

## OPERATORS WHICH SATISFY POLYNOMIAL GROWTH CONDITIONS

BRUCE A. BARNES

Consider the class of bounded linear operators  $S$  such that  $\|\exp(itS)\|$  has polynomial growth in  $|t|$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ . In this paper it is shown that the operators in this class have many interesting properties in common with selfadjoint operators.

**1. Introduction.** If  $S$  is a bounded linear selfadjoint operator on Hilbert space, then  $\exp(itS)$  is a unitary operator for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , and thus

$$(1) \quad \|\exp(itS)\| = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

When  $S$  is an operator on a Banach space for which (1) holds, then  $S$  is called Hermitian. The class of Hermitian operators has proved useful in the study of spectral operators. In this paper we study a more general class of operators, those for which the growth of  $\|\exp(itS)\|$  is at most polynomial in  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , explicitly:

$$(2) \quad \exists K > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \delta \geq 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \|\exp(itS)\| \leq K(1 + |t|^\delta) \\ (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Although this is a special class of operators, it does contain many interesting examples, and useful properties can be proved for operators in this class.

Throughout this paper  $X$  is a Banach space. All operators on  $X$  are automatically assumed to be linear and bounded. Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the set of all operators on  $X$  for which (2) holds. Here is a list of some types of operators in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ :

- A. Hermitian or Hermitian equivalent operators.
- B. Operators on a Hilbert space of the form  $TRS$  where  $R \geq 0$  and  $ST$  is selfadjoint.
- C. Well-bounded operators ( $T$  is well-bounded means that for some interval  $[a, b]$ ,  $\exists K > 0$ , such that for all polynomials  $p$ ,  $\|p(T)\| \leq K(|p(b)| + \int_a^b |p'(t)| dt)$ ).
- D. Nilpotent and projection operators.

- E. When  $X$  is weakly complete, scalar-type spectral operators with real spectrum.
- F. Algebraic operators with real spectrum.
- G. Operators on Hilbert space which are in  $G_1^{\text{loc}}$  and have real spectrum ( $T \in G_1^{\text{loc}}$  means that for some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\sigma(T)$ ,

$$\|(\lambda - T)^{-1}\| \leq (\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T)))^{-1} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U \setminus \sigma(T).$$

That the operators which satisfy some property (A)–(G) are in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  will be proved in §2.

What are the special properties of the operators in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ ? We prove that when  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , then

1. The spectrum of  $S$  is real.
2.  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ ,

$$\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq K(1 + |\text{Im}(\lambda)|^{-\delta}).$$

3. For all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\lambda - S$  has finite ascent.
4. The closed subalgebra generated by  $S$  and the identity is regular.
5. If the spectrum of  $S$  contains more than one number, then  $S$  has a proper closed hyper-invariant subspace.

Furthermore, we prove that when  $S, T \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $ST = TS$ , then  $S + T \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $ST \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

**2. The class  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .** For an operator  $S$ , let  $\mathcal{N}(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ ,  $\alpha(S)$ ,  $\delta(S)$ , and  $\sigma(S)$  denote the null space of  $S$ , the range of  $S$ , the ascent of  $S$ , the descent of  $S$ , and the spectrum of  $S$ , respectively.

Consider the following three properties that may hold for an operator  $S$  ((II) is the defining condition for  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ):

- I.  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta \geq 0$  such that  $\|\exp(inS)\| \leq K(1 + |n|^\delta)$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ );
- II.  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta \geq 0$  such that  $\|\exp(itS)\| \leq K(1 + |t|^\delta)$  ( $t \in \mathbf{R}$ );
- III.  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that when  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq K(1 + |\text{Im}(\lambda)|^{-\delta})$ .

In fact these three conditions are equivalent (the values of  $K$  and  $\delta$  may differ in the different conditions). The equivalence of (I) and (II) is an elementary fact. For suppose (I) holds for  $S$ , and  $K$  and  $\delta$  are as in (I). Since  $t \rightarrow \|\exp(itS)\|$  is continuous,  $\exists J > 0$  such that  $\sup\{\|\exp(itS)\|: t \in [-1, 1]\} \leq J$ . Then for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\exists v \in (-1, 1)$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $t = v + n$  and  $|n| \leq |t|$ . Thus

$$\|\exp(itS)\| \leq \|\exp(ivS)\| \|\exp(inS)\| \leq JK(1 + |n|^\delta) \leq JK(1 + |t|^\delta).$$

From this it is clear that (I) and (II) are equivalent.

On the way to proving the equivalence of (I)–(III) we establish several important results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume (II) holds for an operator  $S$ . Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $c = \text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ . If  $c > 0$ , then*

$$(\lambda - S)^{-1} = -i \int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda t} e^{-itS} dt.$$

*If  $c < 0$ , then*

$$(\lambda - S)^{-1} = i \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i\lambda t} e^{-itS} dt.$$

*Proof.* We prove the formula in the case  $c > 0$ ; the proof of the other case is similar. For  $w > 0$ ,

$$i(\lambda - S) \int_0^w e^{i(\lambda-S)t} dt = \int_0^w \left[ \frac{d}{dt} (e^{i(\lambda-S)t}) \right] dt = e^{i(\lambda-S)w} - I.$$

Also,  $\|e^{i(\lambda-S)w}\| = e^{-cw} \|e^{-iwS}\| \leq e^{-cw} K(1 + w^\delta)$ . Thus  $\|e^{i(\lambda-S)w}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves

$$i(\lambda - S) \int_0^\infty e^{i(\lambda-S)t} dt = -I.$$

**COROLLARY 2.** (II)  $\Rightarrow$  (III).

*Proof.* Assume (II) holds. Assume  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $c = \text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ . We assume  $c > 0$ . Then by Theorem 1

$$(\lambda - S)^{-1} = -i \int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda t} e^{-iSt} dt.$$

Thus,

$$\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq \int_0^\infty \|e^{i(\lambda-S)t}\| dt.$$

Now

$$\|e^{i(\lambda-S)t}\| \leq e^{-ct} K(1 + |t|^\delta).$$

The definite integrals involved are evaluated by

$$\int_0^\infty t^\delta e^{-ct} dt = \Gamma(\delta + 1)c^{-(\delta+1)}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma-function. Thus (III) holds for the appropriate choice of constants.

**THEOREM 3.** (III)  $\Rightarrow$  (II).

*Proof.* Assume  $S$  is an operator for which (III) holds. We may assume  $\|S\| \leq 1$ , so  $\sigma(S) \subseteq [-1, 1]$ . Fix  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ . Define paths  $\gamma_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \gamma_1(t) &= (2 - 4t) + i\varepsilon, \\ \gamma_3(t) &= (-2 + 4t) - i\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \gamma_2(t) &= -2 - it, \\ \gamma_4(t) &= 2 + it, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon].$$

Let  $\gamma$  be the closed path encircling  $\sigma(S)$  counter-clockwise defined by  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$ . By the holomorphic operational calculus we have

$$e^{itS} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{it\lambda} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

We show (II) holds by making estimates on

$$\left\| \int_{\gamma_j} e^{it\lambda} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\|, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 4.$$

We make the estimates for  $j = 1, 2$ ; the computations for  $j = 3, 4$  are similar.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\gamma_1} e^{it\lambda} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\| &\leq \int_0^1 |e^{it\gamma_1(x)}| \|(\gamma_1(x) - S)^{-1}\| |\gamma_1'(x)| dx \\ &\leq 4 \int_0^1 e^{-\varepsilon t} K(1 + \varepsilon^{-\delta}) dx = 4Ke^{-\varepsilon t}(1 + \varepsilon^{-\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let

$$J = \sup\{\|((-2 + ix) - S)^{-1}\| : x \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

Note that  $J$  is finite. Then for  $t \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\gamma_2} e^{it\lambda} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\| &\leq \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |e^{it\gamma_2(x)}| \|(\gamma_2(x) - S)^{-1}\| dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{tx} J dx = Jt^{-1}(e^{\varepsilon t} - e^{-\varepsilon t}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates hold for the norm of the path integrals:

$$\left\| \int_{\gamma_3} e^{it\lambda} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\| \leq 4Ke^{\varepsilon t}(1 + \varepsilon^{-\delta}),$$

$$\left\| \int_{\gamma_4} e^{it\lambda} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\| \leq Mt^{-1}(e^{\varepsilon t} - e^{-\varepsilon t})$$

where  $t \neq 0$  and

$$M = \sup\{\|((2 + ix) - S)^{-1}\| : x \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

Assuming  $|t| \geq 1$ , let  $\varepsilon = |t|^{-1}$  in the estimates above. This gives for  $|t| \geq 1$ ,  $\|\exp(itS)\| \leq K'(1 + |t|^\delta)$  for some choice of  $K'$ . Thus, (II) holds.

**REMARK.** It is useful to note that (III) is true if  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and we assume only that the inequality in (III) holds for all  $\lambda \in U$ ,  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ , where  $U$  is some open neighborhood of  $\sigma(S)$ . For it is well known that  $\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| = 0$ . Therefore  $\exists J > 0$  such that  $\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq J$  for  $\lambda \notin U$ . Then for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ ,

$$\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq (J + K)(1 + |\text{Im}(\lambda)|^{-\delta}).$$

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , then  $S^2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\|S\| \leq 1$ . Fix  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ . Define the paths  $\gamma_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , and  $\gamma$ , just as in the proof of Theorem 3. Then

$$\exp(itS^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{it\lambda^2} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

For  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , let

$$A_j = \left\| \int_{\gamma_j} e^{it\lambda^2} (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\|.$$

By Corollary 2  $\exists K \geq 0$  and  $\exists \delta \geq 0$  such that

$$\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq K(1 + |\text{Im}(\lambda)|^{-\delta})$$

whenever  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$ . The following estimates hold (the argument being similar to the proof of Theorem 3): For  $t \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq (2\varepsilon t)^{-1}(e^{4t\varepsilon} - e^{-4t\varepsilon})K(1 + \varepsilon^{-\delta}); \\ A_2 &\leq J(4t)^{-1}(e^{4t\varepsilon} - e^{-4t\varepsilon}); \\ A_3 &\leq (2\varepsilon t)^{-1}(e^{4t\varepsilon} - e^{-4t\varepsilon})K(1 + \varepsilon^{-\delta}); \\ A_4 &\leq M(4t)^{-1}(e^{4t\varepsilon} - e^{-4t\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $M > 0$  and  $J > 0$  are fixed constants. Then letting  $\varepsilon = |t|^{-1}$  when  $|t| \geq 1$ , we have that  $\|\exp(itS^2)\|$  is polynomial in  $|t|$ .

**THEOREM 5.**

(1) *If  $T, S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $ST = TS$ , then  $S + T \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ;*

- (2) If  $T, S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $ST = TS$ , then  $ST \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ;  
 (3) If  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $p(\lambda)$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $p(S) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

*Proof.* (1) is easily proved and (3) follows from (1) and (2). To prove (2) suppose  $S$  and  $T$  are as in the statement of (2). Then

$$ST = \frac{1}{2}\{(S + T)^2 - S^2 - T^2\}.$$

By Lemma 4,  $(S + T)^2$ ,  $S^2$ , and  $T^2$  are in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . It follows that  $ST \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

The algebraic closure properties of the class  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  proved in Theorem 5 contrast with the failure of these properties relative to interesting subclasses of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . In particular:

- (1) The square of an Hermitian operator need not be Hermitian [2, Example 4.13, p. 107].  
 (2) The sum of commuting scalar-type spectral operators need not be of scalar type [2, Chapter 9].  
 (3) The sum and product of commuting well-bounded operators need not be well-bounded [2, p. 362].

There is another class of operators defined in terms of a growth condition of the resolvent operator which is of interest here. Define an operator  $S$  to be in  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  when

$$\exists K > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq K(1 + d(\lambda))^{-\delta}$$

whenever  $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$ ; here  $d(\lambda)$  is the distance from  $\lambda$  to  $\sigma(S)$ .

Just as in the Remark following Theorem 3, we note that the inequality in the defining property for  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  need only be assumed to hold for all  $\lambda \in U$ ,  $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$ , where  $U$  is some open neighborhood of  $\sigma(S)$ .

We have from Corollary 2 that (II) $\Rightarrow$ (III) and this gives immediately the following result.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *If  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  and  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , then  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .*

Next we verify that the examples of types of operators listed in the Introduction are in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $S$  is an operator with one of the properties (A)–(G), then  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* (A): If  $S$  is Hermitian or Hermitian equivalent, then  $S$  satisfies (II) with  $\delta = 0$  by [2, Theorem 4.7, p. 104] and [2, Definition 4.16, p. 108].

(B): Assume  $W$  has the form  $W = TRS$  as described in (B). Then by [1, Theorem 3.4]  $\exists K > 0$  such that

$$\|\exp(itW)\| \leq K(1 + |t|) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

(C): Assume  $S$  is a well-bounded operator on  $X$ . Let  $[a, b]$  be the given interval in the definition; see [2, Def. 15.1, p. 287] where  $J = [a, b]$ . When  $f(x)$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ , let

$$\|f\| = |f(b)| + \int_a^b |f'(x)| dx$$

as in [2, p. 287]. By [2, Lemma 15.2, p. 287]  $\exists K > 0$  such that

$$\|\exp(itS)\| \leq K \|e^{itx}\| \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Since  $\|e^{itx}\| = 1 + |t|(b - a)$ ,  $S$  satisfies (II).

(D): This is an easy computation. For example, if  $P^2 = P$ , then

$$\exp(itP) = e^{it}P + (I - P).$$

Thus in this case  $\exists K > 0$  such that

$$\|\exp(itP)\| \leq K \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

(E): Assume  $X$  is weakly complete and that  $S$  is a scalar-type spectral operator on  $X$  with  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ . By [2, Theorem 6.13, p. 166]  $\exists M > 0$  such that for each rational function  $g$  with poles outside of  $\sigma(S)$

$$\|g(S)\| \leq M \sup\{|g(z)|: z \in \sigma(S)\}.$$

Fix  $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$ , and let  $g(z) = (\lambda - z)$ . By the inequality above

$$\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq M \sup\{|\lambda - z|^{-1}: z \in \sigma(S)\} = Md(\lambda)^{-1}.$$

Thus  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  in this case.

(F): Assume  $S$  is an algebraic operator with  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ . Then by [5, p. 338]  $S$  has the form

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k E_k + N$$

where  $E_k E_j = \delta_{k,j} E_k$ ,  $1 \leq k, j \leq m$ ,  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , and  $N$  is nilpotent with  $NE_k = E_k N$  for all  $k$ . Now as we have noted,  $E_k \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  for all  $k$  and  $N \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . It follows from Theorem 5 that  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

(G): Let  $S$  be an operator on Hilbert space,  $S \in G_1^{\text{loc}}$ , and with  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ . Since  $S \in G_1^{\text{loc}}$ , there  $\exists U$  an open neighborhood of  $\sigma(S)$

such that  $\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq d(\lambda)^{-1}$  for all  $\lambda \in U$ ,  $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$  [3, Definition 7.3.17, p. 294]. Therefore  $S \in \mathcal{E}(X)$  in this case.

**REMARK.** Assume  $T$  is an invertible operator and  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta \geq 0$  such that

$$\|T^n\| \leq K(1 + |n|^\delta) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Then  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \{\lambda: |\lambda| = 1\}$ . Suppose this inclusion is proper. Then  $\exists S$  an operator such that  $T = e^{iS}$ . Thus, by the inequality for  $\|T^n\|$ ,  $S$  satisfies (I), so  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

**3. Properties of operators in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ .** If  $S$  is a selfadjoint operator on Hilbert space, then for  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}((\lambda - S)^2) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda - S)$ . Thus in this case  $\alpha(\lambda - S)$  is always either 0 or 1. Also, if  $(\lambda - S)$  has closed range and  $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ , then  $\lambda$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(S)$  and a pole of the resolvent operator. Operators in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  have similar properties which we elucidate in the first part of this section. If  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ , then let  $[\delta]$  denote the smallest integer  $n$  with  $\delta \leq n$ .

**THEOREM 8.** Assume  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then  $\exists m \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , such that  $\alpha(\lambda - S) \leq m$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ , and in fact, we may assume that  $\lambda = 0$  (since we may replace  $S$  in the following proof by  $\lambda - S$ ). We prove  $\alpha(S)$  is finite. By Corollary 2  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|(it - S)^{-1}\| \leq K(1 + |t|^{-\delta}) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}, t \neq 0).$$

Let  $m = [\delta] + 1$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (it)^m (it - S)^{-1} = 0.$$

Suppose  $\alpha(S) > m$ . Then we can choose  $x \in X$  and  $\beta \in X'$  such that  $S^{m+1}(x) = 0$ ,  $S^m(x) \neq 0$ , and  $\beta(S^m x) = 1$ . Define a continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on the space of bounded operators by  $\varphi(T) = \beta(Tx)$ . By Theorem 1,

$$(it - S)^{-1} = -i \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} e^{-ixS} dx \quad (t > 0).$$

Then for  $t > 0$

$$\varphi((it - S)^{-1}) = -i \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-ix)^k}{k!} \varphi(S^k) \right) dx.$$



Now  $\varphi(S^k) = \beta(S^k x) = 0$  for  $k > m$ , so for  $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (it)^m \varphi((it - S)^{-1}) &= -(i)^{m+1} t^m \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-i)^k}{k!} \left[ \int_0^\infty x^k e^{-tx} dx \right] \varphi(S^k) \\ &= -(i)^{m+1} t^m \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-i)^k}{k!} \left[ \frac{(k+1)!}{t^{k+1}} \right] \varphi(S^k) \\ &= -(i)^{2m+1} (m+1)t^{-1} + \{\text{terms involving nonnegative powers of } t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(it)^m \varphi((it - S)^{-1}) \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $\alpha(S) \leq m$ .

**THEOREM 9.** *Assume  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . There exists an integer  $m \geq 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\mathcal{R}((\lambda - S)^j)^- = \mathcal{R}((\lambda - S)^m)^- \quad \text{for } j \geq m.$$

*In particular, if  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda - S)$  is closed, then  $\delta(\lambda - S) \leq m$ . In this case if  $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ , then  $\lambda$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(S)$  and a pole of the resolvent operator.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now  $S' \in \mathcal{P}(X')$ , so by Theorem 8  $\exists$  a nonnegative integer  $m$  such that  $\alpha(\lambda - S') \leq m$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{N}((\lambda - S')^j) = \mathcal{N}((\lambda - S')^m)$  for  $j \geq m$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{R}((\lambda - S')^j)^- = \mathcal{R}((\lambda - S')^m)^-$  for  $j \geq m$ .

Now suppose  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda - S)$  is closed. Then  $\mathcal{R}((\lambda - S)^j)$  is closed for all  $j \geq 1$ . Thus by what was proved above  $\mathcal{R}((\lambda - S)^j) = \mathcal{R}((\lambda - S)^m)$  for  $j \geq m$ . This proves  $\delta(\lambda - S) \leq m$ . Assume  $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ . We have that both  $\alpha(\lambda - S)$  and  $\delta(\lambda - S)$  are finite. It follows from this that  $\lambda$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(S)$  and  $\lambda$  is a pole of the resolvent operator; see [5, Theorem 10.2, p. 330].

When  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ , then  $S$  has the strong property that any isolated point in  $\sigma(S)$  is a pole of the resolvent. This is an easy fact which we prove now.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *If  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  and  $\lambda_0$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(S)$ , then  $\lambda_0$  is a pole of the resolvent.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$  with  $\sigma(S) \cap U = \{\lambda_0\}$ . Let

$$\gamma(t) = \lambda_0 + re^{it}, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$

where  $r > 0$  is chosen so that  $\gamma(t) \in U$  for all  $t$ . Since  $S \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ ,  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that for  $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$

$$\|(\lambda - S)^{-1}\| \leq K(1 + d(\lambda)^{-\delta}).$$

Let  $m = [\delta] + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\gamma} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^m (\lambda - S)^{-1} d\lambda \right\| &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} r^m K(1 + r^{-m}) r dt \\ &= 2\pi K(r^{m+1} + r). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $r \rightarrow 0^+$ . This proves  $\lambda_0$  is a pole of the resolvent by [5, pp. 328–329] (in the notation in [5], we have proved  $B_n = 0$  for  $n \geq m + 1$ ).

Now we consider other properties of selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space which hold for operators in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . When  $S$  is selfadjoint, then the closed subalgebra generated by  $S$  and the identity operator can be identified with  $C(\Omega)$ , the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on a compact set  $\Omega$ . The algebra  $C(\Omega)$  is regular in the sense that if  $\Gamma$  is a closed subset of  $\Omega$  and  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$ , then there is a function  $f \in C(\Omega)$  such that  $f(\Gamma) = \{0\}$  and  $f(\omega) \neq 0$ . Now assume  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Denote by  $A[S]$  the closed subalgebra generated by  $S$  and the identity operator. Via standard Gelfand theory, the Banach algebra  $A[S]$  is identified with some subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $C(\Omega)$ . Then  $A[S]$  is regular if whenever  $\Gamma$  is a closed subset of  $\Omega$  and  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$ , then there is a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(\Gamma) = \{0\}$  and  $f(\omega) \neq 0$ . We note below that  $A[S]$  is regular.

**THEOREM 13.** *Assume  $S \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ . Then*

1.  $A[S]$  is regular; and
2. if  $\sigma(S)$  contains more than one point, then  $S$  has a closed proper hyper-invariant subspace.

A proof of Theorem 13 can be constructed along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [1]. We give a brief indication of what is involved in such a proof. The key condition is that  $\exists K > 0$  and  $\exists \delta > 0$  with

$$\|\exp(inS)\| \leq K(1 + |n|^\delta) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let  $\alpha_n = \max(\|\exp(inS)\|, \|\exp(-inS)\|)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and set  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ . The space of complex sequences  $b = \{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  with the property

$$\|b\| = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |b_k| \alpha_k < \infty$$

is a commutative convolution Banach algebra; see [3, pp. 118–120]. Denote this Banach algebra by  $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$ . Now  $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$  is semisimple (being a subalgebra of  $l^1(\mathbf{Z})$ ) and regular by [3, pp. 214–215]. The conclusion that  $\mathcal{W}(\alpha)$  is regular uses the key condition above. Define an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{W}(\alpha) \rightarrow A[S]$  by

$$\varphi(\{b_k\}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k \exp(ikS).$$

We may assume  $\|S\| \leq 1$ , in which case the subalgebra  $\{\varphi(\{a_k\}): \{a_k\} \in \mathcal{W}(\alpha)\}$  strongly separates points of the Gelfand space of  $A[S]$ . This is enough to conclude that the results in Theorem 13 hold by using [1, Theorem 5.1].

After the completion of this paper, the author found a recent paper by T. Pytlik which contains results related to some of the results given in §3: Analytic semigroups in Banach algebras and a theorem of Hille, *Colloq. Math.* **51** (1987), 287–293.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. A. Barnes, *Operators symmetric with respect to a pre-innerproduct*; recently submitted.
- [2] H. R. Dowson, *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators*, Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1978.
- [3] I. Gelfand, D. Raikov, and G. Shilov, *Commutative Normed Rings*, Chelsea, New York, 1964.
- [4] V. I. Istratescu, *Introduction to Linear Operator Theory*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1981.
- [5] D. Lay and A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane-Toronto, 1980.

Received January 8, 1988 and in revised form April 22, 1988.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
EUGENE, OR 97403

