

# THE CHENG-YAU METRICS ON REGULAR CONVEX CONES AS HARMONIC IMMERSIONS INTO THE SYMMETRIC SPACE OF POSITIVE DEFINITE REAL SYMMETRIC MATRICES

SHINYA AKAGAWA

(Received February 4, 2019)

## Abstract

A Riemannian metric  $g$  on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defines a map  $F_g$  from  $(\Omega, g)$  into the symmetric space of positive definite real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices  $(\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$ , where  $h$  is the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$ . We show that the map  $F_g$  is a harmonic immersion if  $\Omega$  is a regular convex cone and  $g$  is the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\Omega$ . We also prove that the map  $F_g$  is totally geodesic if  $\Omega$  is a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone and  $g$  is the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\Omega$ .

## Introduction

Let  $g$  be a Riemannian metric on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard coordinate  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  and  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  the symmetric space of positive definite real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices. Then  $g$  defines a map  $F_g : \Omega \rightarrow \text{Sym}^+(n)$  which is given by  $F_g(x) = [g_{ij}(x)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . The goal of this paper is to show the following Main Theorem in Section 4.

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $g$  be the Cheng-Yau metric on a regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h$  the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$ . Then  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$  is a harmonic immersion. In particular, if  $\Omega$  is a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone, then  $F_g$  is totally geodesic.*

A *regular convex domain* is a convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which does not contain a full straight line. If a regular convex domain  $\Omega$  satisfies that  $tx$  belongs to  $\Omega$  for all  $x$  in  $\Omega$  and all positive real number  $t$ , then  $\Omega$  is said to be a *regular convex cone*. A regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called *homogeneous* if a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  acts on  $\Omega$  transitively. In addition, a regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be *self-dual* if there exists an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the *dual cone*  $\Omega^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, y \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}\}$  coincides with  $\Omega$ . It is known that a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone is a Riemannian symmetric space with respect to the Cheng-Yau metric (cf. Theorem 4.6 in [2]). A symmetric space  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  is an example of homogeneous self-dual regular convex cones in the space of real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices  $\text{Sym}(n)$  which can be identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ .

We denote by  $D$  the standard flat affine connection on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A Riemannian metric  $g$  on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a *Hessian metric* if there exists a convex function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$g = Dd\varphi,$$

that is,

$$g_{ij} := g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}.$$

There exists a special Hessian metric on a regular convex domain from the following.

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). *Let  $\Omega$  be a regular convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a unique convex solution  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  of the Monge-Ampère equation*

$$\begin{cases} \det\left[\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = e^{2\varphi} \\ \varphi(x) \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \quad (x \rightarrow \partial\Omega)$$

such that the Hessian metric  $g = Dd\varphi$  is complete, which is called the Cheng-Yau metric.

We denote by  $\nabla^g$  the Levi-Civita connection for a Riemannian metric  $g$ . The map  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$  is said to be *harmonic* if the *tension field*  $\text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h} dF_g)$  equals to 0. In particular,  $F_g$  is called *totally geodesic* if  $\nabla^{g,h} dF_g = 0$ . To prove Main Theorem, the following theorem plays an important role.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $g$  is a Hessian metric, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^{g,h} dF_g)_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) &= 2g\left(\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^g \gamma_g\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) \\ \text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h} dF_g)_{ij} &= 2((\beta_g)_{ij} - (\alpha_g)_r (\gamma_g)^r_{ij}) = 2(\nabla^g \alpha_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $(\nabla^{g,h} dF_g)_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)$  is symmetric with respect to  $i, j, k, l$ .

Here,  $\gamma_g = \nabla^g - D$ ,  $\alpha_g = \frac{1}{2}d \log \det F_g$  and  $\beta_g = D\alpha_g$ . The Cheng-Yau metric  $g$  on a regular convex cone  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\nabla^g \alpha_g = 0$  (cf. Proposition 2.6). Further,  $g$  satisfies  $\nabla_g \gamma_g = 0$  if  $\Omega$  is a homogebeous self-dual regular convex cone (cf. Proposition 2.7). Hence we can show Main Theorem.

In Section 1, we give a brief review of Hessian geometry. In Section 2, we discuss the Cheng-Yau metrics on regular convex cones. In Section 3, we give a brief summary of the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$ . In Section 4, we consider the map  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$  and prove Main Theorem. In Section 5, we conclude that the conditions in Main Theorem are crucial by giving examples.

### 1. Difference tensors and Koszul forms for Hessian metrics

In this section, we give a brief review of the properties of Difference tensors and Koszul forms for Hessian metrics. Note that we use Einstein’s summation convention throughout this paper.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** For a Riemannian metric  $g$  on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the *difference tensor*  $\gamma_g$  by

$$\gamma_g(X, Y) = \nabla_X^g Y - D_X Y.$$

REMARK 1.2. The components  $(\gamma_g)^i_{jk}$  of  $\gamma_g$  with respect to the standard coordinate coincide with the Christoffel symbols of  $\nabla^g$ , that is,

$$(\gamma_g)^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}g^{il}\left(\frac{\partial g_{lk}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}\right).$$

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $g$  be a Hessian metric. Then we have*

$$g(X, \gamma_g(Y, Z)) = \frac{1}{2}(D_X g)(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}(D_Y g)(X, Z) = g(Y, \gamma_g(X, Z)),$$

that is,

$$(\gamma_g)_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} = (\gamma_g)_{jik},$$

$$(\gamma_g)^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}g^{il}\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l} = \frac{1}{2}g^{il}\frac{\partial g_{lk}}{\partial x^j},$$

where  $(\gamma_g)_{ijk} = g_{il}(\gamma_g)^l_{jk}$ .

Proof. By the definition of Hessian metrics we have

$$\frac{\partial g_{lk}}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x^k} = \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}.$$

Hence it follows from Remark 1.2 that

$$(\gamma_g)^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}g^{il}\frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l} = \frac{1}{2}g^{il}\frac{\partial g_{lk}}{\partial x^j}.$$

□

DEFINITION 1.4. For a Riemannian metric  $g$  on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we define a closed 1-form  $\alpha_g$  and a symmetric 2-form  $\beta_g$  by

$$\alpha_g = \frac{1}{2}d \log \det[g_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad \beta_g = D\alpha_g.$$

The forms  $\alpha_g$  and  $\beta_g$  are called *the first Koszul form* and *the second Koszul form*, respectively. If there exists a real number  $\lambda$  such that  $\beta_g = g$ , then  $g$  is called *Hesse-Einstein*.

REMARK 1.5. Let  $(\alpha_g)_j = \alpha_g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)$  and  $(\beta_g)_{ij} = \beta_g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)$ . By the definition of Koszul forms we have

$$(\alpha_g)_j = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} = (\gamma_g)^i_{ij},$$

$$(\beta_g)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial^2 g_{kl}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{kr}}{\partial x^i}g^{rs}\frac{\partial g_{sl}}{\partial x^j}\right).$$

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $g$  be a Hessian metric and  $(\alpha_g)^k = g^{kl}(\alpha_g)_l$ . Then we have*

$$(\alpha_g)^k = g^{ij}(\gamma_g)^k_{ij}.$$

Proof. From Remark 1.5 and Proposition 1.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha_g)^k &= g^{kl}(\alpha_g)_l \\
 &= g^{kl}(\gamma_g)^j_{jl} \\
 &= g^{kl}g^{ij}(\gamma_g)_{ijl} \\
 &= g^{ij}g^{kl}(\gamma_g)_{lij} \\
 &= g^{ij}(\gamma_g)^k_{ij}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 1.7** (c.f. Proposition 3.5 in [2]). *Let  $g$  be a Hessian metric on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g^T$  a Kähler metric on  $T\Omega \cong \Omega \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by*

$$g^T_{i\bar{j}}(x + \sqrt{-1}y) = g_{ij}(x).$$

Then the Ricci tensor  $R^T$  for  $g^T$  is expressed by

$$R^T_{i\bar{j}}(x + \sqrt{-1}y) = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta_g)_{ij}(x).$$

In particular,  $g^T$  is Kähler-Einstein if and only if  $g$  is Hesse-Einstein.

## 2. The Cheng-Yau metrics on regular convex cones

In this section, we discuss the Cheng-Yau metrics which are examples of Hesse-Einstein metrics.

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). *Let  $\Omega$  be a regular convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a unique convex solution  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  of the Monge-Ampère equation*

$$\begin{cases} \det\left[\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = e^{2\varphi} \\ \varphi(x) \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \quad (x \rightarrow \partial\Omega)$$

such that the Hessian metric  $g = Dd\varphi$  is complete, which is called the Cheng-Yau metric.

REMARK 2.2. The first and second Koszul forms for the Cheng-Yau metric  $g = Dd\varphi$  are

$$\alpha_g = d\varphi, \quad \beta_g = g.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a regular convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g = Dd\varphi$  the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $a = [a^i_j]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $ax \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Then*

- (1)  $\varphi(ax) = \varphi(x) - \log |\det a|$ .
- (2)  $a^*g = g$ .

Proof. We define  $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(ax) + \log |\det a|.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial x^j}(x) &= a^l_j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^l}(ax), \\ \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x) &= a^k_i a^l_j \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}(ax). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left[\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x)\right] &= (\det a)^2 \det\left[\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}(ax)\right] \\ &= (\det a)^2 e^{2\varphi(ax)} \\ &= e^{2(\varphi(ax) + \log |\det a|)} \\ &= e^{2\tilde{\varphi}(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is also the unique convex solution of the Monge-Ampère equation, that is,

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi.$$

This implies the first assertion. The second assertion follows from

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x) = a^k_i a^l_j \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}(ax).$$

□

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a homogeneous regular convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x_0$  a fixed point in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $a \in C^\infty(\Omega, GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$  satisfies  $x = a(x)x_0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Then the Cheng-Yau metric  $g = Dd\varphi$  on  $\Omega$  is expressed by*

$$g = -Dd \log |\det a(x)|.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(a(x)x_0) = \varphi(x_0) - \log |\det a(x)|.$$

Hence we have

$$g = Dd\varphi = -Dd \log |\det a(x)|.$$

□

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a regular convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g = Dd\varphi$  the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\Omega$ . Then*

- (1)  $x^j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j} = -n$ .
- (2)  $\text{grad } \varphi := g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = -x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ .
- (3)  $x^j (\gamma_g)_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} x^j \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} = -g_{ik}$ .

Proof. Since  $\Omega$  is a cone,  $tx \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $t > 0$ . Hence it follows from Proposition 2.3 that

$$\varphi(tx) = \varphi(x) - n \log t \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Therefore

$$x^j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \varphi(tx) = -n.$$

Taking the derivative of both sides with respect to  $x^i$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} + x^j g_{ij} = 0.$$

This implies (2). Further, taking the derivative of both sides respect to  $x^k$ , we obtain

$$g_{ki} + g_{ik} + x^j \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = 0,$$

that is,

$$x^j \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} = -2g_{ik}.$$

It follows from Proposition 1.3 that

$$x^j (\gamma_g)_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} x^j \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j}.$$

□

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $g = Dd\varphi$  be the Cheng-Yau metric on a regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have*

$$\nabla^g \alpha_g = \nabla^g d\varphi = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^g d\varphi)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) &= \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - (\gamma_g)^k_{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^k} \\ &= g_{ij} - g^{kl} (\gamma_g)_{lij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^k} \\ &= g_{ij} + x^l (\gamma_g)_{lij} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

It is known that a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone satisfies the following stronger condition than Proposition 2.6.

**Proposition 2.7** (c.f. Proposition 4.12 in [2]). *Let  $g$  be the Cheng-Yau metric on a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have*

$$\nabla^g \gamma_g = 0.$$

### 3. The symmetric space of positive definite real symmetric matrices

In this section, we give a brief summary of the Cheng-Yau metric  $h$  on a regular convex cone  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  in  $\text{Sym}(n)$  which is identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ . Since  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  transitively by  $A \cdot P := {}^tAPA$  for  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $P \in \text{Sym}^+(n)$ ,  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  is homogeneous. Further,  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  is self-dual with respect to an inner product  $\langle P, Q \rangle := \text{tr}(PQ)$  (c.f.

Example 4.1 in [2]).

We denote by  $P = (P_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$  the standard coordinate of  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$ . The Cheng-Yau metric  $h$  on  $\text{Sym}^+(n)$  is expressed by

$$h = -\frac{n+1}{2} Dd \log \det P.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \text{Sym}(n)$  and  $\sigma \in S_3$ , we have*

$$\text{tr}(X_{\sigma(1)}X_{\sigma(2)}X_{\sigma(3)}) = \text{tr}(X_1X_2X_3).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(X_1X_2X_3) &= \text{tr}^t(X_1X_2X_3) \\ &= \text{tr}({}^tX_3{}^tX_2{}^tX_1) \\ &= \text{tr}(X_3X_2X_1). \end{aligned}$$

The other equations follow from the commutativity of the trace.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *We identify  $X = [X_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \text{Sym}(n)$  with  $\sum_{i \leq j} X_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{ij}} \right)_P \in T_P \text{Sym}^+(n)$ .*

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_P(X, Y) &= \frac{n+1}{2} \text{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}Y), \\ (Dh)_P(X, Y, Z) &= -(n+1) \text{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}YP^{-1}Z), \\ (\gamma_h)_P(X, Y) &= -\frac{1}{2}(XP^{-1}Y + YP^{-1}X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For  $X \in \text{Sym}(n)$  we define a vector field  $\tilde{X} = \sum_{i \leq j} X_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{ij}} \in \mathcal{X}(\text{Sym}^+(n))$ . Since  $D_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \text{Sym}(n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_P(X, Y) &= -\frac{n+1}{2} (D_{\tilde{Y}}d \log \det P)_P(X) \\ &= -\frac{n+1}{2} (\tilde{Y}\tilde{X} \log \det P)_P \\ &= -\frac{n+1}{2} (\tilde{Y} \text{tr}(P^{-1}\tilde{X}))_P \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2} \text{tr}(P^{-1}YP^{-1}X) \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2} \text{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}Y), \\ (Dh)_P(X, Y, Z) &= \frac{n+1}{2} (D_{\tilde{Z}}h)_P(X, Y) \\ &= \frac{n+1}{2} (\tilde{Z}h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}))_P \\ &= -\frac{n+1}{2} \text{tr}(P^{-1}ZP^{-1}XP^{-1}Y + P^{-1}XP^{-1}ZP^{-1}Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P \in \text{Sym}^+(n)$ , there exists  $P^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \text{Sym}^+(n)$  such that  $(P^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = P$ . Hence it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(P^{-1}ZP^{-1}XP^{-1}Y) &= \operatorname{tr}(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}ZP^{-1}XP^{-1}P^{-1}YP^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}((P^{-\frac{1}{2}}ZP^{-\frac{1}{2}})(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}XP^{-\frac{1}{2}})(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}YP^{-\frac{1}{2}})) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}((P^{-\frac{1}{2}}XP^{-\frac{1}{2}})(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}YP^{-\frac{1}{2}})(P^{-\frac{1}{2}}ZP^{-\frac{1}{2}})) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}YP^{-1}Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(Dh)_P(X, Y, Z) = -(n+1) \operatorname{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}YP^{-1}Z).$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
h_P\left(-\frac{1}{2}(XP^{-1}Y + YP^{-1}X), Z\right) &= -\frac{n+1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}YP^{-1}Z + P^{-1}YP^{-1}XP^{-1}Z) \\
&= -\frac{n+1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(P^{-1}XP^{-1}YP^{-1}Z) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(Dh)_P(X, Y, Z) \\
&= h_P((\gamma_h)_P(X, Y), Z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 1.3. Hence we have

$$(\gamma_h)_P(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}(XP^{-1}Y + YP^{-1}X).$$

□

#### 4. Maps given by Riemannian metrics

In this section, we consider the map  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\operatorname{Sym}^+(n), h)$ , where  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h$  is the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\operatorname{Sym}^+(n)$ . Since  $T\operatorname{Sym}^+(n) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^+(n) \times \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ , the space of all  $C^\infty$ -sections of  $F_g^*T\operatorname{Sym}^+(n)$  can be identified with  $C^\infty(\Omega, \operatorname{Sym}(n))$ . In particular,  $(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)$  and  $\operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)$  belong to  $C^\infty(\Omega, \operatorname{Sym}(n))$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) &= \frac{\partial^2 F_g}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^k} F_g^{-1} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x_l} F_g^{-1} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x_k}\right) - (\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^r}, \\
\operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g) &= g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_g}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^k} F_g^{-1} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^l} - (\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^r}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}}^h dF_g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) - dF_g\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}}^g \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 F_g}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} + (\gamma_h)_{F_g}\left(\frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x_l}\right) - dF_g\left((\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right) \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 F_g}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^k} F_g^{-1} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x_l} F_g^{-1} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x_k}\right) - (\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^r},
\end{aligned}$$



where the last equality follows from Proposition 3.2 in the case of  $X = \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^k}$ ,  $Y = \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^l}$  and  $P = F_g$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g) &= g^{kl}(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) \\ &= g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F_g}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^k} F_g^{-1} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^l} - (\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial F_g}{\partial x^r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We denote by  $(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)$  and  $\text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij}$  the  $(i, j)$ -components of  $(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)$  and  $\text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)$ , respectively.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $g$  is a Hessian metric, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) &= 2g\left((\nabla^g_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \gamma_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) \\ \text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij} &= 2\left((\beta_g)_{ij} - (\alpha_g)^r(\gamma_g)_{rij}\right) = 2(\nabla^g \alpha_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)$  is symmetric with respect to  $i, j, k, l$ .

Proof. From Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 1.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij})\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right) &= \frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_{ir}}{\partial x^k} g^{rs} \frac{\partial g_{sj}}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial g_{ir}}{\partial x^l} g^{rs} \frac{\partial g_{sj}}{\partial x^k}\right) - (\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^r} \\ &= 2\frac{\partial(\gamma_g)_{jkl}}{\partial x^i} - 2g^{rs}\left((\gamma_g)_{rik}(\gamma_g)_{sjl} + (\gamma_g)_{ilr}(\gamma_g)_{jks}\right) - 2(\gamma_g)^r_{kl}(\gamma_g)_{rij} \\ &= 2\left(\frac{\partial(\gamma_g)_{jkl}}{\partial x^i} - (\gamma_g)_{jrl}(\gamma_g)^r_{ik} - (\gamma_g)_{jkr}(\gamma_g)^r_{il} - (\gamma_g)_{rkl}(\gamma_g)^r_{ij}\right) \\ &= 2g\left((\nabla^g_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \gamma_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 1.6, Remark 1.5 and Proposition 1.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h}dF_g)_{ij} &= g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} - \frac{\partial g_{ir}}{\partial x^k} g^{rs} \frac{\partial g_{sj}}{\partial x^l} - (\gamma_g)^r_{kl} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^r}\right) \\ &= g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial^2 g_{kl}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{kr}}{\partial x^i} g^{rs} \frac{\partial g_{sl}}{\partial x^j}\right) - (\alpha_g)^r \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^r} \\ &= 2\left((\beta_g)_{ij} - (\alpha_g)^r(\gamma_g)_{rij}\right) \\ &= 2(\nabla^g \alpha_g)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $g$  is a Hessian metric on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the map  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$  is harmonic, then  $\text{tr}_g \beta_g$  is nonnegative constant.*

Proof. Since  $g^{ij} \operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h} dF_g)_{ij} = 0$ , it follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g^{ij}((\beta_g)_{ij} - (\alpha_g)^r(\gamma_g)_{rij}) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}_g \beta_g - (\alpha_g)^r(\alpha_g)_r. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $\nabla^g \alpha_g = 0$  by Theorem 4.2,  $(\alpha_g)^r(\alpha_g)_r$  is nonnegative constant. □

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $g$  be the Cheng-Yau metric on a regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h$  the Cheng-Yau metric on  $\operatorname{Sym}^+(n)$ . Then  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\operatorname{Sym}^+(n), h)$  is a harmonic immersion. In particular, if  $\Omega$  is a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone, then  $F_g$  is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let  $a = a^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right)_x \in \operatorname{Ker}(dF_g)_x$ , that is,  $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} a^k = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . It follows from Proposition 2.5 that

$$0 = x^i \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} a^k = -2g_{jk} a^k$$

Hence  $a = 0$ . This implies that  $F_g$  is an immersion. It follows from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 2.6 that  $\operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla^{g,h} dF_g) = 2\nabla^g \alpha_g = 0$ . If  $\Omega$  is a homogeneous self-dual regular convex cone, we have  $\nabla^{g,h} dF_g = 2\nabla^g \gamma_g = 0$  from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 2.7. □

**5. Examples of regular convex domains and non-self-dual homogeneous regular convex cones**

The condition in Main Theorem that a regular convex domain is a cone is crucial to obtain a harmonic map. In fact, Example 5.1 shows that the Cheng-Yau metric does not give a harmonic map if the regular convex domain is not a cone. Another condition of Main Theorem that a homogeneous regular convex domain is self-dual is also necessary. Example 5.2 implies that the Cheng-Yau metric does not provide a totally geodesic map if a homogeneous regular convex cone is not self-dual.

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let  $\Omega = \{x = (x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2 > 0\}$ . The regular convex domain  $\Omega$  with the Cheng-Yau metric  $g$  is known as an example of Hessian manifolds of constant Hessian sectional curvature (c.f. Proposition 3.8 in [2]). The solution of the Monge-Ampère equation on  $\Omega$  is

$$\varphi(x) = -\frac{3}{2} \log(x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2) + \log \frac{3}{2}.$$

We have

$$d\varphi = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2 - (\frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2)} (x^1 dx^1 - dx^2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_g &= \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(x^2 - (\frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2))^2} \begin{bmatrix} x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2 & -x^1 \\ -x^1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 F_g^{-1} &= \frac{2}{3}(x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x^1 \\ x^1 & x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \text{grad } \varphi &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \end{bmatrix} F_g^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^1} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^2} \end{bmatrix} = -(x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}_g(\nabla^g \alpha_g) &= \text{tr}_g \beta_g - (\alpha_g)^r (\alpha_g)_r \\
 &= \text{tr}_g g - d\varphi(\text{grad } \varphi) \\
 &= 2 - \frac{3}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$  is not harmonic from Theorem 4.2.

EXAMPLE 5.2. We define a 5-dimensional vector space  $V$  and a regular convex cone  $\Omega$  in  $V$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= \left\{ v = \begin{bmatrix} v^1 & v^2 & v^4 \\ v^2 & v^3 & 0 \\ v^4 & 0 & v^5 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sym}(3) \right\}, \\
 \Omega &= \left\{ x = \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & x^2 & x^4 \\ x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ x^4 & 0 & x^5 \end{bmatrix} \in V \mid x' := \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & x^2 \\ x^2 & x^3 \end{bmatrix}, x'' := \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & x^4 \\ x^4 & x^5 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sym}^+(2) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The regular convex cone  $\Omega$  is called the *Vinberg cone*, which is known as an example of non-self-dual homogeneous regular convex cones [3]. Let

$$G = \left\{ A = (A', A'') = \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b_1 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \mid a, c_1, c_2 > 0 \right\}.$$

Then we can define a group representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  by

$$(\rho(A)v)' = A'v'^t A', \quad (\rho(A)v)'' = A''v''^t A'' \quad \text{for } v \in V \text{ and } A = (A', A'') \in G.$$

We obtain  $\rho(A)x \in \Omega$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $A \in G$ , that is,  $\rho(G)$  acts on  $\Omega$ . We define  $B : \Omega \rightarrow G$  by

$$B(x) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{x^1} & 0 \\ \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^1}} & \sqrt{\frac{x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2}{x^1}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{x^1} & 0 \\ \frac{x^4}{\sqrt{x^1}} & \sqrt{\frac{x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2}{x^1}} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\rho(B(x)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & x^2 & x^4 \\ x^2 & x^3 & 0 \\ x^4 & 0 & x^5 \end{bmatrix} = x.$$

Hence  $\rho(G)$  acts on  $\Omega$  transitively. Let  $\tilde{B}(x) \in \text{GL}(5, \mathbb{R})$  be the matrix representation of  $\rho(B(x)) \in \text{GL}(V)$  with respect to the standard basis

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\tilde{B}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x^2 & \sqrt{x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{(x^2)^2}{x^1} & \frac{2x^2 \sqrt{x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2}}{x^1} & \frac{x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2}{x^1} & 0 & 0 \\ x^4 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2} & 0 \\ \frac{(x^4)^2}{x^1} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2x^4 \sqrt{x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2}}{x^1} & \frac{x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2}{x^1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore it follows from Corollary 2.4 that the Cheng-Yau metric  $g$  on  $\Omega$  is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= -Dd \log |\det \tilde{B}(x)| \\ &= -Dd \log \left( \frac{(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(x^1)} \right) \\ &= -Dd \left( \frac{3}{2} \log(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2) + \frac{3}{2} \log(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2) - \log x^1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$F_g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3(x^3)^2}{2(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} + \frac{3(x^5)^2}{2(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(x^1)^2} & \frac{-3x^2 x^3}{(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & \frac{3(x^2)^2}{2(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & \frac{-3x^4 x^5}{(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} & \frac{3(x^4)^2}{2(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} \\ \frac{-3x^2 x^3}{(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & \frac{3(x^1 x^3 + (x^2)^2)}{(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & \frac{-3x^1 x^2}{(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3(x^2)^2}{(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} & \frac{-3x^1 x^2}{(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & \frac{3(x^1)^2}{2(x^1 x^3 - (x^2)^2)^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-3x^4 x^5}{(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{3(x^1 x^5 + (x^4)^2)}{(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} & \frac{-3x^1 x^4}{(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} \\ \frac{3(x^4)^2}{2(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-3x^1 x^4}{(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} & \frac{3(x^1)^2}{2(x^1 x^5 - (x^4)^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $x_0$  be the unit  $3 \times 3$  matrix in  $\Omega$ , that is,  $x_0^1 = x_0^3 = x_0^5 = 1$  and  $x_0^2 = x_0^4 = 0$ . Since

$(\gamma_g)_{22r} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial x^r}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_g)_{221}(x_0) &= (\gamma_g)_{223}(x_0) = -\frac{3}{2}, \\ (\gamma_g)_{222}(x_0) &= (\gamma_g)_{224}(x_0) = (\gamma_g)_{225}(x_0) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial(\gamma_g)_{222}}{\partial x^2}(x_0) &= 9. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$F_g(x_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_{x_0} \left( \left( \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}}^g \gamma_g \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \right) &= \frac{\partial(\gamma_g)_{222}}{\partial x^2}(x_0) - 3(\gamma_g)_{22r}(x_0)(\gamma_g)^r_{22}(x_0) \\ &= \frac{\partial(\gamma_g)_{222}}{\partial x^2}(x_0) - 3(g^{11}(x_0)(\gamma_g)_{221}(x_0)^2 + g^{33}(\gamma_g)_{223}(x_0)^2) \\ &= 9 - 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\right) + \frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{9}{8} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F_g : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^+(n), h)$  is not totally geodesic from Theorem 4.2.

---

#### References

- [1] S.Y. Cheng and S.T. Yau: *The real Monge-Ampère equation and affine flat structures*; in Proceedings the 1980 Beijing Symposium on Differential Geometry and Differential Equations, Science Press, Beijing, China, Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers Inc., New York, 1982, 339–370.
- [2] H. Shima: *The geometry of Hessian structures*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.
- [3] É.B. Vinberg: *Homogeneous cones*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **1** (1960), 787–790.

Department of Mathematics  
 Graduate School of Science  
 Osaka University  
 Osaka 560–0043  
 Japan  
 e-mail: midnight.gtrchu@gmail.com