

DIRECTLY FINITE ALEPH-NOUGHT-CONTINUOUS REGULAR RINGS

K. R. GOODEARL

This paper develops a structure theory for any directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring R , generalizing the corresponding theory for a right and left \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring. The key result is that R must be unit-regular, which also provides a proof, much simpler than previous proofs, that any right and left \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring is unit-regular. It is proved, for example, that R modulo any maximal two-sided ideal is a right self-injective ring. The Grothendieck group $K_0(R)$ is shown to be a monotone σ -complete interpolation group, which leads to an explicit representation of $K_0(R)$ as a group of affine continuous real-valued functions on the space of pseudo-rank functions on R . It follows, for example, that the isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective right R -modules are determined modulo the maximal two-sided ideals of R . For another example, if every simple artinian homomorphic image of R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring (for a fixed $t \in N$), then R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring.

Introduction. Recall that a regular ring R is said to be *right \aleph_0 -continuous* provided the lattice $L(R_R)$ of principal right ideals of R is upper \aleph_0 -continuous, i.e., every countable subset of $L(R_R)$ has a supremum in $L(R_R)$, and

$$A \wedge \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (A \wedge B_n)$$

for every $A \in L(R_R)$ and every countable ascending chain $B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \dots$ in $L(R_R)$. Equivalently, R is right \aleph_0 -continuous if and only if every countably generated right ideal of R is essential in a principal right ideal of R [1, Corollary 14.4]. Similarly, R is *left \aleph_0 -continuous* provided the lattice $L({}_R R)$ of principal left ideals of R is upper \aleph_0 -continuous, or equivalently, the lattice $L(R_R)$ is lower \aleph_0 -continuous. An *\aleph_0 -continuous* regular ring is a regular ring that is both right and left \aleph_0 -continuous.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the known structure theory for \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings [3, 6, 7] carries over nearly intact for directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings. This may be viewed as a generalization, since all \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings are directly finite [1, Proposition 14.20]. It is an open question whether all directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings are

\aleph_0 -continuous, but we conjecture that the answer is negative. For in particular, a positive answer would imply a positive answer to Roos' conjecture that every directly finite, regular, right self-injective ring is left self-injective [1, Problem 14, p. 345], and this conjecture is also suspected to have a negative answer. On the other hand, constructing a counterexample to the \aleph_0 -continuous question might prove easier than constructing a counterexample to Roos' conjecture.

In § I we prove the key result of the paper, that any directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring R is unit-regular, along with a few related results. In particular, we show that R modulo any maximal two-sided ideal is a right self-injective ring. In § II, we investigate the behavior of finitely generated projective right R -modules, and study how that behavior is codified in the partially ordered Grothendieck group $K_0(R)$. This group is proved to be a monotone σ -complete interpolation group, and various properties of R follow therefrom. For instance, the isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective right R -modules are determined by the isomorphism classes modulo maximal two-sided ideals of R , and also determined by the values of extremal pseudo-rank functions of R . Section III derives further structural properties of R that follow from countable interpolation, a consequence of the monotone σ -complete and interpolation properties on $K_0(R)$. For example, if every simple artinian homomorphic image of R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring (for some fixed $t \in \mathbb{N}$), then R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring.

All rings in this paper are associative with 1, and all modules are unital. We refer the reader to [1] for the general theory of regular rings, and to [1, 3] for the general development of K_0 of regular rings as partially ordered abelian groups, and for the theory of partially ordered abelian groups via their state spaces. We also follow the notation used in these two references. In particular, given modules A and B , we write $A \leq_e B$ to mean that A is an essential submodule of B and we write $A \lesssim B$ to mean that A is isomorphic to a submodule of B , while we use nA to denote the direct sum of n copies of A .

I. Unit-regularity. This section contains our key result, that every directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring is unit-regular. As an application of this result, we prove that all simple homomorphic images of directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings are right self-injective. Several related results are also proved.

We shall have need of the *maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring* [1, pp. 177, 178] associated with any right \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring R ; this is a right quotient ring S of R which is right \aleph_0 -contin-

ous, regular, and right \aleph_0 -injective, and R contains all the idempotents of S [1, Theorem 14.12]. We shall use this result a number of times, without further explicit reference to it.

The proof of our first proposition has been considerably simplified through the use of successive suggestions by S. K. Berberian and P. Menal, whom we thank for these suggestions.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let R be a right \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring, and let S be its maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring. If R is directly finite, then neither R nor S contains an infinite direct sum of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals. In particular, S is directly finite.*

Proof. If S contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals, then the same is true of R , since any infinite independent family $\{J_i\}$ of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals of R would generate an infinite independent family $\{J_i S\}$ of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals of S . In addition, this direct sum condition on S implies direct finiteness, by [1, Corollary 5.6]. Thus we need only show that S contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals.

It suffices to show that if $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ is an independent sequence of pairwise isomorphic principal right ideals of S , then $A_1 = 0$.

Since S is right \aleph_0 -continuous, it contains principal right ideals B and C such that

$$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n} \leq_e B \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{2n+1} \leq_e C.$$

Note that $B \cap C = 0$, and that

$$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \leq_e B \oplus C.$$

Choose an idempotent $e \in S$ such that $eS = B \oplus C$. There is an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{2n+1}$$

which must, because S is right \aleph_0 -injective, be given by left multiplication by an element $x \in S$. Inasmuch as $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n} \leq_e B$ and $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{2n+1} \leq_e C$, and S is a right nonsingular ring, it follows that x induces an isomorphism of B onto C . Similarly, $B \cong eS$, and thus $eS \cong eS \oplus eS$.

In particular, the ring eSe is isomorphic to the 2×2 matrix ring $M_2(eSe)$, from which we infer that eSe is generated (as a ring) by its idempotents. Since all the idempotents in S actually lie in

R , we thus obtain $e \in R$ and $eSe = eRe$.

The direct finiteness of R implies that eRe is a directly finite ring. Thus eSe is a directly finite ring, hence eS is a directly finite module. As $eS \cong eS \oplus eS$, we conclude that $eS = 0$. Therefore $A_1 = 0$, as desired. \square

The following easy lemma appears in [2, Lemma 2.2]. We include its short proof for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let A, B, C be finitely generated projective right modules over a regular ring, such that $A \oplus C \cong B \oplus C$. Then there exist decompositions*

$$A = A_1 \oplus A_2; \quad B = B_1 \oplus B_2; \quad C = C_1 \oplus C_2$$

such that $A_1 \cong B_1$ and $A_2 \oplus C_2 \cong B_2 \oplus C_2$, while also $A_2 \cong C_1$.

Proof. Using [1, Theorem 2.8], we obtain decompositions $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ and $C = D \oplus E$ such that $A_1 \oplus D \cong B$ and $A_2 \oplus E \cong C$. Consequently, there are decompositions $B = B_1 \oplus B_2$ and $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ such that $B_1 \cong A_1$ and $B_2 \cong D$, while also $C_1 \cong A_2$ and $C_2 \cong E$. Finally,

$$A_2 \oplus C_2 \cong C_1 \oplus C_2 = C = D \oplus E \cong B_2 \oplus C_2. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 1.3. *Let A, B, C be finitely generated projective right modules over a regular ring, such that $A \oplus C \cong B \oplus C$. Then there exist decompositions*

$$A = A' \oplus A''; \quad B = B' \oplus B''; \quad C = C' \oplus C''$$

such that $A' \cong B'$ and $A'' \oplus C'' \cong B'' \oplus C''$, while also $A'' \oplus B'' \lesssim C'$.

Proof. We start with the decompositions given by Lemma 1.2. Since $B_2 \oplus C_2 \cong A_2 \oplus C_2$, a second application of Lemma 1.2 yields decompositions

$$B_2 = B_3 \oplus B''; \quad A_2 = A_3 \oplus A''; \quad C_2 = C_3 \oplus C''$$

such that $B_3 \cong A_3$ and $B'' \oplus C'' \cong A'' \oplus C''$, while also $B'' \cong C_3$. Now set

$$A' = A_1 \oplus A_3; \quad B' = B_1 \oplus B_3; \quad C' = C_1 \oplus C_3$$

so that we have decompositions

$$A = A' \oplus A''; \quad B = B' \oplus B''; \quad C = C' \oplus C''$$

with $A' \cong B'$. In addition,

$$A'' \oplus B'' \leq A_2 \oplus B'' \cong C_1 \oplus C_3 = C' . \quad \square$$

We are now in position to prove our unit-regularity theorem. The method is a modification of that used to prove that all N^* -complete regular rings are unit-regular, in [2, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 1.4. *If R is a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, then R is unit-regular.*

Proof. According to [1, Theorem 4.1], it suffices to show that if A, B, C are any principal right ideals of R satisfying $A \oplus C \cong B \oplus C$, then $A \cong B$. Using Lemma 1.3, we obtain decompositions

$$A = A' \oplus A'' ; \quad B = B' \oplus B'' ; \quad C = C' \oplus C''$$

such that $A' \cong B'$ and $A'' \oplus C'' \cong B'' \oplus C''$, while also $A'' \oplus B'' \lesssim C'$. In particular, $A'' \oplus B'' \lesssim R_R$. Since it suffices to prove that $A'' \cong B''$, we may replace A, B, C by A'', B'', C'' . Thus we may assume, without loss of generality, that $A \cap B = 0$.

Now apply Lemma 1.3 infinitely many times in succession, obtaining principal right ideals

$$\begin{aligned} A'_1, A''_1, A'_2, A''_2, \dots \leq A ; \quad B'_1, B''_1, B'_2, B''_2, \dots \leq B ; \\ C'_1, C''_1, C'_2, C''_2, \dots \leq C \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$A = A'_1 \oplus A''_1 ; \quad B = B'_1 \oplus B''_1 ; \quad C = C'_1 \oplus C''_1$$

while also

$$\begin{aligned} A''_n = A'_{n+1} \oplus A''_{n+1} ; \quad B''_n = B'_{n+1} \oplus B''_{n+1} ; \quad C''_n = C'_{n+1} \oplus C''_{n+1} \\ A''_n \cong B''_n ; \quad A''_n \oplus C''_n \cong B''_n \oplus C''_n ; \quad A''_n \oplus B''_n \lesssim C''_n \end{aligned}$$

for all n . Note that $\{A'_1, A'_2, \dots\}$ and $\{B'_1, B'_2, \dots\}$ are independent sequences of right ideals. Since $A \cap B = 0$, it follows that the sequence $\{A'_1, B'_1, A'_2, B'_2, \dots\}$ is independent as well.

We claim that $\bigoplus A'_n \leq_e A$ and $\bigoplus B'_n \leq_e B$. Thus consider any right ideal $E \leq A$ such that $E \cap (\bigoplus A'_n) = 0$. For each n , we have

$$A = A'_1 \oplus A'_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A'_n \oplus A''_n \text{ and } E \cap (A'_1 \oplus A'_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A'_n) = 0 ,$$

whence $E \lesssim A''_n \lesssim C''_n$. As $\{C''_1, C''_2, \dots\}$ is an independent sequence of right ideals of R , it follows that

$$\aleph_0 E \lesssim C''_1 \oplus C''_2 \oplus \dots \leq R_R .$$

However, R contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise

isomorphic right ideals, by Proposition 1.1, hence we must have $E = 0$. Thus $\bigoplus A'_n \leq_e A$, and similarly $\bigoplus B'_n \leq_e B$, as claimed.

In the lattice $L(R_R)$, we now have $A = \vee A'_n$ and $B = \vee B'_n$, while also $A'_n \cong B'_n$ for all n . As the sequence $\{A'_1, B'_1, A'_2, B'_2, \dots\}$ is independent, [1, Lemma 14.22] shows that $A \cong B$, as desired. \square

Theorem 1.4 provides an affirmative answer to [1, Problem 23, p. 346].

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let R be a right \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring, and let S be its maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring. If R is directly finite, then R and S are both unit-regular.*

Proof. Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.4. \square

COROLLARY 1.6. [6, Theorem 3.2] *Every \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring is unit-regular.*

Proof. Every \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring is directly finite by [1, Proposition 14.20], so Theorem 1.4 applies. \square

A direct proof of Corollary 1.6 alone can be obtained as follows. First prove that \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings contain no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals [1, Proposition 14.20], then prove Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3, and finally, use the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Handelman's original proof in [6] of the unit-regularity of an \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring R required (i) the development of the maximal \aleph_0 -quotient ring S of R to the point where it could be shown that $M_2(S)$ is \aleph_0 -continuous; (ii) a lattice-theoretic theorem of Halperin [4, Theorem 5.1], that perspectivity is always transitive in an \aleph_0 -continuous geometry; (iii) another theorem of Handelman, that a regular ring S is unit-regular if and only if perspectivity is transitive in the lattice of principal right ideals of $M_2(S)$ [5, Theorem 15]; and (iv) a final short argument to transfer unit-regularity from S to R . This method of proof is quite long and complicated. A somewhat shorter proof, basically a module-theoretic version of Halperin's transitivity argument, appeared in [1, Theorem 14.24]. This method is still somewhat convoluted. Thus we may tout our present proof as an answer to Handelman's plea for a short proof of this result [6, p. 189].

For a right \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring R , left \aleph_0 -continuity is sufficient to imply direct finiteness and unit-regularity, as we have seen above. We now show that left \aleph_0 -injectivity, which is some-

times easier to check, is also sufficient. This answers [1, Problem 24, p. 346] in the affirmative. We thank P. Menal for a suggestion which simplified our original proof.

LEMMA 1.7. [Menal] *Let R be a left \aleph_0 -injective regular ring, and let $\{J_0, J_1, J_2, \dots\}$ be an independent sequence of principal left ideals of R . Then there exist orthogonal idempotents f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots in R such that $Rf_n = J_n$ for all n .*

Proof. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, choose an idempotent $e_k \in R$ such that $Re_k = J_k$. As R is left \aleph_0 -injective, the natural projection $\bigoplus J_n \rightarrow J_k$ must be given by right multiplication by an element $x_k \in R$. Thus $e_k x_k = e_k$ and $e_n x_k = 0$ for all $n \neq k$.

Set $f_k = x_k e_k$ for all k , and note that these f_k are orthogonal idempotents in R . Since $f_k e_k = f_k$ and $e_k f_k = e_k$, we also have $J_k = Re_k = Rf_k$ for all k . \square

THEOREM 1.8. *Let R be a right \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring. If R is left \aleph_0 -injective, then R is also left \aleph_0 -continuous, and so is unit-regular.*

Proof. To check left \aleph_0 -continuity, we must show that any countably generated left ideal J of R is essential in a principal left ideal of R . Once this is established, unit-regularity follows from Corollary 1.6.

According to [1, Proposition 2.14], there exist orthogonal idempotents e_1, e_2, \dots in R such that $\bigoplus Re_n = J$. As R is right \aleph_0 -continuous, the countably generated right ideal $\bigoplus e_n R$ must be essential in a principal right ideal A . Choose an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $eR = A$, set $e_0 = 1 - e$, and note that

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n R \leq_e (1 - e)R \oplus A = R_R.$$

Since $e_0 e_n = 0$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we see that $Re_0 \cap J = 0$, so that the principal left ideals Re_0, Re_1, Re_2, \dots are independent. By Lemma 1.7, there exist orthogonal idempotents f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots in R such that $Rf_n = Re_n$ for all n , and we claim that $\bigoplus Rf_n \leq_e R_R$.

If not, then $Rp \cap (\bigoplus Rf_n) = 0$ for some nonzero element $p \in R$. Using left \aleph_0 -injectivity again, the natural projection of $Rp \oplus (\bigoplus Rf_n)$ onto Rp must be given by right multiplication by some element $q \in R$, so that $pq = p$ and $f_n q = 0$ for all n . In particular, $Re_n q = Rf_n q = 0$ and so $e_n q = 0$ for all n . Also, $pq \neq 0$, whence $q \neq 0$. Since $\bigoplus e_n R \leq_e R_R$, there is some $r \in R$ such that $qr \neq 0$ and $qr \in \bigoplus e_n R$. But as $e_n qr = 0$ for all n , this is impossible.

Thus $\bigoplus Rf_n \leq_e R$, as claimed. Since

$$J = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Re_n = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Rf_n,$$

we conclude that $J \leq_e R(1 - f_0)$. Therefore J is essential in a principal left ideal, as desired. \square

Returning to directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings, we wish to prove appropriate one-sided analogs of the structural results known for \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings. The key missing ingredient in such a program was unit-regularity; having proved Theorem 1.4, we can proceed without any major new difficulties. For example, we have the following theorem, which generalizes [6, Corollary 3.2]. Other analogs and generalizations will appear in §§ II and III.

THEOREM 1.9. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let M be a maximal two-sided ideal of R . Then R/M is a simple, unit-regular, right self-injective ring.*

Proof. Since R is unit-regular by Theorem 1.4, so is R/M . In particular, all the matrix rings $M_n(R/M)$ are directly finite, hence [1, Proposition 5.9] shows that R/M contains no uncountable direct sums of nonzero right ideals. Then R/M must be a right continuous regular ring, by [1, Corollary 14.16]. As R/M is indecomposable, we conclude from [1, Corollary 13.20] that R/M is actually right self-injective. \square

We conclude this section by showing that the argument used in Theorem 1.4 also yields the following unit-regularity result.

THEOREM 1.10. *Let R be a regular, right \aleph_0 -injective ring. If R contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic right ideals, then R is unit-regular.*

Proof. By [1, Theorem 4.1], we need only show that if A, B, C are any principal right ideals of R satisfying $A \oplus C \cong B \oplus C$, then $A \cong B$. As in Theorem 1.4, we obtain independent sequences of cyclic submodules

$$A'_1, A'_2, \dots \leq A; \quad B'_1, B'_2, \dots \leq B$$

such that $\bigoplus A'_n \leq_e A$ and $\bigoplus B'_n \leq_e B$, while also $A'_n \cong B'_n$ for all n . Then there is an isomorphism of $\bigoplus A'_n$ onto $\bigoplus B'_n$, which, by right \aleph_0 -injectivity, must be given by left multiplication by some element

$x \in R$. As R_x is nonsingular, we conclude that x induces an isomorphism of A onto B . \square

In view of Theorem 1.10, the following question arises: Is every directly finite, regular, right \aleph_0 -injective ring necessarily unit-regular? Of course, it is well-known that all directly finite, regular, right self-injective rings are unit-regular [1, Theorem 9.17].

II. Projective modules and K_0 . We continue our study of the structure of a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring R by investigating the behavior of finitely generated projective right R -modules. Much of this behavior is codified in the partially ordered Grothendieck group $K_0(R)$, which turns out to be a monotone σ -complete interpolation group, and much information can be gleaned just from this property. As most of our proofs rely on the unit-regularity of R (Theorem 1.4), we shall not explicitly refer to this theorem each time we use it. Since some of our proofs require \aleph_0 -injectivity at certain stages, we need to be able to transfer problems to the maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring of R . The following proposition provides a key step in such transfers. It may be viewed as answering the directly finite case of [1, Problem 25, p. 347] affirmatively.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, let S be the maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring of R , and let A and B be finitely generated projective right R -modules.*

- (a) *If $A \otimes_R S \lesssim B \otimes_R S$, then $A \lesssim B$.*
- (b) *If $A \otimes_R S \cong B \otimes_R S$, then $A \cong B$.*

Proof. Since S is unit-regular and R contains all the idempotents of S , these results follow from [1, Proposition 3.16]. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let A and B be finitely generated projective right R -modules. Let*

$$A_1, A_2, \dots \leq A ; \quad B_1, B_2, \dots \leq B$$

be independent sequences of finitely generated submodules of A and B , such that $A_n \cong B_n$ for all n .

- (a) *If $\bigoplus A_n \leq_e A$, then $A \lesssim B$.*
- (b) *If $\bigoplus A_n \leq_e A$ and $\bigoplus B_n \leq_e B$, then $A \cong B$.*

Proof. Let S denote the maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring of R , and recall from Proposition 1.1 that S is directly finite. Then

$A \otimes_R S$ and $B \otimes_R S$ are finitely generated projective right S -modules, we have independent sequences of finitely generated submodules of $A \otimes_R S$ and $B \otimes_R S$ that may be labelled

$$A_1 \otimes_R S, A_2 \otimes_R S, \dots; B_1 \otimes_R S, B_2 \otimes_R S, \dots$$

and $A_n \otimes_R S \cong B_n \otimes_R S$ for all n . In case (a), we have

$$\bigoplus (A_n \otimes_R S) \leq_e A \otimes_R S,$$

and by Proposition 2.1 it suffices to prove that $A \otimes_R S \lesssim B \otimes_R S$; similarly in case (b). Thus there is no loss of generality in assuming that R is right \aleph_0 -injective.

As a consequence, all matrix rings over R are right \aleph_0 -injective and right \aleph_0 -continuous [1, Proposition 14.19]. Using the standard Morita-equivalences, we may transfer our problems to the category of right modules over any matrix ring $M_k(R)$. By choosing k large enough, we may arrange for the new modules corresponding to A and B to be cyclic, hence isomorphic to principal right ideals of $M_k(R)$.

Therefore we may now assume, without loss of generality, that A and B are principal right ideals of R .

(a) There is an isomorphism $\bigoplus A_n \rightarrow \bigoplus B_n$, which by right \aleph_0 -injectivity extends to an endomorphism f of R_R . Since $\bigoplus A_n \leq_e A$, we see that $A \cap \ker(f) = 0$. On the other hand, R/B is isomorphic to a direct summand of the nonsingular module R_R and so is nonsingular itself, from which we infer that $f(A) \leq B$. Thus f restricts to a monomorphism of A into B .

(b) As in (a), an isomorphism $\bigoplus A_n \rightarrow \bigoplus B_n$ extends to a monomorphism $g: A \rightarrow B$. Note that $g(A)$ contains $\bigoplus B_n$ and so is essential in B . On the other hand, $g(A)$ is a principal right ideal of R and so is a direct summand of B . Therefore $g(A) = B$, whence $A \cong B$. □

Theorem 2.2 and the following corollary generalize [1, Corollaries 14.25(a), 14.26, 14.27(a)]. In addition, Theorem 2.2 provides an affirmative answer for the directly finite case of [1, Problem 26, p. 347].

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let A and B be finitely generated projective right R -modules.*

(a) *If A has an ascending sequence $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \dots$ of finitely generated submodules such that $\bigcup A_n \leq_e A$ and $A_n \lesssim B$ for all n , then $A \lesssim B$.*

(b) *If there are ascending sequences*

$$A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \cdots \leq A; \quad B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \cdots \leq B$$

of finitely generated submodules of A and B such that $\cup A_n \leq_e A$ and $\cup B_n \leq_e B$, while also $A_n \cong B_n$ for all n , then $A \cong B$.

Proof. (a) As R is unit-regular, [1, Corollary 4.10] shows that $\cup A_n \lesssim B$. Since $\cup A_n$ can be expressed as a countable direct sum of finitely generated submodules, we may apply Theorem 2.2(a).

(b) In view of (a), we have $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. By unit-regularity, it follows that $A \lesssim B$. \square

DEFINITION. Recall that the Grothendieck group $K_0(R)$ of a ring R is an abelian group with generating set

$$\{[A] \mid A \text{ is a finitely generated projective right } R\text{-module}\}$$

and with relations $[A] + [B] = [C]$ whenever $A \oplus B \cong C$. All elements of $K_0(R)$ have the form $[A] - [B]$, for suitable A and B . We set

$$K_0(R)^+ = \{[A] \mid A \text{ is a finitely generated projective right } R\text{-module}\},$$

and we define a relation \leq on $K_0(R)$ so that $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x$ lies in $K_0(R)^+$. This relation is a translation-invariant pre-order on $K_0(R)$, on that $K_0(R)$ becomes a pre-ordered abelian group. The element $[R]$ is an *order-unit* for $K_0(R)$, meaning that for any $x \in K_0(R)$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \leq n[R]$.

Working with $K_0(R)$ is much cleaner in the case of a unit-regular ring R . In this case, for any finitely generated projective right R -modules A, B, C, D , we have

$$[A] - [B] = [C] - [D] \text{ in } K_0(R) \text{ if and only if } A \oplus D \cong B \oplus C$$

$$[A] - [B] \leq [C] - [D] \text{ in } K_0(R) \text{ if and only if } A \oplus D \lesssim B \oplus C$$

[1, Proposition 15.2]. In particular, it follows that the relation \leq on $K_0(R)$ is actually a partial order, so that $K_0(R)$ is a partially ordered abelian group in this case.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let S be the maximal right \aleph_0 -quotient ring of R . Then the natural map $K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S)$ (induced by the inclusion map $R \rightarrow S$) is an isomorphism of partially ordered abelian groups.*

Proof. Let $j: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S)$ be the natural map, which sends $[A]$ to $[A \otimes_R S]$, for any finitely generated projective right R -module

A. It is clear that j is a group homomorphism, and a positive map.

Given any $y \in K_0(S)^+$, we have $y = [C]$ for some finitely generated projective right S -module C . Then $C \cong e_1 S \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n S$ for some idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_n \in S$. Since each e_i actually lies in R , we can construct a finitely generated projective right R -module $A = e_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ such that $A \otimes_R S \cong C$. Defining $x = [A]$, we obtain an element $x \in K_0(R)^+$ such that $j(x) = y$.

Therefore $j(K_0(R)^+) = K_0(S)^+$. In particular, since every element of $K_0(S)$ is a difference of two elements from $K_0(S)^+$, it follows that j is surjective.

Finally, consider any $x \in \ker(j)$, and write $x = [A] - [B]$ for some finitely generated projective right R -modules A and B . Then

$$[A \otimes_R S] - [B \otimes_R S] = j(x) = 0.$$

Since S is unit-regular, it follows that $A \otimes_R S \cong B \otimes_R S$, and then Proposition 2.1 shows that $A \cong B$, whence $x = 0$.

Thus j is a group isomorphism. Since $j(K_0(R)^+) = K_0(S)^+$, we conclude that j^{-1} is a positive map, hence j is an isomorphism of partially ordered abelian groups. \square

Proposition 2.4 generalizes [7, Proposition 2.1(i)].

DEFINITION. A partially ordered abelian group K is called an *interpolation group* provided the following property holds: given x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 in K satisfying $x_i \leq y_j$ for all i, j , there must exist $z \in K$ such that $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$ for all i, j . We say that K is *monotone σ -complete* if every ascending sequence $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots$ in K that is bounded above has a supremum in K .

Much of the structure theory for \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings can be derived from the fact that K_0 of any such ring is a monotone σ -complete interpolation group [7, Proposition 2.1(ii)]. This also holds in our context, as follows.

THEOREM 2.5. *If R is a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, then $K_0(R)$ is a monotone σ -complete interpolation group.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.4, there is no loss of generality in assuming that R is right \aleph_0 -injective. Thus all matrix rings over R are right \aleph_0 -continuous [1, Proposition 14.19].

The unit-regularity of R implies that $K_0(R)$ is an interpolation group [3, Proposition II.10.3].

Now consider an ascending sequence $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots$ in $K_0(R)$ which

is bounded above. Choose $a \in K_0(R)$ such that $a + x_1 \geq 0$, so that we obtain a new ascending sequence

$$0 \leq a + x_1 \leq a + x_2 \leq \dots$$

in $K_0(R)^+$ which is bounded above. It suffices to find a supremum b for the sequence $\{a + x_n\}$, since then $b - a$ will be a supremum for the sequence $\{x_n\}$. Thus we may assume, without loss of generality, that all $x_n \geq 0$.

Consequently, each $x_n = [A_n]$ for some finitely generated projective right R -module A_n , and $A_1 \lesssim A_2 \lesssim \dots$. Since the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded above, there exists $t \in N$ such that all $x_n \leq t[R]$, whence $A_n \lesssim tR_R$ for all n . According to [1, Corollary 4.11], tR_R has submodules $B_1 \leq B_2 \leq \dots$ such that $B_n \cong A_n$ for all n . Note that each $[B_n] = [A_n] = x_n$. As $M_t(R)$ is right \aleph_0 -continuous, tR_R must have a finitely generated submodule B such that $\cup B_n \leq_e B$ [1, Proposition 14.3]. Set $x = [B]$; then each $x_n \leq x$, and we claim that $x = \vee x_n$.

Thus consider any $y \in K_0(R)$ such that each $x_n \leq y$. In particular, $y \geq x_1 \geq 0$, hence $y = [C]$ for some finitely generated projective right R -module C . Then each $B_n \lesssim C$, whence Corollary 2.3 shows that $B \lesssim C$, and so $x \leq y$.

Therefore $x = \vee x_n$, as desired. \square

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, let A and B be finitely generated projective right R -modules, and let $n \in N$.*

- (a) *If $nA \lesssim nB$, then $A \lesssim B$.*
- (b) *If $nA \cong nB$, then $A \cong B$.*

Proof. (a) In $K_0(R)$, we have $n[A] \leq n[B]$. Since $K_0(R)$ is a monotone σ -complete interpolation group, [7, Corollary 1.4] implies that $[A] \leq [B]$. Therefore $A \lesssim B$.

(b) Using (a), we obtain $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, whence $A \cong B$ by unit-regularity. \square

Corollary 2.6 generalizes [7, Corollary 2.2]. The upcoming corollaries generalize [7, Theorem 2.3].

DEFINITION. We assume the reader is familiar with the concept of a pseudo-rank function on a regular ring R , and with the compact convex set $P(R)$ of all pseudo-rank functions on R [1, Chapters 16–18]. An *extreme point* of $P(R)$ is any $P \in P(R)$ which cannot be expressed as a nontrivial convex combination of other points of

$P(R)$, that is, whenever $P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha)P_2$ for some $P_1, P_2 \in P(R)$ and some real number α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then $\alpha = 0$, or $\alpha = 1$, or $P_1 = P_2 = P$. We use $\partial_e P(R)$ to denote the set of extreme points of $P(R)$.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, let A and B be finitely generated projective right R -modules, and get*

$$A \cong x_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n R; \quad B \cong y_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus y_k R$$

for some elements $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k \in R$.

(a) $A \lesssim B$ if and only if $A/AM \lesssim B/BM$ for all maximal two-sided ideals M of R , if and only if

$$P(x_1) + \cdots + P(x_n) \leq P(y_1) + \cdots + P(y_k)$$

for all $P \in \partial_e P(R)$.

(b) $A \cong B$ if and only if $A/AM \cong B/BM$ for all maximal two-sided ideals M of R , if and only if

$$P(x_1) + \cdots + P(x_n) = P(y_1) + \cdots + P(y_k)$$

for all $P \in \partial_e P(R)$.

Proof. (a) If $A \lesssim B$, then obviously $A/AM \lesssim B/BM$ for all maximal two-sided ideals M of R .

Now assume that $A/AM \lesssim B/BM$ for all maximal two-sided ideals M of R . Let $P \in \partial_e P(R)$, set $M = \ker(P)$, and let \bar{P} denote the rank function induced on R/M by P . Then R/M contains no uncountable direct sums of nonzero right ideals [1, Proposition 16.11], hence [1, Corollary 14.16] shows that R/M is a continuous regular ring. In addition, R/M is unit-regular, and by [1, Lemma 16.24] it is indecomposable. Consequently, [1, Corollary 13.20] shows that R/M is right self-injective, hence we can infer from [1, Theorem 9.25] that R/M is a simple ring.

Thus M is a maximal two-sided ideal of R , whence $A/AM \lesssim B/BM$. Using the notation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ for the natural map $R \rightarrow R/M$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_1(R/M) \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{x}_n(R/M) &\cong A/AM \lesssim B/BM \\ &\cong \bar{y}_1(R/M) \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{y}_k(R/M), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $\Sigma P(x_i) = \Sigma \bar{P}(\bar{x}_i) \leq \Sigma \bar{P}(\bar{y}_j) = \Sigma P(y_j)$, because of [1, Proposition 16.1].

Finally, assume that $\Sigma P(x_i) \leq \Sigma P(y_j)$ for all $P \in \partial_e P(R)$. Because of the natural affine homeomorphism of the state space $S(K_0(R), [R])$

onto $P(R)$ [1, Proposition 17.12], it follows that

$$s([A]) = \Sigma s([x_i R]) = \Sigma P(x_i) \leq \Sigma P(y_j) = \Sigma s([y_j R]) = s([B])$$

for any $s \in \partial_e S(K_0(R), [R])$, where P denotes the pseudo-rank function in $\partial_e P(R)$ corresponding to s . As $K_0(R)$ is a monotone σ -complete interpolation group, it is archimedean [7, Theorem 1.3], hence we conclude from [3, Proposition I.5.3] that $[A] \leq [B]$. Therefore $A \lesssim B$.

(b) This is immediate from (a) and the unit-regularity of R . \square

COROLLARY 2.8. *If R is a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, then $\ker(P(R)) = 0$, and the intersection of the maximal two-sided ideals of R is zero.* \square

III. Countable interpolation. We show that all directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings satisfy a certain countable interpolation property, from which further structural results follow.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For a unit-regular ring R , the following properties are equivalent:*

(a) *If A_1, A_2, \dots and B_1, B_2, \dots are principal right ideals of R such that $A_i \lesssim B_j$ for all i, j , then there exists a principal right ideal C in R such that $A_i \lesssim C \lesssim B_j$ for all i, j .*

(b) *If A_1, A_2, \dots and B_1, B_2, \dots are principal left ideals of R such that $A_i \lesssim B_j$ for all i, j , then there exists a principal left ideal C in R such that $A_i \lesssim C \lesssim B_j$ for all i, j .*

(c) *If x_1, x_2, \dots and y_1, y_2, \dots are elements of $K_0(R)$ such that $x_i \leq y_j$ for all i, j , then there exists $z \in K_0(R)$ such that $x_i \leq z \leq y_j$ for all i, j .*

Proof. [3, Proposition II.12.1]. \square

DEFINITION. We say that a unit-regular ring R satisfies *countable interpolation* if the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. As shown in [3, Theorem II.12.3], all homomorphic images of \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings satisfy countable interpolation. This also holds in our setting, as follows.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let J be a two-sided ideal of R . Then R/J is a unit-regular ring satisfying countable interpolation.*

Proof. Since $K_0(R)$ is a monotone σ -complete interpolation group (Theorem 2.5), [7, Lemma 1.2] shows that $K_0(R)$ has countable inter-

polation. Thus R is a unit-regular ring with countable interpolation. By [3, Proposition II.12.2], these properties carry over to R/J . \square

As a good portion of the structure theory for \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings developed in [3] was developed in terms of unit-regular rings with countable interpolation, it now carries over to directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings. For the complete theory, we refer the reader to [3, Chapters II.12–II.15]; here we shall only sketch some of the highlights.

DEFINITION. We use $\text{Max Spec}(R)$ to denote the collection of all maximal two-sided ideals in a ring R , equipped with the usual hull-kernel topology.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring.*

(a) *There is a continuous bijection $\theta: \partial_e P(R) \rightarrow \text{Max Spec}(R)$ given by the rule $\theta(N) = \ker(N)$.*

(b) *θ maps compact subsets of $\partial_e P(R)$ onto closed subsets of $\text{Max Spec}(R)$.*

(c) *θ is a homeomorphism if and only if $\partial_e P(R)$ is compact, if and only if $\text{Max Spec}(R)$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. [3, Proposition II.14.5]. \square

DEFINITION. A regular ring R is said to satisfy *general comparability* provided that for any $x, y \in R$, there exists a central idempotent $e \in R$ such that $exR \lesssim eyR$ and $(1 - e)yR \lesssim (1 - e)xR$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *R satisfies general comparability.*

(b) *$\partial_e P(R)$ is compact.*

(c) *$\text{Max Spec}(R)$ is Hausdorff.*

(d) *$K_0(R)$ is a lattice.*

Proof. This is proved for \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings in [3, Theorem II.14.9]. The same proof works here, because $\ker(P(R)) = 0$ (Corollary 2.8) and $K_0(R)$ is a monotone σ -complete interpolation group (Theorem 2.5). \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let J be a two-sided ideal of R . Then R/J is right \aleph_0 -continuous if and only if $K_0(R/J)$ is monotone σ -complete.*

Proof. This is proved in [3, Theorem II.14.12] for \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings. By using only the right-sided portion of that proof, we obtain the desired result here. \square

DEFINITION. Given a compact convex set S , we use $\text{Aff}(S)$ to denote the partially ordered real Banach space of all affine continuous real-valued functions on S (with the pointwise ordering and the supremum norm).

DEFINITION. For any regular ring R , there is a natural positive group homomorphism

$$\varphi: K_0(R) \longrightarrow \text{Aff}(\mathbf{P}(R))$$

such that $\varphi([xR])(N) = N(x)$ for all $x \in R$ and all $N \in \mathbf{P}(R)$ [3, p. 120]. We refer to φ as the *natural map* from $K_0(R)$ to $\text{Aff}(\mathbf{P}(R))$.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring. For any $N \in \partial_e \mathbf{P}(R)$ such that $R/\ker(N)$ is isomorphic to an $n \times n$ matrix ring over a division ring, set $A_N = (1/n)\mathbf{Z}$; for all other $N \in \partial_e \mathbf{P}(R)$, set $A_N = \mathbf{R}$. Set*

$$A = \{p \in \text{Aff}(\mathbf{P}(R)) \mid p(N) \in A_N \text{ for all } N \in \partial_e \mathbf{P}(R)\}.$$

Then the natural map $\varphi: K_0(R) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(\mathbf{P}(R))$ provides an isomorphism of $(K_0(R), [R])$ onto $(A, 1)$ (as partially ordered abelian groups with order-unit).

Proof. [3, Theorem II.15.1]. \square

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring, and let $t \in \mathbf{N}$. If every simple artinian homomorphic image of R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring, then R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.6 as [3, Theorem II.15.3] follows from [3, Theorem II.15.1]. (The statement of [3, Theorem II.15.3] includes the hypothesis that all simple homomorphic images of R be $t \times t$ matrix rings; however, an examination of the proof shows that it suffices to assume this just for simple artinian homomorphic images.) \square

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let R be a directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular ring with no simple artinian homomorphic images. Then R is a $t \times t$ matrix ring for every $t \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, given $t \in \mathbf{N}$ and any finitely generated projective right R -module B , there exists a*

finitely generated projective right R -module C such that $B \cong tC$.

Proof. [3, Theorem II.15.4]. □

A number of the results of this paper, such as Corollaries 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, Proposition 3.3, Theorem 3.6, and Corollaries 3.7 and 3.8, also hold for N^* -complete regular rings, as proved in [2]. The \aleph_0 -continuous cases of these results may be regarded as corollaries of the N^* -complete results, since every \aleph_0 -continuous regular ring is N^* -complete [2, Theorem 2.3]. However, it is an open problem whether directly finite, right \aleph_0 -continuous, regular rings must be N^* -complete (we conjecture that they need not be), so the results of this paper are not corollaries of the N^* -complete theory.

REFERENCES

1. K. R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann Regular Rings*, London (1979), Pitman.
2. ———, *Metrically complete regular rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (to appear).
3. K. R. Goodearl, D. E. Handelman, and J. W. Lawrence, *Affine representations of Grothendieck groups and applications to Rickart C^* -algebras and \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., No. 234 (1980).
4. I. Halperin, *On the transitivity of perspectivity in continuous geometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (1938), 537-562.
5. D. Handelman, *Perspectivity and cancellation in regular rings*, J. Algebra, **48** (1977), 1-16.
6. ———, *Finite Rickart C^* -algebras and their properties*, in Studies in Analysis, Advances in Math. Suppl. Studies, Vol. 4 (1979), 171-196.
7. D. Handelman, D. Higgs, and J. Lawrence, *Directed abelian groups, countably continuous rings, and Rickart C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **21** (1980), 193-202.

Received January 11, 1981. This research was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112