

## A REMARK ON SPINOR NORMS OF LOCAL INTEGRAL ROTATIONS I

XU FEI

**The spinor norms of the integral rotations on the modular quadratic forms over a local field, which could not be expressed in the convenient closed forms in [1], are expressed in a convenient closed form.**

The spinor norms of integral rotations on a modular quadratic form over a local field were determined in [1], but there remained one case to solve. In the present paper, we will solve this problem. Familiarity of [1] and [2] is assumed, and we also adopt the notations of [1] and [2]. Thus,  $F$  denotes a dyadic local field of characteristic 0,  $\mathcal{O}$  the ring of integers in  $F$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = \pi\mathcal{O}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  the group of units in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$  the quadratic defect function,  $V$  a regular quadratic space of dimension 2 over  $F$ ,  $L$  a unimodular lattice of determinant  $d$  on  $V$ ,  $a$  the norm generator,  $O^+(V)$  the group of rotations on  $V$ ,  $O^+(L)$  the corresponding subgroup of units of  $L$ , and  $\theta(\cdot)$  the spinor norm function.

Write  $L \cong A(a, -\delta a^{-1})$ , adapted to a basis  $\{x, y\}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(1 + \delta) = \delta\mathcal{O}$  and  $-\delta a^{-1}$  belongs to  $wL$ . Put  $\text{ord}(a) = \nu$ ,  $\text{ord}(2) = e$ , and  $\mu = e - \nu$ . We have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION.** *If  $e + [\mu/2] \geq \text{ord}(\delta a^{-1}) > e$ , then*

$$\theta(O^+(L)) = (1 + \mathfrak{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2 \cap Q(\langle 1, d \rangle)\dot{F}^2$$

where  $Q(\langle 1, d \rangle) = \{a \cdot c \mid c \in Q(\dot{V})\}$ .

*Proof.* Take any symmetry  $Sz$  in  $O(L)$  where  $z$  is a maximal anisotropic vector of  $L$ . Put  $z = s \cdot x + t \cdot y$  where  $s, t \in \mathcal{O}$  and one of them must be a unit. Since  $\text{ord}(Q(z)) = \text{ord}(s^2 a + 2st - t^2 \cdot \delta \cdot a^{-1}) \leq e$ , we obtain  $0 \leq \text{ord}(s) \leq [\mu/2]$  and  $Q(z) = s^2 a \cdot (1 + 2s^{-1}ta^{-1} - (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1})a^{-1})$ .

If  $s$  is a unit,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(2s^{-1}ta^{-1} - (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1})a^{-1}) &= \text{ord}(2s^{-1}ta^{-1}) \geq e - \nu \\ &= (\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]) + (e + [\mu/2] + \nu - \text{ord}(\delta)) + [\mu/2] \\ &\geq \text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t$  is a unit,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(2s^{-1}ta^{-1} - (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1})a^{-1}) \\ \geq \min(\mu - \text{ord}(s), \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

When  $\text{ord}(s) \geq \text{ord}(\delta) + \mu - 2e$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\mu - \text{ord}(s), \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s)) \\ = \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s) \\ \geq \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2[\mu/2] \\ = \text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]. \end{aligned}$$

When  $\text{ord}(s) < \text{ord}(\delta) + \mu - 2e$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\mu - \text{ord}(s), \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2\text{ord}(s)) \\ = \mu - \text{ord}(s) > 2e - \text{ord}(\delta) \\ = (\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]) + 2(e + [\mu/2] + \nu - \text{ord}(\delta)) \\ \geq \text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2]. \end{aligned}$$

By the theorem of [3], we obtain

$$\theta(O^+(L)) \subseteq (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2.$$

It is obvious that  $V$  is anisotropic in this case, so  $\theta(O^+(V)) = Q < 1$ ,  $d > \dot{F}^2$ . Hence,  $\theta(O^+(L)) \subseteq (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F} \cap Q((1, d))\dot{F}^2$ .

Take any  $a \cdot h\dot{F}^2 \subseteq (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2 \cap Q((1, d))\dot{F}^2$  where  $h \in Q(\dot{V})$ , so there exists  $z$  in  $\dot{V}$  such that  $h = Q(z)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $z = s \cdot x + t \cdot y$  where  $s, t \in \mathcal{O}$  and one of them must be a unit.

If  $[\mu/2] < \text{ord}(s) \leq \mu - e + (\text{ord}(\delta) - 1)/2$ , then  $t$  is a unit. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}((st^{-1}) \cdot (a\delta^{-1}) \cdot 2) = \text{ord}(s) - \text{ord}(\delta a^{-1}) + e \\ \geq \text{ord}(s) - [\mu/2] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

we know that  $(s \cdot t^{-1}) \cdot (a\delta^{-1}) \cdot 2 - 1$  is a unit. Let  $\text{ord}(s) = m$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot h &= a(s^2a + 2st - t^2(\delta a^{-1})) \\ &= (as)^2 \cdot (1 + (s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1}) \cdot (a^{-1}) \cdot ((st^{-1}) \cdot (a\delta^{-1}) \cdot 2 - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

in  $(1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2$ . Notice

$$\text{ord}((s^{-1}t)^2(\delta a^{-1}) \cdot (a^{-1})) = -2m + \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu.$$

We obtain the equation

$$(1) \quad 1 + w\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)-2m-2\nu} = f^2(1 + r\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})$$

where  $w \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $r \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $f \in \dot{F}$ . Since

$$\text{ord}(\delta) + 2\mu - 2e - 2[\mu/2] > \text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2m \geq 1,$$

we can assume  $f = 1 + q\pi^k$  where  $q \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . So the following equation is yielded from (1).

$$(2) \quad w\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)-2\nu-2m} - r\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]} - 2rq\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]+k} \\ - rq^2\pi^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]+2k} - 2q\pi^k = q^2\pi^{2k}.$$

Since

$$\text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2m \leq e + [\mu/2] + \nu - 2\nu - 2[\mu/2] \\ = e - \nu - [\mu/2] \leq e < e + k = \text{ord}(2q\pi^k)$$

and  $\text{ord}(\delta) - 2\nu - 2m$  is odd, consider the orders of the elements at both sides of (2), a contradiction is derived.

If  $\text{ord}(s) \geq \mu - e + (\text{ord}(\delta) + 1)/2$ , then  $t$  is a unit again. Since

$$\text{ord}(a^2(st^{-1})^2 \cdot \delta^{-1}) = 2\nu + 2\text{ord}(s) - \text{ord}(\delta) \geq 1$$

and

$$\text{ord}(2(st^{-1})a\delta^{-1}) = e + \text{ord}(s) + \nu - \text{ord}(\delta) \\ \geq e + (1 - \text{ord}(\delta))/2 \geq e + (1 - e - [\mu/2] - \nu)/2 \\ = (e - [\mu/2] - \nu)/2 + 1/2 > 0$$

we know  $(1 - a^2(st^{-1})^2\delta^{-1} - 2(st^{-1})a\delta^{-1}) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Notice

$$a \cdot h = a(s^2a + 2st - t^2(\delta a^{-1})) \\ = \delta(-t^2)(1 - a^2(st^{-1})^2\delta^{-1} - 2(st^{-1})a\delta^{-1})$$

in  $(1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2$ , so we obtain the equation

$$(3) \quad \delta \cdot \eta = \zeta \cdot f^2$$

where  $\eta \in \mathcal{U}$ :  $f \in \dot{F}$ ,  $\zeta \in (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\text{ord}(\delta)$  is odd, consider the orders of the elements at both sides of (3), a contradiction is derived.

Now the only possibility is  $0 \leq \text{ord}(s) \leq [\mu/2]$ , so

$$\text{ord}(Q(z)) = \text{ord}(s^2a + 2st - t^2(\delta a^{-1})) \leq e$$

and  $z$  is a maximal vector of  $L$ , thus  $Sz \in O(L)$ , and  $Sx \cdot Sz \in O^+(L)$ . Notice

$$\theta(Sx \cdot Sz) = a \cdot h\dot{F}^2;$$

hence,  $\theta(O^+(L)) = (1 + \mathcal{B}^{\text{ord}(\delta)+2\mu-2e-2[\mu/2]})\dot{F}^2 \cap Q(\langle 1, d \rangle)\dot{F}^2$ .  $\square$

Combining the above proposition with the results obtained in [1], we conclude that the spinor norms of integral rotations on a modular quadratic form over a local field are determined completely and all the results are expressed in the conventional closed forms.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Hsia, *Spinor norms of local integral rotations*, I, Pacific J. Math., **57** (1975), 199–206.
- [2] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer, 1963.
- [3] O. T. O'Meara and B. Pollak, *Generation of local integral orthogonal groups*, II, Math. Zeitschr., **93** (1966), 171–188.

Received October 6, 1987.

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA  
HEFEI ANHUI  
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA