

NILPOTENT CHARACTERS

GABRIEL NAVARRO

In this note we study modular characters of finite p -solvable groups which are induced from p -nilpotent subgroups and its π -version.

1. Introduction. There is at least one reason to study such characters. In [2], for any block B of a finite group G , Alperin and Broué found a successful and natural Sylow B -theory which synthesized local group theory with several results on blocks by Brauer. This approach led to the Broué-Puig idea of nilpotent blocks. From the local representation point of view, therefore, the nilpotent blocks are the most natural blocks.

It is well known that theorems on p -blocks, in general, become far more accessible when we restrict our attention to the p -solvable groups. Sometimes, as it happens with the cyclic defect theory, they almost become trivial. This is not the case with the nilpotent blocks. Puig described the block algebra of a nilpotent block of a p -solvable group in [11].

Here we focus ourselves with the characters of the block. If φ is a modular character lying in a p -block B of a finite p -solvable group, we show that B is nilpotent if and only if φ is induced from a p -nilpotent subgroup. With this approach and applying Isaacs π -theory we are able to introduce nilpotent π -blocks (π -blocks have been studied by Robinson, Staszewski, Slattery and others) and to describe them satisfactorily: they only have a unique modular character φ (which is induced from a subgroup K with a normal Hall π -subgroup), and its $|\text{Irr}(D)|$ ordinary characters are also induced from convenient characters of K (D is defect group of the block). Finally, we will find the Fong characters associated with φ (the characters α of a Hall π -subgroup with $\alpha^G = \Phi_\varphi$).

Of course, when the set of primes π is just the complement of a prime p , π -blocks are just the ordinary blocks.

2. Subpairs and nilpotent blocks. If B is a p -block of a finite group G , a B -subpair is a pair (P, b_P) where P is a p -subgroup of G and b_P is a block of $PC_G(P)$ inducing B (we are using Alperin's book notation [1]). If P is a defect group of B , then (P, b_P) is said to be a Sylow B -subpair. It is one of the main results in [2] to show that Sylow B -subpairs are G -conjugate and that each B -subpair is contained in one Sylow B -subpair (a natural but not obvious definition of containment is given in [1]). It is worth to mention that if the block B is the principal block, local block theory is just Sylow theory.

Inspired by Frobenius Theorem, Broue and Puig defined nilpotent blocks: a block B is said to be nilpotent if whenever (P, b_P) is a B -subpair then $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$ is a p -group.

We begin with a Lemma. It is not in general true that if b^G is defined and nilpotent, then b is nilpotent (we will give some example below). However, in some special conditions more is true. (We recall that notation used in [2] and [4], is entirely equivalent to that in [1]: just apply V. 3.5 of [5]).

LEMMA 1. *Let B be a block of a p -solvable group G . Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O_{p'}(G))$ be covered by B and let $b \in \text{Bl}(T)$ cover θ and induce B , where T is the inertia group of θ in G . Then B is nilpotent if and only if b is nilpotent.*

Proof. Suppose that B is nilpotent and let (P, b_P) be a b -subpair. We wish to show that $N_T(P, b_P)/C_T(P)$ is a p -group. Let us denote by $*$: $\text{Irr}_P(O) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(C_O(P))$ the Glauberman Correspondence (see Chapter 13 of [6]), where $O = O_{p'}(G)$.

By applying, for instance, Lemma (4.4) of [13] to T , we have that if b_P covers ψ^* , where $\psi \in \text{Irr}_P(O)$, then b covers ψ . Therefore, we have that b_P lies over θ^* . We observe that $N_T(P)$ is the inertia subgroup of θ^* in $N_G(P)$. This is because $N_G(P)$ acts on O fixing the P -invariant characters and commuting with the correspondence (see Theorem (13.1) (c) of [6]).

By Theorem (1.2.4) of [4], we know that $b_P^{PC_G(P)}$ is nilpotent; so let δ be the unique Brauer character in $b_P^{PC_G(P)}$. Since δ lies over θ^* and $PC_T(P)$ is the inertia group of θ^* in $PC_G(P)$, let $\mu \in \text{IBr}(PC_T(P)|\theta^*)$ such that $\mu^{PC_G(P)} = \delta$. By Fong-Reynolds (Theorem V.2.5 of [5]), we know that μ is the only modular char-

acter in b_P . Therefore, if $x \in N_T(P, b_P)$ then $\mu^x = \mu, \delta^x = \delta$ and consequently $x \in N_G(P, b_P^{PC_G(P)})$. Then $N_T(P, b_P)/C_T(P)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $N_G(P, b_P^{PC_G(P)})/C_G(P)$, which is a p -group by hypothesis.

Now assume that b is nilpotent and let (P, b_P) be a B -subpair. We want to prove that $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$ is a p -group. Let $H = PC_G(P)$. We note that b_P^{HO} covers θ^x , for some $x \in G$. This can be seen, for instance, by taking an irreducible character of b_P^{HO} lying under some irreducible character of B (by Theorem B of [3]). Since P is contained in a defect group of b_P^{HO} , it follows that some O -conjugate of P , say P^o , stabilizes θ^x , by Fong-Reynolds. Therefore, P stabilizes θ_1 and b_P^{HO} covers θ_1 , where $\theta_1 = \theta^{x o^{-1}}$. Let T_1 be the stabilizer of θ_1 in G . If we denote by $\theta_1^* \in \text{Irr}(C_O(P))$ the Glauberman correspondent of θ_1 with respect to P , by an earlier argument we have that $N_{T_1}(P)$ is the stabilizer of θ_1^* in $N_G(P)$.

Now let $\gamma^* \in \text{Irr}(C_O(P))$ be covered by b_P . Then γ is covered by b_P^{HO} , and therefore $\gamma = \theta_1^c$, for some $c \in C_G(P)$. Thus $\theta_1^* = (\gamma^*)^{c^{-1}}$ is also covered by b_P . Since $PC_{T_1}(P)$ is the stabilizer in $PC_G(P)$ of θ_1^* , we find $e \in \text{Bl}(PC_{T_1}(P)|\theta^*)$ such that $e^{PC_G(P)} = b_P$. By an earlier argument, e^{T_1} lies over θ_1 , and, since it induces B , it follows that e^{T_1} is a G -conjugate of b . Therefore, it is nilpotent. By Theorem (1.2) of [4], e is also nilpotent and thus it contains a unique modular character, say δ . By Fong-Reynolds, $\delta^{PC_G(P)}$ is the unique modular character in b_P .

Suppose now that $y \in N_G(P, b_P)$. Then y fixes P and $\delta^{PC_G(P)}$. By Clifford Theory, $(\theta_1^*)^y = (\theta_1^*)^c$, for some $c \in C_G(P)$. Thus $yc^{-1} \in N_{T_1}(P)$ and by the uniqueness in the Clifford Correspondence, $\delta^{yc^{-1}} = \delta$. Then $yc^{-1} \in N_{T_1}(P, e)$. Consequently, $N_G(P, b_P) \subseteq N_{T_1}(P, e)C_G(P)$. Thus $N_G(P, b_P)/C_G(P)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $N_{T_1}(P, e)/C_{T_1}(P)$, which is a p -group. □

LEMMA 2. *Let B be a nilpotent block of a p -solvable group G and let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O_{p'}(G))$ covered by B . If θ is G -invariant then G is p -nilpotent.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $|G|$. Write $O = O_{p'}(G)$.

By Fong Theory, (see, for instance, Theorem (2.1) of [13]), we know that the Sylow p -subgroups of G are the defect groups of B . Fix P a Sylow p -subgroup of G and let (P, b_P) be a Sylow B -

subpair. By Frobenius Theorem, it suffices to show that if Q is any p -subgroup of P then $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a p -group. By Theorem (16.3) of [1], let $(Q, b_Q) \leq (P, b_P)$. Since b_Q is nilpotent, let δ be the unique Brauer character in b_Q . By earlier arguments in Lemma 1, if $\theta^* \in \text{Irr}(C_O(Q))$ is the Q -Glauberman correspondent of $\theta \in \text{Irr}_Q(O)$, then b_Q lies over θ^* and θ^* is $N_G(Q)$ -invariant. By local group theory, it is well known that $C_O(Q) = O_{p'}(N_G(Q))$. If $QC_G(Q) < G$, by induction, we have that $QC_G(Q)/O_{p'}(N_G(Q))$ is a p -group. Therefore, by Green's Theorem (see, for instance, (3.1) of [8]), $\delta_{O_{p'}(N_G(Q))} = \theta^*$, and since δ is the only Brauer character lying over θ^* , we have that δ and θ^* determine one each other uniquely. Therefore, δ is $N_G(Q)$ -invariant, and so it is b_Q . Thus, $N(Q, b_Q)/C_G(Q) = N_G(Q)/C_G(Q)$ is a p -group in any case, and Frobenius Theorem applies. \square

3. π -characters. If G is a π -separable group, we denote by $I_\pi(G)$ the set of Isaacs π -characters of G . Of course, when $\pi = p'$, $I_\pi(G)$ is just the set of Brauer characters of G . We refer the reader to [7] and [8], for definitions, notation and basic properties of the set $I_\pi(G)$. We recall that there exists a canonical subset of the irreducible characters of G , $B_\pi(G)$, such that restriction to π -elements gives a bijection from $B_\pi(G)$ onto $I_\pi(G)$ (Theorem (9.3) of [7]).

We certainly will use that any π -character is induced from a π -degree π -character (Huppert's Theorem, see (3.4) of [8]), and other fact proved recently in [9]. If $\varphi \in I_\pi(G)$ and $\varphi = \delta^G = \mu^G$, where $\delta \in I_\pi(K)$ and $\mu \in I_\pi(J)$ have π -degree, then the Hall π' -subgroups of K and J are G -conjugate: this invariant is the vertex of a π -character.

We say that $\varphi \in I_\pi(G)$ is *nilpotent* if $\varphi = \delta^G$, where $\delta \in I_\pi(K)$ with $K = O_{\pi\pi'}(K)$.

LEMMA 3. *Let G be a π -separable group and let $\varphi \in I_\pi(G)$ be nilpotent. If $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O_\pi(G))$ is G -invariant and lies under φ then $G = O_{\pi\pi'}(G)$.*

Proof. Write $\varphi = \delta^G$, where $\delta \in I_\pi(K)$ with $K = O_{\pi\pi'}(K)$, and let $O = O_\pi(G)$. Since OK has a normal Hall π -subgroup, by replacing (K, δ) by (OK, δ^{OK}) , we may assume that $O \subseteq K$. Now, by (3.4)

of [8], let $\beta \in I_\pi(R)$ with π -degree be such that $\beta^K = \delta$. Since β^{OR} has also π -degree (because $|OR : R|$ is a π -number), we also may assume that δ has π -degree.

By comments above, observe that if P is a Hall π' -subgroup of K , then P is a vertex of φ .

Let $U = O_{\pi\pi'}(G)$. We claim that $\varphi_U = e\eta$, where $\eta \in I_\pi(U)$ and $\eta_O = \theta$. To see this, let $\chi \in B_\pi(G)$ be a lifting of φ (see Theorem (9.3) of [7]), and let $\psi \in B_\pi(U)$ be under χ ((7.5) of [7]). Then, by (6.3) and (6.5) of [7], $\psi_U = \theta$ and ψ is the only B_π -character lying over θ . Therefore, ψ is G -invariant and so it is $\psi^o = \eta \in I_\pi(U)$, its restriction to π -elements. This proves the claim.

Now, since ψ has π -degree, by (5.4) of [7], ψ is π -special and therefore, (U, ψ) is a subnormal π -factorable pair in the sense of [7]. Therefore, $(U, \psi) \leq (W, \alpha)$, where (W, α) , α a π -special character of W , is a nucleus of χ (definition (4.6) of [7]). Thus $\alpha^{o^G} = \varphi$, and by Theorem B of [9], it follows that P^x is a Hall π' -subgroup of W , for some $x \in G$. Then $P^x \cap U$ is a Hall π' -subgroup of U , and thus $U \subseteq OP \subseteq K$.

Now, since U/O and $O_\pi(K)/O$ are normal subgroups of K/O of coprime order it follows that $O_\pi(K)/O \subseteq C_{G/O}(U/O) \subseteq U/O$, by Lemma 1.2.3. Therefore, we conclude that $O_\pi(K) = O$. Let $V = O_{\pi\pi'\pi}(G)$. Since K/U and V/U have coprime orders it follows that $V \cap K = U$. Observe that $\delta_U = \eta$, by (3.1) of [8], and that δ^{KV} has π -degree. Therefore, $\eta^V = (\delta^{KV})_V \in I_\pi(V)$. Since ψ lifts η , necessarily $\psi^V \in \text{Irr}(V)$. Since ψ is G -invariant, by problem (6.1) of [6], for instance, it follows that $U = V = G$, as wanted. \square

LEMMA 4. *Let G be a π -separable group and let Y be a normal π -subgroup of G . Let $\varphi \in I_\pi(G)$, let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(Y)$ under φ and let $\delta \in I_\pi(T|\theta)$ with $\delta^G = \varphi$, where T is the stabilizer of θ in G . Then φ is nilpotent if and only if δ is nilpotent.*

Proof. By the definition, certainly φ is nilpotent if δ is nilpotent. So assume that φ is nilpotent and write $\varphi = \psi^G$, where $\psi \in I_\pi(K)$, with K having a normal Hall π -subgroup. Since YK has also a normal Hall π -subgroup, we may replace K by YK and assume that K contains Y . Also, by replacing K by some G -conjugate, we may assume that ψ lies over θ . If $\alpha \in I_\pi(K \cap T|\theta)$ induces ψ , by uniqueness in the Clifford correspondence, (3.2) of [8], it follows

that $\alpha^T = \delta$, and the proof of the Lemma is complete. \square

Now we prove.

THEOREM 5. *Let B be a p -block of a p -solvable group and let $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(B)$. Then B is nilpotent if and only if φ is nilpotent.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $|G|$. Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O_{p'}(G))$ be under φ , let $\delta \in \text{IBr}(T|\theta)$ with $\delta^G = \varphi$, where T is the stabilizer of θ in G , and let $b \in \text{Bl}(T)$ be the block of δ . If $T = G$, by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have that, in both cases, G is p -nilpotent and so every block and every character are nilpotent. If $T < G$, by induction and Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have that φ is nilpotent if and only if δ is nilpotent if and only if b is nilpotent if and only if B is nilpotent. \square

4. π -Blocks. Brauer himself considered the idea of generalizing p -blocks to π -blocks, for a set of primes π . Later, Robinson and others introduced several definitions of π -blocks. We will follow the Isaacs-Slattery's approach which certainly coincides with Robinson's when the group is π -separable. We refer the reader to [12] and [13], for definition, notation and further comments on the subject.

THEOREM 6. *Let G be a π -separable group and let $\varphi \in I_\pi(G)$ be nilpotent. Let B be the π -block of φ . Then*

(a) *φ is the only modular character in B .*

(b) *If $\delta^G = \varphi$, where $\delta \in I_\pi(K)$ has π -degree and K has a normal Hall π -subgroup, then the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^G$ from $\text{Irr}(K|\delta_{O_\pi(K)}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(B)$ is a bijection.*

(c) *With the notation of (b), $(\delta_{O_\pi(K)})^G = \Phi_\varphi$. Thus, if H is a Hall π -subgroup of G containing $O_\pi(K)$, then $(\delta_{O_\pi(K)})^H \in \text{Irr}(H)$ is a Fong character for φ .*

Proof. (a) Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O)$ under φ , where $O = O_\pi(G)$. Let $\delta \in I_\pi(T|\theta)$ with $\delta^G = \varphi$, where T is the stabilizer of θ in G , and let b be the π -block of δ . If $T = G$, by Lemma 3, G has a normal Hall π -subgroup. Also by (2.8) of [12], we know that the modular characters in B are the π -characters over θ . By (6.3) of [7], it follows

that φ is the only one. On the other hand, if $T < G$, by Lemma 3, induction and Theorem (2.10) of [12], the result follows.

(b) We argue by induction on $|G|$.

Since δ has π -degree, we have that $\alpha = \delta_{O_\pi(K)} \in \text{Irr}(O_\pi(K))$.

Let $V = OO_\pi(K)$. Since $|OK : V|$ is a π' -number, we have that $\alpha^V = (\delta^{OK})_V \in \text{Irr}(V)$. Since α is K -invariant, by (4.3) of [7], it follows that the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^{OK}$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(K|\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(OK|\alpha^V)$. Now let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(O)$ be under α^V and let $\epsilon \in I_\pi(T \cap OK|\theta)$ be such that $\epsilon^{OK} = \delta^{OK}$, where T is the stabilizer of θ in G . If $\mu = \epsilon^T$, observe that $\mu \in I_\pi(T|\theta)$ and $\mu^G = \varphi$. By Lemma 4, notice that μ is nilpotent. If $T = G$, by Lemma 3, we have that O is a Hall π -subgroup of G . Also, $\varphi_O = \theta$, which forces $OK = G$. In this case, $V = O$, $\alpha^V = \theta$ and we know that $\psi \rightarrow \psi^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(K|\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$. Since $\text{Irr}(B) = \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$, by (2.8) of [12], in this case, we are done. So we may assume that $T < G$ and by induction we have that the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^T$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T \cap OK|\epsilon_{T \cap V}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(b)$. Since $\epsilon_{T \cap V}$ is $T \cap OK$ -invariant and induces α^V , by (4.3) of [7], it follows that the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^{OK}$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T \cap OK|\epsilon_{T \cap V}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(OK|\alpha^V)$ (observe that $(T \cap OK)V = OK$, because they have coprime indices). By the above and Theorem (2.10) of [12], we have that the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^G$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(T \cap OK|\epsilon_{T \cap V}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(B)$ and therefore so it is the map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^G$ from $\text{Irr}(OK|\alpha^V) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(B)$. This proves (b).

(c) By Lemma (2.3) of [10], it suffices to show that $(\delta_{O_\pi(K)})^G = \Phi_\varphi$. If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$, by (b), we have that $\chi^o = (\chi(1)/\varphi(1))\varphi$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\varphi &= \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)} (\chi(1)/\varphi(1)) \chi = \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(K|\delta_{O_\pi(K)})} (\psi(1)/\delta(1)) \psi^G \\ &= \left(\sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(K|\delta_{O_\pi(K)})} (\psi(1)/\delta(1)) \psi \right)^G \\ &= \left((\delta_{O_\pi(K)})^K \right)^G = (\delta_{O_\pi(K)})^G. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to show that all Fong characters associated with φ arise this way. □

We think it is worth to remark that if an irreducible character χ is induced from a p -nilpotent character the p -block of χ need not

to be nilpotent. For instance, consider χ an irreducible character of degree 3 in the symmetric group on four letters and $p = 2$. The block of χ is the principal block which is not nilpotent (because G is not p -nilpotent). However, χ is induced from a Sylow 2-subgroup of G .

5. An example. We mentioned above that if a block b^G is defined and nilpotent, then b needs not to be nilpotent. More surprisingly, if a block nilpotent b covers a block e , e needs not to be nilpotent (this fact was communicated to the author by L. Puig, and we take this opportunity for thanking him). We give an easy

EXAMPLE 7. Let $D = \langle x, y \rangle$ be the dihedral group of order 8, with $C = \langle x \rangle$ of order 4 and $x^y = x^{-1}$ and let D act on $P = \langle z \rangle$ of order 3 by $z^y = z^{-1}$ and C acting trivially. Let $G = PD$ be the semidirect product and put $p = 3$. Let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(C)$ of order 4 and $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda \times 1_P \in \text{Irr}(P \times C)$. Then $\chi = (\hat{\lambda})^G \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Observe that, by (7.1) of [7], $\chi \in B_2(G)$ and thus, $\varphi = \chi^o \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Observe that φ is nilpotent. Let $J = P\langle y \rangle$ and let $H = J \times Z \triangleleft G$, where $Z = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Then $\chi_H = \mu_1 + \mu_2$, where $\mu_1 \in \text{Irr}(H/P)$, and μ_i is linear. Then, μ_i , which is normal constituent of a nilpotent character φ , is not nilpotent (since H is not p -nilpotent). This shows that, in general, nilpotent characters do not lie over nilpotent characters. Also, $\mu_i^G = \varphi$, and hence the nonnilpotent block of μ_i induces the block of φ .

REFERENCES

- [1] J.L. Alperin, *Local representation theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [2] J.L. Alperin and M. Broué, *Local methods in block theory*, Ann. Math., **110** (1979), 143-157.
- [3] H.I. Blau, *On block induction*, J. of Alg., **104** (1986), 195-202.
- [4] M. Broué and L. Puig, *A Frobenius theorem for blocks*, Invent. Math., **56** (1980), 117-128.
- [5] W. Feit, *The representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North Holland, 1982.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, 1976.
- [7] ———, *Characters of π -separable groups*, J. Algebra, **86** (1984), 98-128.

- [8] ———, *Fong characters in π -separable groups*, J. Algebra, **99** (1986), 89-107.
- [9] I.M. Isaacs and G. Navarro, *Weights and vertices for characters of π -separable groups*, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [10] G. Navarro, *Fong characters and correspondences in π -separable groups*, Can. J. Math., **43** (1991), 405-412.
- [11] L. Puig, *Local block theory in p -solvable groups*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., **37** (1980), 385-388.
- [12] M.C. Slattery, *Pi-blocks of pi-separable groups, I*, J. Algebra, **102** (1986), 60-77.
- [13] ———, *Pi-blocks of pi-separable groups, II*, J. Algebra, **124** (1989), 236-269.

Received December 10, 1992. Research partially supported by DGICYT.PB 90-0414-C03-01.

UNIVERSITAT DE VALENCIA
46100 BURJASSOT, VALENCIA
SPAIN
E-mail address: gabriel@vm.ci.uv.es

