

# $L^n$ SOLUTIONS OF THE STATIONARY AND NONSTATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN $R^n$

ZHI-MIN CHEN

**It is shown that the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space  $R^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) admit a unique small stationary solution which may be formed as a limit of a nonstationary solution as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in  $L^n$ -norms.**

**0. Introduction.** As is well known, the existence of solutions to the exterior stationary Navier-Stokes equations was studied by Finn [2, 3], and small solutions from Finn [2, 3] may be formed as limits of nonstationary solutions as time  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in local or global  $L^2$ -norms (cf. Heywood [9, 10], Galdi and Rionero [6], Miyakawa and Sohr [16], Borchers and Miyakawa [1]) and in the norms of other function spaces (cf. Heywood [11], Musuda [14]). However, it is still unknown even in the case of whole spaces whether or not

$$(0.1) \quad \|v(t) - w\|_n + t^{1/2} \|Dv(t) - Dw\|_n + t^{1/2} \|v(t) - w\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

provided that  $w$  and  $v$  are, respectively, the solutions to the stationary Navier-Stokes equations

$$(0.2) \quad -\Delta w + (w \cdot D)w + d\bar{p} = f, \quad D \cdot w = 0 \quad \text{in } R^n$$

and the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations

$$(0.3) \quad v_t - \Delta v + (v \cdot D)v + D\bar{p} = f, \quad D \cdot v = 0 \quad \text{in } R^n \times (0, \infty),$$

$$v(0) = v_0 \quad \text{in } R^n.$$

Here and in what follows,  $n \geq 3$  denotes the space dimension,  $\bar{p}$  and  $\bar{\bar{p}}$  represent the pressures associated with  $w$  and  $v$ , respectively,  $D$  = the gradient,  $f = f(x)$  is a prescribed function, the dot  $\cdot$  denotes the scalar product in  $R^n$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_r$  denotes the norm of the Lebesgue space  $L^r = L^r(R^n; R^n)$ .

The purpose of the paper is to show that (0.2) and (0.3) admit small regular solutions  $w$  and  $v(t)$  in  $L^n$ , respectively, such that (0.1) is valid. The problem above is, as usual, said to be a stability problem

for  $w$ , which has been studied by Kozono and Ozawa [13] in the case of bounded domains. From our view point, the global existence results of Kato [12] may be regarded as the stability theorems around the rest flow  $w \equiv 0$ .

In this paper we shall use the following spaces.

- $C_0^\infty$  = the set of compactly supported solenoidal  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,
- $J^r$  = the completion of  $C_0^\infty$  in  $L^r$  for  $1 < r < \infty$ ,
- $W^{k,r}$  = the Sobolev space  $W^{k,r}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < r < \infty$  and  $k = 1, 2$ ,
- $\widehat{W}^{1,r} = \{u \in L^{nr/(n-r)}; Du \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n^2})\}$  for  $1 < r < n$ ,
- $\widehat{W}^{2,r} = \{u \in W^{1, nr/(n-r)}; D^2u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n^3})\}$  for  $1 < r < n/2$ ,

where  $D^2 =$  the Hessian matrix  $[D_i D_j]_{n \times n}$  with  $D_k = \partial/\partial x_k$ . Moreover, we denote by  $P$  the linear bounded projection from  $L^r$  onto  $J^r$  for  $1 < r < \infty$  (cf. [15] for details), by  $A$  the Stokes operator  $-PA$  associated with the domain  $W^{2,r} \cap J^r$  for  $1 < r < \infty$ , by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the duality pairing between  $L^r$  and  $(L^r)^*$  for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , and we set

$$\|u\|_{-1,r} = \sup\{|(u, v)|; v \in C_0^\infty, \|Dv\|_{r/(r-1)} = 1\} \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty.$$

Our main results read as follows.

**THEOREM 0.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$  there is a small  $0 < d < 1$  such that (0.1) admits a unique solution*

$$w \in J^n \cap \widehat{W}^{1, 2n/3} \cap \widehat{W}^{1, 2n/5} \quad \text{with } \|Dw\|_{n/2} \leq d$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dw\|_{n/2} + \|w\|_n &\leq C\|f\|_{-1, n/2}, \\ \|Dw\|_{2n/5} + \|Dw\|_{2n/3} + \|w\|_{2n} + \|w\|_{2n/3} \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{-1, 2n/5} + \|f\|_{-1, 2n/3}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $C$  independent of  $f$  and  $w$ , provided that

$$f \in C_0^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{-1, n/2} \leq d^2.$$

**THEOREM 0.2.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $f \in C_0^\infty$ ,  $v_0 \in J^n$ , and let  $\|v_0\|_n$  and  $\|f\|_{-1, 2n/5} + \|f\|_{-1, 2n/3}$  be sufficiently small. Then (0.3) admits a unique solution*

$$v \in BC([0, \infty); J^n) \quad \text{and} \quad t^{1/2}D(v(t) - w) \in BC([0, \infty); L^n(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n^2}))$$

such that (0.1) is valid, where  $w$  is the solution of (0.2) from Theorem 0.1 and  $BC$  denotes the class of bounded and continuous functions.

Since there is no boundary to worry about in the whole space, our proof largely depends on the fact that  $P$  commutes with  $D$ , and also based on the theory of analytic semigroups in various  $L^r$  spaces. Such an approach is developed from Fujita and Kato [5] and Kato [12].

In §1 we prove Theorem 0.1. In §2 we obtain resolvent estimates for the perturbed operator  $Au + P(u \cdot D)w + P(w \cdot D)u$  and therefore deduce decay estimates for the analytic semigroups generated by the perturbed operator. Theorem 0.2 is proved in §3.

**1. Proof of Theorem 0.1.** From the Sobolev inequality

$$(1.1) \quad C^{-1} \|u\|_{nr/(n-2r)} \leq \|Du\|_{nr/(n-r)} \leq C \|D^2u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < n/2,$$

the Calderon-Zygmund inequality (cf. [7])

$$\|D^2u\|_r \leq C \|\Delta u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty,$$

the density of  $\{Au; u \in C_0^\infty\}$  in  $J^r$  for  $1 < r < n/2$ , and the fact that  $P$  commutes with  $\Delta$ , it follows that the Stokes operator  $A$  can be extended to a bounded and invertible operator from  $J^{nr/(n-2r)} \cap \widehat{W}^{2,r}$  onto  $J^r$  for  $1 < r < n/2$ . Consequently, we set the operator

$$T: J^n \cap \widehat{W}^{1,2n/5} \cap \widehat{W}^{1,2n/3} \rightarrow \widehat{W}^{2,r} \quad \text{for } n/3 < r < n/2$$

such that

$$Tw = T_f w = A^{-1}(f - P(w \cdot D)w).$$

It is easy to see that to seek solutions of (0.2) means to seek fixed points of  $T$ .

Let  $2n/5 \leq r \leq 2n/3$ ,  $w \in J^n \cap \widehat{W}^{1,2n/5} \cap \widehat{W}^{1,2n/3}$ ,  $v \in C_0^\infty$ . Then by the divergence condition  $D \cdot w = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (DTw, Dv) &= (f, v) - ((w \cdot D)w, v) \\ &= (f, v) + (w, (w \cdot D)v) \\ &\leq (f, v) + \|w\|_n \|w\|_{nr/(n-r)} \|Dv\|_{r/(r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the inequality (cf. [17, 18])

$$\|DTw\|_r \leq C \sup\{|(DTw, Dv)|; v \in C_0^\infty, \|Dv\|_{r/(r-1)} = 1\}$$

with  $C = C(n)$ , we have, by (1.1),

$$\|DTw\|_r \leq C(n)(\|f\|_{-1,r} + \|Dw\|_{n/2} \|Dw\|_r),$$

and, similarly, for  $u, w \in J^n \cap \widehat{W}^{1, 2n/5} \cap \widehat{W}^{1, 2n/3}$

$$\|DTw - DTu\|_r \leq C(n)(\|Dw\|_{n/2} + \|Du\|_{n/2})\|Dw - Du\|_r.$$

Consequently, there is a small positive  $d$  such that  $T$  is a contraction mapping from the complete metric space

$$\{w \in J^n \cap \widehat{W}^{1, 2n/5} \cap \widehat{W}^{1, 2n/3}; \|Dw\|_{n/2} \leq d\}$$

into itself provided that  $f \in C_0^\infty$  with  $\|f\|_{-1, n/2} < d^2$ . We thus obtain the desired assertion by making use of the contraction mapping principle and (1.1). The proof is complete.

**2.  $L^p - L^q$  estimates.** In the remainder of the paper we denote by  $w$  the solution of (0.2) given in Theorem 0.1, and by  $C$  the various constants which are always independent of the quantities  $u, v, w, f, a, t,$  and  $z$ . Moreover we set

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}; -3\pi/4 < \arg z < 3\pi/4\}, \\ Lu &= Au + Bu; \quad Bu = P(u \cdot D)w + P(w \cdot D)u, \\ L^*u &= Au + B^*u; \quad B^*u = -P(w \cdot D)u + \sum_{i=1}^n Pu^i Dw^i \end{aligned}$$

for  $u = (u^1, \dots, u^n)$  and  $w = (w^1, \dots, w^n)$ .

In arriving at  $L^p - L^q$  estimates, we begin with the resolvent estimates for  $L$  and  $L^*$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $z \in S$  and  $u \in C_0^\infty$ . Then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad |z| \|(L + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty,$$

$$(2.2) \quad |z| \|(L^* + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty,$$

$$(2.3) \quad |z|^{1/2} \|D(L + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < n,$$

$$(2.4) \quad |z|^{1/2} \|D(L^* + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty,$$

provided that  $\|Dw\|_{n/2}$  is sufficiently small;

$$(2.5) \quad |z|^{3/4} \|(L + z)^{-1}u\|_\infty \leq C\|u\|_{2n},$$

$$(2.6) \quad |z|^{1/2} \|D(L + z)^{-1}u\|_n \leq C(\|u\|_n + |z|^{-1/4} \|u\|_{2n}),$$

provided that  $\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2} \|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}$  is sufficiently small.

*Proof.* Let us recall the well-known resolvent estimates for the Stokes operator (cf. [15])

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |z| \|(A + z)^{-1}u\|_r + |z|^{1/2} \|D(A + z)^{-1}u\|_r \\ + \|D^2(A + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r \end{aligned}$$

for  $z \in S$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$  and  $u \in J^r$ , and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (cf. [4])

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_q \leq C \|u\|_r^{1-h} \|Du\|_p^h$$

for  $1 < r, p \leq q \leq \infty, 0 \leq h < 1, -n/q = h(1 - n/p) - (1 - h)n/r, u \in C_0^\infty$ . Let us suppose  $z \in S$  and  $u \in J^r \cap W^{1,r}$  for  $1 < r < \infty$ .

*Step 1.* We prove (2.1) and (2.2). From (2.7), (1.1), the Hölder inequality and the boundedness of  $P$  in  $L^r$ -spaces it follows that for  $1 < r < n/2, p = nr/(n - r)$  and  $q = nr/(n - 2r)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(A + z)^{-1}u\|_r &\leq C \|w\|_n \|D(A + z)^{-1}u\|_p \\ &\quad + C \|Dw\|_{n/2} \|(A + z)^{-1}u\|_q \\ &\leq C \|Dw\|_{n/2} \|D^2(A + z)^{-1}u\|_r \\ &\leq C \|Dw\|_{n/2} \|u\|_r \\ &\leq (1/2) \|u\|_r, \quad \text{by setting } C \|Dw\|_{n/2} < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

This is together with (2.7) and the identity

$$L + z = (1 + B(A + z)^{-1})(A + z)$$

implies

$$|z| \|(L + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C \|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < n/2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$|z| \|(L^* + z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C \|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < n/2.$$

This yields for  $n < r < \infty, v \in L^{r'}$  with  $r' = r/(r - 1)$ ,

$$((L + z)^{-1}u, v) = (u, (L^* + z)^{-1}Pv) \leq C |z|^{-1} \|u\|_r \|v\|_{r'}$$

and hence the validity of (2.1) with  $n < r < \infty$ . Thus (2.1) with  $n/2 \leq r \leq n$  follows immediately from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (cf. [7]). (2.2) is verified in the same way.

*Step 2.* We prove (2.3). Observing that  $1 < r < n$  and applying the condition  $D \cdot u = D \cdot w = 0$  and the fact that  $D$  commutes with  $P$  yields

$$(2.9) \quad (A + z)^{-1}Bu = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i (A + z)^{-1}P(u^i w + w^i u),$$

we have, by (2.7) and (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + z)^{-1}Bu\|_r &\leq C |z|^{-1/2} \|w\|_n \|u\|_{nr/(n-r)} \\ &\leq C \|Dw\|_{n/2} \|Du\|_r |z|^{-1/2} \\ &\leq 2^{-1} |z|^{-1/2} \|Du\|_r, \quad \text{by setting } C \|Dw\|_{n/2} < 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_r &\leq C\|w\|_n\|u\|_{nr/(n-r)} \\ &\leq C\|Dw\|_{n/2}\|Du\|_r \\ &\leq (1/2)\|Du\|_r, \quad \text{by setting } C\|Dw\|_{n/2} < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|((A+z)^{-1}B)^k u\|_r \leq 2^{-k}|z|^{-1/2}\|Du\|_r, \quad \text{for integer } k > 0,$$

and so

$$D(L+z)^{-1}u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D((A+z)^{-1}B)^k (A+z)^{-1}u \quad \text{in } L^r.$$

Applying (2.10) to the preceding identity repeatedly and using (2.7), we have

$$\|D(L+z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq 2\|D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_r \leq C|z|^{-1/2}\|u\|_r$$

as required.

*Step 3.* We prove (2.4). Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} (D_i(L^*+z)^{-1}u, v) &= -(u, (L+z)^{-1}D_iPv) \\ &\leq \|u\|_r\|(L+z)^{-1}D_iPv\|_{r'} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $1 < r < \infty$ ,  $r' = r/(r-1)$  and  $v \in W^{1,r'}$ , we need only to show the estimate

$$(2.12) \quad \|(L+z)^{-1}Du\|_r \leq C|z|^{-1/2}\|u\|_r, \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty.$$

Indeed, taking (2.9), (1.1) and (2.7) into account, we have for  $n \leq r < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_r &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \|DD_i(A+z)^{-1}P(uw^i + wu^i)\|_{nr/(n+r)} \\ &\leq C\|Dw\|_{n/2}\|u\|_r \leq (1/2)\|u\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $C\|Dw\|_{n/2} < 1/2$ , and hence for  $n \leq r < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L+z)^{-1}Du\|_r &= \|(1 + (A+z)^{-1}B)^{-1}D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_r \\ &\leq 2\|D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_r \\ &\leq C|z|^{-1/2}\|u\|_r \end{aligned}$$

which arrives at (2.12) for  $n \leq r < \infty$ . Moreover (2.12) with  $1 < r < n$  is verified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L+z)^{-1}Du\|_r &= \|(1+(A+z)^{-1}B)^{-1}D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_r \\ &\leq \|D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_r + |z|^{-1/2}\|D^2(A+z)^{-1}u\|_r \\ &\leq C|z|^{-1/2}\|u\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.11) and (2.7).

*Step 4.* We prove (2.5). By (2.8) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \|(A+z)^{-1}u\|_\infty \leq C\|(A+z)^{-1}u\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_{2n}^{1/2} \\ \leq C|z|^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2n},$$

and, by (2.7), (2.8), (1.1) and (2.9),

$$(2.14) \quad \|(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_\infty \leq C\|(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|D(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_{2n}^{1/2} \\ \leq C\|D(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|D(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_{2n}^{1/2} \\ \leq C\sum_{i=1}^n\|u^iw+w^iu\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|u^iw+w^iu\|_{2n}^{1/2} \\ \leq C\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|u\|_\infty \\ \leq (1/2)\|u\|_\infty, \quad \text{by setting } C\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2} < 1/2.$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L+z)^{-1}u\|_\infty &= \|(1+(A+z)^{-1}B)^{-1}(A+z)^{-1}u\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2\|(A+z)^{-1}u\|_\infty \leq C|z|^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the validity of (2.5).

*Step 5.* We prove (2.6). By (1.1), (2.9) and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_n &\leq C\|D(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_{n/2} \\ &\leq C\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|u\|_n \\ &\leq (1/2)\|u\|_n, \quad \text{by setting } C\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2} < 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.9), (2.7) and (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(A+z)^{-1}Bu\|_n &\leq C\|w\|_n\|u\|_\infty \\ &\leq C\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|u\|_\infty \\ &\leq (1/2)\|u\|_\infty, \quad \text{by setting } C\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2} < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(L+z)^{-1}u\|_n &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|D((A+z)^{-1}B)^k(A+z)^{-1}u\|_n \\ &\leq \|D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|((A+z)^{-1}B)^k(A+z)^{-1}u\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|D(A+z)^{-1}u\|_n + \|(A+z)^{-1}u\|_{\infty}, \quad \text{by (2.14),} \\ &\leq C(|z|^{1/2}\|u\|_n + |z|^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2n}), \quad \text{by (2.7), (2.13).} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

As an immediate consequence of (2.1) and (2.2), we conclude that  $L$  and  $L^*$  generate strongly continuous analytic semigroups  $e^{-tL}$  and  $e^{-tL^*}$  in  $J^r$  with  $1 < r < \infty$ , respectively, provided  $\|Dw\|_{n/2}$  is sufficiently small. What is more, we can now proceed to the proof of the following  $L^p - L^r$  estimates.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $t > 0$ ,  $1 < q \leq n$ ,  $v \in J^q$  and  $u \in C_0^\infty$ . Then we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \|e^{-tL}u\|_p \leq Ct^{-(n/r-n/p)/2}\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r \leq p < \infty,$$

*provided that  $\|Dw\|_{n/2}$  is sufficiently small;*

$$(2.16) \quad \|e^{-tL}u\|_{\infty} + \|De^{-tL}u\|_n \leq Ct^{-n/2r}\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r \leq n,$$

$$(2.17) \quad t^{n/2q}(t^{-1/2}\|e^{-tL}v\|_n + \|e^{-tL}v\|_{\infty} + \|De^{-tL}v\|_n) \rightarrow 0$$

*as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

*provided that  $\|w\|_{2n}^{1/2}\|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}$  is sufficiently small.*

*Proof.* By making use of the semigroup property of  $e^{-tL}$ , Lemma 2.1, and the Dunford integral (cf. [8]) via a standard calculation, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \|e^{-tL^*}u\|_r + t^{1/2}\|De^{-tL^*}u\|_r \leq C\|u\|_r \quad \text{for } 1 < r < \infty,$$

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{-tL}u\|_{\infty} + \|De^{-tL}u\|_n \\ \leq Ct^{-1/2}\|e^{-(t/2)L}u\|_n + Ct^{-1/4}\|e^{-(t/2)L}u\|_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1.

It follows from (2.8) and (2.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tL^*} u\|_p &\leq C \|e^{-tL^*} u\|_r^{1-n/r+n/p} \|De^{-tL^*} u\|_r^{n/r-n/p} \\ &\leq C t^{-(n/r-n/p)/2} \|u\|_r \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 < r \leq p < \infty$  and  $n/r - n/p < 1$ . Combining this with the semigroup property of  $e^{-tL^*}$ , we have for  $1 < r \leq p < \infty$  and  $a \in L^{p/(p-1)}$ ,

$$\|e^{-tL^*} Pa\|_{r/(r-1)} \leq C t^{-(n/r-n/p)/2} \|a\|_{p/(p-1)},$$

and hence

$$(e^{-tL} u, a) = (u, e^{-tL^*} Pa) \leq C t^{-(n/r-n/p)} \|u\|_r \|a\|_{p/(p-1)}$$

This gives (2.15). (2.16) follows from (2.19) and (2.15).

To prove (2.17), we note for  $a \in J^q \cap J^r$  with  $1 < r < q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t^{n/2q} (t^{-1/2} \|e^{-tL} v\|_n + \|e^{-tL} v\|_\infty + \|De^{-tL} v\|_n) \\ \leq C \|v - a\|_q + C t^{-(n/r-n/q)/2} \|a\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.15) and (2.16). Hence the density of  $J^q \cap J^r$  in  $J^q$  implies (2.17). The proof is complete.

**3. Proof of Theorem 0.2.** From Theorem 0.1 we can suppose that  $\|Dw\|_{n/2} + \|w\|_{2n}^{1/2} \|w\|_{2n/3}^{1/2}$  is small such that (2.15)–(2.17) holds.

By using the projection  $P$  to (0.2)–(0.3), and setting  $u(t) = v(t) - w$  and  $a = v_0 - w$ , then (0.2)–(0.3) leads to the evolution equation

$$(3.1) \quad (d/dt)u + Lu = -P(u \cdot D)u \quad (t > 0), \quad u(0) = a$$

in  $J^n$ . Hence, our goal now remains to show that (3.1) has a unique solution  $u$  belonging to the space

$$U \equiv \{u \in BC([0, \infty); J^n); t^{1/2} Du(t) \in BC([0, \infty); L^n(R^n; R^{n^2}))\}$$

such that

$$Hu(t) \equiv \|u(t)\|_n + t^{1/2} \|u(t)\|_\infty + t^{1/2} \|Du(t)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

provided that  $a \in J^n$  with  $\|a\|_n$  small enough.

Let us impose the following notation.

$$\|u\| = \sup_{t>0} Hu(t),$$

$$W = \{u \in U; \|u\| < \infty, Hu(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\},$$

$$Mu(t) = u_0(t) - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)L} P(u \cdot D)u(s) ds; \quad u_0(t) = e^{-tL} a.$$

Observing that for  $u \in C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{1/2}(\|e^{-tL}P(u \cdot D)u\|_\infty + \|De^{-tL}P(u \cdot D)u\|_n) + \|e^{-tL}P(u \cdot D)u\|_n \\ & \leq Ct^{-1/4}\|(u \cdot D)u\|_{2n/3}, \quad \text{by (2.15)-(2.16),} \\ & \leq Ct^{-1/4}\|u\|_{2n}\|Du\|_n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{2n} \leq \|u\|_n^{1/2}\|u\|_\infty^{1/2},$$

we have for  $u \in W$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & \|Mu(t)\|_n + t^{1/2}\|Mu(t)\|_\infty + t^{1/2}\|DMu(t)\|_n \\ & \leq C\|a\|_n + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/4}\|u(s)\|_{2n}\|Du(s)\|_n ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t t^{1/2}(t-s)^{-3/4}\|u(s)\|_{2n}\|Du(s)\|_n ds, \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{by (2.15)-(2.16),} \\ & \leq C\|a\|_n + C\|u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and what is more, by using (2.17) and the property

$$Hu_0(t) + Hu(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

via a calculation similar to (3.2), we have

$$H(Mu)(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, by a standard calculation from [19] or [12], we have  $Mu \in U$  for  $u \in W$ , and so  $M: W \rightarrow W$  and

$$\|Mu\| \leq C\|a\|_n + C\|u\|^2.$$

Additionally, similar to (3.2), we obtain for  $u_1, u_2 \in W$ ,

$$\|Mu_1 - Mu_2\| \leq C(\|u_1\| + \|u_2\|)\|u_1 - u_2\|.$$

From contraction mapping principle it follows that  $M$  has a fixed point  $u$  in  $W$  provided  $\|a\|_n$  is sufficiently small. As in [12, 5], we find that the fixed point  $u$  is the desired solution which exists uniquely in  $U$ . The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa,  $L^2$  decay for Navier-Stokes flows in unbounded domains, with application to exterior stationary flows, to appear.
- [2] R. Finn, On the exterior stationary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, and associated perturbation problems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **19** (1965), 363-406.

- [3] ———, *Mathematical questions relating to viscous fluid flow in an exterior domain*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **3** (1973), 107–140.
- [4] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [5] H. Fujita and T. Kato, *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problems. I*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **16** (1964), 269–315.
- [6] G. P. Galdi and S. Rionero, *Weighted Energy Methods in Fluid Dynamics and Elasticity*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1985.
- [7] G. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [8] J. A. Goldstein, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [9] J. G. Heywood, *On stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations as limits of nonstationary solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **37** (1970), 48–60.
- [10] ———, *The exterior nonstationary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Acta. Math., **129** (1972), 11–34.
- [11] ———, *The Navier-Stokes equations: on the existence, regularity and decay of solutions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **29** (1980), 639–681.
- [12] T. Kato, *Strong  $L^q$ -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in  $R^n$ , with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Z., **187** (1984), 471–480.
- [13] H. Kozono and T. Ozawa, *Stability in  $L^r$  for the Navier-Stokes flow in an  $n$ -dimensional bounded domain*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **152** (1990), 35–45.
- [14] K. Masuda, *On the stability of incompressible viscous fluid motions past objects*, J. Math. Soc., Japan, **27** (1975), 294–327.
- [15] M. McCracken, *The resolvent problem for the Stokes equations on halfspace in  $L_p$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., **12** (1981), 201–228.
- [16] T. Miyakawa and H. Sohr, *On energy inequality, smoothness and large time behavior in  $L^2$  for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, Math. Z., **199** (1988), 455–478.
- [17] J. Schnute and M. Shinbrot, *The Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **24** (1973), 457–473.
- [18] M. Shinbrot, *The Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations: a correction*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **27** (1976), 135–137.
- [19] F. Weissler, *The Navier-Stokes initial value problem in  $L^p$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **74** (1980), 219–230.

Received July 11, 1991 and in revised form March 13, 1992.

TIANJIN UNIVERSITY  
TIANJIN 3000 72  
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

