

NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS OF TENSOR-PRODUCTS OF REPRESENTATION-FUNCTORS I, COMBINATORIAL PRELIMINARIES

JACOB TOWBER

The present paper furnishes some combinatorial preliminaries towards a study of natural transformations between tensor products of shape functors \wedge^α and co-shape functors \vee_α . The main result is the construction of an explicit basis for the module defined by (1) below; an apparently new result used for this purpose, which may be of some independent interest, is a ‘column-free’ expression for the Young idempotent NPN (in Young’s terminology) associated with a partition, given by 1.2 below.

Introduction. In the following, the reader will be assumed to be familiar with the concepts and results of [1] and [2].

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ be partitions, and let A be a commutative ring. The present paper is the first of a series concerned with the A -module, denoted by

$$(1) \quad \text{Nat Tsf}_A(\alpha_1 \times \dots \times \alpha_m, \beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_n),$$

which consists of all natural transformations from the functor

$$\wedge_A^{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge_A^{\alpha_m}: \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A, E \mapsto \wedge_A^{\alpha_1} E \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge_A^{\alpha_m} E$$

into the similar functor $\wedge_A^{\beta_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge_A^{\beta_n}$ (If A is a field this is equivalent to studying the space of intertwining operators between the two representations of $GL(E)$ with representation-modules $\wedge_A^{\alpha_1} E \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge_A^{\alpha_m} E$ and $\wedge_A^{\beta_1} E \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge_A^{\beta_n} E$ respectively (provided $\dim E$ is sufficiently great).

When A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, a generating set for the A -module (1) is furnished by the “exchange-transformations” given by Def. 3–6 below and a free basis by the subset of these given by Def. 3–8 (In the case $m = 2, n = 1$ this furnishes a more precise version of the Littlewood-Richardson rule (which only specifies the cardinality of such a basis).) The general case does not seem to be an immediate consequence of this special case; the attempt to reduce to the special case in the obvious way, by using the associativity of the tensor product, leads to the problem next to be discussed (and yields a second, different free basis for 1), related to

that first mentioned by a generalization of the Robinson-Schensted correspondence, to which it reduces when all the α 's and β 's equal the partition $\langle 1 \rangle$.

(2) In computing with these 'exchange-transformations', it is first of all necessary to describe the 'recombination-laws' which express a composite of two such, as a linear combination of exchange-transformations. This problem in representation-theory seems hitherto to have been studied in detail only by the physicists in certain special cases (cf. for instance the discussion of 'Racah coefficients' (= '6 - j symbols' = 'recoupling coefficients') in [3], p. 299 et seq.).

This problem of 'recombinations' is in fact not difficult on the level of representation-theory; its main difficulty is that of presenting a certain combinatorial complexity. The purpose of the present paper is to sketch some combinatorial concepts, which the author has found useful in studying these questions, as a preliminary to further work shortly to appear.

The main idea is, roughly, to treat $\wedge^{\alpha_1} E \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^{\alpha_m} E$ in a fashion independent, not only of an arbitrary choice of basis for E , but also (as far as possible) of an arbitrary choice of ordering of the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of partitions, and in a manner which uses only the row structure (but not the column structure) within each 'tableau' α_i . For certain questions (e.g. when a 'standard basis' is desired) specific choices of such orderings, or even of a specific ordered basis for E , become in fact necessary; in questions so intimately related to the representation-theory of symmetric groups as these, however, an arbitrary choice of ordering can be a step as significant as an arbitrary choice of basis for E . Thus, we define in Section One below a category **Fin-2-Sets** of partitions α , and a category **Fin-3-Sets** of unordered sequences $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ of partitions, and in §2 treat $\wedge^{\alpha} E$, $\wedge^{\alpha_1} E \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^{\alpha_n} E$ functorially over these categories as well as over the category of R -modules E ; the study of (2) involves a further category **Fin-4-Sets**. In this context, note especially Def. 1–2 below, which gives a construction (which the author believes to be new) for a suitable Young quasi-idempotent, in terms which depend only on the row-structure of the associated tableau (but *not* involving its column-structure, i.e. independent of the particular choice of ordering of the elements within each row).

The author would like to thank the National Science foundation for partial support during the time this paper was written.

1. Some set-theoretic concepts. For any set E , we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(E)$ the group of bijections of E (written to the *left* of the elements of E on

which they act, so the group operation is read from right to left: $(\sigma \cdot \sigma')(e) = \sigma(\sigma'(e))$.

If E, E' are finite sets with the same cardinal:

$$\#E = \#E'$$

then two bijections

$$\iota, \iota': E \rightarrow E'$$

will be called *equally oriented* if the element $\iota^{-1} \circ \iota'$ in $\mathfrak{S}(E)$ is even; this is an equivalence relation, whose equivalence classes will be called *orientations from E into E'* . If σ_1 is an orientation from E_1 to E_2 , σ_2 an orientation from E_2 to E_3 , then all bijections

$$\iota_2 \circ \iota_1: E_1 \rightarrow E_3$$

(where ι_1, ι_2 are bijections belonging to σ_1, σ_2 respectively) are in the same orientation from E_1 to E_3 , which we denote by $\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1$. For each natural number n we thus obtain the category **OR**(n) whose objects are sets of cardinality n , and whose morphisms are orientations between these sets, with composition of morphisms defined as just indicated. All morphisms in this category are isomorphisms; if σ is an orientation from E_1 to E_2 , then

$$\sigma^{-1} = \{\iota^{-1}: \iota \in \sigma\}.$$

Note that if $\#E_1 = \#E_2 = n$, then if $n \geq 2$ there are exactly two orientations from E_1 to E_2 , while if $n = 0$ or 1 there is exactly one.

If σ is an orientation from E_1 to E_2 , and $\iota: E_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2$ a bijection, we write

$$\text{sgn}_\sigma \iota = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \iota \in \sigma, \\ -1 & \text{if } \iota \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

If also $\iota_1: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ is a bijection, we set $\text{sgn}_\sigma \iota_1$ equal to $\text{sgn}_\sigma \iota_1^{-1} = \text{sgn}_{\sigma^{-1}} \iota_1$.

We next define the category

Fin- n -Sets

of “level n finite sets”, by recursion on n , as follows:

Fin-1-Sets is simply the category whose objects are finite sets, and whose morphisms are bijections; a ‘level 1 finite set’, is simply a finite set. If $n > 1$, a *level n finite set* is defined to be a finite set of pairwise disjoint non-empty level $n - 1$ finite sets; a *level n morphism* between two level n finite sets $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2$ is defined to consist of a bijection $\iota: \mathfrak{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{D}_2$, together with the assignment to each $\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}_1$ of a level $n - 1$ morphism ι_Δ from Δ to $\iota(\Delta)$; we denote by **Fin- n -Sets** the category constituted by these level n finite sets and level n morphisms.

Note that level 2 finite sets were called ‘partitionings’ in ([2], Def. 2.5).

If \mathfrak{D} is a level n finite set, we define the relation $\Delta \varepsilon^i \mathfrak{D}$ for all i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ by recursion on i , as follows:

If $i = 1$, $\Delta \varepsilon^1 \mathfrak{D}$ means $\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}$; if $1 < i \leq n$, $\Delta \varepsilon^i \mathfrak{D}$ means there exists \mathfrak{D}' such that $\Delta \in \mathfrak{D}'$ and $\mathfrak{D}' \varepsilon^{n-1} \mathfrak{D}$.

Note that $\Delta \varepsilon^i \mathfrak{D}$ thus implies that Δ is a level $n - i$ finite set (if $i < n$).

\mathfrak{D} being a level n finite set, and $1 \leq i < n$, we denote by $\cup^i \mathfrak{D}$ the set

$$\{\Delta : \Delta \varepsilon^{i+1} \mathfrak{D}\};$$

note that this is a level $n - i$ finite set.

We next consider level 2 finite sets in some detail.

Call two finite sequences (a_1, \dots, a_n) and (b_1, \dots, b_n) *order-equivalent* if they contain the same number of elements, and if $\exists \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that

$$a_i = b_{\pi_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

We shall denote the order-equivalence class of (a_1, \dots, a_n) by $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, and call it an *unordered finite sequence*. In particular, an unordered finite sequence of positive integers, will be called a *numerical partition* (it is convenient to include among the numerical partitions, the ‘empty partition’ $\langle \rangle$).

We may associate to every level 2 finite set $\alpha = \{R_1, \dots, R_s\}$ the numerical partition $|\alpha| = \langle \#R_1, \dots, \#R_s \rangle$, and we set

$$\alpha! = (\#R_1)! \cdots (\#R_s)!.$$

Conversely, given any numerical partition

$$\mathcal{Q} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_s \rangle, \quad a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_s > 0$$

we may associate with it a level 2 finite set, its *Young-Ferrars frame*,

$$F = \{R_1(\mathcal{Q}), \dots, R_s(\mathcal{Q})\}$$

with

$$R_i(\mathcal{Q}) = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq j \leq a_i\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq s);$$

clearly

$$\mathcal{Q} = |F_{\mathcal{Q}}|.$$

Note also that two level 2 finite sets α, α' are isomorphic if and only if $|\alpha| = |\alpha'|$; thus the numerical partitions may be identified with the isomorphism-classes in **Fin-2-Sets**.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let α be a level 2 finite set; we denote by $\text{Row}(\alpha)$ the sub-group of $\mathfrak{S}(\cup\alpha)$ consisting of all π in $\mathfrak{S}(\cup\alpha)$ such that

$$b \in R \in \alpha \Rightarrow \pi b \in R,$$

and denote by $\text{Alt}(\alpha), \text{Sym}(\alpha)$ the elements

$$\sum_{\pi \in \text{Row}(\alpha)} (\text{sgn } \pi)\pi, \quad \sum_{\pi \in \text{Row}(\alpha)} \quad (\text{respectively})$$

in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{S}(\cup\alpha)]$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{\#}(\alpha)$ the sub-group of $\mathfrak{S}(\alpha)$ consisting of all permutations σ of α (considered simply as a finite set) such that

$$R \in \alpha \Rightarrow \#R = \#(\sigma R);$$

finally, we denote by $\text{Aut}(\alpha)$ the group of automorphisms of α in the category **Fin-2-sets**.

REMARK. There is a short exact sequence (natural in α)

$$\{1\} \rightarrow \text{Row}(\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\#}(\alpha) \rightarrow \{1\}$$

which splits (but not naturally in α).

Let α be a level 2 finite set, and let I denote $\cup\alpha$. We recall from [1] and [2] the concept of an “ I -indexed function with common domain D taking values in T ” (where D and T are any sets) i.e. an element of $T^{D'}$ (there is a natural left action of $\mathfrak{S}(I)$ on these); recall also the property of having ‘Young alternation in α ’ (defined when T is an Abelian group) possessed by some of these functions (cf. [2], Def. 2.4, where α is called a ‘partitioning’ of I). Denote by $YA_{\alpha}(D, T)$ the sub-group of $T^{D'}$ consisting of those I -indexed functions with Young alternation in α .

Such functions with Young alternation in α , may be obtained as follows. A classical construction of Young yields a quasi-idempotent in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{S}(I)]$, and left multiplication by this projects $T^{D'}$ into $YA_{\alpha}(D, T)$ (onto, if T is a \mathbf{Q} -module). This quasi-idempotent involves writing the elements of I in a frame $F_{|\alpha|}$, and uses not only the row-structure, but also the column-structure of this frame; in our present terminology, the quasi-idempotent

$$(3) \quad Y\text{Alt}(\alpha, <) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{S}(I)]$$

in question involves an arbitrary choice of a total ordering $<_R$ on each $R \in \alpha$. [Cf. [2], Def. 4.2 for the details; note that there P is used instead of α , and (3) is denoted by $YA(P_{<})$]

There are

$$\alpha! = \prod_{R \in \alpha} (\#R)!$$

possible choices for these orderings; thus (when T is a \mathbf{Q} -module) we obtain many different projections, $Y\text{Alt}(\alpha, <)$, all however onto the same sub-group $YA_\alpha(D, T)$.

The problem thus suggests itself of finding a ‘column-free’ method of constructing functions with Young alternation in α ; we now sketch such a construction. (The proof it works will be left to a later paper, because some ideas to which it leads deserve detailed study in their own right).

PROPOSITION AND DEFINITION 1.2. *Let α be a level 2 finite set, and let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}(\cup \alpha)$; then there exists an integer $c_\alpha(\pi)$, the Young index of π with respect to α , uniquely characterized by the following property:*

If D is any set, T any Abelian group, and f any function indexed by $\cup \alpha$, with common domain D and taking values in T , which has Young alternation in α , then

$$(4) \quad \text{Alt}(\alpha)\pi f = c(\pi)f.$$

We then define $Y\text{Alt}(\alpha)$ to be the element

$$\sum \{c(\pi)\pi : \pi \in \mathfrak{S}(\cup \alpha)\}$$

in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathfrak{S}(\cup \alpha)]$; this is a quasi-idempotent, left-multiplication by which maps $T^{D^{(\cup \alpha)}}$ into $YA_\alpha(D, T)$ (onto, if T is a \mathbf{Q} -module).

Note. If we modify the hypotheses on f , under which (4) holds, by requiring instead that f have Young symmetry in α (Cf. [2], Def. 4.2) then we have, instead,

$$\text{Sym}(\alpha)\pi f = (\text{sgn } \pi)c(\pi)f,$$

and the quasi-idempotent

$$Y\text{Sym}(\pi) = \sum \{(\text{sgn } \pi)c(\pi)\pi : \pi \in \mathfrak{S}(\cup^2 \alpha)\}$$

maps into the group of such functions.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let α, α' be level 2 finite sets, with

$$\#(\cup \alpha) = \#(\cup \beta).$$

By a *reflection of α' in α* will be meant a level 1 isomorphism ι of α' with a level 2 set $\bar{\alpha}$, such that $\cup \alpha = \cup \bar{\alpha}$. By an *exchange-matrix from α to α'* will be meant a map

$$M: \alpha \times \alpha' \rightarrow (\text{set of non-negative integers})$$

satisfying the two following conditions:

- (i) For all R in α , $\#R = \sum_{R' \in \alpha'} M(R, R')$.
- (ii) for all R' in α' , $\#R' = \sum_{R \in \alpha} M(R, R')$.

We then set

$$M! = \Pi \{ [M(R, R')]! : R \in \alpha, R' \in \alpha' \}.$$

If $\iota = \alpha' \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$ is a reflection of α' in α , we denote by M' the exchange-matrix defined by

$$M'(R, R') = \#(R \cap \iota(R')) \quad (R \in \alpha, R' \in \alpha')$$

Given a bijection $\phi: \cup \alpha' \xrightarrow{\sim} \cup \alpha$, we denote by ι^ϕ the reflection of α' in α defined by

$$\iota^\phi(R') = \phi(R') \quad (R' \in \alpha')$$

and by M^ϕ the exchange-matrix defined by

$$M^\phi(R, R') = \#(R \cap \phi(R')).$$

Note. If $\iota = \iota^\phi$ then $M' = M^\phi$. Given a reflection ι or exchange-matrix M , there exists a bijection ϕ with $\iota = \iota^\phi$ or $M = M^\phi$ respectively.

PROPOSITION AND DEFINITION 1.3. *Let α be a level 2 finite set, M an exchange-matrix from α to α ; then by the Young index $c(M)$ of M will be meant the common value of $(\text{sgn } \phi)c(\phi)$ for all ϕ in $\mathfrak{S}(\cup \alpha)$ such that $M = M^\phi$.*

2. Some module-theoretic constructions. On the purely module-theoretic level, the constructions next to be defined are contained in [1]; the purpose of this section is to clarify the functorial dependence of these constructions on the level n finite sets involved ($n = 1, 2, 3$) as a preliminary to the constructions in Section Three. Throughout this section, A will denote a fixed commutative, associative ring with 1.

Let E be an A -module, D a finite set with n elements. For the class of questions under discussion, there is some advantage in replacing the usual

n -fold tensor product $E^{\otimes n}$, spanned by elements

$$e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \quad (e\text{'s in } E)$$

by the module $\otimes_D E = \otimes_D^A E$, spanned by elements

$$\bigotimes_{d \in D} e(d) \quad (e \text{ any map } D \rightarrow E).$$

Of course there is an A -isomorphism, natural in E , between $E^{\otimes n}$ and $\otimes_D E$: the point is that this isomorphism is not natural in D (in the category of finite sets and bijections), since it depends on the choice of a particular ordering for D . Similarly, we shall replace the usual n th exterior power $\wedge^n E$, spanned by elements

$$e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \quad (e\text{'s in } E)$$

by the module $\wedge^D E = \wedge_A^D E$, spanned by elements

$$\bigwedge_{d \in E} e(d) \quad (e \text{ any map } D \rightarrow E)$$

Here again, although the latter module is A -isomorphic (naturally in E) to $\wedge^n E$, this isomorphism cannot be chosen naturally in D (unless $n = 0$ or 1); there is rather (if $\#D \geq 2$) an arbitrary choice between two such isomorphisms, corresponding to the two orientation-classes of bijections

$$D \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\}$$

We thus regard $\otimes_D E, \wedge^D E$ as functors

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{Fin-1-Sets} \times \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A$$

in two variables; the functorial dependence on the first variable D is specified as follows: a bijection $\sigma: D \rightarrow D'$ induces the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \bigotimes_{\sigma} E: \bigotimes_{D'} E &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_D E, & \bigotimes_{d \in D'} e(d') &\rightarrow \bigotimes_{d \in D} e(\sigma(d)), \\ \bigwedge_{\sigma} E: \bigwedge_{D'} E &\rightarrow \bigwedge^D E, & \bigwedge_{d \in D'} e(d') &\rightarrow \bigwedge_{d \in D} e(\sigma(d)). \end{aligned}$$

(We thus obtain a right action of $\mathfrak{S}(D)$ on $\otimes_D E$, yielding the usual right action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $E^{\otimes n}$ if $E = \{1, \dots, n\}$.)

We next modify similarly the functor $\wedge^{a_1, \dots, a} E$ constructed in [1]: we define the functor

$$(5) \quad \wedge = \wedge_A: \mathbf{Fin-2-Sets} \times \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A, \quad (\alpha, E) \rightarrow \wedge_A^\alpha E,$$

contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second, as follows.

DEFINITION 2.1 Let α be a level two finite set, E an A -module; then by

$$\wedge^\alpha E = \wedge_A^\alpha E$$

will be meant the R -module, defined by generators and relations as follows:

For each map $e: \cup \alpha \rightarrow E$ we assign a generating element for $\wedge_A^\alpha E$, which we shall denote by

$$(6) \quad \prod_{R \in \alpha} \wedge e(b);$$

these are to generate $\wedge_R^\alpha E$ over A , with relations next to be described.

Let

$$\omega^\alpha(E, A) = \omega^\alpha \in (\wedge_A^\alpha E)^{E(\cup \alpha)}$$

be the $(\cup \alpha)$ -indexed function which assigns to each map $e: \cup \alpha \rightarrow E$ the generator (6); the relations over A on these generators, are then to be those generated over A by the requirement that ω^α have Young alternation in α .

If $\sigma: \alpha' \rightarrow \alpha$ is a level 2 morphism, then $\wedge(\sigma): \wedge^\alpha E \rightarrow \wedge^{\alpha'} E$ is well-defined by the requirement that it map (6) into

$$\prod_{R' \in \alpha'} \wedge e(\sigma(b')).$$

REMARK. If $|\alpha| = \langle a_1, \dots, a_s \rangle$ then $\wedge^\alpha E$ is isomorphic to $\wedge^{a_1, \dots, a_s} E$, naturally in E , but not in α .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let \mathfrak{D} be a level 3 finite set, E an A -module; then by

$$\wedge_A^{\mathfrak{D}} E = \wedge^{\mathfrak{D}} E$$

will be meant the A -module

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}} \wedge_A^\alpha E.$$

If $\sigma: \mathfrak{D}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a level 3 morphism, the A -isomorphism $\wedge(\sigma): \wedge^{\mathfrak{D}} E \rightarrow \wedge^{\mathfrak{D}'} E$ is well-defined by the requirement that it map

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}} \prod_{R \in \alpha} \wedge e(b) \quad (e \text{ any map } \cup^2 \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow E)$$

into

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha' \in \mathfrak{D}'} \prod_{R' \in \alpha'} \wedge e(\sigma(b')).$$

REMARK. We thus have a functor

$$(7) \quad \wedge = \wedge_A: \mathbf{Fin-3-Sets} \times \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A,$$

contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second. (There is an abuse of notation involved in using the same symbol \wedge_A for the three functors (4), (5), (7)). Since all morphisms in **Fin-n-Sets** are isomorphisms, the fact \wedge is contravariant in the first variable must be regarded as a choice of convention rather than as a fact of life; one could make it covariant by replacing $\wedge(\sigma)$ by $\wedge(\sigma^{-1})$.)

DEFINITION 2.3. If α is a level 2 finite set, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}\alpha$ the level 3 finite set, whose elements are the singleton sets $\{R\}$ containing the elements R of α .

REMARK. Thus, if $|\alpha| = \langle a_1, \dots, a \rangle$, then $\wedge^{\mathfrak{S}\alpha} E$ is isomorphic (naturally in E , but not in α) to $\wedge^{a_1} E \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^a E$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let α be a level 2 finite set, A any commutative ring. Denote by $A \cdot (\cup \alpha)$ the free A -module on the set $\cup \alpha$; then by the *Specht-Young A -module associated to α* will be meant the sub- A -module $SY_A(\alpha)$ of

$$\wedge_A^\alpha(A \cdot (\cup \alpha))$$

generated over A by the set of all

$$\prod_{R \in \alpha} \wedge \pi b \quad (b \in \mathfrak{S}(\cup \alpha)).$$

REMARK. It follows easily from results in [1] that SY_A is a functor from **Fin-2-Sets** to the category of free A -modules, and that there is a natural isomorphism

$$SY_A(\alpha) \approx SY_{\mathbf{Z}}(\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A.$$

3. Exchange-transformations.

DEFINITION 3.1. By a level 2 oriented pair will be meant an ordered triple $\delta = (\alpha, \varepsilon, \alpha')$ where α, α' are level 2 finite sets and ε is an orientation from $\cup \alpha$ to $\cup \alpha'$ (note this implies $\# \cup \alpha = \# \cup \alpha'$).

PROPOSITION AND DEFINITION 3.2. *Let $\delta = (\alpha, \varepsilon, \alpha')$ be a level 2 oriented pair, and let ι be a reflection of α' in α ; let E be an A -module.*

Then the following A -homomorphism has the same value for all bijections $\sigma: \cup \alpha' \xrightarrow{\sim} \cup \alpha$, such that $\iota = \iota^\sigma$, and will be called the interchange-transformation $\text{INT}^\delta(\iota)$ associated to ι and δ :

$$\bigotimes_{\cup \alpha} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\alpha'} E, \quad \bigotimes_{b \in \cup \alpha} e(b) \mapsto (\text{sgn}_\varepsilon \sigma) \bigotimes_{R' \in \alpha' \ b' \in R'} \bigwedge e(\sigma b').$$

PROPOSITION AND DEFINITION 3.3. *Let $\delta = (\alpha, \varepsilon, \beta)$ be a level 2 oriented pair, and let M be an exchange-matrix from α to α' . Let E be a module over the commutative ring A .*

Then, by the associated switch-transformation

$$(8) \quad SW^\delta(M): \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{s}\alpha} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{s}\beta} E$$

will be meant the unique A -homomorphism whose composite with the canonical projection

$$\bigotimes_{\cup \alpha} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{s}\alpha} E, \quad \bigotimes_{b \in {}^2\alpha} e(b) \mapsto \bigotimes_{R \in \alpha \ b \in R} \bigwedge e(b)$$

is the A -homomorphism

$$\sum \{ \text{INT}^\delta(\iota): M = M^\iota \}: \bigotimes_{\cup \alpha} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^\beta E$$

the sum being extended over the set of all $\alpha!/M!$ reflections ι of β in α such that $M = M^\iota$. If $\alpha = \beta$ and ε is the orientation of the identity map, we write also $SW^\alpha(M)$ for (8).

PROPOSITION AND DEFINITION 3.4. *Let E be an A -module, and let α be a level 2 finite set.*

We denote by $\mathcal{G}^\alpha = \mathcal{G}^\alpha(E)$ the natural projection

$$\bigwedge^{\mathfrak{s}\alpha} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^\alpha E, \quad \bigotimes_{R \in \alpha \ b \in R} \bigwedge e(b) \mapsto \prod_{R \in \alpha \ b \in R} \bigwedge e(b)$$

and by $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Q}^\alpha = \mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Q}^\alpha(E)$ the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Q}^\alpha = \sum_M M!c(M)SW^\alpha(M): \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{s}\alpha} E \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{s}\alpha} E$$

(the sum being taken over all exchange-matrices M from α to α , and $c(M)$ denoting the Young index of Def. 1.3)

Finally, we denote by $\mathfrak{P}^\alpha = \mathfrak{P}^\alpha(E)$ the unique A -homomorphism which makes the following diagram commute:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^{\delta^\alpha} E & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{Q}^\alpha} & \bigwedge^{\delta^\alpha} E \\ \mathfrak{P}^\alpha \searrow & & \nearrow \mathfrak{G}^\alpha \\ & \bigwedge^\alpha E & \end{array}$$

DEFINITION 3.5. Let \mathfrak{O} be a level 3 finite set; then we define the natural transformations in the commuting diagram.

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^{\delta \cup \mathfrak{O}} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{Q}^\mathfrak{O}} & \bigwedge^{\delta \cup \mathfrak{O}} \\ \mathfrak{P}^\mathfrak{O} \searrow & & \nearrow \mathfrak{G}^\mathfrak{O} \\ & \bigwedge^\mathfrak{O} & \end{array}$$

as follow:

$$\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{Q}^\mathfrak{O} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}} \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{Q}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{P}^\mathfrak{O} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}} \mathfrak{P}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{G}^\mathfrak{O} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{O}} \mathfrak{G}^\alpha$$

DEFINITION 3.6. By a *level 3 oriented pair* will be meant an ordered triple

$$\delta = (\mathfrak{O}_1, \varepsilon, \mathfrak{O}_2)$$

with $\mathfrak{O}_1, \mathfrak{O}_2$ level 3 finite sets, and ε an orientation from $\cup^2 \mathfrak{O}_1$ to $\cup^2 \mathfrak{O}_2$; if M is then an exchange matrix from $\cup \mathfrak{O}_1$ to $\cup \mathfrak{O}_2$, we define the associated *exchange-transformation* $EX^\delta(M)$ to be the natural transformation

$$EX^\delta(M) = \mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{O}_2} \circ \text{INT}^{\delta'}(M) \circ \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{O}_1}: \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{O}_1} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{O}_2}$$

where $\delta' = (\cup \mathfrak{O}_1, \varepsilon, \cup \mathfrak{O}_2)$.

REMARK. If A is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra, these exchange-transformations yield the generating set over A , promised in the introduction, for the A -module

$$\text{Nat Tsf}_A(\mathfrak{O}_1, \mathfrak{O}_2)$$

of natural transformations from the functor

$$\bigwedge_A^{\mathfrak{O}_1}: \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A$$

into the functor $\bigwedge_A^{\mathfrak{O}_2}$; the set-theoretical structure on $\mathfrak{O}_1, \mathfrak{O}_2$ needed for this construction is simply that of level 2 finite sets (together with an orientation ε needed to eliminate ambiguity of signs). On the contrary,

some additional set-theoretic structure (involving arbitrary choice of orderings) is needed to select a basis for $\text{Nat Tsf}_A(\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2)$, consisting of the ‘standard’ exchange-transformations, as given by the two following definitions. We note a possible modification of this final step in the construction: once the arbitrary choices involved in Def. 3.7. have been made, the ‘column-free’ method of constructing functions with Young alternation given by Def. 1.1 and Def. 3.4 may be replaced by the procedure (cf. [1] and [2]) involving the usual Young quasi-idempotent; it turns out the combinatorial requirements of Def. 3.8 work *without modification* if the exchange-transformations are modified in this way.

DEFINITION 3.7. By a *level 3 ordering* $<$ of a level 3 finite set, will be meant a total ordering $<_{\mathfrak{D}}$ of the set \mathfrak{D} , together with the assignment to each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$ of a total ordering $<_{\alpha}$ of α , and the assignment to each $R \in {}^2\mathfrak{D}$ of a total ordering $<_R$ of R , subject to the requirement that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$, R_1 and $R_2 \in \alpha$, $R_1 <_{\alpha} R_2 \Rightarrow \#R_1 \geq \#R_2$.

REMARKS. Thus, a level 3 finite set \mathfrak{D} , together with a level 3 ordering $<$, may be thought of as an ordered set of Young-Ferrars frames. Note that $<$ then induces a total ordering \ll on $\cup \mathfrak{D}$, defined by:

(11) $R \ll R'$ if either:

$$R \in \alpha \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad R' \in \alpha' \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad \alpha <_{\mathfrak{D}} \alpha',$$

or

$$R \text{ and } R' \in \alpha \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad R <_{\alpha} R'.$$

DEFINITION 3.8. Let $(\mathfrak{D}, \alpha, \mathfrak{D}')$ be a level 3 oriented pair; let $<, <'$ be level 3 orderings for $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'$ respectively; then an exchange-matrix M from $\cup \mathfrak{D}$ to $\cup \mathfrak{D}'$ will be called *standard* with respect to $<, <'$ if it satisfies the two following conditions (where \ll is given by (11), and \ll' is defined similarly in terms of $<'$):

(i) If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$, $R <_{\alpha} R_1$ (so $R \in \alpha$, $R_1 \in \alpha$), $S \in {}^2\mathfrak{D}'$ then

$$\sum_{S' \ll R} M(R, S') \geq \sum_{S' \ll S} M(R_1, S').$$

(ii) If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$, $S <_{\alpha'} S_1$, $R \in {}^2\mathfrak{D}$ then

$$\sum_{R' \ll R} M(R', S) \geq \sum_{R' \ll R} M(R', S_1);$$

the corresponding exchange-transformation $EX^{\delta}(M)$ will then be called *standard* with respect to $<$ and $<'$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Towber, *Two new functors from modules to algebras*, J. Algebra, **47** (1977), 80–104.
- [2] ———, *Young symmetry, the flag manifold, and representations of $GL(n)$* , J. Algebra, **61** (1979), 414–462.
- [3] E. P. Wigner, *Group Theory, and its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra*, Academic Press, 1959.

Received June 6, 1981.

DE PAUL UNIVERSITY
CHICAGO, IL 60614