

## THE SPLITTING OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS, II

STEVE WRIGHT

Let  $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras (resp.,  $W^*$ -algebras). For  $\alpha_0 \in A$ , we let  $P_{\alpha_0}: \bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha \rightarrow A_{\alpha_0}$  denote the canonical coordinate projection of  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$  onto  $A_{\alpha_0}$ . If  $B$  is a  $C^*$ - (resp.,  $W^*$ -) subalgebra of  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$ , we say that  $B$  splits if  $B = \bigoplus_\alpha P_\alpha(B)$ . In this note, we give conditions both necessary and sufficient for  $B$  to split. In the  $C^*$ -category, these conditions are given in terms of separation properties of the spectrum and primitive ideal space of  $B$ , and in the  $W^*$ -category, the conditions are expressed in terms of disjointness of certain subsets of the center of  $B$ . We also give examples to show that these conditions cannot be weakened, and are hence the best possible of their kind.

In [4], Sze-kai Tsui and the author obtained several results on the splitting of singly-generated operator algebras. Theorems 2.1 and 3.4 of [4] are the principle results of that paper, and it is the purpose of this paper to present results which both improve and generalize the main results of [4].

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra (resp.,  $W^*$ -algebra) and  $a \in A$ , then  $C^*(a)$  (resp.,  $W^*(a)$ ) denotes the  $C^*$ -subalgebra (resp.,  $W^*$ -subalgebra) of  $A$  generated by  $a$ . Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $A_{\alpha_0}$ , for some fixed  $\alpha_0 \in A$ . We define a representation  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$  by

$$\tilde{\pi}: \bigoplus_\alpha a_\alpha \longrightarrow \pi(a_{\alpha_0}), \quad \bigoplus_\alpha a_\alpha \in \bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha.$$

The sets

$$\Sigma_{n_0} = \{\ker(\tilde{\rho}|_{C^*(\bigoplus_\alpha a_\alpha)}): \rho \text{ an irreducible representation of } C^*(a_{\alpha_0})\}$$

are subsets of the primitive ideal space of  $C^*(\bigoplus_\alpha a_\alpha)$ . The first main result of [4] asserted that  $C^*(a_1 \oplus a_2)$  splits if and only if  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  disconnect the primitive ideal space of  $C^*(a_1 \oplus a_2)$  equipped with the hull-kernel topology. In Theorem 2.2 of this paper, we improve and generalize this to arbitrary  $C^*$ -subalgebras of arbitrary direct sums of  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let  $N$  be  $W^*$ -algebra with predual  $N_*$  and let  $\tau$  be a  $\sigma(N, N_*)$ -continuous representation of  $N$ . We set  $\text{supp } \tau =$  complement of the central support projection of  $\ker \tau$  in  $N$ . We denote the class of all nonzero  $\sigma(N, N_*)$ -continuous representations of  $N$  by  $\text{Rep}_\sigma(N)$ . If  $S$  and  $T$  are subsets of  $N$ , we say that  $S$  and  $T$  are orthogonal if  $st = ts = 0$ , for  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

Let  $N_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  be a family of  $W^*$ -algebras, with  $\bigoplus_\alpha n_\alpha$  a fixed

element of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}$ . We set

$$S_{\alpha_0} = \{\text{supp}(\tilde{\tau}|_{W^*(\bigoplus_{\alpha} N_{\alpha})}) : \tau \in \text{Rep}_{\sigma}(W^*(n_{\alpha_0}))\}.$$

The second main theorem of [4] asserted that  $W^*(n_1 \oplus n_2)$  splits if and only if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are orthogonal and  $\text{sup}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \text{identity}$  in  $W^*(n_1) \oplus W^*(n_2)$ . In Theorem 2.4 of this paper we improve and generalize this to arbitrary  $W^*$ -subalgebras of arbitrary direct sums of  $W^*$ -algebras.

**2. Solution of the splitting problem.** Let  $\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $P_{\alpha} : \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \rightarrow A_{\alpha}$  denote the canonical coordinate projection of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  onto  $A_{\alpha}$ . A  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  is said to be *substantial* in  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  if  $P_{\alpha}(B) = A_{\alpha}$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ . A  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  is said to *split* if  $B = \bigoplus_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(B)$ . The question that concerns us asks: when does a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  split?

The following lemma, the key to our answer to this question, is a trivial modification of a result kindly suggested to us by Don Hadwin, who in turn heard it from T. B. Hoover:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  be  $C^*$ -algebras, with  $B$  a substantial  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ . Then  $B = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  if and only if the following condition holds: there exist no distinct indices  $i$  and  $j$  and irreducible representations  $\rho_{\alpha}$  of  $A_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = i, j$ , for which  $\tilde{\rho}_i|_B = \tilde{\rho}_j|_B$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). This is clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Fix  $i \neq j$ . It suffices to show that  $(P_i \oplus P_j)(B) = A_i \oplus A_j$ , and hence we may assume with no loss of generality that  $n = 2$ . Set  $J_i = B \cap \ker(P_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $J_1 + J_2$  is a closed, two-sided ideal in  $B$ . Let  $a_1 \in A_1$ . Since  $P_1(B) = A_1$ , there exists  $a' \in A_2$  such that  $a_1 \oplus a' \in B$ . Define the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\sigma_1 : A_1 \rightarrow B/(J_1 + J_2)$  by  $\sigma_1 : a_1 \rightarrow a_1 \oplus a' + (J_1 + J_2)$ . Let  $a_2 \in A_2$ . Since  $P_2(B) = A_2$ , there exists  $a'' \in A_1$  such that  $a'' \oplus a_2 \in B$ . Define the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\sigma_2 : A_2 \rightarrow B/(J_1 + J_2)$  by  $\sigma_2 : a_2 \rightarrow a'' \oplus a_2 + (J_1 + J_2)$ . One easily checks that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1|_B = \tilde{\sigma}_2|_B$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\sigma}_1(B) \neq (0)$ . Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of  $\tilde{\sigma}_1(B)$ . Since  $\sigma_1(A_1) = \tilde{\sigma}_1(B) = \tilde{\sigma}_2(B) = \sigma_2(A_2)$ ,  $\rho_i = \rho \circ \sigma_i$  is an irreducible representation of  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and we thus have  $\tilde{\rho}_1|_B = \tilde{\rho}_2|_B$ , contrary to assumption. Thus  $\tilde{\sigma}_1(B) = \tilde{\sigma}_2(B) = (0)$ , whence  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ . It follows that  $J_1 = (0) \oplus A_2$ ,  $J_2 = A_1 \oplus (0)$ , whence  $B = A_1 \oplus A_2$ .  $\square$

We now introduce some notation and terminology for the state-

ment and proof of our principle result.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. We let  $A^{**}$  denote the enveloping  $W^*$ -algebra of  $A$ , realized as the ultraweak closure of the image of  $A$  under its universal representation. If  $S$  is a subset of  $A^{**}$ , we will denote the ultraweak closure of  $S$  by  $S^-$ . If  $I$  is a closed, two-sided ideal in  $A$  then  $I^-$  is an ultraweakly closed, two-sided ideal in  $A^{**}$ , so there is a central projection  $p$  of  $A^{**}$  such that  $I^- = A^{**}p$ . We set  $s(I) = p$ .

If  $p$  is a central projection of  $A^{**}$ , the representation of  $A$  defined by  $a \rightarrow ap$ ,  $a \in A$ , will be denoted by  $\pi_p$ .

If  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , we will write  $B/B \cap I = A/I$  to indicate that the canonical injection of  $B/B \cap I$  into  $A/I$  is surjective.

The class of all irreducible representations of  $A$  will be denoted by  $\text{Irr}(A)$ , and we identify  $\text{Irr}(A/I)$  with  $\{\rho \in \text{Irr}(A) : I \subseteq \ker(\rho)\}$ .

We recall that two representations of  $A$  are *disjoint* if they have no nonzero, unitarily equivalent subrepresentations.

Finally, we need to consider the *restricted* direct sum  $\hat{\bigoplus}_\alpha A_\alpha$  of a family  $A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras. By definition,  $\hat{\bigoplus}_\alpha A_\alpha$  is the closed, two-sided ideal of  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$  consisting of all elements  $\bigoplus_\alpha a_\alpha$  for which the sets  $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : \|a_\alpha\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  are finite for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We can now present our solution of the splitting problem for arbitrary families of  $C^*$ -algebras:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras,  $B$  a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$ . Let  $A = \bigoplus_\alpha P_\alpha(B)$ ,  $I = \hat{\bigoplus}_\alpha P_\alpha(B)$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B$  splits;
- (ii)  $B/B \cap I = A/I$ , and the sets

$$\{\ker(\rho|_B) : \rho \in \text{Irr}(A/I)\}, \quad \{\ker(\tilde{\rho}|_B) : \rho \in \text{Irr}(P_\alpha(B))\}, \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{A},$$

are pairwise disjoint subsets of the primitive ideal space of  $B$ ;

- (iii)  $B/B \cap I = A/I$ , and the following condition holds: for each fixed  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$  with  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ , and for each ordered pair  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  in  $\text{Irr}(P_{\alpha_1}(B)) \times \text{Irr}(P_{\alpha_2}(B))$  (resp.,  $\text{Irr}(A/I) \times \text{Irr}(P_\alpha(B))$ ), we have  $\tilde{\rho}_1|_B \neq \tilde{\rho}_2|_B$  (resp.,  $\rho_1|_B \neq \tilde{\rho}_2|_B$ ).

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\implies$  (ii) and (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) are clear.

(iii)  $\implies$  (i). We may assume with no loss of generality that  $B$  is substantial in  $A = \bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$ . Let  $p = s(I)$ , so that  $I^- = A^{**}p$ . The map  $a + I \rightarrow a(1 - p)$  of  $A/I$  into  $A^{**}(1 - p)$  extends to an isomorphism of  $(A/I)^{**}$  onto  $A^{**}(1 - p)$ . Since  $B/B \cap I = A/I$ , we conclude that  $B^-(1 - p) = A^{**}(1 - p)$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the set of all finite subsets of the indexing set

$\mathcal{A}$ . For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $a = \bigoplus_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ , set  $a_{\sigma} = \bigoplus \{a_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \sigma\}$ ,  $A_{\sigma} = \bigoplus \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \sigma\}$ ,  $P_{\sigma} = \bigoplus \{P_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \sigma\}$ , and  $B_{\sigma} = P_{\sigma}(B)$ . It follows from the hypothesis that  $B_{\sigma}$  is a substantial  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A_{\sigma}$  which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1, so by that lemma,  $B_{\sigma} = A_{\sigma}$ . Thus  $P_{\sigma}|_B$  implements a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $B/\ker(P_{\sigma}|_B)$  onto  $A_{\sigma}$ , and since this isomorphism is an isometry, it follows that  $B$  has the following property:

- (\*) for each  $a = \bigoplus_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , there exists  $b_{\sigma} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} b_{\sigma}^{\alpha} \in B$  such that  $\|b_{\sigma}\| \leq 1 + \|a\|$  and  $b_{\sigma}^{\alpha} = a_{\alpha}$ , for each  $\alpha \in \sigma$ .

Set  $P_{\alpha}$  = support projection of  $A_{\alpha}^{**}$  in  $A^{**}$ . Then  $\{p_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a family of pairwise orthogonal projections of  $I^-$  such that  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} = p$ . Letting  $p_{\sigma} = \bigoplus \{p_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \sigma\}$  for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and considering  $\Sigma$  as a net, ordered by inclusion, we have  $\lim_{\sigma} \|x - xp_{\sigma}\| = 0$ , for each  $x \in I$ .

Fix  $x \in I$ . By (\*), for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  there exists  $b_{\sigma} \in B$  such that  $xp_{\sigma} = b_{\sigma}p_{\sigma}$  and  $\|b_{\sigma}\| \leq 1 + \|x\|$ . Since  $\{b \in B^- : \|b\| \leq 1 + \|x\|\}$  is ultraweakly compact,  $\{b_{\sigma}\}$  has an ultraweak accumulation point  $b \in B^-$ . Passing if necessary to a cofinal subnet, we may assume that ultraweak- $\lim_{\sigma} b_{\sigma} = b$ , and we hence have

$$x = \lim_{\sigma} xp_{\sigma} = \lim_{\sigma} b_{\sigma}p_{\sigma} = \text{ultraweak-}\lim_{\sigma} b_{\sigma}p_{\sigma} = bp.$$

Thus  $I \subseteq B^-p$ , whence  $A^{**}p = I^- = B^-p$ .

We assert that  $\pi_p|_B$  and  $\pi_{1-p}|_B$  are disjoint. If they are not, we can find irreducible representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  of  $A$  with  $I \not\subseteq \ker(\rho_1)$ ,  $I \subseteq \ker(\rho_2)$ , such that  $\rho_1|_B = \rho_2|_B$ . Since  $\rho_1 = \tilde{\rho}$  for  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(A_{\alpha})$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , this contradicts (iii).

Let  $q$  = support projection of  $B^-$  in  $A^{**}$ . Since  $A^{**} = B^-p \oplus B^-(1-p)$ ,  $q = 1$ , and so  $1 \in B^-$ . Thus by the disjointness of  $\pi_p|_B$  and  $\pi_{1-p}|_B$  and Proposition 5.2.1 of [1], we have (with ' denoting the commutant):

$$\begin{aligned} B^- &= (\pi_p \oplus \pi_{1-p})(B)'' = (Bp)'' \oplus (B(1-p))'' \\ &= B^-p \oplus B^-(1-p) \\ &= A^{**}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\iota: B \rightarrow A$  denotes the inclusion map, then  $B^-$  can be identified with  $\iota^{**}(B^{**})$  in  $A^{**}$ . We have hence shown that  $\iota^{**}$  is a surjection of  $B^{**}$  onto  $A^{**}$ . By duality and the Hahn-Banach theorem, we therefore conclude that  $B = A$ . □

If instead of the full direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ , we consider  $C^*$ -subalgebras of restricted direct sums  $\hat{\bigoplus}_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$ , then  $I^{**} = A^{**}$  in the above proof, and so we immediately deduce:

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $A_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  be a family of  $C^*$ -algebras,  $B$  a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $B$  splits;
- (ii) The sets  $\{\ker(\tilde{\rho}|_B): \rho \in \text{Irr}(P_\alpha(B))\}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , are pairwise disjoint subsets of the primitive ideal space of  $B$ ;
- (iii) For each  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$  with  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$  and for each  $(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in \text{Irr}(P_{\alpha_1}(B)) \times \text{Irr}(P_{\alpha_2}(B))$ , we have  $\tilde{\rho}_1|_B \neq \tilde{\rho}_2|_B$ .

The reasoning of Theorem 2.2 can be applied to easily obtain a solution to the splitting problem for an arbitrary direct sum of  $W^*$ -algebras. Indeed, recalling the notation of the introduction, we have:

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $N_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  be a family of  $W^*$ -algebras,  $M$  a  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M$  splits;
- (ii) The subsets  $\{\text{supp}(\tilde{\tau}|_M): \tau \in \text{Rep}_o(P_\alpha(M))\}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , of the center of  $M$  are pairwise disjoint;
- (iii) For each  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$  with  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$  and for each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \text{Rep}_o(P_{\alpha_1}(M)) \times \text{Rep}_o(P_{\alpha_2}(M))$ , we have  $\tilde{\tau}_1|_M \neq \tilde{\tau}_2|_M$ .

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Lemma 2.1 holds with  $C^*$ -(sub)algebra (resp., irreducible representation) replaced by  $W^*$ -(sub)algebra (resp., nonzero,  $\sigma(A_\alpha, (A_\alpha)_*)$ -continuous representation). Thus the argument of the first part of the implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) of Theorem 2.2, appropriately modified, together with the fact that the net  $\{p_\sigma: \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  (where  $p_\alpha =$  identity of  $N_\alpha$ ) converges in the  $*$ -strong topology to the identity of  $\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha$  now finishes the proof. □

**REMARKS.** (1) The splitting phenomenon is much more likely to occur in the  $W^*$ -category than in the  $C^*$ -category, to which Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 attest. In fact, an example of two diagonal operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  acting on a separable Hilbert space is given in [4] for which  $W^*(T_1 \oplus T_2)$  splits, while neither  $W^*(\text{Re } T_1 \oplus \text{Re } T_2)$ ,  $W^*(\text{Im } T_1 \oplus \text{Im } T_2)$ , nor  $C^*(T_1 \oplus T_2)$  splits.

(2) Theorems 1.4 and 2.2 of [3] can be combined with Lemma 2.1 to give an alternate proof of Theorem 2.2. The proof given here seems more natural in the present context, quickly gives a solution to the splitting problem for  $W^*$ -algebras, and avoids the fairly complicated machinery of algebras of operator fields and regularized dual spaces used in [3].

(3) In closing, we present some simple examples which show

that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 cannot be weakened. More specifically, we give examples of a proper, substantial  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $l_\infty$  for which  $B/B \cap c_0 = l_\infty/c_0$  and for which

$$(**) \quad (P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n)(B) = C^n, \text{ for each positive integer } n,$$

and a proper, substantial  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $C$  of  $l_\infty$  which satisfies the second part of condition (ii) of Theorem 2.2 and for which  $C/C \cap c_0$  has codimension 1 in  $l_\infty/c_0$ .

We identify  $l_\infty$  with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X)$  of continuous, complex-valued functions on the Stone-Čech compactification  $X$  of the positive integers  $Z_+$  with discrete topology.  $Z_+$  is a discrete, dense, open subset of  $X$ . Set  $E = X \setminus Z_+$ . Then  $c_0$  can be identified with the ideal of functions in  $C(X)$  which vanish on  $E$ .

Choose  $x \in Z_+$ ,  $y \in E$ , and set  $B = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = f(y)\}$ .  $B$  is a proper  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a fixed finite subset of  $Z_+$ ,  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  a fixed  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers. Then by the Tietze extension theorem ([2], Theorem 5.1, p. 149), we can find an  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f(x_i) = a_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Thus  $B$  is substantial in  $C(X)$  and satisfies (\*\*). Let  $g$  be a fixed element of  $C(X)$ . Again by the Tietze extension theorem, there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f = g$  on  $E$  and  $f(x) = g(y)$ . Thus  $f \in B$ , and since  $f - g = 0$  on  $E$ ,  $f - g \in c_0$ . Hence  $B/B \cap c_0 = l_\infty/c_0$ .

To obtain  $C$ , simply choose distinct elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $E$  and set  $C = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = f(y)\}$ . Since elements of  $\text{Irr}(l_\infty)$  of the form  $\tilde{\rho}, \rho$  an irreducible representation of some coordinate algebra, correspond to evaluation at points of  $Z_+$  and elements of  $\text{Irr}(l_\infty/c_0)$  correspond to evaluation at points of  $E$ , the previous reasoning shows that  $C$  satisfies the second part of condition (ii) of Theorem 2.2. Now  $l_\infty/c_0$  can be identified with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(E)$  of continuous, complex-valued functions on  $E$ , and  $C/C \cap c_0$  can be identified with the subalgebra  $D$  of all  $f \in C(E)$  for which  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $D$  is the kernel of the linear functional  $f \rightarrow f(x) - f(y)$  on  $C(E)$ , it follows that  $C/C \cap c_0$  has codimension 1 in  $l_\infty/c_0$ .

These arguments can clearly be used to construct similar examples for an arbitrary infinite direct sum of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977.
2. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allen and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
3. J. M. G. Fell, *The structure of algebras of operator fields*, Acta Math., **106** (1961), 233-280.
4. S.-K. Tsui and S. Wright, *The splitting of operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **84** (1979), 201-215.

Received June 12, 1980 and in revised form June 24, 1981. Partially supported by an Oakland University Faculty Research Fellowship.

OAKLAND UNIVERSITY  
ROCHESTER, MI 48063

