

A CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMENSION OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES BY TOTALLY BOUNDED PSEUDOMETRICS

JEROEN BRUIJNING

For a compact metrizable space X , for a metric d on X , and for $\varepsilon > 0$, the number $N(\varepsilon, X, d)$ is defined as the minimum number of sets of d -diameter not exceeding ε required to cover X . A classical theorem characterizes the topological dimension of X in terms of the numbers $N(\varepsilon, X, d)$. In this paper, two extensions of this result are given: (i) a direct one, to separable metrizable spaces, involving totally bounded metrics; (ii) a more complicated one, involving the set of continuous totally bounded pseudometrics on the space as well as a special order on this set.

The dimension function involved is the so-called Katětov dimension, i.e., covering dimension with respect to covers by cozero sets. Let d be a metric for the compact metrizable space X . Define

$$k(X, d) = \sup \left\{ \inf \left\{ -\frac{\log N(\varepsilon, X, d)}{\log \varepsilon} \mid \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \right\} \mid \varepsilon_0 > 0 \right\}.$$

Then we have the classical

THEOREM A (*L. Pontrjagin and L. Schnirelmann* [4]).

$$\dim X = \inf \{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a metric for } X\}.$$

REMARK. The number $\log N(\varepsilon, X, d)$ is often referred to as the $\varepsilon/2$ -entropy of X (with respect to d).

The extension of Theorem A to separable metrizable spaces is given by Theorem 2, while the general case is covered by Theorem 1. The referee has pointed out that Lemma 5 below, needed in the proof of Theorem 1, can be derived from two theorems by Katětov ([3], Theorems 1.9 and 1.16). The author wishes to thank Professor J. Nagata for drawing his attention to Theorem A and to the problem of finding its generalization.

2. Definitions and notations. All spaces considered will be nonempty. A zeroset (cozeroset) in a space X is a set of the form $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ ($f^{-1}((0, 1])$), where $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous. The symbols U , U_i , V , V_i , etc. will denote cozerosets throughout; F , F_i , F_j^k etc. will denote zerosets. If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a collection of subsets of X , the order of \mathcal{A} ($\text{ord } \mathcal{A}$) is defined as $\sup\{|\mathcal{A}'| \mid \mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and}$

$\cap \mathcal{A}' \neq \emptyset$. $\dim X$ will be the Katětov dimension of X , i.e.,

$\dim X \leq n$ iff every finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ has a finite refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_l\}$ with $\text{ord } \mathcal{V} \leq n + 1$;

$\dim X = n$ iff $\dim X \leq n$ but not $\dim X \leq n - 1$;

$\dim X = \infty$ iff not $\dim X \leq n$ for any n .

Note that in the above definition, U_i and V_j are cozerosets by notation. For normal spaces, Katětov dimension coincides with ordinary covering dimension [1, p. 268].

A continuous pseudometric on a space X is a continuous function $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is symmetric, satisfies the triangle inequality and has the property that $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. A pseudometric d is totally bounded iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite ε -net in X with regard to d . \mathcal{R} will be the set of all totally bounded, continuous pseudometrics on X . For $d \in \mathcal{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, $U_\varepsilon^d(x)$ is defined as the set $\{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. This is a cozeroset. On \mathcal{R} we introduce the following relation: $d_1 > d_2$ iff for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $U_\delta^{d_1}(x) \subset U_\varepsilon^{d_2}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. For $d \in \mathcal{R}$ and $A \subset X$, the diameter of A with regard to d is the number $d\text{-diam } A = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$. We define $|d| = d\text{-diam } X$. $|d|$ is always finite. Finally, if \mathcal{U} is a cover of X and $d \in \mathcal{R}$, we say that \mathcal{U} is d -uniform iff there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the cover $\{U_\varepsilon^d(x) \mid x \in X\}$ refines \mathcal{U} .

3. An extension of Theorem A. For $d \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $N(\varepsilon, X, d)$ be defined as the minimum number of sets of d -diameter not exceeding ε required to cover X . Put

$$k(X, d) = \sup \left\{ \inf \left\{ -\frac{\log N(\varepsilon, X, d)}{\log \varepsilon} \mid \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \right\} \mid \varepsilon_0 > 0 \right\},$$

just as in the introduction.

Then we have

THEOREM 1. *If $k(X, d)$ is defined as above, then*

$$\dim X = \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R}\} \mid d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Before we give the proof, we will state and prove a few lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\delta > 0$, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ be a cover of X . Then there exists $d \in \mathcal{R}$ such that \mathcal{U} is d -uniform and $|d| \leq \delta$.*

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we include an elementary proof. Let $f_i: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous, with $f_i^{-1}((0, 1]) =$

$U_i(1 \leq i \leq k)$.

Define $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ by the formula

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{f_1(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x)}, \dots, \frac{f_k(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x)} \right).$$

Define $d_1: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $d_1(x, y) = \|f(x) - f(y)\|$. It is not difficult to show that $d_1 \in \mathcal{R}$. Now

$$f(X) \subset \mathcal{A} = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_i \geq 0(1 \leq i \leq k)\}.$$

Denoting the set $\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{A} \mid \lambda_j > 0\}$ by V_j , we have $U_j = f^{-1}(V_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$). $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ is an open cover of the compact set \mathcal{A} , so there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the cover $\{U_\varepsilon(p) \cap \mathcal{A} \mid p \in \mathcal{A}\}$ refines $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$. Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$, such that $U_\varepsilon(f(x)) \subset V_j$. It follows that $U_\varepsilon^{d_1}(x) \subset f^{-1}(V_j) = U_j$. Thus \mathcal{U} is d_1 -uniform. Finally putting $d = \delta/|d_1| \cdot d_1$ we get the desired element of \mathcal{R} .

LEMMA 2. (a) Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $d_1 + d_2 \in \mathcal{R}$.

(b) Let $d_i \in \mathcal{R}(i \in \mathbf{N})$ and let $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |d_i| < \infty$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. (a) It is easy to see that $d_1 + d_2$ is a continuous pseudometric. To prove that it is totally bounded, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be an $\varepsilon/3$ -net for (X, d_1) . Let, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\{y_1^i, \dots, y_{n_i}^i\}$ be an $\varepsilon/3$ -net for $U_{\varepsilon/3}^{d_1}(x_i)$, with regard to d_2 (the restriction of d_2 to any subset of X is again totally bounded, as can be proved in a standard manner). Put $Y = \{y_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$. It is not difficult to prove that Y is an ε -net for X with respect to $d_1 + d_2$. This proves (a).

(b) $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i$ is, as a uniform limit of continuous functions, itself continuous. It is easily seen to be a pseudometric. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and $N \in \mathbf{N}$ so, that $\sum_{i>N} |d_i| < \varepsilon/2$. Since by (a), $\sum_{i=1}^N d_i \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists a finite $\varepsilon/2$ -net for X with respect to $\sum_{i=1}^N d_i$. The same set is easily proved to be an ε -net for $(X, \sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i)$, which proves (b).

LEMMA 3. Let Y be a dense subset of X , and let $d \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $k(X, d) = k(Y, d \mid Y \times Y)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $N(\varepsilon, X, d) = N(\varepsilon, Y, d \mid Y \times Y)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. From this the result follows by the very definition of $k(X, d)$ and $k(Y, d \mid Y \times Y)$.

Now we are ready to go on with the proof of Theorem 1. For shortness, denote $\sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \succ d_0, d \in \mathcal{R}\} \mid d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}$ by $k(X)$. First we prove: $k(X) \geq \dim(X)$. This will follow from the following

LEMMA 4. *Let $n \geq 0$ and $\dim X \geq n$. Then there exists $d_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ such that, for all $d \in \mathcal{R}$ with $d > d_0$, $k(X, d) \geq n$. (This formulation also takes care of the case $\dim X = \infty$.)*

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ be a cover such that every refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_l\}$ of \mathcal{U} has order $\geq n + 1$. By Lemma 1, there is a $d_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ such that \mathcal{U} is d_0 -uniform. Let $d > d_0$, $d \in \mathcal{R}$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the cover $\{U_\delta^d(x) \mid x \in X\}$ refines \mathcal{U} .

Consider the equivalence relation \sim on X defined by $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$. Let X' be the set of equivalence classes, and $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$ the natural projection. Define $d': X' \times X' \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $d'(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = d(x, y)$. This definition turns (X', d') into a totally bounded metric space. Since d is continuous, ϕ is continuous if we equip X' with the metric topology. Furthermore, if $A \subset X$, then $d\text{-diam } A = d'\text{-diam } \phi(A)$; and if $B \subset X'$, then $d'\text{-diam } B = d\text{-diam } \phi^{-1}(B)$. It follows that $N(\varepsilon, X, d) = N(\varepsilon, X', d')$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, thus $k(X, d) = k(X', d')$. Let (X'', d'') be the metric completion of (X', d') . Since (X', d') is totally bounded, (X'', d'') is compact. From Lemma 3 it follows that $k(X', d') = k(X'', d'')$. From Theorem A we deduce $k(X'', d'') \geq \dim X''$. Combining the above results, we infer $k(X, d) \geq \dim X''$.

What is left to prove, is that $\dim X'' \geq n$. So suppose $\dim X'' \leq n - 1$. Then there is an open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, \dots, W_s\}$ (consisting of cozerosets) such that $\text{ord } \mathcal{W} \leq n$ and $d''\text{-diam } W_i < \delta$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $\{\phi^{-1}(W_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U} , consisting of cozerosets, with order $\leq n$. This is a contradiction. Thus $k(X, d) \geq \dim X'' \geq n$, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Next we will prove: $k(X) \leq \dim X$. If $\dim X = \infty$, we have nothing to prove. So suppose $\dim X = n < \infty$.

Then the result will follow from

LEMMA 5. *Let $d_0 \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then there exists $d \in \mathcal{R}$, $d > d_0$, such that $k(X, d) \leq n + \varepsilon_0$.*

Proof. First we prove the following

Claim. There exist $d^* \in \mathcal{R}$, $d^* > d_0$, and $\mathcal{F}_k = \{F_1^k, \dots, F_{m_k}^k\}$ ($k \geq 0$) such that

(i) \mathcal{F}_k is a cover and $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_k \leq n + 1$ ($k \geq 0$)

(ii) $d^*\text{-diam } F_i^k \leq 1/k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq m_k$)

(iii) For every $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}_k$ with $\bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$, the cover $\{X \setminus F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$ is d^* -uniform ($k \in \mathbb{N}$).

Proof of Claim. We will construct inductively sequences $(d_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of elements of \mathcal{R} and $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of cozero covers of X in the following way: d_0 is given, put $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{X\}$; let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose d_0, \dots, d_{k-1} and $\mathcal{F}_0, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ have been defined in such a way that

- (a) $\mathcal{F}_l = \{F_1^l, \dots, F_{m_l}^l\}$ is a cover and $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_l \leq n + 1$ ($0 \leq l < k$)
- (b) $(d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } F_i^l < 1/l$ ($0 < l < k, 0 \leq i \leq m_l$)
- (c) For every $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$, the cover $\{X \setminus F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$ is d_l -uniform ($0 < l < k$)
- (d) $|d_l| \leq 2^{-l}$ ($0 < l < k$).

Since $d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1} \in \mathcal{R}$, by Lemma 2, and since $\dim X = n$, there exists a cover $\mathcal{F}_k = \{F_1^k, \dots, F_{m_k}^k\}$ of X such that $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_k \leq n + 1$ and $(d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } F_i^k < 1/k$ ($1 \leq i \leq m_k$): simply take \mathcal{F}_k to be a suitable shrinking of a finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_s\}$ with $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} \leq n + 1$ and $(d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } U_i < 1/k$ (compare e.g., [1, p. 267]).

Let $0 < \delta < \min\{2^{-k}, \min\{1/l - (d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } F \mid 0 < l \leq k, F \in \mathcal{F}_l\}\}$.

Let $\{\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_t\}$ be the set of all covers of the form $\{X \setminus F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$, where $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}_k$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$. By Lemma 1, there exist $d^i \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $|d^i| \leq \delta/t$ and \mathcal{U}_i is d^i -uniform ($1 \leq i \leq t$). Put $d_k = d^1 + \dots + d^t$. It is not difficult to prove that for these choices of \mathcal{F}_k and d_k the conditions (a)-(d) are satisfied for k instead of $k - 1$. This completes the inductive construction.

Now put $d^* = \sum_{i=0}^\infty d_i$. By Lemma 2, $d^* \in \mathcal{R}$. It is easy to see that $d^* > d_0$. The conditions (i)-(iii) are readily verified. This proves our claim.

Now, let as before \sim be the equivalence relation on X defined by $x \sim y$ iff $d^*(x, y) = 0$. Let X' be the set of equivalence classes and $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$ be projection. Let $d': X' \times X' \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by $d'(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = d^*(x, y)$. Again ϕ is continuous. Let (X'', d'') be the (compact) completion of (X', d') . We will prove: $\dim X'' \leq n$. It will suffice to show that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a closed cover of X'' with order $\leq n + 1$ and such that its elements have d'' -diameter not exceeding $1/k$. So, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $G_i = \text{Cl}(\phi(F_i^k))$ ($1 \leq i \leq m_k$), where the closure is taken in X'' , and put $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_{m_k}\}$. Then \mathcal{G} is a closed cover of X'' , and $d''\text{-diam } G_i = d''\text{-diam } \phi(F_i^k) = d'\text{-diam } \phi(F_i^k) = d^*\text{-diam } F_i^k \leq 1/k$.

It is left to prove that $\text{ord } \mathcal{G} \leq n + 1$. Let $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}, |\mathcal{G}'| = n + 2$. For convenience we assume that $\mathcal{G}' = \{G_1, \dots, G_{n+2}\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}' = \{F_1^k, \dots, F_{n+2}^k\}$. Since $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_k \leq n + 1, \bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$. Thus the cover $\{X \setminus F_i^k \mid 1 \leq i \leq n + 2\}$ is d^* -uniform and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ $U_\delta^{d^*}(x) \subset X \setminus F_i^k$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq n + 2$.

Suppose $\bigcap \mathcal{G}' \neq \emptyset$, say $z \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}'$. Since $G_i = \text{Cl}(\phi(F_i^k))$, there exists $x_i \in F_i^k$ such that $d''(\phi(x_i), z) < \delta/2$ ($1 \leq i \leq n + 2$). Thus

$d^*(x_i, x_j) = d'(\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j)) < \delta$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n + 2$. It follows that $U_i^{d^*}(x_1) \cap F_i^k \neq \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i \leq n + 2$), which is a contradiction. So $\cap \mathcal{S}' = \emptyset$, and $\text{ord } \mathcal{S} \leq n + 1$. This proves $\dim X'' \leq n$.

Thus $\phi: X \rightarrow X''$ is a continuous map into the compact metric space X'' , which satisfies $\dim X'' \leq n$. By Theorem A, there exists a metric d^* on X'' with $k(X'', d^*) \leq n + \varepsilon_0$. Put $d(x, y) = d^*(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ for $x, y \in X$. From the compactness of X'' and the continuity of ϕ it follows that $d \in \mathcal{R}$. Also $d^* > d''$ on X'' , again since X'' is compact. From the formulas $d^*(x, y) = d''(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ and $d(x, y) = d^*(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ it follows then that $d > d^*$. Since $d^* > d_0$, we also have $d > d_0$. Furthermore, just as before, $k(X, d) = k(X'', d^*) \leq n + \varepsilon_0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Combining Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, finally, we get the proof of Theorem 1.

REMARK. If X is a compact, nonempty, metrizable space, then

- (a) all (pseudo) metrics on X are totally bounded
 - (b) for every two metrics d_1 and d_2 , we have $d_1 > d_2$
 - (c) for every metric d and every pseudometric d' , $d' > d$ implies that d' is a metric, compatible with the topology.
- (N. B. all these (pseudo) metrics are supposed to be continuous.)

We did prove:

$$\dim X = \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R} \mid d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}\}.$$

It follows, that for fixed $d_1 \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\dim X = \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R} \mid d_0 > d_1, d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}\}.$$

(Here the fact that the pseudo-order $>$ is directed (cf. Lemma 1) is needed.) Now, if we take d_1 to be a fixed metric for X , we infer from (a)-(c):

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X &= \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R} \mid d_0 > d_1, d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\} \\ &= \inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a metric for } X\} \end{aligned}$$

which is Theorem A. Thus our result includes Theorem A as a special case.

4. The separable metrizable case. In the case of a separable metrizable space X another, more direct generalization of Theorem A is available. Namely, we have

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a nonempty, separable metrizable space. Then $\dim X = \inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a totally bounded metric for } X\}$.*

Proof. Denote $k(X) = \inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a totally bounded metric for } X\}$. First we prove: $k(X) \leq \dim X$. If $\dim X = \infty$, we have nothing to prove. So suppose $\dim X = n \geq 0$. Let \tilde{X} be a metrizable compactification of X with $\dim \tilde{X} = n$ [2, p. 65]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and d_0 be a metric for \tilde{X} such that $k(\tilde{X}, d_0) \leq n + \varepsilon$ (Theorem A). The restriction of d_0 to X is totally bounded, and by Lemma 3, $k(X, d_0 \mid X \times X) = k(\tilde{X}, d_0) \leq n + \varepsilon$. Thus $k(X) \leq n = \dim X$.

Next we prove: $k(X) \geq \dim X$. Let d be any totally bounded metric for X . The completion (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}) of (X, d) is then compact, so $k(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}) \geq \dim X$, again by Theorem A. By Lemma 3, $k(X, d) = k(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d})$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. R. Engelking, *Outline of General Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
2. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton, 1948.
3. M. Katětov, *On the dimension of non-separable metric spaces II*, Czechosl. Math. J., (6) **81** (1956), 485-516 (Russian).
4. L. Pontrjagin and L. Schnirelmann, *Sur une propriété métrique de la dimension*, Ann. of Math., (2) **33** (1932), 152-162.

Received June 7, 1978 and in revised form February 20, 1979.

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM
ROETERSSTRAAT 15, AMSTERDAM

