

## ON THE WALLMAN ORDER COMPACTIFICATION

D. C. KENT

**The Wallman order compactification  $w_0 X$  of a topological ordered space  $X$  has been constructed by Choe and Park. This paper establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for their compactification to be  $T_2$ -ordered, in which case it coincides with the Nachbin (or Stone-Čech order) compactification.**

**Introduction.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a poset. For  $x \in X$ , let  $i(x) = \{y \in X: x \leq y\}$  and let  $d(x) = \{y \in X: y \leq x\}$ . If  $A \subseteq X$ , let  $i(A) = \bigcup\{i(x): x \in A\}$ , and  $d(A) = \bigcup\{d(x): x \in A\}$ . If  $A = iA$  (respectively,  $A = d(A)$ ), then  $A$  is called an *increasing* (respectively, *decreasing*) set; a set which is either increasing or decreasing is said to be *monotone*.

A *topological ordered space*  $(X, \leq, \tau)$  consists of a poset  $(X, \leq)$  equipped with a topology  $\tau$ . If  $\tau$  has an open subbase consisting of monotone sets, then the topological ordered space is said to be *convex*. Since only convex topological ordered spaces can have order compactifications which are  $T_2$ -ordered (see below), we shall henceforth consider only spaces of this type. For brevity, a convex topological ordered space  $(X, \leq, \tau)$  will be simply called a *space* and designated by " $X$ ".

Following McCartan [4], we define a space  $X$  to be  $T_1$ -ordered if  $i(x)$  and  $d(x)$  are both closed for all  $x \in X$ , and  $T_2$ -ordered if the partial order relation is a closed subset of  $X \times X$ . A  $T_1$ -ordered space is  $T_4$ -ordered (*normally ordered* in [5]) if, whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are closed disjoint subsets, the former decreasing and the latter increasing, there are disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , the former decreasing and the latter increasing, such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ . The " $T_3$ -ordered" property is defined in [4], and " $T_{3.5}$ -ordered" can be taken to mean "completely regular ordered" as defined in [5], but it will not be necessary to repeat these latter definitions here.

Nachbin has constructed a Stone-Čech type order compactification  $\beta_0 X$  of an arbitrary  $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space  $X$  with the property that any continuous, increasing function from  $X$  into a  $T_2$ -ordered, compact space can be lifted to  $\beta_0 X$ . For details of the Nachbin compactification, see [3]. More recently, Choe and Park showed that  $X$  is  $T_4$ -ordered whenever  $w_0 X$  is  $T_2$ -ordered, but were unable to prove the converse. Our main result establishes that  $w_0 X$  is  $T_2$ -ordered if and only if  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered

(this term is defined below), and consequently that  $w_0X$  and  $\beta_0X$  are equivalent compactifications of a strongly  $T_4$ -ordered space  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological ordered space. If  $A \subseteq X$ , let  $I(A)$  (respectively,  $D(A)$ ) be the smallest increasing (respectively, decreasing) closed set containing  $A$ , and let  $\hat{A} = I(A) \cap D(A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_X = \{A \subseteq X: A = \hat{A}\}$ . Note that all members of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  are closed and convex; we shall call the members of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  *c-sets*. All monotone closed sets are *c-sets*, and thus  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is a closed subbase for  $\tau$ . One can easily verify that every set of the form  $\hat{A}$ , for  $A \subseteq X$ , is a *c-set*, and also that  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is closed under finite intersections.

Let  $F(X)$  be the set of all filters on  $X$ ; the fixed ultrafilter generated by  $\{x\}$  will be denoted by  $\dot{x}$  for  $x \in X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in F(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$  will designate the filter generated by  $\{F \cap G: F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{G}\}$  (assuming that the latter collection does not include  $\emptyset$ ).

For  $\mathcal{F} \in F(X)$ , we denote by  $i(\mathcal{F})$  the filter generated by  $\{i(F): F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ; the filters  $d(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $I(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $D(\mathcal{F})$  are defined analogously. A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *c-filter* (respectively, a *convex filter*) if it has a filter base of *c-sets* (respectively, convex sets). Note that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *c-filter* (respectively, a convex filter) iff  $\mathcal{F} = I(\mathcal{F}) \vee D(\mathcal{F})$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{F} = i(\mathcal{F}) \vee d(\mathcal{F})$ ). A *c-filter* which is not properly contained in any other *c-filter* will be called a *maximal c-filter*. A standard Zorn's Lemma argument establishes that every *c-filter* is contained in a maximal *c-filter*.

We can assume that  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -ordered space and define  $w_0(X)$  to be the set of all maximal *c-filters* on  $X$ . Note that the only convergent maximal *c-filters* are the fixed ultrafilters. It will be convenient to write  $w_0X = \{\dot{x}: x \in X\} \cup X'$ , where  $X'$  is the set of all non-convergent maximal *c-filters*. An order relation " $\leq$ " for  $w_0X$  is defined as follows:  $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$  iff  $I(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  and  $D(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . It is a simple matter to verify that  $(w_0X, \leq)$  is a poset and that the canonical map  $\varphi: (X, \leq) \rightarrow (w_0X, \leq)$ , defined by  $\varphi(x) = \dot{x}$ , is increasing.

We next introduce a topology on  $w_0X$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , define  $A^* = \{\mathcal{F} \in w_0X: A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}^* = \{A^*: A \in \mathcal{C}_X\}$  is a closed subbase for a topology on  $w_0X$  which we shall denote by  $w_0\tau$ . Clearly,  $(A \cap B)^* = A^* \cap B^*$  for all subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$ ; from this one easily deduces that  $w_0X$  is a topological ordered space. It is obvious that  $A = \varphi^{-1}(A^*)$  for any  $A \subseteq X$ ; therefore  $\varphi: X \rightarrow w_0X$  is a topological embedding, and both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1} \upharpoonright \varphi(x)$  are increasing functions.

Before proceeding further, it is desirable to compare our construction of  $w_0X$  with that of Choe and Park. They define a *bifilter*  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  on  $X$  to be a pair of filters such that  $\mathcal{G}$  has a base of decreasing closed sets,  $\mathcal{H}$  has a base of increasing closed sets, and  $\mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}$  exists; the set of all maximal

bifilters forms the underlying set for their compactification, which is also denoted by  $w_0X$ . It is easy to see that, for any bifilter  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  on  $X$ , the filter  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}$  is a  $c$ -filter, and that, for any  $c$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $(D(\mathcal{F}), I(\mathcal{F}))$  is a corresponding bifilter. If  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  is a maximal bifilter, then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}$  is a maximal  $c$ -filter, and  $(D(\mathcal{F}), I(\mathcal{F})) = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ ; thus a bijection exists between the set of maximal bifilters on  $X$  and the set of maximal  $c$ -filters on  $X$ . A comparison of the order relation and topology defined for  $w_0X$  in [2] with our definitions given above reveals the equivalence of these spaces both as posets and as topological spaces. Thus the results obtained concerning  $w_0X$  in [2] are applicable here, albeit with appropriate terminological alterations. The next two results are obtained in this way.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *For any  $T_1$ -ordered space  $X$ ,  $(w_0X, \varphi)$  is an order compactification of  $X$ , and  $w_0X$  is a  $T_1$  topological space. If  $w_0X$  is  $T_2$ -ordered, then  $X$  is  $T_4$ -ordered.*

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -ordered space,  $Y$  a  $T_2$ -ordered compact space, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous, increasing function. Then there is a unique, continuous, increasing function  $\hat{f}: w_0X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\hat{f} \cdot \varphi = f$ .*

We define a  $T_4$ -ordered space  $X$  to be *strongly  $T_4$ -ordered* if, whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are  $c$ -sets:

$$I(A) \cap B = \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad I(A) \cap D(B) = \emptyset$$

$$D(A) \cap B = \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad D(A) \cap I(B) = \emptyset$$

Note that a  $T_4$ -ordered space  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered iff, for a  $c$ -set  $A$  and a decreasing open set  $U$  with  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $D(A) \subseteq U$  and dually.

Priestly [6] defines a  $C$ -space to be a topological ordered space  $X$  such that, for each closed subset  $A$ ,  $i(A)$  and  $d(A)$  are also closed. The class of strongly  $T_4$ -ordered spaces includes the  $T_4$   $C$ -spaces, among which are the  $T_2$ -ordered compact spaces.

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** *A  $T_1$ -ordered space  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered if and only  $w_0X$  is  $T_2$ -ordered*

*Proof.* In Proposition 1, page 26, [5], Nachbin shows that a space is  $T_2$ -ordered if, whenever  $a \not\leq b$ , there is an increasing neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  and a decreasing  $W$  of  $b$  such that  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are elements of  $w_0X$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$  is false. Then either  $I(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  or  $D(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is false. In the former case, since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a

maximal  $c$ -filter, there is  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $I(F) \cap G = \emptyset$ . By the assumption that  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered,  $I(F) \cap D(G) = \emptyset$ , and so there are disjoint open neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $I(F)$  and  $D(G)$ , respectively, such that  $U$  is increasing and  $V$  decreasing. Then  $U^*$  and  $V^*$  are disjoint, open neighborhoods of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , respectively, in  $w_0X$ , the former increasing and the latter decreasing. This  $w_0X$  is  $T_2$ -ordered.

Conversely, assume that  $w_0X$  is  $T_2$ -ordered. Let  $A, B$  be  $c$ -sets and suppose  $I(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $I(A)^* \cap B^* = \emptyset$ .  $I(A)^*$  is a closed, increasing subset of  $w_0X$  and  $B^* = D(B)^* \cap I(B)^*$  is a closed subset of  $w_0X$ . Let  $d_w(B^*) = \{\mathcal{F} \in w_0X : \mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G} \text{ for some } \mathcal{G} \in B^*\}$ . By Proposition 4, page 44, [5],  $d_w(B^*)$  is a closed subset of  $w_0X$ , and it follows that  $I(A)^* \cap d_w(B^*) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(I(A)^* \cap d_w(B^*)) = \varphi^{-1}(I(A)^*) \cap \varphi^{-1}(d_w(B^*)) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\varphi^{-1}(I(A)^*) = I(A)$  and  $D(B) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(d_w(B^*))$ , it follows that  $I(A) \cap D(B) = \emptyset$ . A similar argument shows that if  $D(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $D(A) \cap I(B) = \emptyset$ . This conclusion that  $X$  strongly  $T_4$ -ordered now follows with the help of Proposition 1.1  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *A  $T_4$ -ordered space  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered if and only if, for any  $c$ -set  $A$ ,  $d(A)$  and  $i(A)$  are both closed.*

*Proof.* The condition is obviously sufficient. Suppose that  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered and  $x \notin d(A)$ . Then  $i(x)^* \cap A^* = \emptyset$ , and consequently  $i(x)^* \cap d_w(A^*) = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $i(x) \cap \varphi^{-1}(d_w(A^*)) = \emptyset$ . Since the closure of  $d(A)$  in  $X$  is a subset of  $\varphi^{-1}(d_w(A^*))$ ,  $x$  is not in the closure of  $d(A)$ . Thus  $d(A)$  is closed.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be  $T_{3.5}$ -ordered. Then the compactifications  $w_0X$  and  $\beta_0X$  are equivalent if and only if  $X$  is strongly  $T_4$ -ordered.*

If the order relation of  $X$  is trivial, then the  $c$ -sets are simply the closed sets, and the compactification  $w_0X$  is identical with the ordinary Wallman compactification. In this case, Corollary 1.5 yields the well-known equivalence of the Wallman and Stone–Čech compactifications for  $T_4$  topological space.

We conclude by considering the Wallman order compactification for a simple and familiar class of spaces. We define a *totally ordered space* to be a totally ordered set with its order topology. If  $X$  is a totally ordered space, then one can show that  $w_0X$  (and hence  $\beta_0X$ ) is a totally ordered space and a complete lattice. If  $X = R$  is the totally ordered space of real numbers, then  $w_0X$  can be identified with the extended real line  $[-\infty, \infty]$ .

If  $X = Q$  is the space of rationals, then  $w_0X$  can also be regarded as the extended real line, but with each irrational “occurring twice”; by identifying these “irrational pairs”, one obtains  $w_0R$  as a quotient space of  $w_0Q$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. H. Choe and Y. H. Hong, *Extensions of completely regular ordered spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **64** (1976), 37–48.
- [2] T. H. Choe and Y. S. Park, *Wallman’s type order compactification*, Pacific J. Math., **82** (1979), 339–347.
- [3] g. Hommel, *Increasing Radon measures on locally compact ordered spaces*, Rendiconti di Matematica, **9** (1976), 85–117.
- [4] S. D. McCartan, *Separation axioms for topological ordered spaces*, Proc. Comb. Phil. Soc., **64** (1968), 965–973.
- [5] L. Nachbin, *Topology and Order*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Series 4, Princeton, N. J. 1965.
- [6] H. A. Priestly, *Ordered topological spaces and the representation of distributive lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **24** (1972), 507–530.

Received October 14, 1983 and in revised form January 12, 1984.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
PULLMAN, WA 99164–2930

