow \infty$

is 0. Hence u_N is a Cauchy sequence in B_k . Since $|u_N(\cdot, t)|_{o_k} < c$ almost surely by Theorem 1 the same bound applies to u for almost all t .

LEMMA 7.
$$u(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) f(u) dy ds + W(x, t).$$

Proof. Since $u_N = - \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) f(u_N) dy ds + W(x, t)$ it is only necessary to show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) f(u_N) dy ds - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) f(u) dy ds \right|_p = 0.$$

(8)
$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) f(u_N) dy ds - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) f(u) dy ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) (f(u_N) - f(u)) dy ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} (G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) - G_\lambda(t-s, x, y)) f(u) dy ds \\ &- \int_0^t \int_N^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) f(u) dy ds - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{-N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y) f(u) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$ the limit of each term on the right hand side of (8) can be shown to be 0. The limit for the first term follows from Theorem 2. The second term requires an estimate identical to Lemma 6. Finally the last two terms can be shown to have limit 0 by the methods of Lemmas 4 and 5.

LEMMA 8. u is the unique solution of (2) in B_k .

Proof. Let v be another solution of (2). Then

$$v = - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) f(v) dy ds + W(x, t).$$

Hence

$$u - v = - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) (f(u) - f(v)) dy ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (f(u) - f(v)) (u - v) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) (f(u) - f(v)) \\ &\quad \times \left(- \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) (f(u) - f(v)) dy ds \right) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using estimates similar to those in the proof of Lemmas 2 and 3 it follows that $|u - v|_k^p \leq 0$ or $u = v$.

In order to investigate the stationary distribution of u as $t \rightarrow \infty$ a sequence of approximations \hat{u}_N must be constructed. Let $W_N(x, t)$ be the Gaussian processes with mean 0 and covariance

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_N(x, t)W_M(y, s)) \\ = \int_0^{\min(t, s)} \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-r, x, z)G_{\lambda M}(s-r, y, z)dzdr (M \geq N). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_N(x, t)W(y, s)) \\ = \int_0^{\min(t, s)} \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-r, x, z)G_{\lambda}(s-r, y, z)dzdr. \end{aligned}$$

Formally

$$W_N(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y)\alpha(y, s)dyds.$$

Note $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |W_N(x, t) - W(x, t)|_k = 0$ almost surely follows from the convergence of covariance for Gaussian processes.

LEMMA 9. *The equation*

$$\hat{u}_N = - \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda N}(t-s, x, y)f(\hat{u}_N)dyds + W_N(x, t)$$

has a unique solution with $|\hat{u}_N|_{ok} < c$.

Proof. The proof is identical to Theorem 1.

LEMMA 10. $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |u_N - \hat{u}_N|_p = 0$ almost surely. Hence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{u}_N = u$ almost surely.

Proof.

$$u_N - \hat{u}_N = \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, y)(f(u_N) - f(\hat{u}_N))dyds + W(x, t) - W_N(x, t).$$

Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(\hat{u}_N))(u_N - \hat{u}_N)dxdt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(\hat{u}_N)) \\ & \quad \times \int_0^t \int_{-N}^{+N} G_{\lambda}(t-s, x, y)(f(u_N) - f(\hat{u}_N))dyds \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(\hat{u}_N))(W(x, t) - W_N(x, t))dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Once again using the methods of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 it follows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} c |u_N - \hat{u}_N|^p \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)(f(u_N) - f(\hat{u}_N))(W(x, t) - W_N(x, t)) dx dt = 0$$

almost surely which implies $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{u}_N = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N = u$ completing the proof.

Using the methods of Lemma 2 through Lemma 9 of Marcus [2] it can be shown that $\hat{u}_N(x, t) = R_N(x, t) + V_N(x, t)$ where R_N is a stationary process on B_k and V_N satisfies

$$E \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V_N^2(x, t) \exp(-k|x|) dx \right) \leq c_1 \exp(-c_2 t)$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are independent of N .

LEMMA 11. $R \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_N$ exists in B_k and is a stationary process on L_k^p .

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Lemma 8 of Marcus [2]. Define $V \equiv u - R$.

LEMMA 12.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) V^2(x, t) dx \right) = 0 .$$

Proof. The proof is identical to Lemma 9 of Marcus [2].

THEOREM 3. The unique solution of

$$u(x, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda(t-s, x, y) f(u(y, s)) ds + W(x, t)$$

can be represented as $u(x, t) = R(x, t) + V(x, t)$ where $R(x, t)$ is a stationary process on L_k^p and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|) V^2(x, t) dx \right) = 0 .$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemmas 10, 11, and 12.

The next problem is to obtain information about the stationary distribution of R using R_N . Let $F(u) \equiv \int_0^u f(v) dv$. Note $0 \leq F(u) < c(|u|^p + |u|)$ follows easily from properties (i) and (ii) of f .

LEMMA 13. *The stationary distributions of R_N have a Radon-Nikodym derivative proportional to $\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_{0N}) dx\right)$ with respect to the Gaussian measure on $u_{0N} \in L_k^p$ with mean 0 and covariance $\int_0^\infty G_{\lambda N}(2s, x, y) ds$.*

Proof. This result is proved in Lemma 10 of Marcus [2].

LEMMA 14. *Let $u_0 \in L_k^p$ be a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and covariance*

$$E(u_0(x)u_0(y)) = \int_0^\infty G_\lambda(2t, x, y) dt = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-\lambda|x-y|).$$

Let g be a bounded continuous function on L_k^p . Then

$$E(g(R)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(g(u)) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(g(u_0) \exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_0) dx\right)\right) \\ \left/ E\left(\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_0) dx\right)\right)\right.$$

(Note that the covariance of $u_0(x)$ is equal to $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(W(x, t)W(y, t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E(W_N(x, t)W_N(y, t)) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E(u_{0N}(x)u_{0N}(y)).$

Proof. Since $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_N = R$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u - R| = 0$ in mean square, it follows from the bounded convergence theorem that

$$E(g(R)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(g(u)) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(g(u_{0N}) \exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_{0N}) dx\right)\right) \\ \left/ E\left(\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_{0N}) dx\right)\right)\right. = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E(g(R_N)).$$

Since as random processes on $[-N, +N]$ and also in L_k^p , u_{0N} converge weakly to u_0 then by bounded convergence using the growth condition on F it is possible to show that (see [1])

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(g(u_{0N}) \exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_{0N}) dx\right)\right) \left/ E\left(\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_{0N}) dx\right)\right)\right. \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(g(u_0) \exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_0) dx\right)\right) \left/ E\left(\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_0) dx\right)\right)\right.$$

which completes the proof.

In conclusion it is interesting to note that the stationary distribution of R is never absolutely continuous with respect to the stationary distribution of $W(x, t)$ since $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} F(u_0) dx\right)\right) = 0$.

APPENDIX. Let $f(u) = u^3$. Then conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied with $p = 4$. The results of this paper can then be applied to the equation:

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t) - \lambda^2 u(x, t) - u^3(x, t) + \alpha(x, t) \\ (-\infty < x < \infty \text{ and } u(x, 0) = 0).$$

$\alpha(x, t)$ is a "white noise" process i.e., a generalized Gaussian random process satisfying formally $E(\alpha(x, t)) = 0$ and $E(\alpha(x, t)\alpha(y, s)) = \delta(x - y)\delta(t - s)$.

From Theorem 2, Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, equation (9) has a unique generalized solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$\int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)u^4(x, t)dxdt < \infty$$

almost surely for some $k > 0$. From Theorem 3 and Lemmas 10, 11, 12 $u(x, t) = R(x, t) + V(x, t)$ where $R(x, t)$ is a stationary process in t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-k|x|)V^2(x, t)dx\right) = 0$. From Lemmas 13 and 14 if g is a bounded continuous function then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(g(u)) = E(g(R))$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(g(u_0) \exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} u_0^4(x) dx\right)\right) / E\left(\exp\left(-\int_{-N}^{+N} u_0^4(x) dx\right)\right)$$

where u_0 is a Gaussian process on the real line with expectation 0 and covariance $E(u_0(x)u_0(y)) = \exp(-\lambda|x - y|)$. The stationary distribution of R corresponds to the measure associated with $(\phi^4)_1$ in constructive quantum field theory. See Rosen and Simon [4].

REFERENCES

1. Guerra, Rosen, and Simon, *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean quantum field theory as classical statistical mechanics*, Annals of Math., **101** (1975), 111-259.
2. Marcus, *Parabolic Ito equations with monotone non-linearities*, Functional Analysis, **29** (1978), 275-286.
3. Nelson, *Construction of quantum fields from Markov fields*, Functional Analysis, **12** (1973), 97-112.
4. Rosen and Simon, *Fluctuations in $P(\phi)$, processes*, Annals of Probability, **4** (1976), 155-174.
5. Vainberg, *Variational Method and Method of Monotone Operators in the Theory of Nonlinear Equations*, Wiley, 1973.

Received May 9, 1978.

COLLEGE OF STATEN ISLAND
715 OCEAN TERRACE
STATEN ISLAND, NY 10301

