

DENSITY OF THE POLYNOMIALS IN BERGMAN SPACES

PAUL S. BOURDON

Let G be a bounded simply connected domain in the complex plane. Using a result of Hedberg, we show that the polynomials are dense in Bergman space $L_a^2(G)$ if G is the image of the unit disk U under a weak-star generator of H^∞ . We also show that density of the polynomials in $L_a^2(G)$ implies density of the polynomials in $H^2(G)$. As a consequence, we obtain new examples of cyclic analytic Toeplitz operators on $H^2(U)$ and composition operators with dense range on $H^2(U)$. As an additional consequence, we show that if the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$ and φ maps U univalently onto G , then φ is univalent almost everywhere on the unit circle C .

1. Introduction. Let Ω be an open, nonempty subset of the complex plane, and let dA be two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. The Bergman space of Ω , $L_a^2(\Omega)$, is the Hilbert space of those functions f which are analytic on Ω and which satisfy

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dA < \infty.$$

Let H^∞ denote the algebra of functions which are bounded and analytic on the open unit disk U .

For any domain G in the plane, define the Carathéodory hull of G , G^* , to be the complement of the closure of the unbounded component of the complement of the closure of G . If G is a component of its Carathéodory hull G^* , then G is said to be a Carathéodory domain. Carathéodory domains are simply connected (cf. for example [14, Lemma 2.13]). An old theorem (1934) of Farrell [6] and Markusevic [8] states that if G is a bounded Carathéodory domain, then the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$. In 1953, S. N. Mergeljan remarked in his survey article on polynomial approximation that the Carathéodory domains apparently form the largest class of domains G with a purely topological definition such that the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$ (cf. [9, p. 121]). We show that there is a larger class of such domains.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $G = \varphi(U)$ where φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ , then the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$.*

The function $\varphi \in H^\infty$ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ provided the polynomials in φ are weak-star dense in H^∞ . Here, it will be convenient to view H^∞ as the dual of a quotient space of $L^1(U)$. (The predual of H^∞ is, in fact, unique [2, Theorem 1].) In [16] Sarason characterizes the images of weak-star generators of H^∞ using the concept of relative hulls. Proposition 4 of [16] shows that relative hulls have a topological description based on the notion of a crosscut. Theorem 3.1 extends the result of Farrell and Markusevic since if φ maps U univalently onto a bounded Carathéodory domain, then φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ (of order 1) [16]. Moreover, there are many weak-star generators of H^∞ which map U onto non-Carathéodory domains [16, 17].

Weak-star generators of H^∞ are univalent on U and univalent almost everywhere on the unit circle C [15, Propositions 2 and 3]. We show that for the polynomials to be dense in $L_a^2(G)$, it is necessary that the univalent map of U onto G be univalent a.e. on C . This gives another way to see that if, for example, G contains slits (which are not too close together) then the polynomials are not dense in $L_a^2(G)$. Here, and for the remainder of this paper, we use the letter G to denote a bounded simply connected domain in the plane.

2. Preliminaries. Let A^2 denote the Bergman space of the unit disk; that is, let $A^2 = L_a^2(U)$. For $f \in H^\infty$, define $B_f: A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ by

$$(B_f g)(z) = f(z)g(z).$$

Similarly, define $T_f: H^2(U) \rightarrow H^2(U)$ by $(T_f h)(z) = f(z)h(z)$; here, $H^2(U)$ denotes the Hardy space of U . For any operator A , let $\text{Lat } A$ represent the lattice of invariant subspaces of A (subspace \equiv closed subspace). Let $T_\varphi = \text{Lat } T_z$ if and only if φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ [15, Proposition 1].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ , then $\text{Lat } B_\varphi = \text{Lat } B_z$.*

Proof. That $\text{Lat } B_z \subset \text{Lat } B_\varphi$ for any $\varphi \in H^\infty$ is well known (cf. for example [19, Theorem 12]). If φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ then there is a net $\{p_\alpha\}$ of polynomials such that $p_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow z$ weak-star in H^∞ . This means that for any $f \in L^1(U)$

$$\int_U (p_\alpha(\varphi) - z) f dA \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $B_{p_\alpha(\varphi)} \rightarrow B_z$ in the weak operator topology. Hence, $\text{Lat } B_\varphi \subset \text{Lat } B_z$.

John Conway and Robert Olin have pointed out to the author that the converse of Proposition 2.1 is true. If $\text{Lat } B_\varphi = \text{Lat } B_z$, then by the reflexivity of subnormal operators, there is a net $\{p_\alpha\}$ of polynomials such that $B_{p_\alpha(\varphi)} \rightarrow B_z$ in the weak operator topology. That $p_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow z$ weak-star follows from Theorems 1 and 2 of [12].

The proof of the following theorem appears in [19, pp. 112–114].

THEOREM 2.2 (Hedberg). *If R is a simply connected domain of finite area, then $H^\infty(R)$ is dense in $L_a^2(R)$.*

Via a change of variables, Hedberg’s result is equivalent to the following (cf. [19, Proposition 41]).

COROLLARY 2.3. *If f maps U univalently onto a domain of finite area, then the derivative of f is cyclic for B_z .*

The vector $g \in A^2$ is cyclic for $B_f: A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ provided g is not contained in any proper invariant subspace of B_f . Alternatively, g is cyclic for B_f if $\{p(f)g: p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is dense in A^2 .

3. Results. One may combine Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 2.1 to obtain a simple proof of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $G = \varphi(U)$ where φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ , then the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$.*

Proof. Since weak-star generators are univalent, φ' is cyclic for B_z ; and since $\text{Lat } B_\varphi = \text{Lat } B_z$, φ' is cyclic for B_φ .

Now, let $g \in L_a^2(G)$ be arbitrary. Since $g(\varphi)\varphi' \in L_a^2(U) = A^2$ and since φ' is cyclic for B_φ , there is a sequence $\{p_n\}$ of polynomials such that

$$\int_U |p_n(\varphi)\varphi' - g(\varphi)\varphi'|^2 dA \rightarrow 0.$$

Changing variables, we have $\int_G |p_n - g|^2 dA \rightarrow 0$. Hence, the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$.

Robert Olin has related to the author another argument which yields Theorem 3.1. The author wishes to thank Prof. Olin for his permission to give that argument here. Let S be a bounded open set in the plane and let \tilde{K} be its Sarason hull. (\tilde{K} is the Sarason hull of μ where μ is two-dimensional Lebesgue measure on S . See [18].) The polynomials are weak-star dense in $H^\infty(S)$ if and only if every function in $H^\infty(S)$ extends to a

function in $H^\infty(\text{int } \tilde{K})$ [18, Corollary 3]. The following proposition holds since if G is the image of a weak-star generator of H^∞ , then G is the interior of its Sarason hull \tilde{K} (cf. [11, Lemma 1]).

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If $G = \varphi(U)$ where φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ , then the polynomials are weak-star dense in $H^\infty(G)$.*

Here, $H^\infty(G)$ is viewed as the dual of a quotient space of $L^1(G)$. If G is the image of a weak-star generator, then it follows easily from Proposition 3.2 that $H^\infty(G)$ is contained in weak closure of the polynomials in $L_a^2(G)$. Since for a convex subset of a Banach space weak closure is equivalent to norm closure, $H^\infty(G)$ is contained in the norm closure of the polynomials in $L_a^2(G)$. Theorem 3.1 now follows from Hedberg's result (Theorem 2.2).

There is no problem extending Hedberg's result to a bounded open set each of whose components is simply connected. Hence, Olin's argument provides a generalization of Theorem 3.1: If S is a bounded open subset of the plane with Sarason hull \tilde{K} , then the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(S)$ if each $f \in L_a^2(S)$ extends to a function $f \in L_a^2(\text{int } \tilde{K})$. One way to see that the components of $\text{int } \tilde{K}$ are simply connected is to combine Lemma 7.1 of [18] with Theorem 5.1 of [7]. This generalization of Theorem 3.1 provides an extension of a result of Sinanjan [20] who showed that the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(S)$ if S is a bounded Carathéodory set. (S is a Carathéodory set provided it is the union of some of the components of S^* .) Rubel and Shields have actually shown that for a bounded Carathéodory set S the polynomials are weak-star sequentially dense in $H^\infty(S)$; that is, each $f \in H^\infty(S)$ is the pointwise limit of a uniformly bounded sequence of polynomials (cf. [14, Theorem 3.2]). Note that it's a simple matter to combine the result of Rubel and Shields with Hedberg's result to obtain Sinanjan's result.

We turn now to a proposition which yields a necessary condition for the polynomials to be dense in $L_a^2(G)$ and which provides new examples of cyclic analytic Toeplitz operators on $H^2(U)$ and composition operators with dense range on $H^2(U)$. For any positive Borel measurable function w on G , let $L_a^2(G, wdA)$ represent the weighted Bergman space consisting of those analytic functions f on G which satisfy

$$\|f\|_w^2 = \int_G |f|^2 w dA < \infty.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let φ map U univalently onto G . The polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$ if and only if the polynomials in φ are dense in $H^2(U)$.*

Proof. Recall that for $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n \in H^2(U)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^2(U)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$$

where for almost every θ , $f(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$. By considering the Taylor expansion of $f \in H^2(U)$, one may easily verify that $\| \cdot \|_{H^2(U)}$ is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|$ where

$$\|f\|^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \int_U |f'|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dA.$$

Now if the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$, then $\{p(\varphi)\varphi': p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is dense in $L_a^2(U, (1 - |z|^2) dA)$. Integrating, we see that $\{p(\varphi): p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is dense in $H^2(U)$. The converse follows by differentiating.

This simple proposition has several interesting consequences. The following consequence seems to have been overlooked in the literature. It shows that, for example, a result of Caughran (cf. [4, Theorem 1]) is actually an easy consequence of the result of Farrell and Markusevic. Recall that a function f belongs to the Hardy space $H^2(G)$ provided $|f|^2$ has a harmonic majorant on G . One defines the norm of $f \in H^2(G)$ by $\|f\|_{H^2(G)} = [u(z_0)]^{1/2}$, where z_0 is a fixed point in G and u is the least harmonic majorant of $|f|^2$. If φ maps U univalently onto G with $\varphi(0) = z_0$ then the correspondence $f \leftrightarrow f(\varphi)$ is an isometric isomorphism between $H^2(G)$ and $H^2(U)$ (normed as in the proof of Proposition 3.3) (cf. for example [5, Chapter 10]).

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let φ map U univalently onto G . Density of the polynomials in $L_a^2(G)$ (or in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$) implies density of the polynomials in $H^2(G)$.*

Proof. It's easy to see via a change of variables that density of the polynomials in $L_a^2(G)$ implies density of the polynomials in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$. By Proposition 3.3, $\{p(\varphi): p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is dense in $H^2(U)$, but this is equivalent to density of the polynomials in the Hardy space $H^2(G)$.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If φ maps U univalently onto G and if the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$ (or in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$), then φ is univalent almost everywhere on the unit circle C .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, $\{p(\varphi): p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is dense in $H^2(U)$; in particular, there is a sequence $\{p_n\}$ of polynomials such that $\|p_n(\varphi) - z\|_{H^2(U)} \rightarrow 0$. Choose a subsequence $\{p_{n_j}\}$ of $\{p_n\}$ such that $p_{n_j}(\varphi(z)) \rightarrow z$ a.e. on C . Off the set of measure zero on which $p_{n_j}(\varphi(z))$ may not go to z , φ must be univalent.

The following two corollaries are immediate consequences of Proposition 3.3.

COROLLARY 3.6. *If φ maps U univalently to G and if the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$, then the analytic Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi: H^2(U) \rightarrow H^2(U)$ is cyclic with cyclic vector 1.*

If φ is a weak-star generator of H^∞ , then it's easy to see (for example, by using $\text{Lat } T_z = \text{Lat } T_\varphi$) that T_φ is cyclic with cyclic vector 1. John Akeroyd [1] has produced examples of cyclic analytic Toeplitz operators whose symbols are not weak-star generators. In fact, he has shown that if φ maps U univalently onto a crescent bounded by two internally tangent circles, then T_φ is cyclic with cyclic vector 1. That a crescent is not the image of a weak-star generator follows from [16, Corollary 2]. Corollary 3.6 above provides further examples of cyclic analytic Toeplitz operators. There are bounded simply connected domains G such that the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$ (hence in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$), but G is not the image of a weak-star generator. For example, Mergeljan and Tamadjan [10] (cf. also [3]) have shown that if sufficiently many slits are put in the unit disk, one can obtain a domain G such that the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G)$. Once again, Corollary 2 of [16] shows that the disk with these slits is not the image of a weak-star generator of H^∞ .

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let φ map U univalently onto $G \subset U$ and define $C_\varphi: H^2(U) \rightarrow H^2(U)$ by $(C_\varphi f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))$. If the polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(G, (1 - |\varphi^{-1}(z)|^2) dA)$ then C_φ has dense range.*

Corollary 3.7 extends a result of Roan [13] by providing additional examples of composition operators with dense range.

REMARK. It's easy to see that if C_φ has dense range or if T_φ is cyclic, then φ is univalent on U and univalent a.e. on C .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Akeroyd, *Approximation in the mean by polynomials with respect to harmonic measure*, preprint.
- [2] T. Ando, *On the predual of H^∞* , Comment. Math. Spec. Issue, **1** (1978), 33–40.

- [3] J. E. Brennan, *Approximation in the mean by polynomials on non-Carathéodory domains*, Ark. Mat., **15** (1977), 117–168.
- [4] J. Caughran, *Polynomial approximation and spectral properties of composition operators on H^2* , Indiana Univ. Math. J., **21** (1971), 81–84.
- [5] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [6] O. J. Farrell, *On approximation to an analytic function by polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1934), 908–914.
- [7] T. W. Gamlin and J. Garnett, *Pointwise bounded approximation in Dirichlet algebras*, J. Functional Anal., **8** (1971), 360–404.
- [8] A. I. Markusevic, *Conformal mapping of regions with variable boundary and application to the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials*, Dissertation, Moscow, 1934.
- [9] S. N. Mergeljan, *On the completeness of systems of analytic functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **19** (1962), 109–166; Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **8** (1953), 3–63.
- [10] S. N. Mergeljan and A. P. Talmadjan, *On completeness in a class of non-Jordan regions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **35** (1964), 79–94; Izv. Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR, **7** (1954), 1–17.
- [11] R. Olin and J. Thomson, *Lifting the commutant of a subnormal operator*, Canad. J. Math., **31** (1979), 148–156.
- [12] ———, *Algebras of subnormal operators*, J. Functional Anal., **37** (1980), 271–301.
- [13] R. C. Roan, *Composition operators on H^p with dense range*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **27** (1978), 159–162.
- [14] L. Rubel and A. L. Shields, *Bounded approximation by polynomials*, Acta Math., **112** (1964), 145–162.
- [15] D. Sarason, *Invariant subspaces and unstarred operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 511–517.
- [16] ———, *Weak-star generators of H^∞* , Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 519–528.
- [17] ———, *On the order of a simply connected domain*, Michigan Math. J., **15** (1968), 129–133.
- [18] ———, *Weak-star density of polynomials*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **252** (1972), 1–15.
- [19] A. L. Shields, *Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory*, Math. Surveys, **13**: Topics in operator theory, ed. C. Pearcy, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1974, 49–128.
- [20] S. O. Sinanjan, *Approximation by polynomials and analytic functions in the areal mean*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **74** (1968), 91–124; Mat. Sb., **69** (1966), 546–578.

Received February 24, 1986 and in revised form February 23, 1987.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
EAST LANSING, MI 48824

