

## CLIFFORD'S THEOREM FOR ALGEBRAIC GROUPS AND LIE ALGEBRAS

JOHN W. BALLARD

**The standard results for comparing the irreducible representations of a group to those of a normal subgroup were obtained by A. H. Clifford. The object of this paper is to discuss a variation of these results in which the group is assumed to be an affine algebraic group and the role of the normal subgroup is played by the Lie algebra.**

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be an affine algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. Let  $V$  be an irreducible rational representation space for  $G$ . We show that when viewed as a representation space for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $V$  decomposes as the direct sum of isomorphic irreducible representations. This is the analog of the first two results in [4]. Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -subspace of  $V$ . We show that  $V$  factors as the tensor product of two rational projective representations of  $G$ , one of which is induced by  $W$  while the other is a representation of the quotient group  $G/\mathfrak{g}$ . Since the field has positive characteristic it follows that  $V = W \otimes U$ , where  $U$  is the Frobenius power of a projective representation of  $G$ . This is the analog of Clifford's Theorem 3. We assume that the Lie algebra has no non-trivial one dimensional restricted representations and show that this factorization may be continued to express  $V$  as the tensor product of irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and their Frobenius powers. The Curtis-Steinberg decomposition [3] for the irreducible representations of a simply connected semisimple group then follows as a corollary.

In the course of the discussion we construct a Schur representation group  $G^s$  of  $G$  relative to its Lie algebra and a relative Schur multiplier. Essentially  $G^s$  is the smallest covering group of  $G$  which linearizes the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Our identification of  $G^s$  as the simply connected covering group of  $G$  answers a question originally posed by Curtis [6, p. 325] in the context of Chevalley groups. We identify the relative Schur multiplier with the Picard group of the algebraic variety  $G$ . This amounts to showing that the Picard group is generated by the irreducible representations of the Lie algebra which do not arise as the differential of a representation for the group.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $G$  be a connected affine algebraic group defined over the prime subfield of  $K$ . We identify  $G$  with its group of  $K$ -rational points and denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  or  $L(G)$  the Lie algebra.

Let  $A = K[G]$  be the coordinate ring of  $G$  and let  $A^*$  denote the linear dual. If  $V$  is a rational  $G$ -module with comodule map  $\Delta_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes A$ , then  $V$  is a module for the algebra  $A^*$  with the action of  $X \in A^*$  given by  $(1 \otimes X)\Delta_V$ .

Let  $M$  be the kernel of the augmentation map of  $A$  and let  $M_n$  denote the ideal of  $A$  generated by  $m^q$  ( $q = p^n$ ,  $m \in M$ ). The  $n$ th infinitesimal hyperalgebra  $u_n$  of  $G$  is the finite dimensional Hopf algebra  $(A/M_n)^*$ . So  $u_1$  is the restricted universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  while  $u_n$  is the algebra of invariant differential operators on  $A$  of order  $\leq p^n$ . We let  $\Delta$  denote, generically, the comultiplication map of  $u_n$ . The tensor product of two  $u_n$ -modules is also a  $u_n$ -module, with the action of  $X \in u_n$  given by  $\Delta(X)$ . The hyperalgebra of  $G$  is the union of the  $u_n$ . If  $\tau: G \rightarrow H$  is a morphism of algebraic groups, let  $hy(\tau)$  denote the corresponding map of hyperalgebras.

If  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  is a  $K$ -basis for  $M/M^2$ , then the monomials  $a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_m^{\alpha_m}$  ( $0 \leq \alpha_i < p^n$ ) form a  $K$ -basis for  $A/M_n$  [13]. Let  $X_i^{(\alpha)} \in u_n$  be dual to  $a_i^\alpha$ . Then

$$\Delta(X_i^{(\alpha)}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} X_i^{(\alpha-j)} \otimes X_i^{(j)}$$

and so  $\{X_i^{(\alpha)} \mid 0 \leq \alpha < p^n\}$  is a sequence of divided powers over  $X_i^{(1)} = X_i$ . The monomials  $X_1^{(\alpha_1)} \cdots X_m^{(\alpha_m)}$  ( $0 \leq \alpha_i < p^n$ ) form a  $K$ -basis for  $u_n$ .

Let  $V$  be a rational  $G$ -module. Since we may view  $u_n$  as the subalgebra of  $A^*$  vanishing on  $M_n$ ,  $V$  is also a module for  $u_n$ , which we denote by  $V|_{u_n}$ . If  $\pi$  denotes the action of  $G$  on  $V$ , we denote the action of  $u_n$  on  $V$  by  $d\pi$ . The relation between the adjoint action  $\text{Ad}$  of  $G$  on  $u_n$  and the action on  $V$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad d\pi(X)\pi(g)v = \pi(g)d\pi(X^g)v \quad (X \in u_n, g \in G),$$

where  $X^g = (\text{Ad } g^{-1})X$ .

The following argument, which is adapted from [1, p. 132], shows that every irreducible  $u_n$ -module is a submodule of a rational irreducible  $G$ -module. Every irreducible  $u_n$ -module  $W$  is realized as a submodule of  $u_n^* = A/M_n$ . Specifically,  $W$  is isomorphic to a submodule of the space spanned by the coordinate functions for  $W$ . Since the map  $A \rightarrow A/M_n$  is  $u_n$ -equivariant, we may choose  $u_n$ -submodules  $U, V \subseteq A$  with  $U/V \cong W$ .

View  $G$  as acting by left translations on  $A$  and choose a finite dimensional  $G$ -subspace  $N$  with  $U \subseteq N$ . Since the action of  $u_n$  on  $A$  is the differential of left translation,  $U$  and  $V$  are  $u_n$ -submodules of  $N|u_n$ . If  $m$  is the dimension of  $V$ , then  $E^{m+1}(N)$ , the  $m + 1$  exterior power of  $N$ , contains the  $u_n$ -submodule  $U \wedge E^m(V)$ . On the other hand,  $U \wedge E^m(V)$  is  $u_n$ -isomorphic to  $W \otimes E^m(V)$ . Since  $V \subset M_n$ ,  $E^m(V)$  is trivial as a  $u_n$ -module. So  $W$  is isomorphic to a  $u_n$ -submodule of the rational  $G$ -module  $E^{m+1}(N)$ .

We note that  $u_n = (A/M_n)^*$  is the dual algebra for the infinitesimal group scheme  $G^n$ . Here  $G^n$  denotes the scheme theoretic kernel for the  $n$ th power of the Frobenius morphism  $G \rightarrow G$ . The classical results for comparing the irreducible representations of a group to those of a normal subgroup were given by Clifford in [4]. The intent of this paper is to discuss the analogous results for  $G$  and its normal subgroups  $G^n$ . Since  $G^n$  has but one point over  $K$ , we prove the results from the equivalent viewpoint of  $u_n$ .

We begin by considering the analog of Clifford's first theorem with the role of the normal subgroup played by  $u_n$ .

(2.2) THEOREM (Clifford). *Let  $V$  be an irreducible rational  $G$ -module. Then*

$$V|u_n = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$$

where the  $W_i$  are mutually isomorphic irreducible  $u_n$ -modules.

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $u_n$ -submodule of  $V$ . Since  $X(gW) = g(X^g W)$  ( $X \in u_n$ ,  $g \in G$ ),  $gW$  is also a  $u_n$ -submodule. Hence

$$V = \sum_{g \in G} gW$$

is a completely reducible  $u_n$ -module. Let  $W_1, \dots, W_s$  be representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible  $u_n$ -submodules of  $V$ . Let  $V_i$  denote the sum of the  $u_n$ -submodules of  $V$  which are isomorphic to  $W_i$ . Then  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$  and  $G$  permutes the  $V_i$ , transitively since  $V$  is irreducible. The stabilizer of  $V_1$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  of index  $s$  which, by connectivity, equals  $G$ . So  $s = 1$  and the result follows.

In the context of Theorem 2.2, Clifford shows that  $V$  factors as the tensor product of two irreducible projective representations. By way of preparation for considering the analogous result, we conclude this section with a few elementary remarks on projective representations.

A projective representation of  $G$  on a vector space  $V$  is a mapping  $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  such that for all  $x, y \in G$

$$\pi(x)\pi(y) = \alpha(x, y)\pi(xy) \text{ and } \pi(1) = 1$$

where  $\alpha: G \times G \rightarrow K^\times$ . We refer to a projective representation with trivial cocycle as a linear representation. A projective representation is irreducible if it has no non-trivial  $G$ -stable subspaces. Two projective representations  $\pi_i: G \rightarrow GL(V_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are said to be projectively equivalent if there is a linear isomorphism  $\theta: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that for all  $g \in G$

$$\theta\pi_1(g) = c(g)\pi_2(g)\theta$$

where  $c(g)$  is a non-zero element of  $K$ .

We define a rational projective representation of  $G$  as a projective representation  $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  for which the mapping  $\pi': G \rightarrow PGL(V) = GL(V)/K^\times$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties. The tensor product of rational projective representations is again one and the following lemma yields a form of the converse suitable for our purposes.

(2.3) LEMMA. *Let  $\pi_i: G \rightarrow GL(V_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be two projective representations whose tensor product  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2: G \rightarrow GL(V_1 \otimes V_2)$  is a rational projective representation. Then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are rational projective representations of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that each  $\pi'_i: G \rightarrow PGL(V_i)$  is a morphism of varieties. Let  $\mathbf{P}(V)$  denote the projective space corresponding to the vector space  $V$  and view  $PGL(V)$  as the automorphism group of  $\mathbf{P}(V)$ . The Segre embedding  $\mathbf{P}(V_1) \times \mathbf{P}(V_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(V_1 \otimes V_2)$  yields a closed immersion

$$\rho: PGL(V_1) \times PGL(V_2) \rightarrow PGL(V_1 \otimes V_2)$$

with  $\rho(a, b) = a \otimes b$ . Since the comorphism for  $\rho$  is surjective and  $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)' = \rho(\pi'_1 \times \pi'_2)$ , it follows that  $\pi'_1 \times \pi'_2$  is a rational map of  $G$  into  $PGL(V_1) \times PGL(V_2)$  and the result follows.

**3. Clifford's Theorem.** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote either a restricted Lie ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which is stable under the adjoint action of  $G$  or one of the infinitesimal hyperalgebras  $u_n$ . Define  $P(\mathfrak{m})$ , the primitive elements of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , as the collection of all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$  with  $\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X$ . With our choice of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $P(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$  if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and equals  $\mathfrak{g}$  otherwise. Let  $G/\mathfrak{m}$  denote the affine algebraic group whose coordinate ring is  $A^{\mathfrak{m}}$ , the subalgebra of  $A$  annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$  (see [2, p. 372]). The quotient morphism

$G \rightarrow G/\mathfrak{m}$  is said to be purely inseparable since the quotient field of  $A$  is a purely inseparable extension of the quotient field for  $A^{\mathfrak{m}}$ . The following result is the analog of Clifford's Theorem 3 from [4] while our proof follows that of [7, p. 351]. We note that by Theorem 2.2 every irreducible rational  $G$ -module satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem.

(3.1) THEOREM (*Clifford*). *Let  $V$  be a rational  $G$ -module such that  $V | \mathfrak{m}$  is the direct sum of isomorphic irreducible  $\mathfrak{m}$ -modules. Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{m}$ -submodule of  $V$ . Then there are rational projective representations*

$$\pi_1: G \rightarrow GL(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2: G \rightarrow GL(U)$$

*such that  $\pi(g) = \pi_1(g) \otimes \pi_2(g)$  defines a rational linear representation of  $G$  on  $W \otimes U$  with  $V \cong W \otimes U$ . Moreover,  $W | \mathfrak{m}$  is projectively equivalent to  $W$ ,  $\pi_1$  is an irreducible rational projective representation of  $G$  and  $\pi_2$  is a rational projective representation of  $G/\mathfrak{m}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption,

$$V | \mathfrak{m} = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_s$$

where each  $W_i$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -isomorphic to  $W$ . If  $\tau$  is the representation of  $\mathfrak{m}$  on  $W$  and  $\pi$  the representation of  $G$  on  $V$ , then  $\tau = d\pi | W$ .

For  $g \in G$ , let  $W^g$  denote the module  $W$  with the action of  $\mathfrak{m}$  given by

$$Xw = \tau(X^g)w \quad (X \in \mathfrak{m}, w \in W)$$

Then  $W^g$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -isomorphic to  $\pi(g)W$  and hence isomorphic to  $W$ . Since  $W^g$  has the same underlying  $K$ -vector space as  $W$ , we may choose an intertwining operator  $\pi_1(g) \in GL(W)$  with

$$(3.2) \quad \tau(X^g) = \pi_1(g)^{-1} \tau(X) \pi_1(g),$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ . For  $x, y \in G$ , the definition of  $\pi_1$  shows that  $\pi_1(x)\pi_1(y)\pi_1(xy)^{-1}$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -module isomorphism of  $W$ . Now  $W$  is irreducible and  $K$  is algebraically closed, so  $\pi_1(x)\pi_1(y) = \alpha(x, y)\pi_1(xy)$  for some  $\alpha(x, y) \in K^\times$ . Hence  $\pi_1: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  is a projective representation of  $G$ .

Let  $U$  be an  $s$ -dimensional  $K$ -vector space and let  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  be a basis for  $U^*$ . Choose  $\mathfrak{m}$ -module isomorphisms  $\varphi_i: W \rightarrow W_i$ . Then the map  $\varphi: W \otimes U \rightarrow V$  given by

$$\varphi(w \otimes u) = \sum_{i=1}^s f_i(u) \varphi_i(w)$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces. We give  $W \otimes U$  the structure of a rational  $G$ -module by requiring that  $\varphi$  be a  $G$ -isomorphism and identify  $V$  with  $W \otimes U$ . With this identification,  $d\pi(X) = \tau(X) \otimes 1$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $\pi(g)(\pi_1(g)^{-1} \otimes 1)$  commutes with  $\tau(X) \otimes 1$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ ), Burnside's theorem shows that it commutes with  $\beta \otimes 1$ , for all  $\beta \in \text{End}(W)$ . So  $\pi(g) = \pi_1(g) \otimes \pi_2(g)$  for some  $\pi_2(g) \in GL(U)$ . If  $x, y \in G$ , then

$$\pi_1(xy) \otimes \pi_2(xy) = \pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y) = \alpha(x, y)\pi_1(xy) \otimes \pi_2(x)\pi_2(y).$$

Hence  $\pi_2(x)\pi_2(y) = \alpha(x, y)^{-1}\pi_2(xy)$  and so  $\pi_2: G \rightarrow GL(U)$  is a projective representation of  $G$ .

Since  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 = \pi$  is a rational linear representation of  $G$ , Lemma 2.3 shows that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are rational projective representations of  $G$ .

If  $X \in P(\mathfrak{m})$ , then

$$\tau'(X) \otimes 1 = d\pi'(X) = d\pi'_1(X) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d\pi'_2(X),$$

so  $d\pi'_1|P(\mathfrak{m}) = \tau'$  while  $d\pi'_2|P(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{m} = u_n$  and  $X = X_i$ , then

$$\Delta(X^{(\alpha)}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} X^{(\alpha-j)} \otimes X^{(j)}$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < p^n$ . By induction on  $\alpha$ ,

$$\tau'(X^{(\alpha)}) \otimes 1 = d\pi'_1(X^{(\alpha)}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d\pi'_2(X^{(\alpha)}),$$

hence  $d\pi'_1| \mathfrak{m} = \tau'$  and  $d\pi'_2| \mathfrak{m} = 0$ . Now the former shows that  $W| \mathfrak{m}$  is projectively equivalent to the original action  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  on  $W$  while the latter insures that  $\pi'_2$  factors through  $G/\mathfrak{m}$  [2, p. 376] to yield a rational projective representation of  $G/\mathfrak{m}$  on  $U$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

As the proof of the preceding result shows,  $U \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{m}}(W, V)$ . We note that if  $W$  and  $U$  are linear  $G$ -modules, then this is a  $G$ -isomorphism.

For  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, K)$ , let  $S(\lambda)$  denote the one dimensional  $\mathfrak{m}$ -module affording  $\lambda$ .

(3.3) COROLLARY. *In the notation of Theorem 3.1,  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  may be chosen to be rational linear representations of  $G$  if and only if there is a rational  $G$ -module  $W_0$  and a linear character  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, K)$  such that  $W_0| \mathfrak{m} \cong S(\lambda) \otimes W$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $\pi_1: G \rightarrow GL(W_0)$  and  $\pi_2: G \rightarrow GL(U_0)$  are rational linear representations of  $G$  such that  $V \cong W_0 \otimes U_0$  with  $d\pi_1|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \tau'$  and  $d\pi_2|_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ . Then  $d\pi_2 = \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}, K)$ . Since  $\tau \otimes 1 = d\pi$ ,  $\tau(X) = \lambda(X) + d\pi_1(X)$ , for all  $X \in P(\mathfrak{m})$ . If  $0 \leq \alpha < p^n$  and  $X = X_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(X^{(\alpha)}) \otimes 1 &= d\pi(X^{(\alpha)}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} d\pi_1(X^{(\alpha-j)}) \otimes d\pi_2(X^{(j)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} \lambda(X^{(j)}) d\pi_1(X^{(\alpha-j)}) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

So  $S(\lambda) \otimes W_0|_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong W$  and hence, denoting by  $S(\lambda^*)$  the dual of  $S(\lambda)$ ,  $W_0|_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong S(\lambda^*) \otimes W$ .

Now assume that  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(W_0)$  is a rational linear representation of  $G$  such that  $W_0|_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong S(\lambda) \otimes W$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(X^g) + \tau(X^g) &= d\rho(X^g) = \rho(g)^{-1} d\rho(X) \rho(g) \\ &= \lambda(X) + \rho(g)^{-1} \tau(X) \rho(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X \in P(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $g \in G$ . Since  $\lambda(X^g) = \lambda(X)$ , we have  $\tau(X^g) = \rho(g)^{-1} \tau(X) \rho(g)$  for  $X \in P(\mathfrak{m})$ . It follows that  $\tau(X^g) = \rho(g)^{-1} \tau(X) \rho(g)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$  and so we may choose  $\pi_1(g) = \rho(g)$  in equation (3.2). The linearity of  $\pi_2$  then follows from that of  $\pi$  and  $\pi_1$ .

**REMARK.** Let  $W$  be a one dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module and suppose that  $W$  is a submodule of an irreducible rational  $G$ -module  $V$  with  $W \neq V$ . Then Corollary 3.3 shows that  $V \cong W_0 \otimes U$ , where  $W_0$  is the trivial one dimensional representation and  $U$  is an irreducible rational projective representation of  $G/\mathfrak{g} \cong G$ . So  $V \cong U$  is the Frobenius power of a rational projective representation of  $G$ .

(3.4) **COROLLARY.** *Let  $V$  be a rational  $G$ -module and let  $W$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{m}$ -submodule. Let  $O(W)$  denote the  $G$ -submodule generated by  $W$ . Then there are rational projective representations*

$$\pi_1: G \rightarrow GL(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2: G \rightarrow GL(U)$$

*such that  $O(W) \cong W \otimes U$ , an isomorphism of linear  $G$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Since  $O(W)$  is the sum of the  $G$ -translates of  $W$ ,  $O(W)|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is completely reducible. Write, as in the proof of Theorem 2.2,

$$O(W)|_{\mathfrak{m}} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$$

where the  $V_i$  are the homogeneous components. The connected group  $G$  permutes the  $V_i$  transitively and so  $s = 1$ . The result now follows from Theorem 3.1.

Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_m$  the Lie algebra for the multiplicative group of  $K$ . If  $U$  is a rational  $G$ -module, let  $U^{(n)}$  denote the  $n$ th Frobenius power of  $U$ . Suppose that  $\text{Hom}(G, GL_1) = 1$  and that every irreducible rational projective representation of  $G$  is equivalent to a rational linear representation. The remark following Corollary 3.3 shows that  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$ . Let  $V$  be an irreducible rational  $G$ -module. Then Theorem 3.1, with  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}$ , shows that  $V = W_0 \otimes U$ , where  $W_0$  and  $U$  are uniquely determined irreducible rational  $G$ -modules with  $W_0|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  irreducible. Since  $U|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is trivial,  $U \cong U_1^{(1)}$  for some rational  $G$ -module  $U_1$ . Applying Theorem 3.1 to  $U_1$  and continuing shows that

$$(3.5) \quad V \cong W_0 \otimes W_1^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes W_n^{(n)}$$

where the  $W_i$  are irreducible rational  $G$ -modules which remain irreducible when viewed as modules for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So Clifford's theorem, in this context, yields the Curtis-Steinberg decomposition [3] for the irreducible modules of a simply connected semisimple group. We refer the reader to [5] for an alternate approach to this decomposition.

**4. Schur representation groups.** We now consider the problem of obtaining a factorization similar to that of (3.5) for the irreducible representations of a group  $G$ . We construct a Schur representation group  $G^s$  of  $G$  relative to its Lie algebra for which (3.5) yields a factorization of the irreducible representations of  $G^s$  and hence a projective factorization of the irreducible representations of  $G$ . Since our decomposition is to be in terms of the irreducible representations of the Lie algebra, it is necessary to assume that  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$ . Lacking a convenient reference, we begin the discussion by giving an elementary procedure for obtaining  $PGL_n$  as a quotient of  $SL_n$ .

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and write  $n = p^s m$ , where  $(p, m) = 1$ . Let  $T = GL_1$  be a one dimensional torus with coordinate ring  $B = K[x, x^{-1}]$ . Then  $B' = K[x^n, x^{-n}]$  is the coordinate ring of an affine group  $T'$  which may be obtained as a quotient of  $T$  as follows.

The affine group  $T/L(T)$  has coordinate ring  $B^{L(T)} = K[x^p, x^{-p}]$ . So by defining  $T_{i+1} = T_i/L(T_i)$  and setting  $T_0 = T$ , we obtain a sequence of purely inseparable quotient morphisms  $\mu_i: T_i \rightarrow T_{i+1}$ . If  $X$  is a generator for  $L(T)$ , then the image of  $X^{(q)}$  ( $q = p^i$ ) under the corresponding



homomorphism of hyperalgebras is a generator for  $L(T_s)$ . Now let  $M$  be the finite subgroup of  $m$ th roots of 1 in  $T_s$ . Then

$$K[T_s]^M = K[x^n, x^{-n}]$$

and so  $T_s/M = T'$ . Let  $\tau_s: T_s \rightarrow T'$  be the quotient morphism. Since  $\tau_s$  is separable,  $u_1(T_s) \cong u_1(T')$  and hence  $u_1(T') \cong u_s(T)/u_{s-1}(T)$ . We show that a similar sequence of  $s$  purely inseparable morphisms followed by a separable morphism yields  $PGL_n$  as a quotient of  $SL_n$ .

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $K$  and set  $H = SL(V)$ ,  $H' = PGL(V)$ . Let  $\tau: H \rightarrow H'$  be the restriction of the quotient morphism  $GL(V) \rightarrow H'$ . Since  $d\tau: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}'$  is the natural map and  $n = p^s m$ , we note that  $\text{Im } d\tau$  has codimension 1 in  $\mathfrak{h}'$  if  $s \neq 0$  and codimension 0 if  $s = 0$ .

We first discuss the case where  $s \neq 0$ . Let  $W$  denote the  $GL(V)$ -module obtained by tensoring the  $m$ th exterior power of  $V^{(s)}$  with the one dimensional module affording  $\det^{-1}$ . The representation factors through  $H'$  to yield a rational representation  $H' \rightarrow SL(W)$  for which the diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \rightarrow & SL(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H' & \rightarrow & SL(W) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let  $T$  be the one dimensional subtorus of  $H$  of diagonal matrices of the form:  $\text{diag}(a, \dots, a, a^{1-n})$  for  $a \in K^\times$ . Let  $S$  be the group of scalar matrices from  $GL(V)$ , so  $\tau(T) = TS/S$ . Choosing a complement for  $S$  in the two dimensional torus  $TS$  shows that the comorphism of  $\tau$  identifies the coordinate ring of  $\tau(T)$  with  $K[x^n, x^{-n}]$ , where  $K[T] = K[x, x^{-1}]$ . So our preceding discussion shows how  $\tau(T)$  may be expressed as a quotient of  $T$ . If  $X$  is a generator for  $L(T)$ , then  $X^{(i)}$  ( $i < p^s$ ) lies in the kernel of  $hy(\tau)$  while the image of  $X^{(i)}$  ( $q = p^s$ ) generates  $L(\tau(T))$ . The commutativity of (4.1) shows that  $L(\tau(T))$  acts on  $W$  by a non-trivial linear character. Since  $\text{Im } d\tau$  annihilates  $W$  we have, for dimensional reasons,  $\mathfrak{h}' = \text{Im } d\tau \oplus L(\tau(T))$ .

Now define a sequence of purely inseparable quotient morphisms  $H_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}$  as follows. Let  $H_0 = H$  and  $T_0 = T$ . For  $i < s$ , set  $H_{i+1} = H_i/L(T_i)$  and let  $T_{i+1} = \mu_i(T_i)$ , where  $\mu_i: H_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}$  is the quotient morphism. To show the existence of these quotient groups it suffices to show that  $L(T_i)$  is central in  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ .

Since we are assuming  $s > 0$ ,  $L(T_0)$  is central in  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ . Assume the result for  $i - 1$  and form the quotient group  $H_i$ . Then  $V^{(i)}$  is a rational

$H_i$ -module and  $\tau$  factors through  $H_i$  to yield a group morphism  $\tau_i: H_i \rightarrow H'$  for which the diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \rightarrow & SL(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_i & \rightarrow & SL(V^{(i)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H' & \rightarrow & SL(W) \end{array}$$

commutes. Then  $L(T_i)$  is generated by the image of  $X^{(q)}$  ( $q = p^i$ ) which acts non-trivially on  $V^{(i)}$ . Since  $\text{Im } d\mu_{i-1}$  annihilates  $V^{(i)}$  we have, again for dimensional reasons,  $\mathfrak{h}_i = \text{Im } d\mu_{i-1} \oplus L(T_i)$ .

If  $i < s$ , then  $X^{(q)}$  lies in the kernel of  $hy(\tau)$  and so  $L(T_i)$  is contained in the kernel of  $d\tau_i$ . A dimension comparison shows that the two are equal and hence  $L(T_i)$  is central in  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ . On the other hand,  $L(T_s)$  is not contained in the kernel of  $d\tau_s$ . Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{h}' = \text{Im } d\tau \oplus L(\tau(T)) = \text{Im } d\tau_s$$

and so  $\tau_s: H_s \rightarrow H'$  is a surjective separable morphism. If  $M$  is the kernel of  $\tau_s$ , then  $M$  is isomorphic to the group of  $m$ th roots of unity in  $K$  and  $H_s/M \cong H'$ .

In case  $s = 0$ , we have  $H_s = H$  and  $H/M \cong H'$ .

REMARK. Each  $H_i$  is, in the context of Chevalley groups, the group corresponding to the lattice of weights determined by  $p^i\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is a fixed dominant weight. The inseparable morphisms  $H_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}$  alter the structure constants of the respective Lie algebras to yield a group  $H_s$  whose Lie algebra is center free. The group  $H'$  is the Chevalley group corresponding to the lattice of weights determined by  $mp^s\lambda = n\lambda$ . From the viewpoint of group schemes,  $H'$  is the quotient of  $H$  by the diagonalizable group scheme represented by  $u_s(T)^* \times K[M]^*$ , where  $K[M]$  is the group algebra of  $M$ .

(4.3) LEMMA. Assume that  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$  and let  $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a rational projective representation. Then there is a connected affine algebraic group  $G(V)$  and a rational representation  $\rho: G(V) \rightarrow SL(V)$  such that

- (a)  $G(V)$  has a finite central  $p'$ -subgroup  $N$  with  $G(V)/N \cong G$ , and
- (b) the following diagram is commutative,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(V) & \rightarrow & SL(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \rightarrow & PGL(V). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $H = SL(V)$ ,  $H' = PGL(V)$  and write  $\dim V = p^s m$ . Retaining the notation of (4.2) we define  $G_s$  as the connected component of the identity in the fibred product of  $\pi': G \rightarrow H'$  and  $\tau_s: H_s \rightarrow H'$ . Let  $N$  be the kernel for the projection of  $G_s$  onto  $G$ . Then  $N = (1 \times M) \cap G_s$  is a central  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G_s$  with  $G_s/N \cong G$ .

Composing  $\pi'$  with a power of the Frobenius map  $F$  yields a morphism  $F^i \pi': G \rightarrow PGL(V^{(i)})$ . We show, by descending induction on  $i$ , the existence of group morphisms  $\rho_i: G_s \rightarrow SL(V^{(i)})$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_s & \rightarrow & SL(V^{(i)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \rightarrow & PGL(V^{(i)}). \end{array}$$

The lemma follows by setting  $G(V) = G_s$  and  $\rho = \rho_0$ .

For  $i = s$ , we define  $\rho_s$  as the projection of  $G_s$  into  $H_s$  composed with the representation of  $H_s$  in  $SL(V^{(s)})$  given in (4.2). Assume the existence of  $\rho_i$  ( $0 < i \leq s$ ) and let  $\beta_i: SL(V^{(i)}) \rightarrow PGL(V^{(i)})$  be the quotient morphism. If  $\alpha: G_s \rightarrow G$  denotes the projection map, then our induction hypothesis shows that  $\beta_i \rho_i = F^i \pi' \alpha$  and consequently  $d\beta_i d\rho_i = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Im } d\rho_i \leq \text{Ker } d\beta_i$  which is either 0 or  $\mathfrak{g}_m$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$ ,  $\text{Im } d\rho_i = 0$ . So there is a group morphism  $\rho_{i-1}: G_s \rightarrow SL(V^{(i-1)})$  such that  $F \circ \rho_{i-1} = \rho_i$ . The commutativity of the corresponding diagram follows from the choice of  $\rho_{i-1}$ .

Assume that  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$  and let  $W_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible restricted representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Each  $W_i$  induces a rational projective representation of  $G$  and hence, by Lemma 4.3, yields a separable isogeny  $G(W_i) \rightarrow G$ . This isogeny is an isomorphism if and only if  $W_i$  is a linear  $G$ -module. The differential of the representation  $G(W_i) \rightarrow SL(W_i)$  agrees with the original representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $W_i$ . We define  $G^s$ , the Schur representation group of  $G$  relative to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as the connected component of the identity in the fibred product of the  $G(W_i) \rightarrow G$  ( $i \in I$ ). The definition of  $G^s$  shows that every irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the differential of a uniquely determined rational representation of  $G^s$ . In fact, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.3 insure that every rational projective representation of  $G^s$  is equivalent to a rational linear representation. Define the relative Schur multiplier  $M(G)$  as the character group for the kernel of the map  $G^s \rightarrow G$ .

(4.4) **THEOREM.** *Assume that  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$ . The irreducible rational projective representations of  $G$  are, modulo projective equivalence, given by*

$$(4.5) \quad W_0 \otimes W_1^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_n^{(n)}$$

where the  $W_i$  are irreducible restricted representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Since equivalent linear representations of  $G^s$  yield equivalent projective representations of  $G$  and  $L(G^s) = L(G)$ , we may assume that  $G^s = G$ .

Let  $V$  be an irreducible rational  $G$ -module. We proceed by induction on the dimension of  $V$ . If  $V|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is trivial, then there is an integer  $n$  and an irreducible  $G$ -module  $V_1$  such that  $V = V_1^{(n)}$  and  $V_1|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is non-trivial. Replacing  $V$  by  $V_1$  shows that we may assume  $V$  has a non-trivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule  $W_0$ . Now  $W_0$  is a linear  $G$ -module and so by Theorem 3.1 there is an irreducible rational  $G$ -module  $U_1$  with  $V \cong W_0 \otimes U_1^{(1)}$ . Then  $\dim U_1 < \dim V$  and so our induction hypothesis shows that

$$U_1 \cong W_1 \otimes W_2^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_n^{(n-1)}$$

for certain irreducible representations  $W_i$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $V \cong W_0 \otimes U_1^{(1)}$ , we have the desired factorization.

We now show that any projective representation of the form given by 4.5 is irreducible. Since we are assuming that  $G = G^s$ , the representations in question are actually linear. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Let

$$V = \bigotimes_{i=0}^n W_i^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n W_i^{(i-1)}.$$

Then  $V \cong W_0 \otimes U^{(1)}$  and our induction hypothesis shows  $U$  is irreducible. Suppose that  $V_1$  is an irreducible  $G$ -submodule of  $V$ . Then  $W_0$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of  $V_1$  and hence by Theorem 3.1,  $V_1 \cong W_0 \otimes U_1^{(1)}$ . But

$$U_1^{(1)} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(W_0, V_1) \quad \text{and} \quad U^{(1)} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(W_0, V)$$

with the former a  $G$ -submodule of the latter. So  $U_1$  is a  $G$ -submodule of  $U$ , contradicting the irreducibility of  $U$ .

We now show that the irreducible linear representations of the infinitesimal hyperalgebras are determined by the representations of the Lie algebra. In case  $G$  is simply connected and semisimple, this result is due to Humphreys [10].

(4.6) **COROLLARY.** *If  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$ , then the irreducible linear representations of  $u_m$  are given by equation (4.5) with  $n = m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* The differential of the quotient morphism  $G^s \rightarrow G$  is an isomorphism of Lie algebras and hence yields an isomorphism of the respective infinitesimal hyperalgebras. So we may assume that  $G = G^s$ . We prove the result for  $m = 2$  and note that the general case is similar.

Let  $W$  be an irreducible linear representation of  $u_2$ . Then  $W$  induces a projective representation of  $G$  which, since  $G = G^s$ , is actually a linear representation. By Theorem 4.4,

$$W \cong W_0 \otimes W_1^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes W_n^{(n)}$$

and since  $W|_{u_2}$  is irreducible, the  $W_i$  for  $i > 2$  are trivial.

Now suppose that  $W_0$  and  $W_1$  are irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and hence of  $G$ . Let  $W$  be an irreducible  $u_2$ -submodule of  $W_0 \otimes W_1^{(1)}$ . By the first part of the proof,  $W \cong W_0 \otimes W_2^{(1)}$  for some irreducible representation  $W_2$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.4 shows that  $W_2$  is isomorphic to a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of  $W_1$  and hence completes the proof of the corollary.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $p = 2$  and let  $W$  be a two dimensional vector space over  $K$ . If  $G = PGL(W)$ , then  $V = W^{(1)}$  is an irreducible linear  $G$ -module. For  $\chi$  the non-trivial linear character of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $S(\chi)$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of  $V$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  has no irreducible two dimensional representations, it is not possible to factor  $V$  as in Theorem 4.4. We note that  $V$  is an irreducible  $u_2$ -module and so (4.4) and (4.6) may both fail if  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) \neq 0$ .

**5. Identifications.** We begin the identification of  $G^s$  and  $M(G)$  by summarizing the connection between the projective representations of  $G$  and its Picard group, referring the reader to [8] for details. Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$  and let  $D$  be a positive divisor on  $G/B$ . Since  $G/B$  is a projective variety, the linear system corresponding to  $D$  is finite dimensional and hence of the form  $\mathbf{P}(V)$ , for some vector space  $V$ . By [11],  $G$  acts rationally on  $\mathbf{P}(V)$  and consequently yields a rational projective representation of  $G$ . This representation is equivalent to a linear one if and only if the image of  $D$  in the group of divisors on  $G$  is linearly equivalent to 0. Since the morphism  $G \rightarrow G/B$  induces a surjection of Picard groups  $\text{Pic}(G/B) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G)$ , we see that  $M(G) = 0$  implies  $\text{Pic}(G) = 0$ . The converse is contained in the following corollary.

(5.1) **COROLLARY.** *Assume that  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$ . Then the relative Schur covering group  $G^s$  is the simply connected covering group of  $G$  and  $\text{Pic}(G) \cong M(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Our construction of  $G^s$  shows that every rational projective representation is linearizable. So  $G^s$  is simply connected in the sense of representation groups. To see that  $G^s$  is simply connected as an algebraic variety, we note that  $M(G^s) = 0$  and hence  $\text{Pic}(G^s) = 0$ .

Let  $N$  be the kernel of the covering morphism  $G^s \rightarrow G$ . Set  $A = K[G^s]$  and let  $\text{Cl}$  denote the divisor class group. Then  $K[G] = A^N$  and so  $\text{Pic}(G) = \text{Cl}(A^N)$  which we identify by Galois descent [9, p. 82] from  $A$  to  $A^N$ .

Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $A^N$ . Since  $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Pic}(G^s) = 0$ ,  $PA = Aa$  for some  $a \in A$ . Let  $Q$  be the quotient field of  $A$  and let  $P(A)$  denote the group of principal divisors  $Ax$  for  $x \in Q$ . If  $\text{Div}$  denotes the group of divisors, then the map  $P \rightarrow PA$  yields an injection  $\text{Div}(A^N) \rightarrow P(A)^N$ . Realizing  $A$  as the quotient of a polynomial ring shows that  $A$  is unramified over  $A^N$  and it follows that this map is surjective. Passing to the class group yields an isomorphism  $\text{Cl}(A^N) \cong P(A)^N/P(A^N)$ , where  $P(A^N)$  denotes the subgroup of principal divisors  $Ax$  for  $x \in Q^N$ .

Write  $Q = \bigoplus Q(\mu)$ , where  $Q(\mu)$  is the space of semi-invariants of weight  $\mu$ , for  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(N, K^\times)$ . Our assumption that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is character free insures that  $G^s$  is also and hence  $K^\times$  is the group of units of  $A$  [12]. Consequently,  $P(A)^N$  consists of the principal divisors  $Ax$  for  $x \in Q(\mu)$  with  $Ax \equiv Ay$ , modulo  $P(A^N)$ , if and only if  $xy^{-1} \in Q(1) = Q^N$ .

Now let  $R(G^s)$  be the Grothendieck group, or formal character group, for the irreducible rational representations of  $G^s$  and view  $R(G)$  as a subgroup. Let  $V$  be an irreducible rational representation space for  $G^s$  and let  $a \in A$  denote any coordinate function for the representation. Since  $N$  is central in  $G^s$ ,  $a \in A(\mu)$  for some  $\mu$  which is independent of the choice of the coordinate function. Let  $[V] \in P(A)^N/P(A^N) \cong \text{Pic}(G)$  be the principal divisor  $Aa$ . Then  $[V] = A$  if and only if  $V$  is a linear representation of  $G$  and so we obtain an injection

$$R(G^s)/R(G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G)$$

which it is easy to see is surjective.

By Theorem 4.4

$$V = \bigotimes_{i=0}^n W_i^{(i)}, \quad \text{and hence} \quad [V] = \prod_{i=0}^n [W_i]^{p^i}.$$

So the  $[W]$ , for  $W$  an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which is not the differential of a rational representation for  $G$ , generate  $\text{Pic}(G)$ . Noting that  $V|N$  affords some  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(N, K^\times) = M(G)$  completes the identification.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Bialynicki-Birula, G. Hochschild, and G. Mostow, *Extensions of representations of algebraic linear groups*, Amer. J. Math., **85** (1963), 131–144.
2. A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
3. ———, *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups*, Part A, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 131, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
4. A. H. Clifford, *Representations induced in an invariant subgroup*, Ann. of Math., **38** (1937), 533–550.
5. E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott, *On the tensor product theorem for algebraic groups*, J. Algebra, **63** (1980), 264–267.
6. C. W. Curtis, *Representations of Lie algebras of classical type with applications to linear groups*, J. Math. Mech., **9** (1960), 307–326.
7. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Wiley, New York, 1962.
8. R. Fossum and B. Iversen, *On Picard groups of algebraic fibre spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **3** (1973), 269–280.
9. R. Fossum, *The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain*, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
10. J. E. Humphreys, *On the hyperalgebra of a semisimple algebraic group*, Contributions to Algebra: A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Ellis Kolchin, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
11. T. Kambayashi, *Projective representations of algebraic linear groups of transformations*, Amer. Math. J., **88** (1966), 199–205.
12. M. Rosenlicht, *Toroidal algebraic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 984–988.
13. J. Sullivan, *Representations of the hyperalgebra of a semisimple group*, Amer. J. Math., **100** (1978), 643–652.

Received September 28, 1981. Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WA 98195

