

## EQUIDISCONTINUITY OF BORSUK-ULAM FUNCTIONS

LESTER E. DUBINS AND GIDEON SCHWARZ

**No idempotent function on the unit disc onto its boundary is continuous. The stronger fact that no such function has a modulus of discontinuity smaller than  $\sqrt{3}$  is a prototype of the contents of this paper.**

A principal purpose of this paper is to report this fact:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $g$  be a function on a closed ball  $B^n$  in Euclidean  $n$ -space into the boundary  $S^{n-1}$  of  $B^n$  such that  $g$  maps each pair of antipodal points of  $S^{n-1}$  onto a pair of antipodal points. Then the modulus of discontinuity of  $g$  is at least  $d_n$ , the diameter of a regular  $n$ -simplex inscribed in  $S^{n-1}$ . Moreover, there is a  $g$  whose modulus attains the bound  $d_n$ .*

The *modulus (of discontinuity)*  $\delta(g)$  of a function  $g$  from a topological space into a metric space is the infimum of all numbers  $d$  such that every point in the domain of  $g$  has a neighborhood whose image has a diameter of at most  $d$ .

Plainly, Theorem 1 strengthens a well-known result conjectured by Ulam and proved by Borsuk (1933). Rather than provide an independent proof, we find it considerably simpler to use Borsuk's result as a principal stepping stone to Theorem 1. However, self-contained constructive demonstrations are provided first for special cases of Theorem 1, including the classical one in which only idempotent functions  $g$  are treated (Corollaries 1 and 2 of Proposition 1). The conclusion that idempotent  $g$ 's have a uniform modulus of discontinuity which depends only on the metrization of the boundary is extended to triangulable manifolds with boundary (Corollary 4) and somewhat more generally to  $g$ 's that are not quite idempotent (Corollary 5).

Some standard terms and facts facilitate the formulation of Proposition 1, our principal constructive tool.

Though actually a triangulation of a space  $X$  consists of a simplicial complex  $K$  and a homeomorphism  $t$  of the polyhedron  $|K|$  onto  $X$ , in this paper  $t$  is suppressed, and  $|K|$  and  $X$  are identified.

A function  $g$  that maps the vertices of a triangulation  $K$  of a polyhedron  $|K|$  into a Euclidean space determines a continuous mapping  $\xi$  of  $|K|$  which is linear on each simplex of  $K$ , and coincides with  $g$  on the vertices. If  $g$  assumes its values in the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  and  $\xi$  is never zero, then  $\varphi$ , the *spherolinear extension* of  $g$ ,

is defined for  $q \in |K|$  by letting  $\varphi(q)$  be the (unique) point where the ray from the origin through  $\xi(q)$  intersects  $S^{n-1}$ . Of course, if  $q$  is represented as a barycenter of vertices  $q_i$  of a simplex in  $K$ , say  $q = \sum a_i q_i$ , then  $\xi(q) = \sum a_i g(q_i)$  and  $\varphi(q) = \xi(q)/\|\xi(q)\|$ . When it is necessary to indicate the dependence of  $\xi$  and  $\varphi$  on  $g$ ,  $K$  and  $q$ , the notation  $\xi(g, K)$  and  $\varphi(g, K)$ , or  $\xi(g, K, q)$  and  $\varphi(g, K, q)$  is used.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $(K^n, K_s^{n-1})$  be a triangulation of a manifold  $M^n$  with boundary  $N^{n-1}$  and  $g$  a function defined on the vertices of  $K^n$  into  $S^{n-1}$ . Then for some simplex  $\sigma^n = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$  in  $K^n$ , the convex hull of  $g(q_0), \dots, g(q_n)$  contains the origin if  $\xi(g, K_s^{n-1})$  vanishes somewhere on  $N^{n-1}$ , or else if one of these two conditions holds.*

- (1) *The modulo-2 degree of  $\varphi(g, K_s^{n-1})$  is not zero.*
- (2)  *$M^n$  is orientable and the degree of  $\varphi(g, K_s^{n-1})$  is not zero.*

*Proof.* If  $\xi$  vanishes at  $q \in \sigma^n \in K^n$ , then  $\sigma^n$  fulfills the conclusion of the theorem. If  $\xi$  vanishes nowhere on  $N^{n-1}$ , consider first case (1). For  $\sigma^{n-1} \in K^n$ , let  $F(\sigma^{n-1})$  be the image of  $\sigma^{n-1}$  under  $\varphi$ . Defining the (modulo 2-) sum of a finite collection of subsets of  $S^{n-1}$  to be the closure of the set of points that belong to oddly many of the subsets of the collection, let  $F'(\sigma^n)$  be defined for each  $\sigma^n$  in  $K^n$  as the sum of the sets  $F(\sigma^{n-1})$  as  $\sigma^{n-1}$  ranges over the faces of  $\sigma^n$ . The sum of  $F'$  over all  $\sigma^n$  in  $K^n$  is clearly equal to the sum of  $F$  over all  $\sigma^{n-1}$  in  $K_s^{n-1}$ . Since the degree of  $\varphi(g, K_s^{n-1})$  is odd, the latter sum is all of  $S^{n-1}$ . This implies that there is a  $\sigma^n$  in  $K^n$  with the asserted property, as becomes evident by the following observation. If an  $n$ -simplex in  $E^n$  excludes the origin, its boundary is intersected in precisely two points by any ray from the origin that intersects it but none of its  $(n-2)$ -faces; so, if  $\sigma^n = (q_0, \dots, q_n) \in K^n$ , and the convex hull of  $g(q_0), \dots, g(q_n)$  does not contain the origin,  $F'(\sigma^n)$  is a set of dimension  $n-2$  or less. For the remaining case in which  $\xi$  vanishes nowhere on  $N^{n-1}$  and condition (2) holds, replace the set-valued  $F$  by the real-valued cochain  $F^*$  where  $F^*(\sigma^{n-1})$  is the signed  $(n-1)$ -volume of  $F(\sigma^{n-1})$ , note that the sum of the new  $F'$  over all  $\sigma^n$  in  $K^n$  is a nonzero multiple of the volume of  $S^{n-1}$ , and verify that  $F'(\sigma^n) = 0$  if the convex hull of  $g(q_0), \dots, g(q_n)$  does not contain the origin.  $\square$

**REMARK.** If  $M^n$  is not orientable, condition (1) cannot be replaced by the weaker condition that the degree be not zero even when  $N^{n-1}$  is orientable. For example, for a Möbius strip realized in the complex plane as the annulus  $1 \leq |Z| \leq 2$  with  $Z$  and  $-Z$

identified when  $|Z| = 2$ , let  $g(Z) = Z/\bar{Z}$ . As is easily verified,  $g$  is a continuous mapping of the strip onto its boundary  $S^1$ , and its restriction to  $S^1$  is of degree 2. For fine-meshed triangulations  $(K^2, K_s^1)$  of the strip, the degree of  $\varphi(g, K_s^1)$  is also 2, yet, by continuity of  $g$ ,  $g(q_0)$ ,  $g(q_1)$  and  $g(q_2)$  are too close together to fulfill the conclusion of Proposition 1.

In Proposition 1 and its proof, the unit sphere in any Minkowski-space can be substituted for  $S^{n-1}$ . In Lemma 1, however, which provides the link with the metric character of the corollaries below, it is essential that  $E^n$  be Euclidean.

LEMMA 1. *Every subset of the unit sphere in  $E^n$  whose convex hull contains the origin has a diameter of at least  $d_n$ , where  $d_n = (2 + 2n^{-1})^{1/2}$  is the diameter of a regular  $n$ -simplex inscribed in the sphere.*

*Proof.* As is well-known, the subset must contain  $n + 1$  points  $v_0, \dots, v_n$ , not necessarily distinct, whose convex hull contains the origin. Let  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  be nonnegative numbers, not all zero, such that  $\sum a_i v_i = 0$ . Denote inner products by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and obtain the equality

$$0 = \|\sum a_i v_i\|^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle + \sum a_i^2$$

which, together with the inequality

$$0 \leq \sum_{i \neq j} (a_i - a_j)^2 = 2n \sum a_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j$$

implies

$$\sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle + n^{-1}) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, for some  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle \leq -n^{-1}.$$

For these  $i, j$ ,

$$\|v_i - v_j\|^2 \geq 2 + 2n^{-1} = d_n^2. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $L^n$  be any manifold whose boundary is  $S^{n-1}$ . The moduli of all functions of  $L^n$  to its boundary, which leave each point on the boundary fixed, are no less than  $d_n$ .*

*Proof.* As is not difficult to verify, there are arbitrarily fine-meshed triangulations  $K^n$  of  $L^n$  such that the corresponding spheroliner extension of the identity mapping on the boundary vertices

of  $K^n$  is simply the identity mapping on the boundary of  $L^n$ . Proposition 1 and Lemma 1 now apply.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f$  be a mapping of  $S^2$  into  $S^1$ . If  $f$  maps every pair of antipodal points of  $S^2$  onto a pair of antipodes of  $S^1$ , then its modulus  $\delta(f)$  is at least  $\sqrt{3}$ .*

*Proof.* Embed the range-space  $S^1$  of  $f$  as a great circle on  $S^2$ , and let  $M^2$  be one of the closed hemispheres bounded by  $S^1$ . If  $K^2$  is a triangulation of  $M^2$  whose induced triangulation  $K_s^1$  of  $S^1$  is symmetric around the origin, Proposition 1 will apply to the restriction  $g$  of  $f$  to  $M^2$ , once it is shown that  $\hat{g} = \varphi(g, K_s^1)$  is of odd degree. Since  $\hat{g}$  is a continuous mapping of  $S^1$  into itself, its degree is the winding number  $\omega$  of the point  $\hat{g}(t)$  as  $t$  goes once around  $S^1$ . To evaluate  $\omega$ , fix some  $t_0 \in S^1$ , and let  $\alpha(t)$ , for  $t \in S^1$ , be the angle accumulated by  $\hat{g}(s)$  as  $s$  varies continuously from  $t_0$  to  $t$ . Since  $g$ , and hence  $\hat{g}$ , preserve antipodality,  $\hat{g}(-t_0) = \hat{g}(t_0)$ , and therefore  $\alpha(-t_0)$  is an odd multiple of  $\pi$ , say  $\pi r$ . Using the antipodality once again, the total change in  $\alpha(t)$  is twice as much, that is,  $2\pi r$ , when  $t$  goes once around the circle. Hence  $\omega = r$  is odd, and by Proposition 1, and Lemma 1 with  $n = 2$ ,  $\delta(g) \geq d_2 = \sqrt{3}$ . Since  $g$  is a restriction of  $f$ ,  $\delta(f)$  is not less.  $\square$

Plainly, Corollaries 1 and 2 are special cases of Theorem 1. A tool for inferring the lower boundedness of the moduli for the family of functions treated in Theorem 1 from the discontinuity of its members is provided by the following proposition, which possibly has applications elsewhere.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of functions defined on a subpolyhedron  $N$  of a polyhedron  $M$  into the Euclidean sphere  $S^{n-1}$  that satisfies these two conditions:*

(1) *For each  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a triangulation  $(K, K')$  of  $(M, N)$  with mesh less than  $\varepsilon$  such that either  $\xi(f, K', q) = 0$  for some  $q \in N$  or  $\varphi(f, K') \in \mathcal{R}$ ;*

(2) *No extension  $g$  of any  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  to  $M$  is continuous.*

*Then every extension of each  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  to  $M$  has a modulus no less than the diameter of a regular  $n$ -simplex inscribed in  $S^{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be an extension of an  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  to  $M$  and, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $(K, K')$  be a triangulation of  $(M, N)$  as in (1). If  $\xi(g, K)$  were never zero on  $M$ ,  $\varphi(g, K)$  would be a continuous extension of  $\varphi(f, K')$  to  $M$ . But by (1),  $\varphi(f, K') \in \mathcal{R}$ , and, hence by (2), it has no continuous extension to  $M$ . Consequently,  $\xi(g, K, q) = 0$  for some

$q \in M$ . If  $\sum_0^m \alpha_i q_i$  is the barycentric representation of  $q$ , then the convex hull of  $g(q_0), \dots, g(q_m)$  contains the origin. Now Lemma 1 applies.  $\square$

*Proof of the inequality in Theorem 1.* Let  $M = B^n$  be identified with a closed hemisphere of  $S^n$ , and let  $N$  be its boundary  $S^{n-1}$ . For  $\mathcal{A}$  the set of all antipodality-preserving functions on  $S^{n-1}$  into itself, condition (1) of Proposition 2 holds for any  $\varepsilon$ -meshed triangulations that are invariant under the map  $q \rightarrow -q$  on  $S^{n-1}$ . Clearly, every extension  $g$  of every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to all of  $B^n$  has in turn a unique antipodality-preserving extension  $G$  to the entire  $S^n$ . If  $g$  were continuous,  $G$  would be too. But, by Satz II of Borsuk (1933), there is no such  $G$ . Consequently, condition (2) holds as well, and Proposition 2 applies.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *The modulus of each mapping  $g$  of  $S^n$  into  $S^{n-1}$  that maps every pair of antipodal points of  $S^n$  onto antipodal points of  $S^{n-1}$  is no less than  $d_n$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 1 applied to the restriction  $g'$  of  $g$  to any closed hemisphere of  $S^n$  yields  $\delta(g) \geq \delta(g') \geq d_n$ .  $\square$

*Scholium 1.* The bounds in Theorem 1 and in Corollaries 1, 2, and 3 are attained.

*Proof.* For Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, inscribe a regular  $n$ -simplex in  $S^{n-1}$ , let  $g$  map each interior point of  $L^n$  to the closest (or one of the closest) of its vertices, and on the boundary, let  $g$  be the identity. The modulus of  $g$  is easily seen to be  $d_n$ . For Corollaries 2 and 3, embed  $S^n$  in  $E^{n+1}$  as the boundary of the unit ball  $B^{n+1}$ . Choose a hyperplane through the origin. It divides  $S^n$  into two open hemispheres  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  and intersects  $B^{n+1}$  in an  $n$ -ball  $B^n$ . Inscribe in  $B^n$  two mutually antipodal regular  $n$ -simplices  $\sigma^n$  and  $-\sigma^n$ . Let  $f$  map each point of  $H_1$  to the vertex of  $\sigma^n$  closest to it, and each point of  $H_2$  to the closest vertex of  $-\sigma^n$ . On the common boundary  $S^{n-1}$  of the two hemispheres let  $f$  be the identity. The modulus of  $f$  on each of the two closed hemispheres separately is clearly  $d_n$  since there,  $f$  is just the function  $g$  above for  $L^n = B^n$ , transferred via a homeomorphism of the domains and an isometry of the ranges. For the modulus at a point  $p$  on the common boundary of the hemispheres, note that the closest to  $p$  among the vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_n$  of  $\sigma^n$ , and the closest among their antipodes are never as far as  $d_n$  apart: in fact, for any  $p$  in  $S^n$ , if  $v_i$  is closest to  $p$  among the former,  $\langle v_i, p \rangle > 0$ , therefore  $\langle -v_i, p \rangle < 0$ , and

$-v_i$  is certainly not closest to  $p$  among the latter. Hence, some  $-v_j$  with  $j \neq i$  is closest. Since  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = -n^{-1}$ ,

$$\|v_i - (-v_j)\|^2 = 2 + 2\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 2(n-1)/n < d_n^2.$$

Consequently, the modulus of  $f$  on all of  $S^n$  is still  $d_n$ . □

The values obtained for the minima of the moduli are, of course, contingent on the metric on the range spaces. The existence of a positive lower bound, however, is a topological fact, valid for any metrization. Since the next two corollaries of Proposition 1 deal with mappings into range spaces where no one metric seems distinguished, it is the topological fact that is asserted there. A simple lemma about the behavior of moduli under composition is used in its proof.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $h$  be a uniformly continuous mapping from a metric space  $Y$  to a metric space  $Z$ . For every  $d > 0$  there is a  $t > 0$ , such that for any function  $g$  on any topological space  $X$  into  $Y$ ,  $\delta(h \circ f) \geq d$  implies  $\delta(f) \geq t$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $t > 0$  so that  $\rho_Y(y_1, y_2) < t$  implies  $\rho_Z[h(y_1), h(y_2)] < d$ . Then any set in  $X$  whose image under  $h \circ f$  has a diameter at least  $d$ , has an image under  $g$  whose diameter is no less than  $t$ . □

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $M^n$  be a triangulable manifold with boundary  $N^{n-1}$ . For any metrization of  $N^{n-1}$ , there is a  $t > 0$  such that the modulus  $\delta(f)$  of any function  $f$  of  $M^n$  onto  $N^{n-1}$  that leaves each point of  $N^{n-1}$  fixed is at least  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a subset of  $N^{n-1}$  that is homeomorphic to an open  $(n-1)$ -ball and hence to  $S^{n-1} - \{p\}$ , for an arbitrary  $p \in S^{n-1}$ . Define a continuous mapping  $h$  of  $N^{n-1}$  onto  $S^{n-1}$  that maps  $V$  homeomorphically onto  $S^{n-1} - \{p\}$ , and on the rest of  $N^{n-1}$ , let  $h = p$ . The composition  $h \circ f$  is a function on  $M^n$  onto  $S^{n-1}$ , and its restriction to  $N^{n-1}$  is  $h$ . Since  $h$  covers every point of  $S^{n-1}$ , except  $p$ , precisely once, the degree of  $h$  is 1. For all sufficiently fine-meshed triangulations  $(K^n, K_s^{n-1})$  of  $(M^n, N^{n-1})$  the degree of  $\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1})$  is also 1, by the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.** *For a continuous mapping  $h$  of any polyhedron  $N^{n-1}$  into  $S^{n-1}$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any triangulation  $K_s^{n-1}$  of  $N^{n-1}$  with  $\text{Mesh}(K_s^{n-1}) < \delta$ ,  $h$  and its sphero-linear extension  $\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1})$  nowhere take on antipodal values and, consequently, are homotopic.*

*Proof.* By uniform continuity, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for  $p$  and  $q$  in  $N^{n-1}$ ,  $\rho(p, q) < \delta$  implies  $\|h(p) - h(q)\|^2 < 2$  or, equivalently, the inner product of  $h(p)$  and  $h(q)$  is positive. If  $\text{Mesh}(K_s^{n-1}) < \delta$ ,  $p \in \sigma^{n-1} \in K_s^{n-1}$  and  $q \in \sigma^{n-1}$ , then  $\langle h(p), h(q) \rangle > 0$ . Since this inequality holds in particular for every pair of vertices  $p$  and  $q$  of  $\sigma^{n-1}$ , the sphero-linear extension  $\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1})$  is well-defined and  $\langle \varphi(h, K_s^{n-1}, p), h(q) \rangle > 0$  for all  $p \in \sigma^{n-1}$  and any  $q \in \sigma^{n-1}$ . Since  $h(p)$  and  $\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1}, p)$  have positive inner products with the same vector  $h(q)$ , they are not antipodal. Therefore, the origin is not a convex combination of  $h(p)$  and  $\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1}, p)$ . As is now routine to verify,  $[th + (1-t)\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1})] / \|[th + (1-t)\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1})]\|$  is a homotopy of  $h$  and  $\varphi(h, K_s^{n-1})$ .  $\square$

To complete the proof of Corollary 4, first note that the composition  $h \circ f$  is a  $g$  to which Proposition 1 applies, then apply Lemma 1 to obtain  $\delta(h \circ f) \geq d_n$ , and finally Lemma 2 with  $d = d_n$ .  $\square$

REMARK. The assumption that  $f$  is the identity on  $N^{n-1}$  is used in the proof of Corollary 4 only to imply:

(\*) The restriction of  $h \circ f$  to  $N^{n-1}$  covers  $S^{n-1} - \{p\}$  precisely once.

But (\*) also follows from the weaker assumption that for an open  $(n-1)$ -ball  $V \subset N^{n-1}$ ,  $f$  is the identity on  $V$  and the complement of  $V$  is invariant under  $g$ . This proves the following generalization of Corollary 4.

COROLLARY 5. *Let  $M^n$  be a triangulable manifold with boundary  $N^{n-1}$ ,  $\rho$  a metric for  $N^{n-1}$  and  $V$  a subset of  $N^{n-1}$  that is homeomorphic to an open  $(n-1)$ -ball. Then there is a  $t > 0$  depending only on  $V$  and  $\rho$  that satisfies this condition: If  $f$  is a function on  $M^n$  into  $N^{n-1}$  that is the identity on  $V$ , and the complement of  $V$  is invariant under  $f$ , then the modulus of  $f$  exceeds  $t$ . In particular, no such  $f$  is continuous.*

The derivation of the minimum of the moduli of idempotent functions on a manifold onto its boundary can also be extended beyond the case where the boundary is a sphere. This extension is an easy consequence of Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 6. *Let  $L^n$  be a manifold whose boundary is  $S^{n-1}$ ,  $M$  an arbitrary manifold (without boundary), and  $\rho$  a metric on  $S^{n-1} \times M$ , such that, for  $s_i \in S^{n-1}$  and  $m_i \in M$ ,*

$$\rho[(s_1, m_1), (s_2, m_2)] \geq \rho[(s_1, m_1), (s_2, m_1)] = \|s_1 - s_2\|.$$

Then the minimum of the moduli of all idempotent functions on  $L^n \times M$  onto its boundary  $S^{n-1} \times M$  is  $d_n$ .

For example, if a solid torus is realized in  $E^3$  by rotating a closed unit disc in the plane around a line disjoint from it ( $n = 2, L^2 = B^2, M = S^1$ ), then each idempotent function on the solid torus onto its boundary has a modulus no less than  $\sqrt{3}$ , and there is at least one such function whose modulus is  $\sqrt{3}$ .

At a lecture where this paper was presented, Ed Spanier asked whether Proposition 2 can be applied to extensions to  $B^4$  of the Hopf map  $f_H: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  (see e.g., Dugundji (1966) p. 408), or more generally, to the extensions of a mapping  $f$  to a superspace  $M$  of its domain  $N$  to which it has no continuous extension. An answer to his question is included in the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping defined on a subpolyhedron  $N$  of a polyhedron  $M$  into the Euclidean sphere  $S^{n-1}$  that has no continuous extension to  $M$ . Then the modulus of every function of that extends  $f$  to  $M$  is at least  $d_n$ , and there is an extension that attains the bound.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the class of all continuous mappings of  $N$  into  $S^{n-1}$  that are homotopic to  $f$ . Condition (1) of Proposition 2 follows by applying Lemma 3 to  $f$ ; and condition (2) is a consequence of the homotopy extension property for subpolyhedra (see e.g., Spanier (1966) p. 118). For the attainment of the bound, inscribe a regular  $n$ -simplex  $[p_0, \dots, p_n]$  in  $S^{n-1}$ , extend  $f$  to a continuous function  $h$  defined on an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $N$  in  $M$ , and define  $g$  on  $M$  by: on  $N$ , let  $g = f$ ; for  $x \in W - N$ ,  $g(x)$  is (one of) the  $p_i$  closest to  $h(x)$ ; for  $x \in M - W$ ,  $g(x) = p_0$ . Since the image of the open set  $M - N$  under  $g$  is a subset of  $\{p_0, \dots, p_n\}$ , the modulus at any  $x \in M - N$  is at most  $d_n$ . At any  $x \in N$ , the modulus is no greater, as can be seen by an argument similar to the conclusion of the proof of Scholium 1.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Karol Borsuk, *Drei Sätze über die  $n$ -dimensionale euklidische Sphäre*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **20** (1933), 177-190.
2. James Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
3. Edwin Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, (1966), McGraw Hill, N.Y.
4. A. W. Tucker, *Some topological properties of disk and sphere*, *Proc. First Canad. Math. Congr.*, Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto, (1945-6), 285-309.



Received February 22, 1980. Research of the first author was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. MCS-77-01665, and research by the second author was done while holding a visiting appointment at the University of California, Berkeley.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CA 94720  
AND  
HEBREW UNIVERSITY  
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

