ON THE HEAT EQUATION FOR HARMONIC MAPS FROM NON-COMPACT MANIFOLDS

Guojun G. Liao and Luen-Fai Tam

Harmonic maps are critical points of the energy functional for maps between Riemannian manifolds. In this paper we study the heat equation for harmonic maps from a non-compact manifold M into N. We show that if the target manifold N is compact and has non-positive sectional curvature, and if the initial map has finite total energy, then there exists a solution $u(x, t): M \times [0, \infty) \to N$ and a sequence $t_j \to \infty$, such that $u(\cdot, t_j)$ converges on compact subsets of M to a harmonic from M into N. We also obtain some basic properties of the solution $u(x, t)$. In particular, we prove a uniqueness theorem for the solution and a monotonicity theorem for the energy functional.

Eells and Sampson proved that if (M, g) and (N, g') are compact Riemannian manifolds, (N, g') has non-positive sectional curvature, then any smooth map $h: M \to N$ is homotopic to a smooth harmonic map. They established the existence of a solution $u(x, t): M \times [0, \infty) \to N$, of (1.1) in §1, and showed that there exists $t_j \to \infty$, such that $u(\cdot, t_j)$ converges to a smooth harmonic map from M into N. Schoen and Yau showed that if M is complete non-compact and if $h: M \to N$ has finite energy, then h is homotopic on any compact subsets of M to a harmonic map. Their method is based on Hamilton's results on harmonic maps from a manifold with boundary. By studying the heat equation directly, we recovered the result of Schoen and Yau. We believe the basic properties of solutions of the heat equation established in this paper will be useful in the study of harmonic maps on non-compact manifolds.

1. Existence. Let (M^m, g) and (N^n, g') be complete Riemannian manifolds. M is non-compact. We want to study the initial value problem for the heat flow for harmonic maps. More precisely, we want to study the following system for a map $u: M \times [0, \infty) \to N$, in local coordinates $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^m)$, and $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ on M and N respectively:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_M u^\alpha - \dfrac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial t} = -g^{ij} \dfrac{\partial u^\beta}{\partial x^i} \dfrac{\partial u^\gamma}{\partial x^j} \Gamma'^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}, \\ \qquad\qquad \text{in } M \times (0, \infty), \alpha = 1, \ldots, n; \\ u(x, 0) = h(x), \end{cases}$$

where Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M, $\Gamma'^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ are the

Christoffel symbols on N, $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ and $h \in C^\infty(M, N)$. We use the convention that Latin letters range from 1 to m, and Greek letters range from 1 to n. In this section, we want to prove the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Suppose N is compact without boundary with non-positive curvature. Then (1.1) has a solution for all $h(x)$ with finite total energy.*

Recall that for a map $h: (M, g) \to (N, g')$, the energy density of h is given by

$$(1.2) \qquad e(h) = g^{ij} \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u^\beta}{\partial x^j} g'_{\alpha\beta}$$

where x^i, and u^α, $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le \alpha \le n$, are local coordinates of M and N respectively. The total energy is defined as

$$(1.3) \qquad E(h) = \int_M e(h) \, dV_M.$$

Let $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a compact exhaustion of M satisfying:

  (i) $\Omega_k \subset\subset \Omega_{k+1}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$;
  (ii) $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Omega_k = M$;
  (iii) $\partial\Omega_k$ is smooth, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$;
  (iv) $\text{diam}(\Omega_k) < \text{dist}(\Omega_k, \partial\Omega_{k+1})$.

Hence for $x, y \in \Omega_k$, any minimal geodesic joining x any y must lie inside Ω_{k+1}. In order to apply some results of heat kernels in [C-L-Y], for each k we construct a complete manifold (M_k, g_k) so that

  (i) $\Omega_k \subset M_k$, and in Ω_k, $g_k = g$;
  (ii) the complement of a compact neighborhood of Ω_k in M_k is isometric to $\partial\Omega_k \times [0, \infty)$.

This can be done by considering the exponential map on the normal bundle of $\partial\Omega_k$. Note that the curvature tensor of M_k and its covariant derivatives are uniformly bounded, and the injectivity radius of M_k is also bounded away from 0. The following result is from [C-L-Y]:

LEMMA 1.2. *For any $T > 0$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ depending on M_k and T and another constant $C_2 > 0$ depending only on m, such that if $H_k(x, y, t)$ is the heat kernel of M_k and if $|D_l H_k|$*

denotes the norm of the lth covariant derivatives of $H_k(x, y, t)$, then

$$|D_l H_k|(x, y, t) \leq C_1 t^{-\left(\frac{m+l}{2}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{C_2 r_k^2(x, y)}{t}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in M_k$, and $0 \leq t \leq T$, where r_k is the distance function of M_k.

Let $r(x, y)$ be the distance function of M, then by the choices of Ω_k and the construction of M_k, we have $r(x, y) = r_k(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \Omega_{k-1}$.

Let $h \in C^\infty(M, N)$. By [H], for each k, there exists a unique solution f_k of

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u^\alpha - \dfrac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial t} = -g^{ij} \dfrac{\partial u^\beta}{\partial x^i} \dfrac{\partial u^\gamma}{\partial x^j} \Gamma'^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{in } \Omega_k \times (0, \infty), \alpha = 1, \ldots, n; \\ u(x, 0) = h(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega_k; \text{ and} \\ u(x, t) = h(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_k \times [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1.3. *For any $T > 0$, and for any compact set $K \subset\subset \Omega \subset M$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and an integer $k_0 > 0$ such that if $k \geq k_0$, then $e(f_k)(x, t) \leq C(E(h) + \sup_\Omega e(h))$ for all $(x, t) \in K \times [0, T]$ and $e(f_k)(x, t) \leq CE(h)$ for all $x \in K$, $2T \geq t \geq T$.*

Proof. Obviously, it is sufficient to consider K which is of the form $B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$ such that R is less than the injectivity radius of x, where $B_x(r)$ is the geodesic ball of radius r with center at x. Choose k_0 large enough so that $\overline{B_x(R)} \subset \Omega_k$ for all $k \geq k_0 - 1$. By the computation in [E-S], using the fact that N has non-positive curvature, there exists a constant C_1 independent of k such that for $k \geq k_0$

$$\Delta_M e(f_k) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(f_k) \geq -C_1 e(f_k)$$

on $B_x(R) \times [0, \infty)$.

Let $g_k = e(f_k) \exp(-C_1 t)$. Then g_k satisfies for $k \geq k_0$:

$$(1.5) \qquad \Delta g_k - \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial t} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } B_x(R) \times [0, \infty).$$

Since $R <$ injectivity radius of x, so we can find a smooth function $\eta : M \to [0, 1]$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$, $\eta \equiv 0$ outside $B_x(R)$. Hence $\eta(y) g_k(y, t)$ is smooth on $M \times [0, \infty)$.

Furthermore, the support of $\eta(\cdot)g_k(\cdot, t)$ is contained in $\overline{B_x(R)}$ for all t. Hence $\eta(y)g_k(y, t)$ can be considered as a function on $M_{k_0} \times [0, \infty)$. By the uniqueness theorem of Cauchy problem in [K-L], noting that the volume of M_{k_0} grows linearly, we have for $y \in B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right), 0 \le t < \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.6) \quad g_k(y, t) &= \eta(y)g_k(y, t) \\
&= -\int_0^t d\tau \int_{M_{k_0}} H_{k_0}(y, z, t - \tau) \\
&\quad \times \left(\Delta_{k_0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)(\eta(z)g_k(z, \tau))dV_{M_{k_0}}(z) \\
&\quad + \int_{M_{k_0}} H_{k_0}(y, z, t)\eta(z)g_k(z, 0)\,dV_{M_{k_0}}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where Δ_{k_0} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of M_{k_0}. Since the support of $\eta(y)g_k(y, t)$ is contained in $\overline{B_x(R)} \subset \Omega_{k_0-1}$, it is easy to see by (1.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta_{k_0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)(\eta(z)g_k(z, \tau)) &= \left(\Delta_M - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)(\eta(z)g_k(z, \tau)) \\
&= \eta(z)\left(\Delta_M - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)g_k(z, \tau) + (\Delta_M\eta(z))g_k(z, \tau) \\
&\quad + 2\langle \nabla\eta(z), \nabla g_k(z, \tau)\rangle \\
&\ge (\Delta_M\eta(z))g_k(z, \tau) + 2\langle \nabla\eta(z), \nabla g_k(z, \tau)\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.7) \quad g_k(y, t) &\le -\int_0^t d\tau \int_{B_x(R)} H_{k_0}(y, z, t - \tau) \\
&\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \times (\Delta_M\eta(z))g_k(z, \tau)dV_M(z) \\
&\quad - 2\int_0^t d\tau \int_{B_x(R)} H_{k_0}(y, z, t - \tau) \\
&\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \times \langle \nabla\eta(z), \nabla g_k(z, \tau)\rangle dV_M(z) \\
&\quad + \int_{B_x(R)} H_{k_0}(y, z, t)\eta(z)g_k(z, 0)dV_M(z) \\
&= I + II + III
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that in Ω_{k_0}, the matrices of M and M_{k_0} are the same. Using the same fact, Lemma 1.2 and the fact that $\Delta_M\eta \equiv 0$ on $B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$, for any $T > 0$, there exist C_2, C_3 and $C_4 > 0$

such that if $k \geq k_0$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$, then

$$\mathrm{I} \leq C_2 \int_0^t d\tau \int_{B_x(R)-B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{C_3 R^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right) g_k(z, \tau) dV_M(z)$$

$$\leq C_4 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \int_{B_x(R)-B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)} g_k(z, \tau) dV_M(z).$$

By [H, p. 135], the definition of g_k, we have

$$(1.8) \qquad \mathrm{I} \leq C_4 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \int_{B_x(R)-B_x\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)} e(f_k)(z, \tau) dV_M(z)$$

$$\leq C_4 \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \int_{\Omega_k} e(f_k)(z, \tau) dV_M(z)$$

$$\leq C_4 \int_{\Omega_k} e(h)(z) dV_M(z)$$

$$\leq C_4 E(h).$$

Similarly, integrating by parts in II and use the estimate for the gradient of $H_{k_0}(y, z, t)$ in Lemma 1.2, for any $T > 0$, we can find C_5 such that for $k \geq k_0$,

$$(1.9) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{II} \leq C_5 E(h).$$

Also

$$(1.10) \qquad \mathrm{III} \leq \sup_{B_x(R)} e(h) \int_{M_{k_0}} H_{k_0}(y, z, t) dV_{M_{k_0}}(z) = \sup_{B_x(R)} e(h),$$

and

$$(1.11) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{III} \leq C_6 T^{-\frac{m}{2}} E(h) \quad \text{if } t \geq T.$$

Combining (1.7)–(1.11), the lemma is proved. $\qquad\qquad\qquad \square$

Let us imbed N isometrically in $\mathbf{R}^q$ for some q. This can be done because N is compact. For $\Omega \subset M$, a map $u: \Omega \times [0, T) \to N \subset \mathbf{R}^q$ satisfies (1.1) in $\Omega \times [0, T)$ if and only if

$$(1.12) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta_M u^A - \frac{\partial u^A}{\partial t} = g^{ij} \mathbf{B}_{u(x,t)} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}\right), \\ \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), A = 1, \dots, q; \quad \text{and} \\ u(x, 0) = h(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $u = (u^1, \dots, u^q)$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is the second fundamental form of N in $\mathbf{R}^q$.

Before we state the next lemma, let us introduce the following notations. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbf{R}^m$ and $T_2 > T_1 \geq 0$, $u = u(x, t)$ is a

function defined on $Q_{T_1,T_2} = \Omega \times (T_1, T_2)$. For any positive number l, define

$$|u|^{(l)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \langle u \rangle^{(l)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{[l]} \langle u \rangle^{(j)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}},$$

where $[l] =$ integral part of l, and

$$\langle u \rangle^{(0)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} \equiv |u|^{(0)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \max_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} |u|,$$

$$\langle u \rangle^{(j)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \sum_{2r+s=j} |D_t^r D_x^s u|^{(0)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}},$$

$$\langle u \rangle^{(l)}_{Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \langle u \rangle^{(l)}_{x,Q_{T_1,T_2}} + \langle u \rangle^{(l/2)}_{t,Q_{T_1,T_2}},$$

$$\langle u \rangle^{(l)}_{x,Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \sum_{2r+s=[l]} \langle D_t^r D_x^s u \rangle^{l-[l]}_{x,Q_{T_1,T_2}},$$

$$\langle u \rangle^{l/2}_{t,Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \sum_{0<l-2r-s<2} \langle D_t^r D_x^s u \rangle^{(\frac{l-2r-s}{2})}_{t,Q_{T_1,T_2}},$$

$$\langle u \rangle^{(\alpha)}_{x,Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \sup_{(x,t),(x',t)\in Q_{T_1,T_2}} \frac{|u(x,t)-u(x',t)|}{|x-x'|^\alpha}, \qquad 0<\alpha<1,$$

$$\langle u \rangle^{(\alpha)}_{t,Q_{T_1,T_2}} = \sup_{(x,t),(x,t')\in Q_{T_1,T_2}} \frac{|u(x,t)-u(x,t')|}{|t-t'|^\alpha}, \qquad 0<\alpha<1.$$

LEMMA 1.4. *Let the sequence of maps $f_k: \Omega_k \to N \subset \mathbf{R}^q$ as in Lemma 1.3. Write $f_k = (f_k^1, \ldots, f_k^q)$. Given any compact subdomain K of a coordinate neighborhood of some point with coordinates $(x^1, \ldots, x^m)$, given $T_2 > T_1 > 0$ and given any positive integer l, there exist constants $C > 0$, $1 > \alpha > 0$ and positive integer k_0, such that if $k \geq k_0$ then*

$$|f_k^A|^{l+\alpha}_{K_{T_1,T_2}} \leq C$$

for $A = 1, \ldots, q$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.3, the fact that f_k^A are uniformly bounded, the results of Hölder estimates of the gradients and Schauder estimates of the solutions of parabolic equations. See, for example [L-S-U, p. 210, Theorem 11.1 and p. 352, Theorem 10.1]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let f_k be the sequence of maps as in Lemma 1.3. By Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4, we can find a subsequence of f_k, which

we also denote by f_k, such that f_k together with their first and second derivatives with respect to the space variable, first derivative with respect to the time variable, converge uniformly on compact subsets of $M \times (0, \infty)$ to some f and its derivatives. Obviously f is a solution of the heat flow in (1.1) on $M \times (0, \infty)$. In order to prove that $\lim_{t \to 0} f(x, t) = h(x)$, note that for any $x \in M$, and $T > 0$, by [L-S-U, p. 204] and Lemma 1.3, there exists $1 > \alpha > 0$, $C > 0$ and a positive integer k_0 such that for $k \geq k_0$, $0 < t < T$,

$$|f_k^A(x, t) - f_k^A(x, 0)| \leq Ct^\alpha, \qquad A = 1, \dots, q,$$

where as before N is embedded in $\mathbf{R}^q$. Since $f_k^A(x, 0) = h^A(x)$ is the initial data, therefore if we let $k \to \infty$ we have

$$|f^A(x, t) - h^A(x)| \leq Ct^\alpha, \qquad A = 1, \dots, q, 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Hence f is in fact a solution of (1.1). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is then completed.

2. Properties of solutions of (1.1).

Let us first prove a uniqueness theorem for the solutions of (1.1). We need a maximum principle which is a variant of a theorem in [K-L].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let M be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold such that there exists a point $p \in M$ and a constant $k > 0$ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{Vol}(B_p(r)) \leq \exp(k(1 + r^2))$$

for all $r > 0$. Let f be a function on $M \times [0, T)$, $T > 0$. f is smooth on $M \times (0, T)$ and continuous on $M \times [0, T)$. Suppose f satisfies the following conditions:

   (a) $(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})f \geq 0$ *on $M \times (0, T)$;*

   (b) $f(x, 0) \leq 0$ *for all $x \in M$; and*

   (c) $\int_0^T (\int_M \exp(-\alpha r^2(p, y))|\nabla f|^2(y) dV_M(y)) dt < \infty$, *for some $\alpha > 0$.*

Then $f \leq 0$ on $M \times [0, T)$.

Proof. Let $0 < \eta < \min(T, \frac{1}{8\alpha}, \frac{1}{16k})$ be a fixed constant. Define

$$g(y, s) = -\frac{r^2(p, y)}{4(2\eta - s)},$$

where $r(p, y)$ is the distance between p and y, and $0 < s < \eta$. It is easy to check

(2.1) $|\nabla g|^2 + \dfrac{\partial g}{\partial s} \equiv 0$ on $M \times (0, \eta)$.

For $K > 0$, let $f_K = \max\{\min(f, K), 0\}$. Hence

$$(2.2) \qquad f_K(x, t) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } f(x, t) \geq K, \\ f(x, t), & \text{if } 0 < f(x, t) < K, \\ 0, & \text{if } f(x, t) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

f_K is uniformly Lipschitz on any compact subset of $M \times (0, T)$. For $0 < t < T$, let

$$M_t = \{x \in M \mid f(x, t) > 0\}.$$

For any smooth function φ on M with compact support, by assumption (a), for $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$,

$$\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K \left(\Delta f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) dV_M \right) ds \geq 0,$$

where we have used the fact that $f_K \geq 0$. Hence

$$(2.3) \qquad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq \ & -\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g \langle \nabla f_K, \nabla f \rangle \, dV_M \right) ds \\ & -\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K \langle \nabla g, \nabla f \rangle \, dV_M \right) ds \\ & -2\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi e^g f_K \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle \, dV_M \right) ds \\ & -\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \, dV_M \right) ds \\ = \ & \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III} + \mathrm{IV}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \qquad \mathrm{I} = -\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_{M_s} \varphi^2 e^g |\nabla f_K|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds.$$

$$(2.5) \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{II} \leq \ & \frac{1}{2}\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g |\nabla f|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g |\nabla g|^2 f_K^2 \, dV_M \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{III} \leq \ & \frac{1}{2}\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_{M_s} \varphi^2 e^g |\nabla f|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds \\ & + 2\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M e^g f_K^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate IV, note that

$$(2.7) \quad e^g f_K \left(\frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \{ e^g f_K (f_K - f) \}$$

$$- e^g \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} f_K (f_K - f) - e^g \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s} (f_K - f).$$

From (2.2), we see that

$$\frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s} (f_K - f) = 0$$

whenever $\frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s}$ exists.

By (2.1) and (2.2) we also have

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} f_K (f_K - f) \geq 0.$$

Hence (2.7) gives

$$(2.8) \qquad -e^g f_K \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} \leq -e^g f_K \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \{ e^g f_K (f_K - f) \},$$

whenever $\frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s}$ exists.

Since f_K is uniformly Lipschitz on compact subsets of $M \times (0, T)$, therefore

$$(2.9) \quad \text{IV} \leq -\int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial s} \, dV_M \right) ds$$

$$+ \int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \{ e^g f_K (f_K - f) \} \, dV_M \right) ds$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\varepsilon}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_\varepsilon^\eta \left(\int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} \, dV_M \right) ds$$

$$+ \int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K (f_K - f) \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\eta}$$

$$- \int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K (f_K - f) \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\varphi}.$$

Combining (2.1), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.9), and letting

$\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \le &-\int_0^\eta \left(\int_{M_s} \varphi^2 e^g |\nabla f_K|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds \\
&+ \int_0^\eta \left(\int_{M_s} \varphi^2 e^g |\nabla f|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds \\
&+ 2 \int_0^\eta \left(\int_M e^g f_K^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\eta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $f_K(f_K - f) \le 0$ and that $f_K \equiv 0$ at $s = 0$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^2 e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\eta} \\
\le \int_0^\eta \left(\int_{M_s} \varphi^2 e^g (|\nabla f|^2 - |\nabla f_K|^2) \, dV_M \right) ds \\
+ 2 \int_0^\eta \left(\int_M e^g f_K^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dV_M \right) ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $R > 0$, let φ be such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$; $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_p(R)$; $\varphi \equiv 0$ outside $B_p(R+1)$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \le 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_p(R)} e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M \bigg|_{s=\eta} \\
\le \int_0^\eta \left(\int_{B_p(R+1) \cap M_s} e^g (|\nabla f|^2 - |\nabla f_K|^2) \, dV_M \right) ds \\
+ 8 \int_0^\eta \left(\int_{B_p(R+1) - B_p(R)} e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M \right) ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 < \eta < \min(\frac{1}{16k}, \frac{1}{8\alpha})$, so $g(y,s) \le -2kr^2(p,y)$ and $g(y,s) \le -\alpha r^2(p,y)$ for all $0 < s < \eta$. Also $f_K^2 \le K^2$. By the assumption on the volume growth of M, it is easy to see that the second term on the right side of (2.11) tends to zero as $R \to \infty$. Since $0 \le |\nabla f|^2 - |\nabla f_K|^2 \le |\nabla f|^2$, by assumption (c) if we let $R \to \infty$ in (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_M e^g f_K^2 \, dV_M(y) \bigg|_{s=\eta} \\
\le \int_0^\eta \left(\int_{M_s} e^g (|\nabla f|^2 - |\nabla f_K|^2) \, dV_M(y) \right) ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since f_K^2 approaches $(f^+)^2$ as $K \to \infty$, where $f^+ = \max(f, 0)$, and for all s, $|\nabla f_K|^2 \to |\nabla f|^2$ on M_s, by (c) again, if we let $K \to \infty$ in (2.12), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_M e^g (f^+)^2 \, dV_M(y) \bigg|_{s=\eta} \leq 0.$$

Hence $f^+ \equiv 0$ at $t = \eta$. Since η is any number satisfying $0 < \eta < \min(T, \frac{1}{8\alpha}, \frac{1}{16k})$, it is easy to conclude inductively that $f^+ \equiv 0$ on $M \times (0, T)$. Hence $f \leq 0$ on $M \times [0, T)$. □

REMARK 2.2. M will satisfy the volume growth condition in the lemma, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the Ricci curvature at every point $x \in M$ satisfies $\mathrm{Ric}(x) \geq -C(1 + r^2(p, x))$, see [K-L].

THEOREM 2.3. *Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold satisfying the volume growth condition in Lemma 2.1. Let N be a complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive curvature. Suppose u_1 and u_2 are two maps from $M \times [0, T)$ to N satisfying (1.1) with the same initial condition. Suppose there exists a point $p \in M$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_M \exp(-\alpha r^2) e(u_i) \, dV_M \right) ds < \infty$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where $r = r(p, y)$. Then $u_1 \equiv u_2$ on $M \times [0, T)$.

Proof. For any $0 < t < T$ and any $x \in M$, let γ be the geodesic joining $u_1(x, t)$ and $u_2(x, t)$ which is homotopic to $f: [0, 2t] \to N$, where

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} u_1(x, t - \tau), & 0 \leq \tau \leq t, \\ u_2(x, \tau - t), & t < \tau \leq 2t. \end{cases}$$

Since N is non-positively curved, γ is unique. Let $\rho(x, t)$ be the length of γ, then ρ^2 is smooth on $M \times (0, T)$. We should remark tht the function ρ may not be bounded even if N is compact.

Let $\psi = (\rho^2 + 1)^{1/2} - 1 \geq 0$, then by [S-Y2, p. 369],

$$|\nabla \psi|^2 \leq 2(e(u_1) + e(u_2)).$$

Hence

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_M \exp(-\alpha r^2) |\nabla \psi|^2 \, dV_M \right) dt < \infty$$

by the assumption on u_1 and u_2. Since u_1 and u_2 satisfy (1.1), as in [S-Y2, pp. 368–369], one can obtain

$$\left(\Delta_M - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \psi \geq 0 \quad \text{on } M \times (0, T).$$

Note that $\psi(x, 0) \equiv 0$ on M. Hence by Lemma 2.1, we have $\psi \equiv 0$ on $M \times [0, T)$. That is, $\rho \equiv 0$, and $u_1 \equiv u_2$ on $M \times [0, T)$. □

Next we study the monotonicty of total energy. Let M^m and N^n be complete Riemannian mainifolds, M is non-compact. Let $u : M \times (0, T) \to N$ be a smooth map satisfying the heat equation (1.1) for harmonic maps. Let $p \in M$ be a fixed point. For $0 < t < T$ and $R > 0$, let $E(t, R) = \int_{B_p(R)} e(u(\cdot, t)) \, dV_M$, and $\overline{E}(t, R) = \sup_{0 < \tau < t} E(\tau, R)$. Also $E(t) = \int_M e(u(\cdot, t)) \, dV_M$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that $\overline{E}(T, R) \leq \exp(k(1+R))$ for all $R > 0$. Then $E(t)$ is a non-increasing function in t. More precisely, for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$, if $E(t_1) < \infty$, then*

$$E(t_2) + 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_M |u_t|^2 \, d_M \leq E(t_1) < \infty.$$

REMARK 2.5. The condition of the theorem will be satisfied if (1) $\sup_{0 < t < T} E(t) < \infty$ or (2) M has at most exponential volume growth and $\sup_{0 < t < T; x \in B_p(R)} e(u(x, t))$ is less than or equal to $\exp(C(1+R))$ for some $C > 0$. Note tht if the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below by $-K$, then M has at most exponential volume growth.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. It is more convienent to use moving frame. Let $f : M \to N$ be a smooth map, and let $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$ be an orthonormal coframe in a neighborhood of some point $q \in M$. Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ be an orthonormal coframe in a neighborhood of $f(q)$. We have the structure equations for M and N

$$d\theta_i = \sum_j \theta_{ij} \wedge \theta_j, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

and

$$d\omega_\alpha = \sum_\beta \omega_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \omega_\beta, \qquad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n.$$

Define f_i^α and f_{ij}^α, $1 \leq i, j \leq m, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, by

$$f^*(\omega_\alpha) = \sum_i f_i^\alpha \theta_i,$$

$$df_i^\alpha + \sum_\beta f_i^\beta f^*(\omega_{\beta\alpha}) + \sum_j f_i^\alpha \theta_{ji} = \sum_j f_{ij}^\alpha \theta_j.$$

In our case $u(x, t)$ is a map from $M \times (0, T)$ to N. Let dt be the unit covector in the t direction, then u_t^α is defined by

$$u^*(\omega_\alpha) = \sum_i u_i^\alpha \theta_i + u_t^\alpha dt, \qquad 1 \le \alpha \le n.$$

Then

$$(2.13) \qquad e(u(\cdot, t)) = \sum_{i, \alpha} (u_i^\alpha)^2 (\cdot, t), \quad 1 \le i \le m, \ 1 \le \alpha \le n.$$

Also we have

$$(2.14) \qquad \sum_i u_{ii}^\alpha - u_t^\alpha = 0, \qquad 1 \le \alpha \le n.$$

Let φ be a smooth funciton on M with compact support. By (2.13) and (2.14), for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_M e(u(\cdot, t)) \varphi^2 \, dV_M$$

$$= 2 \int_M \left(\sum_{i, \alpha} u_i^\alpha u_{it}^\alpha \right) \varphi^2 \, dV_M = 2 \int_M \left(\sum_{i, \alpha} u_i^\alpha u_{ti}^\alpha \right) \varphi^2 \, dV_M$$

$$= -2 \int_M \left(\sum_{i, \alpha} u_{ii}^\alpha u_t^\alpha \right) \varphi^2 \, dV_M - 4 \int_M \sum_{i, \alpha} (u_i^\alpha u_t^\alpha \varphi_i \varphi) \, dV_M$$

$$\le -2 \int_M \left(\sum_\alpha (u_t^\alpha)^2 \right) \varphi^2 \, dV_M + \varepsilon \int_M \left(\sum_\alpha (u_t^\alpha)^2 \right) \varphi^2 \, dV_M$$

$$+ C \int_M e(u(\cdot, t)) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dV_M$$

$$= C \int_M e(u(\cdot, t)) |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dV_M$$

$$+ (-2 + \varepsilon) \int_M \left(\sum_\alpha (u_t^\alpha)^2 \right) \varphi^2 \, dV_M,$$

where C is a constant depending only on m and ε. Without loss of generality, we may assume u is smooth on $M \times [0, T)$ and show that $E(t) + 2 \int_0^t d\tau \int_M \sum_\alpha (u_\tau^\alpha)^2 \, dV_M \le E(0)$ for all $T > t > 0$. Hence, let

us assume $E(0) < \infty$. Integrate (2.15) from 0 to t,

$$(2.16) \qquad \int_M e(u(\cdot, t))\varphi^2 \, dV_M - \int_M e(u(\cdot, 0))\varphi^2 \, dV_M$$

$$\leq C \int_0^t d\tau \int_M e(u(\cdot, \tau))|\nabla\varphi|^2 \, dV_M$$

$$+ (-2 + \varepsilon) \int_0^t d\tau \int_M \sum_\alpha (u_\tau^\alpha)^2 \varphi^2 \, dV_M.$$

For $R > 0$, and for any positive integer j, let φ be such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_p(jR)$, $\varphi \equiv 0$ outside $B_p((j+1)R)$ and $|\nabla\varphi| \leq \frac{2}{R}$. By (2.16) we have

$$(2.17) \quad E(t, jR) + (2 - \varepsilon) \int_0^t d\tau \int_{B_p(jR)} \left(\sum_\alpha (u_\tau^\alpha)^2\right) dV_M$$

$$\leq E(0, (j+1)R) + \frac{4C}{R^2} \int_0^t E(\tau, (j+1)R) \, d\tau$$

$$\leq E(0, (j+1)R) + \frac{4C}{R^2} \int_0^t E(\tau, (j+1)R) \, d\tau.$$

We claim that for any integer $\nu > 0$,

$$(2.18) \qquad E(t, R) \leq E(0, (\nu + 1)R) \sum_{j=1}^\nu \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{4Ct}{R^2}\right)^{j-1}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{4C}{R^2}\right)^\nu \int_0^t ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots$$

$$\int_0^{s_{\nu-1}} E(s_\nu, (\nu+1)R) \, ds_\nu.$$

By (2.17), (2.18) is obviously true for $\nu = 1$. Suppose (2.18) is true for ν. By (2.17)

$$E(s_\nu, (\nu+1)R) \leq E(0, (\nu+2)R)$$

$$+ \frac{4C}{R^2} \int_0^{s_\nu} E(s_{\nu+1}, (\nu+2)R) \, ds_{\nu+1}.$$

Hence

$$E(t, R) \le E(0, (\nu + 1)R) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{4Ct}{R^2}\right)^{j-1}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{4C}{R^2}\right)^{\nu} \int_0^t ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots$$

$$\int_0^{s_{\nu-1}} \left\{ E(0, (\nu + 2)R) \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{4C}{R^2} \int_0^{s_\nu} E(s_{\nu+1}, (\nu + 2)R) \, ds_{\nu+1} \right\} ds_\nu$$

$$\le E(0, (\nu + 2)R) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{4Ct}{R^2}\right)^{j-1}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{4C}{R^2}\right)^{\nu+1} \int_0^t ds_1 \cdots \int_0^{s_\nu} E(s_{\nu+1}, (\nu + 2)R) \, ds_{\nu+1}.$$

Hence (2.18) is true for all ν. Replace R by $2R$ in (2.18) and let $j = 1$ in (2.17), we have

$$(2.19) \quad E(t, R) + (2 - \varepsilon) \int_0^t d\tau \int_{B_\rho(R)} \left(\sum_\alpha (u_\tau^\alpha)^2\right) dV_M$$

$$\le E(0, 2(\nu + 1)R) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{Ct}{R^2}\right)^{j-1}$$

$$+ \overline{E}(t, 2(\nu + 1)R) \left(\frac{C}{R^2}\right)^{\nu} \int_0^t ds_1 \cdots \int_0^{s_{\nu-1}} ds_\nu$$

$$\le E(0) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{Ct}{R^2}\right)^{j-1} + \overline{E}(t, 2(\nu + 1)R) \left(\frac{C}{R^2}\right)^{\nu} \cdot \frac{t^\nu}{\nu!}$$

$$\le E(0) \exp\left(\frac{Ct}{R^2}\right) + \exp(k(1 + 2(\nu + 1)R)) \cdot \left(\frac{Ct}{R^2}\right)^{\nu} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu!}.$$

For $0 < t < T$ and R fixed, if we let $\nu \to \infty$ in (2.19), by the Stirling's formula $\nu! \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \nu^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\nu}$ as $\nu \to \infty$, we conclude that

$$E(t, R) + (2 - \varepsilon) \int_0^t d\tau \int_{B_\rho(R)} \left(\sum_\alpha (u_\tau^\alpha)^2\right) dV_M \le E(0) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{Ct}{R^2}\right).$$

Let $R \to \infty$, and then let $\varepsilon \to \infty$, the theorem is then proved. $\square$

COROLLARY 2.6. *With the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1, let u be the solution constructed in the theorem. Then $E(u(\cdot, t)) \le E(h)$ for all $t > 0$, and $E(u(\cdot, t))$ is non-increasing in t.*

Proof. By the construction of u and the result in [H, p. 135], we have $E(u(\cdot, t)) \leq E(h) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$. By Theorem 2.4, we can conclude that $E(u(\cdot, t))$ is non-increasing in t.   □

THEOREM 2.7. *With the same assumptions as in Theorem* 1.1 *and letting* u *be the solution of* (1.1) *obtained in Theorem* 1.1. *There exists* $t_j \to \infty$ *with* $t_{j+1} > t_j + 2$ *such that* $u(\cdot, t_j) \colon M \to N$ *converge together with their first and second derivatives in the space variable uniformly on compact subsets of* M *to a harmonic map* u_∞.

Proof. Using Corollary 2.6, as in Lemma 1.3 one can prove that for any $R > 0$ there exists a constant C which is independent of t such that $e(u)(x, t) \leq C$ for all $x \in B_p(R)$ and for all t. As in Lemma 1.4, one can show that there exists $t_j \to \infty$ such that the sequence of maps $v_j(x, t) = u(x, t_j + t)$ from $M \times [0, 1]$ to N converge together with their first and second derivatives in the space variable and the first derivative of the time variable uniformly on $B_p(R) \times [0, 1]$ for any $R > 0$. By Theorem 2.4 and the fact that u is a solution of (1.1), the result follows.   □

REMARK 2.8. u_∞ in the above theorem is homotopic to h on compact subsets.

REFERENCES

[C-L-Y]   S. Y. Cheng, P. Li and S. -T. Yau, *On the upper estimates of the heat kernel of a complete Riemannian manifold*, Amer. J. Math., **103** (1981), 1021–1063.

[E-S]   J. Eells and J. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **86** (1964), 109–160.

[H]   R. Hamilton, *Harmonic Maps of Manifolds with Boundary*, Lecture Notes 471, Springer, 1975.

[Ht]   P. Hartman, *On homotopic harmonic maps*, Canad. J. Math., **19** (1967), 673–687.

[K-L]   K. Karp and P. Li, *The heat equation on complete Riemannian manifolds*, preprint.

[L-S-U]   O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Math. Monographs 23, Providence, 1968.

[S-Y1]   R. Schoen and S. -T. Yau, *Harmonic maps and the topology of stable hypersurfaces and mamifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature*, Comment. Math. Helvetici, **39** (1976), 333–341.

[S-Y2]   R. Schoen and S. -T. Yau, *Compact group actions and the topology of man-ifolds with nonpositive curvature*, Topology, **18** (1979), 361–380.

[Tr]   A. Treibergs, *Lecture on Eells-Sampson theorem on parabolic deformation of maps to harmonic maps*, Univ. of Utah Notes (1987).

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
ARLINGTON, TX 76019

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
IRVINE, CA 92717