

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF $M$ -IDEALS IN $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ FOR $1 < p < \infty$

PATRICK FLINN

**For  $1 < p < \infty$  the only nontrivial  $M$ -ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , the bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{L}_p$ , is  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , the ideal of compact operators on  $\mathcal{L}_p$ .**

1. **Introduction.** Certain theorems for  $B(H)$  (the bounded linear operators on  $H$  a separable Hilbert space) are known to hold for  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . For example, it is well known that the only nontrivial closed two-sided ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , the compact linear operators on  $\mathcal{L}_p$ . Hennefeld [4] has shown that  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . It is also known that  $K(\mathcal{L}_2)$  is the only nontrivial  $M$ -ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_2)$ . This follows from the fact that in a  $B^*$ -algebra, the  $M$ -ideals are precisely the closed two-sided ideals [5]. The purpose of this paper is to show that this result also generalizes to  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ . As this paper is largely based on the work of Smith and Ward [5] it is perhaps not surprising that a result of theirs, namely that every nontrivial  $M$ -ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  contains  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , has a new proof.

2. **Preliminaries.** A closed subspace  $L$  of a Banach space  $X$  is said to be an  $L$ -ideal [ $M$ -summand] if there exists a closed subspace  $L'$  such that  $X = L \oplus L'$  and  $\|\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}'\| = \|\mathcal{L}\| + \|\mathcal{L}'\|$  [ $\|\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}'\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{L}\|, \|\mathcal{L}'\|\}$ ] for every  $\mathcal{L} \in L$  and  $\mathcal{L}' \in L'$ . A closed subspace  $M$  of a Banach space  $X$  is an  $M$ -ideal if  $M^\perp$  is an  $L$ -ideal in  $X^*$ . Note that  $M$ -summands are  $M$ -ideals, but the latter is a more general concept. [For example,  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  but not an  $M$ -summand, as  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$  is not complemented in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .] For basic properties of  $M$ -ideals,  $L$ -ideals and  $M$ -summands, refer to [1].

The state space  $S$  of a Banach algebra  $A$  with identity  $e$  is defined to be  $\{\phi \in A^*: \phi(e) = \|\phi\| = 1\}$ . An element  $h \in A$  is hermitian if  $\|e^{i\lambda h}\| = 1$  for all real  $\lambda$ . Equivalently [2]  $h$  is hermitian if and only if  $\{\phi(h): \phi \in S\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ .  $A^{**}$  when endowed with Arens multiplication [3] is a Banach algebra with identity  $e$ , and by the weak-star density of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$ ,  $h \in A^{**}$  is hermitian if and only if  $h$  is real valued on the state space of  $A$ .

In [5] it is shown that  $M$ -ideals in Banach algebras are necessarily subalgebras. Other results of this paper and [6] needed in the sequel are now summarized:

Let  $M$  be an  $M$ -ideal in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then clearly  $M^{\perp\perp}$  is an  $M$ -summand in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^{**}$ ; that is,  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^{**} = M^{\perp\perp} \oplus_{c_0} M^*$ . Let

$P: B(\mathcal{L}_p)^{**} \rightarrow M^{\perp\perp}$  be the associated  $M$ -projection. Let  $I$  denote the identity in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , and let  $P(I) = z$ . Throughout this paper, the following arithmetical facts will be collectively referred to as (\*):

$z = z^2$  is hermitian, and commutes with every other hermitian element of  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^{**}$ .  $zM^{\perp\perp} \subseteq M^{\perp\perp}$ ,  $zM^{\#} \subseteq M^{\#}$ , and  $zM^{\#}z = 0$ . Likewise,  $(e - z)M^{\perp\perp} \subseteq M^{\perp\perp}$ ,  $(e - z)M^{\#} \subseteq M^{\#}$ , and  $(e - z)M^{\perp\perp}(e - z) = 0$ .

If  $S$  is the state space of  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , then  $S = F_1 \oplus_{\text{conv}} F_2$  where  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^* = M^{\perp} \oplus_{\mathcal{L}_1} \tilde{M}$ ,  $F_1 = M^{\perp} \cap S$ , and  $F_2 = \tilde{M} \cap S$  (i.e.,  $\phi \in S \rightarrow$  there exist unique  $\phi_1 \in F_1$ ,  $\phi_2 \in F_2$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\phi = t\phi_1 + (1 - t)\phi_2$ ). If  $z$  is regarded as a real valued affine function on  $S$ , then  $z|_{F_1} = 0$  and  $z|_{F_2} = 1$ .

An important fact used in this paper which follows easily from the definition of the hermitian elements is that in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , any diagonal matrix with real entries is hermitian. [These are in fact precisely the hermitian elements of  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  if  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$  [7].]

In § 3, a matrix  $A \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  whose  $i$ th row  $j$ th column entry is  $a_{ij}$  will be denoted  $\sum_{i,j \geq 1} a_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i$ , where  $e_j \otimes e_i$  is the rank-one map that sends  $e_j$  to  $e_i$ . ( $(e_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is the canonical basis for  $\mathcal{L}_p$ .) Note that if  $A \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , then  $\|A(e_i)\| \leq \|A\|$  for every  $i$ . That is, every column of  $A$  is an element of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  whose norm does not exceed  $\|A\|$ . By considering the adjoint, we have that every row of  $A$  is an element of  $\mathcal{L}_q$  [ $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ] whose norm is less than or equal to  $\|A\|$ . Clearly,  $|a_{ij}| \leq \|A\|$  for every  $i, j$ , and if  $A$  is a matrix with at most one nonzero entry in each row and column, [for example if  $A$  is diagonal] then  $\|A\|$  is the  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -norm of the sequence of nonzero entries.

**3. Results.** Assume all notation in § 2, and assume  $M \neq 0$ . Recall that  $I$  denotes the identity on  $\mathcal{L}_p$ , where throughout this section  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $h$  is hermitian in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  and  $h^2 = I$ , then for every  $m \in M$ ,  $hm \in M$  and  $mh \in M$ .*

*Proof.* Considering  $h$  as canonically embedded in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^{**}$ ,  $h = h_1 + h_2$  where  $h_1 \in M^{\perp\perp}$ ,  $h_2 \in M^{\#}$ , and  $\|h\| = \max\{\|h_1\|, \|h_2\|\}$ . Note that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are themselves hermitian elements of  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^{**}$ , for if  $f_1 \in F_1$  then  $f_1(h_1) = 0$  and if  $f_2 \in F_2$ ,  $f_2(h_1) = f_2(h) \in \mathbf{R}$ . So for any  $\phi \in S$ ,  $\phi(h_1) \in \mathbf{R}$ , i.e.,  $h_1$  is hermitian. The same reasoning applied to  $h_2$  shows that  $h_2$  is also hermitian.  $h^2 = I = h_1^2 + h_1h_2 + h_2h_1 + h_2^2$ , however it is easy to see that  $h_1h_2 = 0 = h_2h_1$ , since by (\*) we have that

$$h_1h_2 = zh_1h_2 + (e - z)h_1h_2 = h_1zh_2z + (e - z)h_1(e - z)h_2 = 0.$$

Similarly,  $h_2h_1 = 0$ , hence  $I = h_1^2 + h_2^2$ .

Now pick  $m \in M$ , and wlog assume  $\|m\| = 1$ . We'll show that  $hm \in M$ . [ $mh \in M$  is shown in similar fashion.] There exist  $m_1 \in M^{\perp\perp}$  and  $m_2 \in M^*$  such that  $hm = m_1 + m_2$ . Claim:  $zm_2 = 0 = m_2z$ . To see this, note that  $zhm = zm_1 + zm_2$  where [using (\*)]  $zhm = zhzm \in M^{\perp\perp}$  and  $zm_1 \in M^{\perp\perp}$ . Hence  $zm_2 \in M^{\perp\perp} \cap M^* = 0$  and so  $zm_2 = 0$ .

To show  $m_2z = 0$  is a little harder:  $hmz = h_1mz + h_2mz = m_1z + m_2z$  where  $h_1mz \in M^{\perp\perp}$  and  $m_1z \in M^{\perp\perp}$ . If we knew that  $h_2mz \in M^{\perp\perp}$ , then as before we'd have  $m_2z \in M^{\perp\perp} \cap M^* = 0$  and our claim would be established. So suppose  $h_2mz \notin M^{\perp\perp}$ . Then there exists some  $f_1 \in S \cap M^\perp$  so that  $f_1(h_2mz) \neq 0$ . [This happens as the state space spans  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  and hence  $F_1$  spans  $M^\perp$ .] Choose  $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$  so that  $f_1(e^{i\theta}h_2mz) = \delta > 0$ . Then  $e^{i\theta}mz \in M^{\perp\perp}$  has norm at most one,  $h_2 \in M^*$  has norm at most one, so  $\|h_2(e^{i\theta}mz + h_2)\| \leq 1$ . But  $1 \geq f_1(e^{i\theta}h_2mz + h_2^2) = \delta + f_1(h_2^2) = \delta + f_1(I) = \delta + 1$ , a contradiction which proves the claim. Now  $(e - z)hm(e - z) = (e - z)m_1(e - z) + (e - z)m_2(e - z)$ . But by (\*) we have that  $(e - z)hm(e - z) = h(e - z)m(e - z) = 0 = (e - z)m_1(e - z)$ , so  $0 = (e - z)m_2(e - z) = m_2$ , that is,  $hm = m_1 \in M^{\perp\perp} \cap B(\mathcal{L}_p) = M$ .  $\square$

REMARK. Although stated for  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , this lemma is true [by the same proof] for any  $M$ -ideal  $M$  and norm-1 hermitian  $h$  where  $h^2 = I$ .

COROLLARY. If  $h$  is any diagonal matrix in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , then  $hM \subseteq M$  and  $Mh \subseteq M$ .

*Proof.* At this point we know that if  $h$  is a diagonal matrix with only  $\pm 1$ 's on the diagonal, then  $h^2 = I$  and so  $hM \subseteq M$  and  $Mh \subseteq M$ . But by averaging two such hermitian elements, we have that if  $h$  is any diagonal matrix with only 1's or 0's on the diagonal, then  $hM \subseteq M$  and  $Mh \subseteq M$ . Hence the result holds for any finite valued diagonal matrix. But such matrices are dense in the diagonal elements of  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , and so as  $M$  is closed,  $hM \subseteq M$  and  $Mh \subseteq M$  for any diagonal  $h$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY.  $M \supseteq K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .

*Proof.* By the previous corollary, if  $E_{ij}$  denotes the elementary matrix with a 1 in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column and zeros elsewhere, then  $E_{ii}ME_{jj} \subseteq M$  for every  $i \geq 1$  and  $j \geq 1$ . As  $M \neq 0$  there is an  $A = \sum a_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  such that for some  $k$  and  $\ell$   $a_{k\ell} = 1$ . Hence  $E_{k\ell} = E_{kk}AE_{\ell\ell} \in M$ . Claim: for every  $p \geq 1$ ,  $E_{p\ell} \in M$ . If there is any  $m = \sum m_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  so that  $m_{p\ell} \neq 0$ , then  $E_{p\ell} = (1/m_{p\ell})E_{pp}mE_{i\ell} \in M$ . So if every  $m = \sum m_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  has the property that  $m_{p\ell} = 0$ , then the norm-1 functional  $\rho_2 \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  defined by  $\rho_2(\sum t_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i) = t_{p\ell}$  is in  $M^\perp$ . Let  $\rho_1 \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  be defined by  $\rho_1(\sum t_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i) = t_{k\ell}$ . Then

$\|\rho_1\| = 1$ . Claim:  $\rho_1 \in \tilde{M}$ . To see this, suppose that  $\rho_1 = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  where  $\psi_1 \in M^\perp$ ,  $\psi_2 \in \tilde{M}$ . Then  $\|\rho_1\| = \|\psi_1\| + \|\psi_2\|$ , and  $1 = \|\rho_1\| = \rho_1(E_{k\ell}) = \psi_1(E_{k\ell}) + \psi_2(E_{k\ell}) = \psi_2(E_{k\ell})$ , so  $\|\psi_2\| = 1 \rightarrow \|\psi_1\| = 0$ . Hence  $2 = \|\rho_1 + \rho_2\|$ . Choose  $T = \sum t_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  so that  $\|T\| = 1$  and  $|\rho_1(T) + \rho_2(T)| > 2^{1/q}$  where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then  $2^{1/q} < |t_{p\ell} + t_{k\ell}| \leq (|t_{p\ell}|^p + |t_{k\ell}|^p)^{1/p} \cdot 2^{1/q} \leq \|T_{(e_\rho)}\| \cdot 2^{1/q} \leq 2^{1/q}$ , a contradiction implying that  $E_{p\ell} \in M$ . A similar argument shows that if  $E_{ij} \in M$ , then for every  $k \geq 1$ ,  $E_{ik} \in M$ . Hence  $M \supseteq \{E_{ij}; i, j \geq 1\}$  which is a basis for  $K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , that is,  $M \supseteq K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .  $\square$

Note that if  $h$  is hermitian and  $h \in M$  then  $hB(\mathcal{L}_p)h \subseteq M$ . This follows from the simple observation that if  $h \in M$ , then by (\*),  $(e - z)h = (e - z)^2 h = (e - z)h(e - z) = 0 = h(e - z)$ , since  $h$  is hermitian. So  $zh = hz = h$ , and for any  $A \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ ,  $hAh = hzAz h \in M$ . From this we see that if  $I \in M$ , then  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $A = \sum a_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  where  $(a_{ii})_{i \geq 1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \setminus \mathcal{C}_0$ , then  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .

*Proof.* wlog there exists an infinite sequence of integers  $f(1) < f(2) < \dots$  so that  $A = \sum_i e_{f(i)} \otimes e_{f(i)}$ . The reduction to this case illustrates a typical use of Lemma 1 that occurs several times in this paper. This time it will be done in detail:

There exists a  $\delta > 0$  and a sequence of positive integers  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  so that  $\delta < |a_{i_k i_k}| \leq \|A\|$  for each  $k$ . As  $hA \in M$  where  $h = \sum_{k \geq 1} (1/|a_{i_k i_k}|) e_{i_k} \otimes e_{i_k}$  we may assume wlog that  $a_{i_k i_k} = 1$  for every  $k$ . Choose a sequence of positive numbers  $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1}$  so that  $\sum_{i \geq 1} \varepsilon_i < \infty$ . Let  $f(1) = i_1$  and choose  $\alpha_1 > f(1)$  so that

$$\left( \sum_{j \geq \alpha_1} |a_{f(1)j}|^q \right)^{1/q} < \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \sum_{i \geq \alpha_1} |a_{if(1)}|^p \right)^{1/p} < \varepsilon_2.$$

Choose a  $k_2$  so that  $i_{k_2} > \alpha_1$  and set  $f(2) = i_{k_2}$ . Now find  $\alpha_2 > f(2)$  so that  $(\sum_{j \geq \alpha_2} |a_{f(2)j}|^q)^{1/q} < \varepsilon_3$  and  $(\sum_{i \geq \alpha_2} |a_{if(2)}|^p)^{1/p} < \varepsilon_4$ , etc. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is an  $n$  such that  $\sum_{i \geq n} \varepsilon_i < \varepsilon$ . If  $h = \sum h_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i$  where

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = f(k) \text{ for some } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $K$  denotes the first  $f(n)$  rows and columns of  $hAh - \sum_{k \geq 1} e_{f(k)} \otimes e_{f(k)}$ , then  $K$  represents a compact operator on  $\mathcal{L}_p$ , and by choice of  $K$   $\|hAh - \sum_{k \geq 1} e_{f(k)} \otimes e_{f(k)} - K\| < \varepsilon$ . As  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $hAh - K \in M$  we have that

$$\sum_k e_{f(k)} \otimes e_{f(k)} \in M.$$

If  $f(N)^c$  is finite, then there exists a compact  $K$  so that  $A + K = I \in M \rightarrow M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . So assume  $f(N)^c$  is infinite and let  $g$  enumerate  $f(N)^c$ .

*Claim.*  $B = \sum_i e_{g(i)} \otimes e_{f(i)} \in M$ .

Note that proving this claim is sufficient to finish the lemma, since the same argument can be modified to show that

$$C = \sum_i e_{f(i)} \otimes e_{g(i)} \in M, \text{ hence again } I = A + CB \in M.$$

We first show that  $d(B, M)$  is zero or one.

Now if  $h = \sum_{i \in I} e_i \otimes e_i$  where  $I$  is any subset of positive integers, then  $d(h, M)$  is either zero or one for any  $M$ -ideal  $M$ , for if there is a  $\delta > 0$  and  $m \in M$  such that  $\|h - m\| = \delta$ , then by the first corollary to Lemma 1,  $(h - m)^2 = h - (hm + mh - m^2) \rightarrow d(h, M) \leq \delta^2$ .

Let  $P$  be the permutation matrix which as an operator on  $\mathcal{L}_p$  interchanges, for every  $i$ ,  $e_{f(i)}$  with  $e_{g(i)}$ . Then  $AP = B$ . It is easily checked that  $M_P = \{mP : m \in M\}$  is an  $M$ -ideal isometric to  $M$ . Indeed the isometry  $T: B(\mathcal{L}_p) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  given by  $T(N) = NP$  induces an isometry [call it  $T$  again] on  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  by  $\langle N, T\varphi \rangle = \langle NP, \varphi \rangle$ . Then  $T(M) = M_P$ ,  $T(M^\perp) = M_P^\perp$  and  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)^* = T(M^\perp) \oplus_{\mathcal{L}_1} T(\tilde{M})$ . Therefore  $d(B, M) = d(A, M_P) = 1$  or  $0$ .

Now assuming that  $B \notin M$ , there is a  $\varphi \in M^\perp$  so that  $\|\varphi\| = 1 = \varphi(B)$ . Define  $\varphi_A \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  by  $\varphi_A(N) = \varphi(NB)$ . Then  $AB = B \rightarrow \varphi_A(A) = 1 = \|\varphi_A\|$ . But then  $\varphi_A \in \tilde{M}$  since  $A \in M$ . [This calculation occurs in the corollary above stating that  $M \cong K(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .] Thus  $\|\varphi_A + \varphi\| = 2$ . But there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any norm-1  $N \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , we have that  $|\varphi_A(N) + \varphi(N)| \leq \|\varphi\| \cdot \|N\| \cdot \|B + I\| < 2 - \varepsilon$ , a contradiction implying that  $B \in M$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $B = \sum b_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  where  $B$  contains a sequence of entries  $(b_{i_k j_k})_{k \geq 1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \setminus c_0$ , then  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 2, we may assume wlog that there exist infinite sequences  $f(1) < f(2) < \dots$  and  $g(1) < g(2) < \dots$  such that  $f(i) \neq g(j)$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and so that  $\sum_i e_{g(i)} \otimes e_{f(i)} \in M$ . Call this matrix  $B$ , and let  $A = \sum_i e_{f(i)} \otimes e_{f(i)}$ . If  $P$  and  $M_P$  are as in Lemma 2, then  $0 = d(B, M) = d(A, M_P) \rightarrow$  [by Lemma 2]  $M_P = B(\mathcal{L}_p) \rightarrow M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ .  $\square$

If  $T = \sum t_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  and  $T$  is not compact, then it is not necessarily the case that there is a subsequence of entries  $(t_{i_k j_k})_{k \geq 1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \setminus c_0$ . But what is true [and will be shown in the proof of the next

theorem] is that  $T$  has infinitely many square blocks each of whose norm is larger than some fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So what essentially remains to be done is to generalize preceding arguments from 1 by 1 blocks to square blocks of arbitrary dimension.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $T = \sum t_{ij}e_j \otimes e_i$  is not compact. Then  $T \in M \rightarrow M = B(\ell_p)$ .*

*Proof.* wlog  $\|T\| = 1$ . The argument of Lemma 2 modifies to show that wlog  $T$  is a direct sum of diagonal square blocks  $\bar{T}_i$  where  $\|\bar{T}_i\| = 1$ . Although this is well known, it is included for the sake of completeness. We can do this in more generality as follows:

Suppose  $T = \sum t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i \in B(X)$  where  $X$  is a reflexive space with 1 unconditional basis  $(e_i)_{i \geq 1}$  [so  $(e_i^*)_{i \geq 1}$  is a basis for  $X^*$ ]. Suppose  $T$  is in an  $M$ -ideal  $M \subseteq B(X)$ . Since  $T$  is not compact, there is a  $\delta > 0$  and a sequence  $(z_i)_{i \geq 1} \subseteq X$  such that  $\|z_i\| = 1$  and  $\|T(z_i)\| > 2\delta$  for every  $i$ , and  $z_i \rightarrow 0$  in the weak topology. Let  $x_i = z_i$  where  $x_i = \sum_{k \geq 1} x_k^1 e_k$ . Then there exist  $p_1 \geq 1$  and  $p'_1 \geq 1$  so that  $\|T(\sum_{k=1}^{p_1} x_k^1 e_k)\| > \delta$ , and if  $T(\sum_{k=1}^{p_1} x_k^1 e_k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} y_k^1 e_k$ , then also  $\|\sum_{k=1}^{p_1} y_k^1 e_k\| > \delta$ . Define  $m_1 = 0$ , let  $n_1 = \max\{p_1, p'_1\}$  and let  $\bar{T}_1 = \sum_{i,j=m_1+1}^{m_1+n_1} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i$ . Then  $\delta < \|\bar{T}_1\| \leq 1$ . Choose a sequence  $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1}$  of positive numbers so that  $\sum_{i \geq 1} \varepsilon_i < \infty$ . Now  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i$  represents a compact operator [its adjoint is finite rank] and so there exists  $\beta_1 > n_1$  such that  $\|\sum_{i=\beta_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i\| < \varepsilon_1$  [if  $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$  are the natural basis projections defined by  $P_n(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ , then  $(\bar{T}_1 P_{n_1} - P_n \bar{T}_1 P_{n_1})(x) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , and as  $\bar{T}_1$  is compact this convergence is uniform on the unit ball, hence  $\|\bar{T}_1 P_{n_1} - P_n \bar{T}_1 P_{n_1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ]. As  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j \geq 1} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i$  is finite rank [hence compact] similar reasoning shows that there is an  $\alpha_1 > n_1$  so that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=\alpha_1}^{\infty} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i\| < \varepsilon_2$ . Define  $m_2 = \max\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}$ . Since  $z_i \rightarrow 0$  weakly, we can use a standard gliding hump argument to find a  $k_2 > 1$  such that  $x_2 = z_{k_2}$  has the property that if  $x_2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} x_k^2 e_k$  then there exists a  $p_2 \geq 1$  and  $p'_2 \geq 1$  such that  $\|T(\sum_{k=m_2+1}^{m_2+p_2} x_k^2 e_k)\| > \delta$ , and if  $T(\sum_{k=m_2+1}^{m_2+p_2} x_k^2 e_k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} y_k^2 e_k$ , then also  $\|\sum_{k=m_2+1}^{m_2+p_2} y_k^2 e_k\| > \delta$ . Let  $n_2 = \max\{p_2, p'_2\}$  and let  $\bar{T}_2 = \sum_{i,j=m_2+1}^{m_2+n_2} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i$ . Then  $\delta < \|\bar{T}_2\| \leq 1$ . Again find  $\beta_2 > m_2 + n_2$  and  $\alpha_2 > m_2 + n_2$  so that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=\beta_2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{m_2+n_2} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i \right\| < \varepsilon_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{i=m_2+1}^{m_2+n_2} \sum_{j=\alpha_2}^{\infty} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i \right\| < \varepsilon_4.$$

Let  $m_3 = \max\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}$  and repeat the process on  $\sum_{i,j \geq m_3+1} t_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i$ . Let  $h = \sum h_{ij}e_j^* \otimes e_i$  be the hermitian element defined by

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is a } k \text{ so that } m_k + 1 \leq i = j \leq m_k + n_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $hTh \in M$ . [Although the corollary to Lemma 1 need not hold here, what the proof of the corollary actually shows is that  $M$  is closed under multiplication by real diagonal matrices.] To see that  $T' = \sum_i \bar{T}_i \in M$ , choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is an  $\ell$  so that  $\sum_{i \geq \ell} \varepsilon_i < \varepsilon$ . Let  $K$  denote the compact operator represented by the first  $m_\ell + n_\ell$  rows and columns of  $hTh - T'$ . Then by the choice of  $\ell$ ,  $\|hTh - T' - K\| < \varepsilon$  and as  $M$  is closed we have that  $T' \in M$ . If  $h' = \sum h'_{ij} e_j^* \otimes e_i$  is defined by

$$h'_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\bar{T}_k\|} & \text{if } m_k + 1 \leq i = j \leq m_k + n_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $\|h'\| \leq 1/\delta$ ,  $h'T' \in M$ , and  $h'T'$  is a direct sum of diagonal square blocks each having norm 1. Returning now to  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , we see that we may assume that if  $T$  is not compact and  $T \in M$ , then wlog  $T = \sum_i \bar{T}_i$  where each  $\bar{T}_k = \sum_{i,j=m_k+1}^{m_k+n_k} t_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i$ ,  $\|\bar{T}_i\| = 1$ , and  $m_k + n_k + 1 < m_{k+1}$ . Since  $\|\bar{T}_k\| = 1$ , there exist  $x_k = (x_1^k, \dots, x_{n_k}^k) \in \mathcal{L}_p^{n_k}$ ,  $y_k = (y_1^k, \dots, y_{n_k}^k)$  and  $z_k = (z_1^k, \dots, z_{n_k}^k) \in \mathcal{L}_q^{n_k}$  all of norm-1 such that  $\langle \bar{T}_k(x_k), y_k \rangle = 1 = \langle z_k, x_k \rangle$  for all  $k$ . Define norm-1 matrices  $A, X, Y$ , and  $Z$  in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  by

$$A = \sum_{k \geq 1} e_{m_k+1} \otimes e_{m_k+1}, \quad X = \sum_{k \geq 1} X_k, \quad Y = \sum_{k \geq 1} Y_k, \quad \text{and} \\ Z = \sum_{k \geq 1} Z_k$$

where

$$X_k = \sum_{j \leq n_k} x_j^k e_{m_k+1} \otimes e_{m_k+j}, \quad Y_k = \sum_{j \leq n_k} y_j^k e_{m_k+j} \otimes e_{m_k+1}, \quad \text{and} \\ Z_k = \sum_{j \leq n_k} z_j^k e_{m_k+j} \otimes e_{m_k+1}.$$

Then  $ZX = YTX = A$ . *Claim:* If  $X \in M$ , then  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . For if not, choose  $\varphi \in c_0^+$  so that  $\|\varphi\| = 1 = \varphi(1, 1, \dots)$ . Define  $\gamma \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  by  $\gamma(N) = \varphi[(n_{m_k+n_k+1}, m_{k+1})_{k \geq 1}]$  where  $N = \sum n_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i$ . We may assume that  $\gamma \in M^\perp$ , or else  $M$  contains an element with a sequence of entries in  $\mathcal{L}_\infty \setminus c_0$ , hence  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . If  $X \in M$ , then the functional  $\gamma_1$  defined by  $\gamma_1(N) = \varphi[(\langle ZN \rangle_{m_k+1, m_k+1})_{k \geq 1}]$  is in  $\tilde{M}$ , as  $\gamma_1(X) = 1$  and as has been noted before, any functional attaining its norm at a norm-1 element of  $M$  is in  $\tilde{M}$ . Therefore  $2 = \|\gamma + \gamma_1\|$ . However for any  $N \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$  of norm-1, we have that

$$|\gamma(N) + \gamma_1(N)| = |\varphi[(n_{m_k+n_k+1, m_k+1} + \sum_{j \leq n_k} z_j^k n_{m_k+j, m_k+1})_{k \geq 1}]| \\ \leq \| (z_1^k, z_2^k, \dots, z_{n_k}^k, 1) \|_q = 2^{1/q},$$

a contradiction implying that  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . What this argument in fact shows is that if  $M$  contains any element with the same form as  $X$  then  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . In particular the functional  $\varphi_2$  defined by

$\varphi_2(N) = \varphi[\{(YN)_{m_k+1, m_k+n_k+1}\}_{k \geq 1}]$  is in  $M^\perp$ . [For if there is an  $m = \sum m_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i \in M$  such that  $\varphi_2(m) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\|\bar{m}_k\| > \varepsilon$  for infinitely many  $k$  where  $\bar{m}_k = \sum_{j \leq n_k} m_{m_k+j, m_k+n_k+1} e_{m_k+n_k+1} \otimes e_{m_k+j}$ . Reasoning as in Lemma 2 we may pass to a subsequence if necessary to get  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \bar{m}_k \in M$ , which up to normalization of the blocks  $\bar{m}_k$  has the same form as  $X$ .] Finally define  $\varphi_1 \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)^*$  by  $\varphi_1(N) = \varphi[\{(YNX)_{m_k+1, m_k+1}\}_{k \geq 1}]$ . As  $\varphi_1(T) = 1$ ,  $\varphi_1 \in \tilde{M}$ , and so  $2 = \|\varphi_1 + \varphi_2\|$ . But for any norm-1  $N \in B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_1(N) + \varphi_2(N)| &\leq \sup_k \left| \sum_{j \leq n_k} (YN)_{m_k+1, m_k+j} x_j^k + (YN)_{m_k+1, m_k+n_k+1} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_k \|(x_1^k, \dots, x_{n_k}^k, 1)\|_p = 2^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction showing that if  $T \in M$  then  $M = B(\mathcal{L}_p)$ . □

The properties of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  used to prove this theorem are the existence of a symmetric basis and of certain convexity conditions in the space and its dual.

J. Hennefeld recently announced the following result [AMS Notices Volume 25, Number 6, 760-B8].

**THEOREM.** *The only 1-symmetric spaces  $X$  for which  $K(X)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $B(X)$  are  $c_0$  and  $\mathcal{L}_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

Hence combining these theorems we have that if  $X$  is not  $c_0$  or  $\mathcal{L}_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , has a symmetric basis in  $X$  and  $X^*$  and satisfies the required convexity conditions, then there are no nontrivial  $M$ -ideals in  $B(X)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and E. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math., **96** (1972), 98-173.
2. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 2, Cambridge (1971).
3. ———, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergebnisse der Math., **80**, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
4. J. Hennefeld, *A decomposition of  $B(X)^*$  and unique Hahn-Banach extensions*, Pacific J. Math., (1973), 197-199.
5. R. R. Smith and J. D. Ward, *M-ideal Structure in Banach Algebras*, J. Functional Analysis, **27** (1978), 337-349.
6. ———, *M-ideals in  $B(\mathcal{L}_p)$* , Pacific J. Math., **81** (1979), 227-237.
7. K. W. Tam, *Isometries of certain function spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **31** (1969), 233-246.

Received February 20, 1979 and in revised form March 5, 1981.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY  
COLUMBUS, OH 43210