

THE CLOSED IMAGE OF A HEREDITARY M_1 -SPACE IS M_1

MUNEHIKO ITŌ

We show that every closed image of a hereditary M_1 -space is hereditarily M_1 . This answers positively G. Gruenhage's question.

1. Introduction. J. Ceder [3] introduced the M_i -spaces, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and proved that $M_1 \Rightarrow M_2 \Rightarrow M_3$. He asked whether the converses hold. G. Gruenhage [4] and H. Junnila [8] independently proved that $M_2 = M_3$. Recently R. Heath and H. Junnila [6] showed that every M_3 -space is the image of an M_1 -space under a perfect retraction. Thus $M_1 = M_2$ if and only if for every M_1 -space, every closed image of the space is M_1 . However, in general, it is not known whether the closed image of an M_1 -space is M_1 .

G. Gruenhage [5] proved that the closed image of an M_1 -space X with the property (*) is M_1 .

(*) *Whenever H and K are closed subsets of X with $H \subset K$, then H has a σ -closure preserving outer base in K .*

If an M_1 -space X has the property (*), then every closed subspace of X is M_1 . He then posed the following question.

If every closed subspace of a space X is M_1 , is every closed image of X also M_1 ? ([5], Question 3.4.)

The aim of this paper is to give a positive answer to this question.

Secondly, we study the class of spaces with a σ -almost locally finite base which was introduced by K. Tamano and the author [7]. This class is contained in the class of M_1 -spaces and contains every metrizable space and every M_0 -space. Recently G. Gruenhage [5] proved that every F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space is M_1 . In §3 we shall show that every countable dimensional F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space has a σ -almost locally finite base.

All spaces are assumed to be regular T_1 and maps to be continuous. The letter N denotes the positive integers. For undefined notion see [5].

2. Main results. Let X be a paracompact σ -space. If every closed subset of X has a σ -closure preserving outer base, then X is an M_1 -space. However, it is not known whether every closed subset of an M_1 -space has such a base. Our first theorem shows that every closed subset of a hereditary M_1 -space has a closure preserving outer base. This result leads

us to the main theorem. To prove the first theorem we start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a space, U a clopen set of X and \mathfrak{B} a closure preserving family of subsets of X . Then $\{B \cap U: B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ is closure preserving in X .*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B}' \subset \mathfrak{B}$ and $x \notin \bigcup \{\text{Cl}(B \cap U): B \in \mathfrak{B}'\}$. If $x \notin U$, then obviously $x \notin \text{Cl} \bigcup \{B \cap U: B \in \mathfrak{B}'\}$. Let $x \in U$. Then for every $B \in \mathfrak{B}'$, $x \notin \text{Cl} B$. Hence $x \notin \text{Cl} \bigcup \{B \cap U: B \in \mathfrak{B}'\}$.

The following results are well known and the proofs are omitted.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X be a space, S a regular closed set of X and T a regular closed set of S . Then T is a regular closed set of X . Thus every closure preserving family of regular closed sets of S in S is a closure preserving family of regular closed sets of X in X .*

LEMMA 2.3. *Let X be a space. Then X is an M_1 -space if and only if X has a σ -closure preserving quasi-base consisting of regular closed sets of X .*

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X be an M_1 -space such that every regular closed subspace of X is M_1 . Then every closed set of X has a closure preserving outer base.*

Proof. Let F be a closed set of X . Take a family $\{H_n: n \in N\}$ of regular closed sets such that

$$X = H_1 \supset \text{Int } H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \cdots, \quad \bigcap_{n \in N} H_n = F.$$

Set

$$S_1 = \text{Cl}(\text{Int } F \cup (\bigcup \{H_{2n-1} - H_{2n}: n \in N\})); \text{ and}$$

$$S_2 = \text{Cl}(\text{Int } F \cup (\bigcup \{H_{2n} - H_{2n+1}: n \in N\})).$$

Then S_1 and S_2 are regular closed sets of X and cover X . For $i = 1, 2$, let $\bigcup_{n \in N} \mathfrak{B}_n(i)$ be a σ -closure preserving quasi-base of S_i such that for every $n \in N$, $\mathfrak{B}_n(i) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n+1}(i)$ and every $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n(i)$ is a regular closed set of S_i . Set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2n-1} = \{B \cap H_{2n-1}: B \in \mathfrak{B}_n(1)\}, n \in N; \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2n} = \{B \cap H_{2n}: B \in \mathfrak{B}_n(2)\}, n \in N.$$

Then for each $n \in N$, H_{2n-1} and H_{2n} are respectively clopen sets of S_1 and S_2 , so by Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{Q}_{2n-1} and \mathcal{Q}_{2n} are respectively closure preserving families of regular closed sets in S_1 and S_2 . By Lemma 2.2, every \mathcal{Q}_n is a closure preserving family of regular closed sets of X in X . Set

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \in D\} = \left\{ \mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{Q} \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{Q}_n, F \subset \text{Int} \bigcup \mathcal{Q} \right\}; \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}' = \left\{ U_\alpha = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \in D \right\}.$$

To prove that \mathcal{Q}' is closure preserving, let $\phi \neq D' \subset D$ and $x \notin \bigcup \{\text{Cl} U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\}$. Then $x \notin F$, so there exists a unique $n \in N$ such that $x \in H_n - H_{n+1}$. Then

$$x \notin \text{Cl} \left(H_{n+1} \cap \left(\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right) \right); \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left(\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right) - H_{n+1} \subset \bigcup \left\{ A : A \in \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in D'} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i \right) \right\}.$$

For each $A \in \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in D'} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i \right)$, $x \notin \text{Cl} A$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i$ is closure preserving,

$$x \notin \text{Cl} \left(\bigcup \left\{ A : A \in \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in D'} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i \right) \right\} \right); \quad \text{and}$$

$$x \notin \text{Cl} \left(\left(\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right) - H_{n+1} \right).$$

Therefore $x \notin \text{Cl} \left(\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right)$.

To prove that \mathcal{Q}' is a quasi-outer base of F , suppose $F \subset W$ and W is open. For each $x \in F$ we define $\mathcal{Q}_x \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{Q}_n$ as follows. If $x \in S_1 \cap S_2$, then there exist $n \in N$, $B_x(1) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(1)$ and $B_x(2) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(2)$ such that $x \in \text{Int}_{S_1} B_x(1) \subset B_x(1) \subset W$ and $x \in \text{Int}_{S_2} B_x(2) \subset B_x(2) \subset W$. Define

$$\mathcal{Q}_x = \{B_x(1) \cap H_{2n-1}, B_x(2) \cap H_{2n}\}.$$

If $x \in S_1 - S_2$, there exist $n \in N$ and $B_x(1) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(1)$ such that $x \in \text{Int}_{S_1} B_x(1) \subset B_x(1) \subset W$. Define

$$\mathcal{Q}_x = \{B_x(1) \cap H_{2n-1}\}.$$

If $x \in S_2 - S_1$, then we define analogously \mathcal{Q}_x . Let $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{Q}_x : x \in F\}$ and $U = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$. Then $U \in \mathcal{Q}'$ and $F \subset \text{Int} U \subset U \subset W$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Int} U_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$. It is easy to show that for every $\alpha \in D$, $\text{Cl} U_\alpha = \text{Cl}(\text{Int} U_\alpha)$. Then clearly \mathcal{U} is a closure preserving outer base of F and the proof is completed.

The proofs of the following two theorems are straightforward, and are thus omitted.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let X be an M_1 -space with $\dim X = 0$. Then every closed set of X has a closure preserving outer base.*

THEOREM 2.6. *Let X be a space and $\{S_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$ a locally finite cover of X consisting of regular closed M_1 -subspaces. Then X is an M_1 -space.*

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$ be a family of M_1 -spaces such that each X_α satisfies one of the following conditions.*

- (1) *Every regular closed subspace of X_α is M_1 .*
- (2) *$\dim X_\alpha = 0$.*
- (3) *X_α is first countable.*

Then for every $p \in B_\alpha X_\alpha$, Ξ_p is M_1 . (Here $B_\alpha X_\alpha$ is the box product space of $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$ and Ξ_p is the subspace $\{x \in B_\alpha X_\alpha: x_\alpha \neq p_\alpha \text{ for at most finitely many } \alpha\}$ of $B_\alpha X_\alpha$.)

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4, 2.5 and [10], Theorem 3.1.

Before stating the main theorem of this paper, we note the following lemma holds. Then a space X is hereditarily M_1 if and only if every closed subspace of X is M_1 . Therefore Theorem 2.9 is a positive answer to G. Gruenhagen's question ([5], Question 3.4).

LEMMA 2.8. *Every dense subspace of an M_1 -space is M_1 .*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the closure of an open set is equal to the closure of the intersection with a dense subset.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let X be a hereditary M_1 -space. Then every closed image of X is hereditarily M_1 .*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed onto map. It is enough to show that Y is M_1 . Let H and K be closed sets of X with $H \subset K$. Then K is hereditarily M_1 and H is closed in K . So by Theorem 2.4, H has a closure preserving outer base in K . Then X satisfies the property of [5], Theorem 3.2. Hence by [5], Theorem 3.2, Y is M_1 .

Problem 2.10. Is the countable product of hereditary M_1 -spaces hereditarily M_1 ?

More basically:

Problem 2.11. If X and Y are hereditary M_1 -spaces, is $X \times Y$ hereditarily M_1 ?

If the answer to Problem 2.10 is positive, then the class of hereditary M_1 -spaces is one giving a positive answer to [5], Problem 3.6.

3. Maps of spaces with a σ -almost locally finite base. Recently K. Tamano and the author [7] introduced the class of spaces with a σ -almost locally finite base. This class is contained in the class of M_1 -spaces and contains every metrizable space and every M_0 -space. In this section we shall prove that the class of spaces with a σ -almost locally finite base is closed under finite to one closed maps. As a corollary of this result, we have every countable dimensional F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space has a σ -almost locally finite base.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a space, $x \in X$ and \mathcal{Q} a family of subsets of X . \mathcal{Q} is said to be *almost locally finite at x* if there exist a neighborhood U of x and a finite family \mathfrak{B} of subsets of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{A \cap U: A \in \mathcal{Q}\} \\ & \subset \{B \cap V: B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}. \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{Q} is said to be *almost locally finite in X* if \mathcal{Q} is almost locally finite at every $x \in X$. Note that we can take X as above U .

Every locally finite family is of course almost locally finite and every almost locally finite family is closure preserving. For other fundamental results concerning almost locally finite families see [7].

LEMMA 3.2. *Let X be a space and \mathcal{Q} an almost locally finite family at $x \in X$. Then both $\{\text{Int } A: A \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ and $\{\text{Cl } A: A \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ are almost locally finite at x .*

Proof. By Definition 3.1, there exist a neighborhood U of x and a finite family \mathfrak{B} of subsets of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{A \cap U: A \in \mathcal{Q}\} \\ & \subset \{B \cap V: B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \cap U = B \cap V$ with $A \in \mathcal{Q}$, $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and V is a neighborhood of x .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int } A &= \text{Int}(B \cup (X - U)) \cap \text{Int}(A \cup (U \cap V)); \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Cl } A &= \text{Cl}(B \cup (X - U)) \cap (\text{Cl } A \cup \text{Int}(U \cap V)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\text{Int } A : A \in \mathcal{Q}\} \\ &\subset \{V \cap \text{Int}(B \cup (X - U)) : B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\text{Cl } A : A \in \mathcal{Q}\} \\ &\subset \{V \cap \text{Cl}(B \cup (X - U)) : B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}. \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite to one closed onto map and \mathcal{Q} an almost locally finite family of subsets of X . Then $\{f(A) : A \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ is an almost locally finite family of Y .*

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and $f^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. For each x_i there exist a neighborhood U_i of x_i and a finite family \mathfrak{B}_i of subsets of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\{A \cap U_i : A \in \mathcal{Q}\} \\ &\subset \{B \cap V : B \in \mathfrak{B}_i, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume $\{U_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is disjoint and $\cup \mathfrak{B}_i \subset U_i$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_y = \left\{ B[B_1, \dots, B_n] = f \left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i \right) \cup \left(X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \right) \right) : \right. \\ \left. B_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $|\mathfrak{B}_y| < \aleph_0$. Let $A \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then for each x_i , there exist $B_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$ and a neighborhood V_i of x_i such that $A \cap U_i = B_i \cap V_i$. There exists a neighborhood V of y such that $f^{-1}(V) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n (U_i \cap V_i)$. Then

$$V \cap B[B_1, \dots, B_n] \subset f(A) \subset B[B_1, \dots, B_n].$$

Set $V_y = V \cup (f(A) - (V \cap B[B_1, \dots, B_n]))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= V_y \cap B[B_1, \dots, B_n]; \\ B[B_1, \dots, B_n] &\in \mathfrak{B}_y; \quad \text{and} \quad V_y \text{ is a neighborhood of } y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{f(A): A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is an almost locally finite family of Y and the proof is completed.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let X be a space with a σ -almost locally finite base and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a finite to one closed onto map. Then Y has a σ -almost locally finite base.*

Proof. Let $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{B}_n$ be a σ -almost locally finite base of X such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathfrak{B}_n \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n+1}$ and if $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{B}_n$, then $\bigcup \mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{B}_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{\text{Int } f(B): B \in \mathfrak{B}_n\}$. Then by Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, each \mathcal{U}_n is almost locally finite in Y . It is easy to check that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$ is a base of Y and the proof is completed.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let X be a F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space with countable dimension. Then X has a σ -almost locally finite base.*

Proof. By [9], Corollary 3, there exist a paracompact F_σ -metrizable space Z with $\dim Z = 0$, and a closed onto map $f: Z \rightarrow X$ such that for every $x \in X$, $|f^{-1}(x)| < \aleph_0$. Since, in this case, X is M_3 , so is Z . Then by [5], Theorem 3.1, Z is an M_0 -space and has a σ -almost locally finite base. Hence by Theorem 3.4, X has a σ -almost locally finite base.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [2] C. R. Borges and D. J. Lutzer, *Characterizations and Mappings of M_r -spaces*, Topology Conference VPI, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **375** (1974), 34–40.
- [3] J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 105–125.
- [4] G. Gruenhage, *Stratifiable spaces are M_2* , Topology Proc., **1** (1976), 221–226.
- [5] ———, *On the $M_3 \Rightarrow M_1$ question*, Topology Proc., **5** (1980), 77–104.
- [6] R. W. Heath and H. J. K. Junnila, *Stratifiable spaces as subspaces and continuous images of M_1 -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **83** (1981), 146–148.
- [7] M. Itō and K. Tamano, *Spaces whose closed images are M_1* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1983), 159–163.
- [8] H. J. K. Junnila, *Neighborhoods*, Pacific J. Math., **76** (1978), 83–108.
- [9] K. Nagami, *Dimension for σ -metric spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **23** (1971), 123–129.
- [10] S. San-ou, *A note on Ξ -product*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), 281–285.

Received August 4, 1982.

UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
IBARAKI 305
JAPAN

