

TORSION DIVISORS ON ALGEBRAIC CURVES

GEORGE R. KEMPF

Let D be a divisor on a smooth complete algebraic curve C such that the multiple dD is rationally equivalent to zero for some positive integer d . We may write $D = D_0 - D_\infty$ where D_0 and D_∞ are distinct effective divisors.

The purpose of this note is to prove the

THEOREM. *Assume that C is a curve with general moduli in characteristic zero. For such a divisor D , the cohomology $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_0 + D_\infty))$ must be zero (equivalently $|K - D_0 - D_\infty|$ is empty).*

This theorem answers a question which arose in Sevin Recillas' unpublished work which motivated this note. This paper contains simple computational techniques for handling infinitesimal deformations of curves. I hope that these techniques may be useful for solving similar problems.

The theorem is well-known for the case $d = 1$. For instance see Farkas' paper [2]. The recent work [1] contains further bibliographic material and related material concerning deformations of mappings of curves into projective spaces.

1. First order deformations defined by principal parts. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on a smooth curve C . For any point c of C , $\text{Prin}_c(\mathcal{L}) \equiv \text{Rat}(\mathcal{L})/\mathcal{L}_c$ measures the principal (polar) part of the rational sections of \mathcal{L} . Let $\text{Prin}(\mathcal{L})$ be the space of principal parts, $\bigoplus \text{Prin}_c(\mathcal{L})$, where c runs through C . For a given principal part $p = (p_c)$ in $\text{Prin}(\mathcal{L})$, the support, $\text{supp}(p)$, is the set of c in C with $p_c \neq 0$.

As in [3], we have a natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \text{Rat}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \text{Prin}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let p_c be a principal part in $\text{Prin}_c(\mathcal{L})$ with a pole of order one. By duality the image of p_c in $H^1(C, \mathcal{L})$ is zero if and only if each section of $\Omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1}$ vanishes at c . Consequently, if $H^1(C, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ (i.e., there is a nonzero such section), the cohomology class of p_c is nonzero for all but a finite number of points c .

Let $\theta_c = \Omega_C^{\otimes -1}$ be the sheaf of regular vector fields on C . For any principal part X in $\text{Prin}(\theta_c)$, we want to define a deformation C_x of C over $T = \text{Spec}(k[\delta])$, where $k[\delta]$ is the ring of dual numbers $k[t]/(t^2)$. First note that, if f is a rational function which is regular at each point of $\text{supp}(X)$, then $X(f)$ makes sense in an obvious way

as a principal part in $\text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}_c)$. Secondly define the structure sheaf of C_x to be the subsheaf \mathcal{A}_X of $\mathcal{O}_c \oplus \delta \mathcal{V}at(\mathcal{O}_c)$, whose sections $f_0 + f_1 \delta$ locally satisfy the conditions: f_0 is regular and $X(f_0)$ is the principal part of f_1 (symbolically $(1 - X\delta)(f_0 + f_1\delta)$ is regular).

Locally on C , X is the principal part of a rational vector field \underline{X} . For regular functions g_0 and g_1 , the expression

$$\phi_{\underline{X}}(g_0 + \delta g_1) \equiv (1 + \underline{X}\delta)(g_0 + g_1\delta) = g_0 + (\underline{X}g_0 + g_1)\delta$$

defines an $k[\delta]$ -algebra isomorphism between \mathcal{A}_X and $\mathcal{O}_c \oplus \delta \mathcal{O}_c$, which preserves the augmentations of the two algebras onto \mathcal{O}_c . Therefore $C_x \rightarrow T$ is a (locally trivial) deformation of C over T , which has been given an obvious trivialization over the open subset $C\text{-supp}(X)$.

EXAMPLE. If t is a parameter function at a point c and $t(c) = 0$, let X be the principal part of the vector field $(1/t)(d/dt)$ at c . This principal part is determined by c upto constant. In this case, C_x is called a (first-order) Schiffer variation of the curve C . (If one replaces $(1 + X\delta)$ by $\exp(Xt) \bmod t^n$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ one gets the formal version of a Schiffer variation in the compact Riemann surface case.)

REMARK. The deformation $C_x \rightarrow T$ depends only on the cohomology class of X in $H^1(C, \theta_c)$. This class is a form of the Kodaira-Spencer class of the deformation.

2. Obstructions to a particular deformation problem. Let D_0 and D_∞ be two effective divisors on our smooth curve C . Assume that D_0 and D_∞ have disjoint support. We are interested in the following special situation. For some positive integer d , dD_0 is linearly equivalent to dD_∞ . In other words, there is a nonconstant morphism $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ such that $f^{-1}(0) = dD_0$ and $f^{-1}(\infty) = dD_\infty$. We want to examine whether this special situation may be extended to a deformation of C .

Let $g: \tilde{C} \rightarrow M$ be a deformation of \tilde{C} . Thus g is a smooth morphism and C is a closed subscheme of \tilde{C} , which has the form $g^{-1}(m)$ for some point m of M . Then we may ask if we can find a morphism $\tilde{f}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ such that $\tilde{f}|_C = f$, $\tilde{f}^{-1}(0)$ and $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\infty)$ are defined and we have $\tilde{f}^{-1}(0) = d\tilde{D}_0$ and $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\infty) = d\tilde{D}_\infty$ for some effective relative Cartier divisors \tilde{D}_0 and \tilde{D}_∞ on \tilde{C} with $\tilde{D}_i|_C = D_i$ for $i = 0$ or ∞ .

Next we will analyze this concept for first order deformations. Let $C_x \rightarrow T$ be the deformation defined in the first section for a given principal part X in $\text{Prin}(\theta_c)$. Here C and C_x are the same as topological spaces. Let C_i denote the open subscheme $C\text{-supp}(D_i)$ of

C where $\{0, \infty\} = \{i, j\}$. Our original morphism f is given by a pair (f_0, f_∞) where each f_i is a regular function on C_i and $f_0 \cdot f_\infty = 1$ on $C_0 \cap C_\infty$. Similarly, our extension \tilde{f} would be given by a pair $(f_0 + f'_0\delta, f_\infty + f'_\infty\delta)$, where $f_i + f'_i\delta$ is a section of \mathcal{A}_X over C_i , such that

(*) $f_0f'_0 + f'_\infty f_\infty = 0$ on $C_0 \cap C_\infty$. As the $f_i + f'_i\delta$ are then reciprocals on $C_0 \cap C_\infty$, the conditions on the zeros and poles of \tilde{f} are just that, near $\text{supp}(D_i)$,

(**) there exist sections α and β of \mathcal{A}_X with $f_i + f'_i\delta = \alpha\beta^d$ and α is a unit.

In the simplest case, the question of the existence of such an \tilde{f} is easily interpreted.

PROPOSITION. *Assume that $\text{supp}(X)$ is contained $C_0 \cap C_\infty$ and $\text{char}(k) \nmid d$. Then the required extension \tilde{f} exists if and only if the principal part $(1/f)X(f)$ interpreted in $\text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}_C(D_0 + D_\infty))$ is cohomologous to zero.*

Proof. By the assumption on the $\text{supp}(X)$, any section of \mathcal{A}_X near $\text{supp}(D_i)$ can be written as $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\delta$, where α_0 and α_1 are regular functions. Furthermore, this section $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\delta$ is a unit near $\text{supp}(D_i)$ if and only if α_0 is.

Claim. Given a rational function f'_i on C , then $f_i + f'_i\delta$ is a section of \mathcal{A}_X over $C_i - \text{supp}(X)$ and satisfies the condition (**) $\Leftrightarrow \text{div}(f'_i/f_i) + D_i \geq 0$ on $C_i - \text{supp}(X)$.

We will first see how this claim implies the proposition. Assume that $(f_i + f'_i\delta, f_\infty + f'_\infty\delta)$ gives a required \tilde{f} . By the identity (*), we must have $f'_0/f_0 = -f'_\infty/f_\infty$. Thus the claim shows that the desired conditions on the zeros and poles of \tilde{f} imply that f'_i/f_i is a regular section of $\mathcal{O}_C(D_0 + D_\infty)$ over $C - \text{supp}(X)$. As $f_i + f'_i\delta$ is a section of \mathcal{A}_X over C_i , $X(f_i)$ is the principal part of the rational function f'_i except at $\text{supp}(D_0 + D_\infty)$. So f'_0/f_0 is a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_C(D_0 + D_\infty)$ with principal part $1/f_0 X(f_0)$. Therefore, if our \tilde{f} exists, $(1/f) X(f)$ is cohomologous to zero in $\text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}_C(D_0 + D_\infty))$.

Conversely, if $1/f X(f)$ is cohomologous to zero, we may have a rational section f'_0/f_0 of $\mathcal{O}_C(D_0 + D_\infty)$ with principal part $1/f_0 X(f_0)$. Define f'_∞ by the identity (*). Reversing the above argument, one can readily check that the required extension \tilde{f} is given by $(f_0 + f'_0\delta, f_\infty + f'_\infty\delta)$. Thus the claim implies the proposition.

To prove the claim, assume that $f_i + f'_i\delta$ satisfies condition (**). Then near $\text{supp}(D_i)$ we have $f_i + f'_i\delta = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\delta)(\beta_0 + \beta_1\delta)^d = \alpha_0\beta_0^d +$

$(\alpha_1\beta_0^d + d\beta_0^{d-1}\beta_1\alpha_0)\delta$, where the α 's and β 's are regular and α_0 is a unit. So $\beta_0 f'_i/f_i = (\alpha_1\beta_0 + d\beta_1\alpha_0)/\alpha_0$ is regular. As $D_i = (\beta_0 = 0)$, this means that $\text{div}(f'_i/f_i) + D_i \geq 0$ along D_i . Conversely, assume that this is true. By the assumption on f , we have $f_i = \alpha_0\beta_0^d$, where α_0 is unit and $D_i = (\beta_0 = 0)$ near D_i . Take $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\beta_1 = 1/d(\beta_0 f'_i/f_i)$. Thus β_1 is regular and condition (***) is satisfied for $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\delta$ and $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1\delta$ near D_i .

Away from D_i on $C_i\text{-supp}(X)$, $\text{div}(f'_i/f_i) + D_i \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow f'_i$ is regular because f_i is a unit there. On the other hand, away from $\text{supp}(X)$, $f_i + f'_i\delta$ is a section of $\mathcal{A}_X \Leftrightarrow f'_i$ is regular. This completes the proof of the claim. □

Immediately we get

COROLLARY. *Assume that $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_c(D_0 + D_\infty))$ is not zero and $\text{char}(k) \nmid \text{deg}(f)$. Then, for all but a finite number of points c of C , the required extension \tilde{f} does not exist if X is the Schiffer variation $(1/t)(d/dt)$ at the point c .*

Proof. The rational differential df is not zero. In characteristic zero, this is true because f is not constant. In characteristic p , it follows because p does not divide the degree of f .

Thus for all but a finite number of points c of C , $X(f) = (1/t)(df/dt)$ is a principal part at c of order one. As $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_c(D_0 + D_\infty)) \neq 0$, by the previous remark, we know that almost of these principal parts are not zero. Hence our corollary follows from the proposition. □

Now we will be done as soon as we finish the

Proof of the theorem. Let $g: \tilde{C} \rightarrow M$ be a family of smooth complete curves where M is an irreducible variety. We will also assume that, for each point m of M , g gives a universal deformation of the fiber $g^{-1}(m)$.

For some positive integer e , let $\text{Div} \rightarrow M$ be the variety of effective relative divisors on \tilde{C}/M of degree e . Let X be the subset $\{(D_0, D_\infty) \mid dD_0 \sim dD_\infty\}$ of $\text{Div} \times_M \text{Div}$.

By the see-saw principle, X is a closed subset. Consider the conditions $D_0 \neq D_\infty$ and $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_c(D_0 + D_\infty)) \neq 0$. The first condition defines an open subset of X and the second condition defines a closed subset of X by upper-semicontinuity. Let Y be the subvariety of X defined by these two conditions. We have a tautological morphism $\sigma: Y \rightarrow M$. The precise statement of the theorem is that σ omits an open dense subset of M .

Assume otherwise. Then by Sard's theorem ($\text{char } k = 0$) we may find a locally closed smooth subvariety M' of Y , which is etale over

M . Replacing M by M' and \tilde{C} by $\tilde{C} \times_M M'$, we may assume that we have effective relative divisors \tilde{D}_0 and \tilde{D}_∞ on C , whose fibers over M satisfy the above two conditions. We may find effective relative divisors \bar{E} , \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_∞ on \tilde{C} such that \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_∞ have no common component and $\bar{E} + \bar{D}_i = \tilde{D}_i$. Further replacing M by an open subset we may assume that \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_∞ are disjoint.

By construction we have a rational function \tilde{f} on \tilde{C} with zero divisor $d\bar{D}_0$ and polar divisor $d\bar{D}_\infty$. By the first condition \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_∞ are relative divisors of positive degree and consequently \tilde{f} is not constant. We may now specialize our attention to a particular fiber C of g . By the corollary and the universality of the deformation, $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(\bar{D}_0 + \bar{D}_\infty)|_C) = 0$. Hence its quotient $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{D}_0 + \tilde{D}_\infty)|_C) = 0$. As this violates the second condition, the theorem follows by contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

1. E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, *Su una congettura di Petri*, to appear.
2. H. M. Farkas, *Special divisors and analytic subloci of the Teichmüller space*, Amer. J. Math., **88** (1966), 881-901.
3. G. Kempf, *On algebraic curves*, J. für reine und and. Math., **295** (1977), 40-48.

Received January 30, 1980. Research supported by NSF Grant #7900965.

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
BALTIMORE, MD 21218

