

THE ANGULAR DERIVATIVE OF AN OPERATOR-VALUED ANALYTIC FUNCTION

KY FAN

The classical theorem on the angular derivative of an analytic function on the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ is extended to operator-valued analytic functions.

1. Let Π denote the open half-plane

$$(1) \quad \Pi = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}.$$

For a positive number k , let Σ_k denote the set

$$(2) \quad \Sigma_k = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < k \operatorname{Re} z\}.$$

The following theorem in complex analysis is well-known:

Let f be a function analytic on Π such that $f(\Pi) \subset \Pi$. If

$$(3) \quad a = \inf_{z \in \Pi} \frac{\operatorname{Re} f(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z},$$

then for any $k > 0$, we have

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \frac{f(z)}{z} = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \frac{\operatorname{Re} f(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} f'(z) = a.$$

The limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in \Sigma_k} f'(z)$ is usually called the *angular derivative* of f at ∞ . The above classical theorem is the work of several mathematicians: Julia, Nevanlinna, Wolff, Carathéodory, Landau, Valiron. For the original sources, the reader is referred to [2, p. 216] and [5, p.108]. The purpose of the present paper is to extend this classical theorem to operator-valued analytic functions [3, pp. 92–94].

2. Throughout this paper, \mathcal{H} denotes a complex Hilbert space. By an operator we always mean a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{H} . The identity operator is denoted by I . For an operator A on \mathcal{H} , the adjoint of A is denoted by A^* ; the real and imaginary parts of A are denoted by $\operatorname{Re} A$ and $\operatorname{Im} A$ respectively:

$$\operatorname{Re} A = \frac{A + A^*}{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} A = \frac{A - A^*}{2i}.$$

For two Hermitian operators A, B on \mathcal{H} , we write $A \geq B$ to indicate that $A - B$ is a positive operator, i.e., $\langle (A - B)x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. The strict inequality $A > B$ means that $A - B$ is positive and invertible. The classical theorem stated above can be generalized to the following result.

THEOREM. *Let F be an operator-valued analytic function on the open half-plane Π such that for each $z \in \Pi$, $F(z)$ is an operator on \mathcal{H} with $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$. Suppose there is a Hermitian operator A on \mathcal{H} satisfying*

$$(5) \quad \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} > A \quad \text{for all } z \in \Pi$$

and

$$(6) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there is } z_0 \in \Pi \text{ such that}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z_0)}{\operatorname{Re} z_0} - A \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Then for any $k > 0$ we have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} - A \right\| \\ = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \|F'(z) - A\| = 0.$$

3. In proving our theorem, we shall need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let F be an analytic function on Π such that for each $z \in \Pi$, $F(z)$ is an operator on \mathcal{H} with $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$. If $z, z_0 \in \Pi$ and*

$$(8) \quad \Psi(F(z), F(z_0)) = [\operatorname{Re} F(z_0)]^{-1/2} [F(z) - F(z_0)] \\ \times [F(z) + F(z_0)^*]^{-1} [\operatorname{Re} F(z_0)]^{1/2},$$

then

$$(9) \quad \Psi(F(z), F(z_0))^* \Psi(F(z), F(z_0)) \leq \left| \frac{z - z_0}{z + \bar{z}_0} \right|^2 I.$$

Proof. This is part (d) of Theorem 3 in [1].

LEMMA 2. Let F be an analytic function on Π such that for each $z \in \Pi$, $F(z)$ is an operator on \mathcal{H} with $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$. If $F(z_0) = I$ for some $z_0 \in \Pi$, then

$$(10) \quad \|F(z)\| \leq \frac{(|z| + |z_0|)^2}{(\operatorname{Re} z)(\operatorname{Re} z_0)} \quad \text{for } z \in \Pi.$$

Proof. According to the definition (8) of Ψ , we have

$$\Psi(F(z), I) = [F(z) - I][F(z) + I]^{-1};$$

so (9) becomes

$$(11) \quad [F(z)^* + I]^{-1}[F(z)^* - I][F(z) - I][F(z) + I]^{-1} \leq \left| \frac{z - z_0}{z + \bar{z}_0} \right|^2 I,$$

for $z \in \Pi$.

Let

$$\alpha(z) = \left| \frac{z - z_0}{z + \bar{z}_0} \right|^2,$$

which is clearly < 1 for $z \in \Pi$. From (11) we have for $z \in \Pi$:

$$[F(z)^* - I][F(z) - I] \leq \alpha(z)[F(z)^* + I][F(z) + I],$$

which can be written

$$\left[F(z)^* - \frac{1 + \alpha(z)}{1 - \alpha(z)} I \right] \left[F(z) - \frac{1 + \alpha(z)}{1 - \alpha(z)} I \right] \leq \frac{4\alpha(z)}{[1 - \alpha(z)]^2} I$$

or

$$\left\| F(z) - \frac{1 + \alpha(z)}{1 - \alpha(z)} I \right\| \leq \frac{2\alpha(z)^{1/2}}{1 - \alpha(z)}.$$

Then (10) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(z)\| &\leq \left\| F(z) - \frac{1 + \alpha(z)}{1 - \alpha(z)} I \right\| + \frac{1 + \alpha(z)}{1 - \alpha(z)} \\ &\leq \frac{2\alpha(z)^{1/2}}{1 - \alpha(z)} + \frac{1 + \alpha(z)}{1 - \alpha(z)} \\ &= \frac{(|z + \bar{z}_0| + |z - z_0|)^2}{4(\operatorname{Re} z)(\operatorname{Re} z_0)} \leq \frac{(|z| + |z_0|)^2}{(\operatorname{Re} z)(\operatorname{Re} z_0)} \quad \text{for } z \in \Pi. \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of the theorem. With the aid of Lemma 2, the proof of our theorem is an operator-analogue of Landau-Valiron's proof [4], [5, pp. 87–89] of the classical case. Consider a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis, we can choose $z_0 \in \Pi$ such that

$$(12) \quad \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z_0)}{\operatorname{Re} z_0} - A \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Define operator-valued analytic functions E and G on Π by

$$(13) \quad E(z) = F(z) - Az,$$

$$(14) \quad G(z) = [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{-1/2} [E(z) - i \operatorname{Im} E(z_0)] [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{-1/2}.$$

By (5), $\operatorname{Re} E(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Pi$. As

$$(15) \quad \operatorname{Re} G(z) = [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{-1/2} [\operatorname{Re} E(z)] [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{-1/2},$$

we have also $\operatorname{Re} G(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Pi$. Clearly $G(z_0) = I$. An application of Lemma 2 to G gives

$$(16) \quad \|G(z)\| \leq \frac{(|z| + |z_0|)^2}{(\operatorname{Re} z)(\operatorname{Re} z_0)} \quad \text{for } z \in \Pi.$$

By (13), (14) and (16), we have for $z \in \Pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| &= \frac{\|E(z)\|}{|z|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|z|} \left\| [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{1/2} G(z) [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{1/2} + i \operatorname{Im} E(z_0) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|z|} \left\| [\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{1/2} \right\|^2 \|G(z)\| + \frac{\|\operatorname{Im} E(z_0)\|}{|z|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|[\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{1/2}\|^2}{\operatorname{Re} z_0} \frac{(|z| + |z_0|)^2}{|z|(\operatorname{Re} z)} + \frac{\|\operatorname{Im} E(z_0)\|}{|z|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\|[\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)]^{1/2}\|^2}{\operatorname{Re} z_0} = \frac{\|\operatorname{Re} E(z_0)\|}{\operatorname{Re} z_0} = \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z_0)}{\operatorname{Re} z_0} - A \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

it follows that

$$(17) \quad \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| \leq \varepsilon \frac{(|z| + |z_0|)^2}{|z|(\operatorname{Re} z)} + \frac{\|\operatorname{Im} E(z_0)\|}{|z|} \quad \text{for } z \in \Pi.$$

For $z \in \Sigma_k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(|z| + |z_0|)^2}{|z|(\operatorname{Re} z)} &= \left(1 + \left|\frac{z_0}{z}\right|\right) \frac{|z| + |z_0|}{\operatorname{Re} z} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \left|\frac{z_0}{z}\right|\right) \left(\sqrt{1 + k^2} + \frac{|z_0|}{\operatorname{Re} z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(18) \quad \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| \leq \varepsilon \left(1 + \left|\frac{z_0}{z}\right|\right) \left(\sqrt{1 + k^2} + \frac{|z_0|}{\operatorname{Re} z}\right) + \frac{\|\operatorname{Im} E(z_0)\|}{|z|}$$

holds for $z \in \Sigma_k$. The right-hand side of (18) tends to $\varepsilon\sqrt{1 + k^2}$ as $z \in \Sigma_k$ tends to ∞ . Since $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily small, this proves that

$$(19) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| = 0.$$

Next, by (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} - A \right\| &= \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} E(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} \right\| \leq \frac{\|E(z)\|}{\operatorname{Re} z} \\ &= \frac{|z|}{\operatorname{Re} z} \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| \quad \text{for } z \in \Pi \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(20) \quad \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} - A \right\| \leq \sqrt{1 + k^2} \left\| \frac{F(z)}{z} - A \right\| \quad \text{for } z \in \Sigma_k.$$

From (19) and (20), it follows that

$$(21) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\operatorname{Re} z} - A \right\| = 0.$$

Given $k > 0$, choose $h > 0$ so small that for every $z \in \Sigma_k$ the circle $C_h(z) = \{w \in \mathbf{C}: |w - z| = h|z|\}$ is contained in Π . Then from Cauchy's integral formula [3, p. 96]

$$E'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_h(z)} \frac{E(w) dw}{(w - z)^2} \quad \text{for } z \in \Sigma_k,$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|E'(z)\| &\leq \frac{1}{h|z|} \operatorname{Max}_{w \in C_h(z)} \|E(w)\| = \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{Max}_{w \in C_h(z)} \left| \frac{w}{z} \right| \left\| \frac{F(w)}{w} - A \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + h}{h} \operatorname{Max}_{w \in C_h(z)} \left\| \frac{F(w)}{w} - A \right\| \quad \text{for } z \in \Sigma_k. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (19) implies

$$(22) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \|F'(z) - A\| = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Sigma_k}} \|E'(z)\| = 0.$$

The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando and K. Fan, *Pick-Julia theorems for operators*, Math. Z., **168** (1979), 23–34.
- [2] R. B. Burckel, *An Introduction to Classical Complex Analysis*, Volume 1, Academic Press, New York-San Francisco, 1979.
- [3] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups* (Revised edition), Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1957.
- [4] E. Landau and G. Valiron, *A deduction from Schwarz's lemma*, J. London Math. Soc., **4** (1929), 162–163.
- [5] G. Valiron, *Fonctions analytiques*, Presses Univ. de France, Paris, 1954.

Received July 24, 1984. Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant MCS-8201544.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106