

RINGS ON CERTAIN MIXED ABELIAN GROUPS

DAVID R. JACKETT

This paper is concerned with the ring structures supported by certain mixed abelian groups. A class \mathcal{M} of mixed abelian groups of torsion-free rank one is introduced, and properties of rings on groups in \mathcal{M} are discussed. We provide complete descriptions of the absolute annihilator and the absolute radical of groups in \mathcal{M} . These absolute ideals are also investigated for cotorsion groups and reduced algebraically compact groups, thus providing a partial solution to Problem 94 of Fuchs (Infinite abelian groups, Vol. II). The results also allow us to answer a question raised by Rotman (J. Algebra, 9 (1968), 369-387) concerning completions of rings.

1. Preliminaries. All groups that we consider are additive abelian groups. A ring on a group A , denoted (A, \cdot) , is distributive, not necessarily associative, and may not have an identity.

A subgroup B of A is an *absolute ideal* of A if (B, \cdot) is a (two sided) ideal of (A, \cdot) for every ring (A, \cdot) on A . The *absolute annihilator* of A , denoted A^* , is $\{a \in A \mid a \cdot A = 0 = A \cdot a \text{ for all rings } (A, \cdot) \text{ on } A\}$. If (A, \cdot) is associative, its (Jacobson) radical is denoted $J(A, \cdot)$. The *absolute radical* of A is $J(A)$, the intersection of all $J(A, \cdot)$ over all associative rings (A, \cdot) on A .

All other group and ring theoretical notation is standard and can be found in Fuchs [3] and Jacobson [6] respectively.

The structures of the absolute annihilator and the absolute radical of a torsion group are well known.

(1.1) (Fuchs [3] Vol. II, p. 289). *If A is a torsion group, then $A^* = A^1 = \bigcap_n nA$, and $J(A) = \bigcap_p pA$,* □

The following results, where A need not be torsion, are easily proved.

(1.2) *Suppose $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$. Then $A^* \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i^*$, and $J(A) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} J(A_i)$.*

(1.3) *If B is an absolute ideal of A , then $J(B) \subseteq J(A)$.* □

2. A class of mixed groups of torsion-free rank one. Let \mathcal{M} denote the class of groups A such that A has torsion-free rank one and A can be embedded as a pure subgroup of $\prod_p A_p$, where

A_p is the p -primary component of $T(A)$, the torsion subgroup of A .

Suppose A is a mixed group. For $a \in \prod_p A_p$ let \bar{a} denote the image of a under the natural map $\prod_p A_p \rightarrow \prod_p A_p / \bigoplus_p A_p = \prod_p A_p / T(A)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1.

(a) *If $A \in \mathcal{M}$, then $A/T(A) \cong Q$ and A_p is a direct summand of A for each prime p . Conversely, if A is a non-splitting mixed group for which $A/T(A) \cong Q$ and A_p is a direct summand of A for each prime p , then the reduced part of A is in \mathcal{M} .*

(b) *If $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and a is an element of infinite order in A , then A is the inverse image of $\langle \bar{a} \rangle_*$, the pure subgroup generated by \bar{a} , under the natural map $\prod_p A_p \rightarrow \prod_p A_p / \bigoplus_p A_p$. Conversely, for p -groups A_p and any element a in $\prod_p A_p$ of infinite order, the group A defined as the inverse image of $\langle \bar{a} \rangle_*$ under the natural map $\prod_p A_p \rightarrow \prod_p A_p / \bigoplus_p A_p$ is in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. The only statement requiring more than elementary group theory is the second statement in (a), which can be proved using arguments found in Rajagopalan and Rotman [8]. □

A consequence of (a) is that if A is a reduced mixed group of torsion-free rank one, then various conditions on either the endomorphism ring of A , or the rings supported by A force A to be in \mathcal{M} . Examples abound in the literature, see for example Fuchs [2], Fuchs and Rangaswamy [4], Rangaswamy [9], Schultz [11], and Szele and Szendrei [13].

If $A \in \mathcal{M}$, then for each prime p there is a subgroup $A^{(p)}$ of A such that $A = A_p \oplus A^{(p)}$. Any ring (A_p, \cdot) on A_p can be extended to a ring (A, \cdot) on A by taking the ring direct sum of (A_p, \cdot) with the trivial ring (all products are zero) on $A^{(p)}$. This method of extending a ring from a summand of a group to the group will be called *extending by zero* and will be used frequently throughout this paper. Clearly $(A, \cdot)^2 \subseteq T(A)$ in this case. Since there do not exist mixed nil groups, see Szele [12], it seems natural to ask which groups A in \mathcal{M} have the property that all rings (A, \cdot) on A satisfy $(A, \cdot)^2 \subseteq T(A)$. We can partially characterise such groups.

If $a = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_p, \dots)$ in A has infinite order, define $\text{supp}(a) = \{\text{primes } p \mid a_p \neq 0\}$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_p, \dots)$ be an element of infinite order in A . If for almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $\langle a_p \rangle$ is a direct summand of A_p , then there is an associative ring (A, \cdot) on A such that $(A, \cdot)^2 \not\subseteq T(A)$.*

Proof. If $\langle a_p \rangle$ is a summand of A_p define an associative ring $(\langle a_p \rangle, \cdot)$ on $\langle a_p \rangle$ by letting $a_p \cdot a_p = a_p$, and extend this by zero to obtain an associative ring (A_p, \cdot) on A_p . If q is a prime for which $\langle a_q \rangle$ is not a summand of A_q , define (A_q, \cdot) to be the trivial ring on A_q .

Now take the ring direct product of the rings (A_p, \cdot) to obtain an associative ring $(\prod_p A_p, \cdot)$ on $\prod_p A_p$. For almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $a_p \cdot a_p = a_p$, so $a \cdot a - a \in T(A)$. Since A has torsion-free rank one, (2.1)(b) shows (A, \cdot) is a subring of $(\prod_p A_p, \cdot)$ with the desired property. □

If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_p, \dots)$ is an element of A , then for each prime p the p -indicator of a in A , $U_p(a) = (h_p(a), h_p(p^2a), \dots)$, is the indicator of a_p in A_p . Hence if $U_p(a)$ commences with an ordinal (and not ∞), then $U_p(a)$ contains at least one gap, namely the jump from ordinal to ∞ .

Now let a have infinite order in A . For $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, we say $U_p(a)$ is *reasonable (of type I)* if $U_p(a) = (\infty, \infty, \dots)$, and $U_p(a)$ is *reasonable (of type II)* if $U_p(a)$ commences with 0 and contains only one gap. The first type can occur if $A = T(A) \oplus Q$ and $a \in Q$; the second type can occur if $\langle a_p \rangle$ is a summand of A . The height matrix $\mathcal{H}(A)$ is a *reasonable matrix* if, for almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $U_p(a)$ is reasonable. $\mathcal{H}(A)$ is *very reasonable* if, for almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $U_p(a)$ is reasonable of the same type. Since A has torsion-free rank one, if b is another element in A , $\mathcal{H}(c)$ is (very) reasonable if and only if $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is (very) reasonable.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and a is an element of infinite order in A . If there is a ring (A, \cdot) on A such that $(A, \cdot)^2 \not\subseteq T(A)$, then $\mathcal{H}(a)$ is reasonable. Conversely, if $\mathcal{H}(a)$ is very reasonable, then there is an associative ring (A, \cdot) on A for which $(A, \cdot)^2 \not\subseteq T(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{H}(a)$ is not reasonable and consider any ring (A, \cdot) on A . For infinitely many $p \in \text{supp}(a)$ there exist integers $k(p)$ and ordinals $\alpha_{k(p)}$ such that $h_p(p^{k(p)}a) = \alpha_{k(p)}$, where $k(p) < \alpha_{k(p)} < \infty$. In particular $p^{k(p)}a \in p^{k(p)+1}A$, so there is an $a' \in A$ for which $p^{k(p)}(a \cdot a) = p(a' \cdot p^{k(p)}a)$. Now $h_p(p^{k(p)}(a \cdot a)) \geq k(p) + 1$, so $\mathcal{H}(a \cdot a)$ is not equivalent to $\mathcal{H}(a)$. Since any two elements of infinite order have equivalent height matrices, $(A, \cdot)^2 \not\subseteq T(A)$.

Next suppose $\mathcal{H}(a)$ is very reasonable, and consider the two cases.

(i) For almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $U_p(a) = (\infty, \infty, \dots)$. There is a positive integer n for which na belongs to the divisible part of A ,

so $A = T(A) \oplus A'$ for some subgroup A' of A , $A' \cong Q$. By defining the field on A' and extending by zero, we obtain the desired ring.

(ii) For almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $U_p(a)$ commences with zero and contains only one gap. Writing $a = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_p, \dots)$ it is clear that for almost all $p \in \text{supp}(a)$, $U_p(a) = (0, 1, \dots, n_p - 1, \infty, \infty, \dots)$ where $n_p = \text{order of } a_p \geq 1$. $\langle a_p \rangle$ is now a summand of A_p , so simply apply Lemma 2.2. □

Complete descriptions of the absolute annihilators and the absolute radicals of groups in \mathcal{M} can be given.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$. If A is reduced $A^{(*)} = A^1$; otherwise $A^{(*)} = (T(A))^1$.*

Proof. Consider A reduced and let $a \in A$ have finite height. There is an integer i for which a gap occurs between $h_p(p^i a)$ and $h_p(p^{i+1} a)$, where $h_p(p^i a) = k_i$ is finite. There is now an $a' \in A$ such that $p^{i+1} a = p a'$ and $h_p(a') \geq k_i + 1$, so $p^i a - a' \neq 0$ is an element of order p and height k_i . Writing $p^i a - a' = p^{k_i} a''$ where $a'' \in A$, $\langle a'' \rangle$ is a summand of A . Define $a'' \cdot a'' = a''$ and extend by zero to obtain a ring (A, \cdot) on A . Now $(p^i a - a') \cdot a'' = p^i a \cdot a''$, since $h_p(a') \geq k_i + 1$ and a'' has order p^{k_i+1} . But $(p^i a - a') \cdot a'' = (p^{k_i} a'') \cdot a'' \neq 0$, so $a \notin A^{(*)}$. Thus $A^{(*)} \subseteq A^1$.

Next let $a \in A^1$, and suppose $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A, E(A))$ defines the ring (A, \cdot) . Since $\phi(a)|_{T(A)} = 0$, $\phi(a)$ factors through $A/T(A)$, i.e., $\phi(A)$ is a composite $A \rightarrow A/T(A) \rightarrow A$. But $A/T(A)$ is divisible and A is reduced, so $\phi(a) = 0$. Thus $A^{(*)} = A^1$. (Notice that the latter argument actually shows that $A/T(A)$ divisible implies $A^1 \subseteq A^{(*)}$ for every reduced group A (not necessarily in \mathcal{M}).)

Consider now A nonreduced. It suffices to prove $A^{(*)} \subseteq (T(A))^1$. If A contains a divisible torsion subgroup D , write $A = D \oplus A'$ for some subgroup A' of A . Embed A' in its divisible hull $D' \oplus Q$, where D' is torsion, and consider the element a of infinite order in A . Let the nonzero components of a in A' and Q be a_1 and a_2 respectively. As in Szele [12] define an associative ring $(D \oplus Q, \cdot)$ on $D \oplus Q$ such that $a_2 \cdot a_2 \neq 0$ and $(D \oplus Q, \cdot)^2 \subseteq D$. Extending this ring by zero we obtain an associative ring on $D \oplus D' \oplus Q$ which contains (A, \cdot) as a subring. This ring also satisfies $a \cdot a_1 = a_2 \cdot a_2 \neq 0$, so $A^{(*)} \subseteq (T(A))^1$.

If A does not contain a divisible torsion subgroup, then A splits, $A = T(A) \oplus A'$ for some subgroup A' of A , and $A' \cong Q$. Now (1.1) and (1.2) show $A^{(*)} \subseteq (T(A))^{(*)} \oplus A'^{(*)} = (T(A))^1$. □

COROLLARY 2.5. *If $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is reduced and $A^1 \neq 0$, then there*

does not exist an identity in any ring on A .

Proof. $A^{(*)} \neq 0$ implies any ring on A cannot have an identity. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}$, and $a \in A$ is an element of infinite order. Then $J(A) = \bigcap_p pA$ when $\mathcal{H}(a)$ is not a reasonable matrix and, for almost all primes p , $U_p(a)$ does not commence with zero. Otherwise $J(A) = \bigcap_p p(T(A))$.*

Proof. For the prime p write $A = A_p \oplus A^{(p)}$, where $A^{(p)}$ is some p -divisible subgroup of A . Then $J(A) \subseteq J(A_p) \oplus J(A^{(p)}) \subseteq pA$.

Suppose $\mathcal{H}(a)$ is not reasonable and for almost all p , $U_p(a)$ does not commence with zero, and consider an associative ring (A, \cdot) on A . Clearly there is an integer n for which $na \in \bigcap_p pA$. Proposition 2.3 yields $(A, \cdot)^2 \subseteq T(A)$, so for every $b \in A$, $na \cdot b \in \bigcap_p p(T(A))$. $T(A)$ is an absolute ideal of A , so (1.1) and (1.3) show $\bigcap_p p(T(A)) = J(T(A)) \subseteq J(A, \cdot)$. Now $na \cdot b$ is a (right) quasi-regular element of (A, \cdot) . Since $J(A, \cdot)$ can be characterised as the set of all $a' \in A$ for which $a' \cdot b'$ is quasi-regular for all $b' \in B$ (see for example McCoy [7], p. 132), $na \in J(A, \cdot)$; that is $A/J(A, \cdot)$ is torsion. Thus $\bigcap_p p(A/J(A, \cdot)) = J(A/J(A, \cdot)) = 0$, so $\bigcap_p pA \subseteq J(A, \cdot)$. Since the associative ring (A, \cdot) was chosen arbitrarily, $\bigcap_p pA \subseteq J(A)$.

The other case occurs when, for infinitely many primes p , $U_p(a)$ commences with zero, or for almost all primes p , $U_p(a) = (\infty, \infty, \dots)$. In the former case $J(A) \subseteq \bigcap_p pA$ shows $J(A)$ must be torsion, so $J(A) \subseteq (\bigcap_p pA) \cap T(A) = \bigcap_p p(T(A))$. But $J(T(A)) \subseteq J(A)$, hence $J(A) = J(T(A))$. In the latter case A splits, $A = T(A) \oplus A'$ for some subgroup A' of A , $A' \cong Q$. (1.2) now yields $J(A) \subseteq J(T(A)) \oplus J(A') = J(T(A))$, so again $J(A) = \bigcap_p p(T(A))$. \square

3. Cotorsion groups, algebraically compact groups. A similarity exists between these groups and groups in \mathcal{M} ; namely, if A is a reduced cotorsion group then A may be written uniquely in the form $A = \prod_p A_{(p)}$, where for each prime p , $A_{(p)}$ is a reduced cotorsion group which is a p -adic module. Such a group A is algebraically compact if and only if $A^1 = 0$, in which case each $A_{(p)}$ is a reduced algebraically compact group that is also complete in its p -adic topology. It should be noted that although these groups resemble groups in \mathcal{M} , they are seldom members of \mathcal{M} .

THEOREM 3.1. *If A is a cotorsion group, then $A^{(*)} \subseteq A^1$. If A is an adjusted cotorsion group, then $A^{(*)} = A^1$.*

Proof. If we write $A = D \oplus R$ where D is divisible and R is reduced, (1.2) shows $A^{(*)} \subseteq D^{(*)} \oplus R^{(*)}$. Since $D^{(*)} \subseteq D = D^1$ we can assume A is reduced. If we now write $A = \prod_p A_{(p)}$ and apply the same argument, noting $\prod_{q \neq p} A_{(q)}$ is p -divisible, it is clear that we can further restrict our attention to reduced cotorsion groups A that are also p -adic modules, for some prime p .

Let $a \in A$ have finite p -height n . If B is a p -basic submodule of A then $A = B + p^{n+1}A$, so let $a = b + p^{n+1}a'$ where $b \in B$, $b \neq 0$ and $a' \in A$. Choose a cyclic submodule (and summand) B' of B for which b has a nonzero component b' in B' . Since B' is a pure submodule of A that is algebraically compact, B' is a summand of A .

B' is either a cyclic p -group or a copy of the p -adic integers. In either case it is possible to define a ring (B', \cdot) on B' for which $b' \cdot b' \neq 0$. Extending this by zero to a ring (A, \cdot) on A we see that $a \cdot b' = b' \cdot b' \neq 0$. Thus $A^{(*)} \subseteq A^1$.

If A is adjusted cotorsion then A is reduced and $A/T(A)$ is divisible. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, $A^1 \subseteq A^{(*)}$. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *If A is reduced algebraically compact group, then $A^{(*)} = 0$.* \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *If a reduced cotorsion group A is the additive group of a ring with identity, then A is algebraically compact.*

Proof. The induced ring (\hat{A}, \cdot) on $\hat{A} = \text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)$ also has an identity, so $\hat{A}^{(*)} = 0$. Thus $A^1 = 0$; that is, A is algebraically compact. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *If A is a cotorsion group $J(A) \subseteq \bigcap_p pA$.*

Proof. Again we restrict our attention to reduced cotorsion groups A that are also p -adic modules, for some prime p . We need to prove $J(A) \subseteq pA$.

Suppose $a \notin pA$, and again let B be a p -basic submodule of A . Then $A = B + pA$, and we can select a cyclic submodule B' of B which is a direct summand of A for which the component of a in B' is not in pB' .

Since $J(Z_p^*) = pZ_p^*$ (Z_p^* being the ring of p -adic integers), and since B' is either finite cyclic or the p -adic integers, we can define an associative ring (B', \cdot) on B' such that $J(B', \cdot) = pB'$. Extending this ring by zero to an associative ring (A, \cdot) on A , it is clear that that $a \notin J(A, \cdot)$. \square

COROLLARY 3.5. *If A is a reduced algebraically compact group*

$$J(A) = \bigcap_p pA = \prod_p pA_{(p)}.$$

Proof. Write $A = \prod_p A_{(p)}$, where each $A_{(p)}$ is a p -adic module complete in its p -adic topology. Since each $A_{(p)}$ is reduced, $\prod_{q \neq p} A_{(q)}$ is the maximal p -divisible subgroup of A . As such it is an absolute ideal of A , so any associative ring (A, \cdot) decomposes as $(A, \cdot) = (A_{(p)}, \cdot) \oplus (\prod_{q \neq p} A_{(q)}, \cdot)$ where the direct sum is a ring direct sum. Clearly now (A, \cdot) is the ring direct product of the associative rings $(A_{(p)}, \cdot)$. Thus it suffices to prove $pA \subseteq J(A)$ when A is a p -adic module complete in its p -adic topology, for some prime p .

From Fuchs [3], Vol. I, p. 166 we know $A \cong \varprojlim_k A/p^k A$. If (A, \cdot) is any associative ring on A , then $A/p^k A$ inherits an associative ring structure we denote $(A/p^k A, \cdot)$, and $p(A/p^k A) \subseteq J(A/p^k A, \cdot)$, for each positive integer k . With Z^+ denoting the set of positive integers it is readily checked that

$$A_1 = \{p(A/p^k A) \mid k \in Z^+\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \{J(A/p^k A, \cdot) \mid k \in Z^+\},$$

together with the maps of the inverse system $\{A/p^k A \mid k \in Z^+\}$ form two inverse systems for which there is a monomorphism $\phi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$. Hence

$$\varprojlim_k p(A/p^k A) \subseteq \varprojlim_k J(A/p^k A, \cdot).$$

Theorem 1 of Ion [5] yields

$$\varprojlim_k J(A/p^k A, \cdot) = J(\varprojlim_k (A/p^k A, \cdot)),$$

and a trivial calculation proves

$$p(\varprojlim_k A/p^k A) \subseteq \varprojlim_k p(A/p^k A),$$

so

$$pA = p(\varprojlim_k A/p^k A) \subseteq J(\varprojlim_k (A/p^k A, \cdot)) = J(A, \cdot)$$

Since this is true for every associative ring (A, \cdot) on A , $pA \subseteq J(A)$. □

Corollary 3.5 allows us to answer in the negative the following question raised by Rotman [10]. If (A, \cdot) is a semi-simple ring on a

reduced group A , then is the induced ring $(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A), \cdot)$ on $\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)$ also semisimple?

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Suppose (A, \cdot) is a semisimple ring on the reduced group A . If A is torsion-free, then $J(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A), \cdot) \neq 0$. However, if A is torsion or A is a mixed group such that $A/T(A)$ is divisible, then $J(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A), \cdot) = 0$.*

Proof. If A is torsion-free, $\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)$ is a reduced algebraically compact group, so we can write

$$\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A) = \prod_p (\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_{(p)}$$

where each $(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_{(p)}$ is a reduced algebraically compact group complete in its p -adic topology. Corollary 3.5 yields

$$J(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)) = \prod_p p(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_{(p)}.$$

Since $p(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_{(p)} \neq 0$ for at least one prime p , $J(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A), \cdot) \neq 0$.

If A is a torsion group or A is a mixed group such that $A/T(A)$ is divisible, $\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)$ can be written uniquely $\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A) = \prod_p \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), A)$. For each prime p , $\text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), A) \cong \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), T(A)) \cong \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), A_p)$. From (1.1) and (1.3), $pA_p \subseteq J(A_p, \cdot) \subseteq J(A, \cdot) = 0$, so $\text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), A)$ is a subgroup of the p -component $(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_p$ of $\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)$. Since $\prod_{q \neq p} \text{Ext}(Z(q^\infty), A)$ is p -divisible and $\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A)$ is reduced, $\text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), A) = (\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_p$. Thus for all p , $((\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_p, \cdot) \cong (A_p, \cdot)$. Since A_p is reduced, $(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A), \cdot)$ is the ring direct product of the semisimple rings $((\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A))_p, \cdot)$. Therefore $J(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, A), \cdot) = 0$. \square

Counter examples to Rotman's question now follow from the above, and Theorem 3.2 of Beaumont and Lawver [1]. Indeed, any ring (Z, \cdot) on the integers Z is semisimple, so $J(\text{Ext}(Q/Z, Z), \cdot) \neq 0$.

In conclusion I would like to express my thanks to the referee for his many helpful suggestions and comments. In particular I am indebted to him for suggesting Corollaries 2.5 and 3.3.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Beaumont, D. A. Lawver, *Strongly semisimple abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math., **53** (1974), 327-336.
2. L. Fuchs, *Ringe und ihre additive Gruppe*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **4** (1956), 488-508.
3. ———, *Infinite abelian groups*, Vols. I and II. Academic Press, New York, 1970 and 1973.

4. L. Fuchs and K. M. Rangaswamy, *On generalised regular rings*, Math. Z., **107** (1968), 71-81.
5. I. D. Ion, *Radicals of projective limits of associative rings* (Romanian), Stud. Cerc. Math., **16** (1964), 1141-1145.
6. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., **37** (1956).
7. N. H. McCoy, *Rings and Ideals*, The Mathematical Association of America, (1956).
8. M. Rajagopalan and J. Rotman, *Monogenic groups*, Compositio Math., **18** (1967), 155-161.
9. K. M. Rangaswamy, *Abelian groups with self injective endomorphism rings*, Proc. Second Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, 595-604 (Canberra, Australia, 1973).
10. J. Rotman, *A completion functor on modules and algebras*, J. Algebra, **9** (1968), 369-387.
11. P. Schultz, *The endomorphism ring of the additive group of a ring*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **15** (1973), 60-69.
12. T. Szele, *Zur theorie der Zeroringe*, Math. Ann., **121** (1949), 242-246.
13. T. Szele and J. Szendrei, *On abelian groups with commutative endomorphism ring*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **2** (1951), 309-324.

Received February 25, 1980 and in revised form December 15, 1980. This paper formed part of the author's Ph. D. thesis, University of Tasmania, 1977, which was written under the direction of Dr. B. J. Gardner.

UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA
HOBART, TASMANIA
AUSTRALIA
CURRENT ADDRESS:
DIV. OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS
C. S. I. R. O.,
CANBERRA, A. C. T.
AUSTRALIA

