

## A NOTE ON GAP-FREQUENCY PARTITIONS

D. M. BRESSOUD

**George Andrews has introduced gap-frequency partitions in order to interpret the Rogers-Selberg  $q$ -series identities related to the modulus seven. In this paper, we give a direct derivation of the generating function for such partitions. Our approach makes it much easier to extend and generalize the notion of gap-frequency partitions.**

L. J. Rogers is known today primarily for his discovery of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities:

$$(1) \quad \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq 0, \pm 2 \pmod{5}}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m^2}}{(q)_m},$$

$$(2) \quad \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq 0, \pm 1 \pmod{5}}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m^2+m}}{(q)_m},$$

where  $(a)_{\infty} = (a; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i)$ ,

$$(a)_m = \frac{(a)_{\infty}}{(aq^m)_{\infty}}.$$

These analytic identities came to prominence largely because of P. A. MacMahon's combinatorial interpretation of them:

- (3) For  $r = 1$  or  $2$ , and any positive integer  $n$ , the partitions of  $n$  into parts not congruent to  $0, \pm r \pmod{5}$  are equinumerous with the partitions of  $n$  into parts with difference at least two between parts, and in which one appears as a part at most  $r - 1$  times.

Statement (3) can be proved from equations (1) and (2) by viewing each side of the equations as a generating function (see [3], § 19.13).

It is less well known that Rogers also discovered similar identities for the modulus 7:

$$(4) \quad \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq 0, \pm 1 \pmod{7}}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2m^2+2m}}{(q^2; q^2)_m} (-q^{2m+2})_{\infty}$$

$$(5) \quad \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq 0, \pm 2 \pmod{7}}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2m^2+2m}}{(q^2; q^2)_m} (-q^{2m+1})_{\infty}$$

$$(6) \quad \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq 0, \pm 3 \pmod{7}}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2m^2}}{(q^2; q^2)_m} (-q^{2m+1})_{\infty}.$$

Equations (4) and (6) first appeared in [4]. All three are proved by Rogers in [5]. A. Selberg rediscovered them in [6].

There is also a combinatorial theorem for the modulus seven. It is a special case of a combinatorial theorem by B. Gordon, [2], which was stated for all odd moduli greater than or equal to five.

- (7) For  $r = 1, 2$  or  $3$ , and any positive integer  $n$ , the partitions of  $n$  into parts not congruent to  $0, \pm r \pmod{7}$  are equinumerous with the partitions of  $n$  in which each part appears at most twice, the difference between nonidentical parts is at least two if either appears twice, and one appears as a part at most  $r - 1$  times.

While many proofs of statement (7) exist, until recently there was no proof which showed (7) as a direct consequence of equations (4)-(6). It was to supply such a proof that George Andrews introduced the notion of *gap-frequency partitions* (abbreviated g-f partitions) in [1]. The purpose of this paper is to provide a simpler derivation of the generating function for g-f partitions. This yields a more direct proof that equations (4)-(6) imply statement (7), and also leads to certain natural generalizations of g-f partitions.

#### The generating function for g-f partitions.

DEFINITION. A partition  $\pi$  is said to be a *gap-frequency* (or g-f) partition if whenever a summand  $s$  appears exactly  $t$  times, the next larger part is at least  $s + t$ , and if it is exactly  $s + t$  it can appear at most  $t$  times.

EXAMPLE.  $1 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 7 + 7 + 7$  is a g-f partition. Neither  $1 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 6 + 6 + 6 + 6$  nor  $2 + 3 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 7 + 7$  is a g-f partition.

DEFINITION. For positive integers  $r, x$  and  $n$ , let  $S_{r,x}(n)$  denote the number of g-f partitions of  $n$  in which no part appears more than  $x$  times and one appears at most  $r - 1$  times.

THEOREM. For positive integers  $r, x$  and  $n$  and for  $|q| < 1$ , let  $M(m_1, \dots, m_x) = M = \sum_{j=1}^x j^2 \binom{m_j}{2} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq x} i j m_i m_j$ . Then

$$(8) \quad \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_x \geq 0} \frac{q^{M+m_1+2m_2+\dots+(r-1)m_{r-1}+2(rm_r+(r+1)m_{r+1}+\dots+xm_x)}}{(q; q)_{m_1} (q^2; q^2)_{m_2} \dots (q^x; q^x)_{m_x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{r,x}(n) q^n.$$

For fixed values of  $m_2, \dots, m_x$ , the left side of (8) can be summed using Euler's formula:

$$(9) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{m}{2}} a^m}{(q; q)_m} = (-a; q)_{\infty}.$$

It is then a straightforward exercise to verify that when  $x = 2$  the right-hand sides of equations (4)-(6) are obtained.

Note that  $S_{r,2}(n)$  counts those partitions described in the second part of (7). The theorem is sufficient to prove that equations (4)-(6) imply statement (7).

**Proof of the theorem.**

DEFINITION. A *partition with attributes* is a partition in which parts of equal value may be distinguished by some attribute or characteristic. For example, parts may be colored red, blue, green, etc. A *partition with  $x$  attributes* is a partition in which at most  $x$  attributes or characteristics are used. In a partition with  $x$  attributes, each part will be denoted by an ordered pair,  $(d_i, a_i)$ , where  $d_i$  is the value of the part and  $a_i$  is its attribute,  $1 \leq a_i \leq x$ .

The generating function for partitions into exactly  $m$  parts, each part greater than or equal to  $b$  is given by

$$q^{bm}(q; q)_m^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$q^{b_1 m_1 + b_2 m_2 + \dots + b_x m_x} (q; q)_{m_1}^{-1} (q; q)_{m_2}^{-1} \dots (q; q)_{m_x}^{-1}$$

is the generating function for partitions with  $x$  attributes such that for  $1 \leq i \leq x$ , there are exactly  $m_i$  parts with attribute  $i$ , and each such part is greater than or equal to  $b_i$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  with  $x$  attributes such that for  $1 \leq i \leq x$  there are exactly  $m_i$  parts with attribute  $i$ , each part with attribute  $i$  is divisible by  $i$ , and all parts with attribute  $i \geq r$  are greater than or equal to  $2i$ .

LEMMA 1.

$$\frac{q^{m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + (r-1)m_{r-1} + 2(rm_r + (r+1)m_{r+1} + \dots + xm_x)}}{(q; q)_{m_1} (q^2; q^2)_{m_2} \dots (q^x; q^x)_{m_x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n) q^n.$$

*Proof.* This lemma follows from the discussion given above and the definition of  $R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $S_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)$  denote the number of g-f

partitions of  $n$  in which no part appears more than  $x$  times, one appears at most  $r - 1$  times, and for  $1 \leq i \leq x$ , exactly  $m_i$  different integers appear  $i$  times.

LEMMA 2. Let  $M(m_1, \dots, m_x) = M = \sum_{j=1}^x j^2 \binom{m_j}{2} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq x} i j m_i m_j$ . Then  $R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n - M) = S_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)$ .

Before proving Lemma 2, we note that it and Lemma 1 imply the theorem, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{r,x}(n)q^n &= \sum_{m_1 \dots m_x \geq 0} \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} S_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)q^n \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \dots m_x \geq 0} \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n - M)q^n \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \dots m_x \geq 0} q^M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)q^n \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_x \geq 0} \frac{q^{M+m_1+\dots+(r-1)m_{r-1}+2(rm_r+\dots+xm_x)}}{(q; q)_{m_1} \dots (q; q)_{m_x}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* We shall prove this lemma by establishing a one-to-one correspondence between partitions counted by  $R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n - M)$  and those counted by  $S_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)$ .

Consider a partition counted by  $R_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n - M)$  with parts given by  $(d_1, a_1), (d_2, a_2), \dots$  and with the parts ordered from left to right such that if  $d_\lambda/a_\lambda < d_\mu/a_\mu$ , then  $(d_\lambda, a_\lambda)$  precedes  $(d_\mu, a_\mu)$  and if  $d_\lambda/a_\lambda = d_\mu/a_\mu$  and  $a_\lambda > a_\mu$ , then  $(d_\lambda, a_\lambda)$  precedes  $(d_\mu, a_\mu)$ . Clearly there is a unique such ordering of the ordered pairs.

This partition of  $n - M$  with  $x$  attributes is transformed into a partition of  $n$  with  $x$  attributes if each ordered pair  $(d_\lambda, a_\lambda)$  is replaced by the pair  $(e_\lambda, a_\lambda)$  where

$$e_\lambda = d_\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda-1} a_\lambda a_k.$$

We claim that our new partition is a partition of  $n$ . The total amount which has been added to our partition is

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{m_1+\dots+m_x} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda-1} a_\lambda a_k,$$

which is the second elementary symmetric function of the numbers

$$\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{m_1} \quad \underbrace{2 \dots 2}_{m_2} \quad \dots \quad \underbrace{x \dots x}_{m_x},$$

which is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^x j^2 \binom{m_j}{2} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq x} i j m_i m_j = M.$$

This proves our claim.

Observe that  $a_\lambda$  divides  $e_\lambda$ , and for each  $\lambda$

$$\frac{e_\lambda}{a_\lambda} - \frac{e_{\lambda-1}}{a_{\lambda-1}} = \frac{d_\lambda}{a_\lambda} - \frac{d_{\lambda-1}}{a_{\lambda-1}} + a_{\lambda-1} \geq a_{\lambda-1}.$$

Equality occurs when  $d_\lambda/a_\lambda = d_{\lambda-1}/a_{\lambda-1}$ , which implies that  $a_{\lambda-1} \geq a_\lambda$ . Also, if  $a_1 \geq r$ , then  $e_1/a_1 = d_1/a_1 \geq 2$ . Thus if each part  $(e_\lambda, a_\lambda)$  is replaced by  $a_\lambda$  equal parts of value  $e_\lambda/a_\lambda$ , we have a partition counted by  $S_r(m_1, \dots, m_x; n)$ . This procedure is uniquely reversible (equal parts are added together and the resulting part is given the attribute equal to the number of parts which were added,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\lambda-1} a_\lambda a_k$  is then subtracted from the value of the  $\lambda$ 'th part), and so the one-to-one correspondence is established.

This concludes the proof of Lemma 2, and so also the proof of the theorem.

### A generalization.

DEFINITION. A partition  $\pi$  is said to be a  $k$ -fold  $g$ -f partition if whenever a summand  $s$  appears exactly  $t$  times, then the next larger part is at least  $s + kt$ , and if it is exactly  $s + kt$ , it can appear at most  $t$  times.

DEFINITION. For positive integers  $r, x, k$  and  $n$ , let  $S_{r,x,k}(n)$  denote the number of  $k$ -fold  $g$ -f partitions of  $n$  in which no part appears more than  $x$  times and one appears at most  $r - 1$  times.

By the method used above to find the generating function for  $S_{r,x}(n) = S_{r,x,1}(n)$ , it can be readily verified that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{r,x,k}(n) q^n = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_x \geq 0} \frac{q^{k \nu + m_1 + \dots + (r-1)m_{r-1} + 2(rm_r + \dots + xm_x)}}{(q; q)_{m_1} \dots (q^x; q^x)_{m_x}}.$$

Since  $S_{r,1,k}(n)$  counts the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts with minimal difference  $k$ , including at most  $r - 1$  ones, we see that the right sides of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities are special cases of the generating function for  $S_{r,x,k}(n)$ .

### REFERENCES

1. G. E. Andrews, *Gap-frequency partitions and the Rogers identities*, (to appear).
2. B. Gordon, *A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Amer. J. Math., **38** (1961), 393-399.

3. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fourth Edition, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1960.
4. L. J. Rogers, *Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **25** (1894), 318-343.
5. L. J. Rogers, *On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **16** (1917), 315-336.
6. A. Selberg, *Über einige arithmetische Identitäten*, Avhl. Norske Vid., **8** (1936).

Received July 31, 1979 and in revised form January 8, 1980. Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS 77-22992.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802

*Current address:* University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706