

ON BLOCKS OF NORMAL SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

MASAFUMI MURAI

(Received November 16, 2011, revised March 19, 2012)

Abstract

For a block b of a normal subgroup of a finite group G , E.C. Dade has defined a subgroup $G[b]$ of G . We give a character-theoretical interpretation of his result on $G[b]$. In the course of proofs we determine a defect group of a block of $G[b]$ covering b . We also consider character-theoretical characterizations of isomorphic blocks with respect to normal subgroups.

Introduction

Let G be a finite group and p a prime. Let (\mathcal{K}, R, k) be a p -modular system. We assume that \mathcal{K} is sufficiently large for G . In this paper a block of G means a block ideal of RG . For a normal subgroup K of G and a block b of K , Dade [3] has defined a normal subgroup $G[b]$ of the inertial group of b in G such that $G[b] \geq K$. More precisely put $C = C_{RG}(K)$. We have $C = \bigoplus_{\bar{x} \in \bar{G}} C_{\bar{x}}$, where $\bar{G} = G/K$ and $C_{\bar{x}} = C \cap RKx$. Let e_b be the block idempotent of b . The subgroup $G[b]$ is defined by

$$G[b] = \{x \in G \mid (e_b C_{\bar{x}})(e_b C_{\bar{x}^{-1}}) = e_b C_1\}.$$

(Strictly speaking, Dade defines a subgroup $(G/K)[b]$ of G/K . The subgroup $G[b]$ is the preimage of $(G/K)[b]$ in G .) In [3, Corollary 12.6] Dade has determined $G[b]$ in terms of $C_G(Q)$ and a root of b in $C_K(Q)$, where Q is a defect group of b . In Section 3 we shall give a character-theoretical characterization of elements of $G[b]$ and give a character-theoretical interpretation of Dade's result above. In the course of proofs we determine a defect group of a block of $G[b]$ covering b , which is a refinement of a result in [9]. In Section 1, we shall consider weakly regular and regular blocks with respect to normal subgroups. In Section 4, character-theoretical characterizations of isomorphic blocks with respect to normal subgroups which involve $G[b]$ will be obtained. More applications of $G[b]$ will be given in a separate paper [11].

Notation

Let B be a block of G . The block idempotent of B will be denoted by e_B . For an irreducible character χ in B , put $\omega_B(z) = \omega_\chi(z)$, $z \in Z(RG)$. For a subset S of

G , let $\hat{S} = \sum_{s \in S} s \in RG$. For $x \in G$, let K_x be the conjugacy class of G containing x , and so \hat{K}_x is the class sum of K_x . Let $D(K_x)$ be a defect group of K_x . Let $e_B = \sum_y a_B(K_y) \hat{K}_y$, where y runs through a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of G .

Let $B_0(G)$ be the principal block of G . Let $\text{Irr}(B)$ be the set of irreducible characters in B . Let $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ be the set of irreducible characters of height 0 in B . $d(B)$ is the defect of B . For a block b of a normal subgroup K of G , let G_b be the inertial group of b in G and let $\text{BL}(G | b)$ be the set of blocks of G covering b . For an irreducible character ξ of K and a block B of G , let $\text{Irr}(B | \xi)$ be the set of irreducible characters in B lying over ξ . Put

$$\text{Irr}_0(B | \xi) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(B | \xi) \mid \text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\xi)\},$$

where $\text{ht}(\chi)$ is the height of χ . Let $*$: $R \rightarrow k$ be the natural map. For a function $\varphi: S \rightarrow R$ defined on a set S , the function $\varphi^*: S \rightarrow k$ is defined by $\varphi^*(s) = \varphi(s)^*$, $s \in S$. Let v be the valuation of \mathcal{K} normalized so that $v(p) = 1$.

1. Weakly regular and regular blocks with respect to normal subgroups

In this section we strengthen Theorem 2.1 of [9].

Proposition 1.1. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Let b be a block of N covered by a block B of G . Let D be a defect group of B . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) B is a unique weakly regular block of G covering b .
- (ii) For a block \hat{b} of DN , we have $\hat{b}^G = B$.
- (iii) For any p' -element x of G satisfying $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$, we have $x \in N$.
- (iv) For any p' -element x of G satisfying $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$ and $D(K_x) =_G D$, we have $x \in N$.
- (v) For any $x \in G$ satisfying $a_B(K_x)^* \neq 0$ and $D(K_x) =_G D$, we have $x \in N$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By replacing D by a conjugate, we may assume D is a defect group of the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B over b in the inertial group of b in G . Let \hat{b} be a unique block of DN covering b . Then $\hat{b}^G = B$, see the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [9].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This is easy to see.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). This is trivial.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). Since $a_B(K_x)^* \neq 0$, x is a p' -element. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ be the set of irreducible Brauer characters in B . Let Φ_i be the principal indecomposable character corresponding to φ_i . Let $\{\chi_j\}$ be the set of irreducible characters in B . Put

$$\varphi_i = \sum_j n_{ij} \chi_j \quad (\text{on the set of } p'\text{-elements of } G),$$

where n_{ij} are integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_B(K_x) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_i \Phi_i(1)\varphi_i(x^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_i \frac{\Phi_i(1)}{|G|} \sum_j n_{ij}\omega_{\chi_j}(\hat{K}_{x^{-1}})\frac{\chi_j(1)}{|K_x|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi_i(1)/|G|$ and $\chi_j(1)/|K_x|$ lie in R for any i and j , we obtain

$$a_B(K_x) \equiv \omega_B(\hat{K}_{x^{-1}}) \sum_i \frac{\Phi_i(1)\varphi_i(1)}{|G||K_x|} \pmod{J(R)}.$$

Since $\Phi_i(1)\varphi_i(1)/(|G||K_x|)$ lies in R for any i , $a_B(K_x)^* \neq 0$ implies $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_{x^{-1}}) \neq 0$. Hence $x \in N$ by (iv).

(v) \Rightarrow (i). Let K_s be a defect class for B ([12, p.311]). Then $K_s \subset N$ by (v). Since $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_s) \neq 0$ and $D(K_s) =_G D$, B is weakly regular with respect to N by definition ([12, p.344]). Let B_1 be any weakly regular block of G covering b . Put $e_B = s_N(e_B) + a$, where $s_N(e_B) = \sum_{K_y \subset N} a_B(K_y)\hat{K}_y$. We claim $\omega_{B_1}^*(a) = 0$. Assume this were false. Then there would be an element $x \notin N$ such that $a_B(K_x)^*\omega_{B_1}^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$. Since $a_B(K_x)^* \neq 0$, $D(K_x) \leq_G D$. Since $\omega_{B_1}^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$, $D(K_x) \geq_G D_1$, where D_1 is a defect group of B_1 . By Fong's theorem $D =_G D_1$. Thus $D(K_x) =_G D$. So $x \in N$ by (v), a contradiction, and the claim follows. Now $\omega_{B_1}^*(e_B) = \omega_{B_1}^*(s_N(e_B)) = \omega_b^*(s_N(e_B))$ by [12, Theorem 5.5.5]. Since B is weakly regular, $\omega_b^*(s_N(e_B)) = \omega_b^*(s_N(e_B)e_b) \neq 0$ by [9, Theorem 1.10]. Thus $\omega_{B_1}^*(e_B) \neq 0$. Hence $B_1 = B$ and (i) follows. The proof is complete. \square

REMARK 1.2. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is proved in [4, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 1.3. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Let b be a block of N covered by a block B of G . Let D be a defect group of B . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) B is a unique weakly regular block of G covering b and $Z(D) \leq N$.
- (ii) $B = b^G$.
- (iii) For any $x \in G$ satisfying $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$ and $D(K_x) =_G D$, we have $x \in N$.
- (iv) (iv a) For any p' -element x of G satisfying $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$ and $D(K_x) =_G D$, we have $x \in N$, and
(iv b) $Z(D) \leq N$.
- (v) (v a) For any $x \in G$ satisfying $a_B(K_x)^* \neq 0$ and $D(K_x) =_G D$, we have $x \in N$, and
(v b) $Z(D) \leq N$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). This is Theorem 2.1 of [9].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). (iv a) is trivial. Let K_s be a defect class for B ([12, p.311]). So s is a p' -element. We may assume D is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_G(s)$. Let $u \in Z(D)$. Then, as in [13, Lemma 5.15], $D(K_{us}) =_G D$ and $\omega_b^*(\hat{K}_{us}) \neq 0$. Then $us \in N$ by (iii). So $u \in N$, and $Z(D) \leq N$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). This follows from (iv) \Rightarrow (v) of Proposition 1.1.

(v) \Rightarrow (i). This follows from (v) \Rightarrow (i) of Proposition 1.1. □

REMARK 1.4. The equivalences of (i), (ii), (v) have been proved in Fan [4, Theorem 2.3] in a different way.

2. A lemma on $G[b]$

In the rest of this paper, K is a normal subgroup of a group G , and b is a block of K with a defect group Q . The following lemma is certainly well-known. We give a proof for completeness sake. We shall use this lemma without explicit reference.

Lemma 2.1. *Let x be an element of G . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $x \in G[b]$; that is, $(e_b C_{\bar{x}})(e_b C_{\bar{x}^{-1}}) = e_b C_{\bar{1}}$.
- (ii) $e_b C_{\bar{x}}$ contains a unit of $e_b C$.
- (iii) ([6, p.210]) $x \in G_b$ and x induces an inner automorphism of b .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This follows from [15, p.551, ll.5–7]¹.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This follows from [3, Proposition 2.17] and [15, p.551, ll.7–9]².

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let u be a unit of b such that $v^x = v^u$ for all $v \in b$. We claim $ux^{-1} \in e_b C_{\bar{x}^{-1}}$. Indeed, $(ux^{-1})v = v(ux^{-1})$ for all $v \in b$. Let b' be any block of K with $b' \neq b$. Let $v' \in b'$. Then $(ux^{-1})v' = uv'^x x^{-1} = 0 = v'(ux^{-1})$. So $ux^{-1} \in C$. Then the claim follows. Let u' be an element of b such that $uu' = u'u = e_b$. Then we obtain similarly that $xu' \in e_b C_{\bar{x}}$. We have $(xu')(ux^{-1}) = e_b$. So $(e_b C_{\bar{x}})(e_b C_{\bar{x}^{-1}}) \ni e_b$, which implies $(e_b C_{\bar{x}})(e_b C_{\bar{x}^{-1}}) = e_b C_{\bar{1}}$. The proof is complete. □

REMARK 2.2. See Hida–Koshitani [5, Lemma 3.2] for a module-theoretical reformulation of the definition of $G[b]$.

3. The subgroup $G[b]$

Navarro [14] has obtained a relative version of a well-known theorem of Burnside as follows (letting $K = 1$, we recover the original theorem of Burnside):

Lemma 3.1 (Navarro [14, Theorem A]). *Let χ be an irreducible character of G . The following are equivalent.*

¹Note that $e_b C_{\bar{1}} = Z(b)$ is a local R -algebra.
²In 1.9 $\mathfrak{D}G$ should be $e\mathfrak{D}G$.

- (i) χ_K is irreducible.
- (ii) For any $x \in G$, there is an element y in xK such that $\chi(y) \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that G/K is abelian. Let B be a block of G covering b . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $G = G[b]$ and for any irreducible character χ in B , χ_K is irreducible.
- (ii) For any $x \in G$, there is an element y in xK such that $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$.

Proof. In both cases, the following holds:

(*) For any irreducible character χ in B , χ_K is irreducible.

Indeed, if (i) holds, trivially (*) holds. Assume (ii) holds. Let χ be an irreducible character in B . Since $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$, we have $\chi(y) \neq 0$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, χ_K is irreducible.

Let $\{B_i\}$ be the set of blocks of G covering b . We show that (*) implies the following:

(**) For any irreducible character χ in B_i for any i , χ_K is an irreducible character in b .

Indeed, let $\xi \in \text{Irr}(b)$ be an irreducible constituent of χ_K . Let ζ be an irreducible character in B lying over ξ . By (*), $\zeta_K = \xi$. Hence $\chi = \zeta \otimes \theta$ for some $\theta \in \text{Irr}(G/K)$. Since G/K is abelian, we have $\chi_K = \xi$. Hence (**) holds. Thus for the proof of proposition we may assume (**) holds.

Recall that $C = C_{RG}(K)$. We claim the following:

(***) $e_b C = Z(Gb) = \bigoplus_i Z(B_i)$,

where $Gb = RGe_b$. By (**), b is G -invariant. This yields the second equality. We prove the first equality. Clearly $Z(Gb) \subseteq e_b C$. To prove the reverse containment, let $a \in e_b C$ and $v \in \mathcal{K}Gb$, where $\mathcal{K}Gb = \mathcal{K}Ge_b$. Let T be any irreducible matrix representation of $\mathcal{K}Gb$. By (**), restriction of T to $\mathcal{K}b$ is irreducible, where $\mathcal{K}b = \mathcal{K}Ke_b$. Since $e_b C \subseteq \mathcal{K}Gb \cap C(\mathcal{K}b)$, $T(a)$ is a scalar matrix by Schur's lemma. So $T(av - va) = 0$. It follows that $av - va = 0$, since $\mathcal{K}Gb$ is semi-simple. Therefore, $e_b C \subseteq Z(\mathcal{K}Gb) \cap RG = Z(Gb)$. (***) is proved.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x \in G$. By (i), there exists a unit u of $e_b C$ in $e_b C_{\bar{x}}$. Then, by (***), $\omega_B^*(u) \neq 0$. Since $u \in Z(RG)$ by (***) and $u \in RKx$, u is an R -linear combination of \hat{K}_z for $z \in xK$. Thus there is some $y \in xK$ such that $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$. Thus (ii) follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). The latter part follows from (**). Let ξ be an irreducible character in b . Then, by (**), any irreducible character of G lying over ξ is an extension of ξ . Therefore for any i , there is a linear character $\lambda_i: G/K \rightarrow k^*$, where k^* is the multiplicative group of k , such that $\omega_{B_i}^*(\hat{K}_g) = \omega_B^*(\hat{K}_g)\lambda_i(gK)$ for any $g \in G$. Let $x \in G$ and let y be as in (ii). Then $\omega_{B_i}^*(e_b \hat{K}_y) = \omega_{B_i}^*(\hat{K}_y) = \omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y)\lambda_i(yK) \neq 0$. Therefore, by (***), $e_b \hat{K}_y$ is a unit of $e_b C$. Since G/K is abelian, $e_b \hat{K}_y$ lies in $e_b C_{\bar{x}}$. Thus we obtain $G = G[b]$. The proof is complete. \square

The following corollary will be used repeatedly.

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that G/K is cyclic, and let $G = \langle x, K \rangle$ for an element $x \in G$. Let B be a block of G covering b . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $x \in G[b]$; that is, $G = G[b]$.
- (ii) There exists an element y in xK such that $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). G induces inner automorphisms of b , so any irreducible character in b is G -invariant. Then, since G/K is cyclic, any irreducible character in B restricts irreducibly to K . Thus (ii) holds by Proposition 3.2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). For any positive integer i , $\omega_B^*((\hat{K}_y)^i) \neq 0$. Since $y \in xK$, $(\hat{K}_y)^i$ is an integral combination of \hat{K}_z with $z \in x^i K$. So $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_z) \neq 0$ for some $z \in x^i K$. Thus (i) holds by Proposition 3.2. The proof is complete. □

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that G/K is a cyclic p -group. Let b be G -invariant. Let B be a unique block of G covering b . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $G = G[b]$.
- (ii) For any defect group S of B with $S \geq Q$, $S = Z(S)Q$.
- (ii)' For some defect group S of B , $S = Z(S)Q$.
- (iii) For any defect group S of B with $S \geq Q$, $S = C_S(Q)Q$; that is, S induces inner automorphisms of Q .
- (iii)' For some defect group S of B , $S = C_S(Q)Q$.

Proof. The assertion is trivial if $G = K$. So we assume $G \neq K$. Put $G = \langle x, K \rangle$. Let β be a block of $\langle x^p, K \rangle$ covered by B .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume $S \neq Z(S)Q$. Since b is G -invariant, $G = SK$. So $S/Q \simeq G/K$ is cyclic. Therefore $Z(S) \leq \langle x^p, K \rangle$. Then $B = \beta^G$ by Theorem 1.3. Thus $\omega_B^*(K_y) = 0$ for all $y \in xK$. Then $x \notin G[b]$ by Corollary 3.3, a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume $x \notin G[b]$. Then $x^i \notin G[b]$ for any p' -integer i . Thus $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y) = 0$ for any $y \in G - \langle x^p, K \rangle$ by Corollary 3.3. Hence $B = \beta^G$. Then $Z(S) \leq \langle x^p, K \rangle$ by Theorem 1.3. Since b is G -invariant, $G = SK$. Therefore $G = SK = Z(S)QK \leq \langle x^p, K \rangle < G$, a contradiction. Thus $x \in G[b]$, and $G = G[b]$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Since b is G -invariant, $G = SK$. So $G/K \simeq S/Q \simeq C_S(Q)/Z(Q)$ is cyclic. Hence $C_S(Q)$ is abelian, and $C_S(Q) \leq Z(S)$. Thus $S = Z(S)Q$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iii)'. Trivial.

(iii)' \Rightarrow (iii). Let U be any defect group of B with $U \geq Q$. We have $U = S^g$ for some $g \in G$. Then $Q = U \cap K = S^g \cap K = (S \cap K)^g = Q^g$. So $Q = Q^g$. Then $C_U(Q)Q = C_{S^g}(Q^g)Q^g = S^g = U$.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (ii)'. This is proved similarly.

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let b be G -invariant. Let B be a block of G covering b . We choose a block B' of $G[b]$ so that B covers B' (and B' covers b). Let D, S be defect groups of B, B' , respectively, such that $Q \leq S \leq D$. The following holds.*

- (i) $B = B'^G$. In particular, B is a unique block of G that covers B' .
- (ii) $S = QC_D(Q)$.

Proof. We first note that $G[b] \triangleleft G$, so the statement makes sense.

(i) We show $B = B'^G$. By Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show the following:

(*) For any $x \in G$ satisfying $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$ and $D(K_x) =_G D$, we have $x \in G[b]$.

We may assume D is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_G(x)$. Let χ be an irreducible character of height 0 in B . Put $\chi_{\langle x, K \rangle} = \sum_i n_i \zeta_i$, where ζ_i are distinct irreducible characters of $\langle x, K \rangle$ and n_i are positive integers. Then

$$\omega_\chi(\hat{K}_x) = \sum_i n_i \omega_{\zeta_i}(\hat{L}_x) \frac{\zeta_i(1)|G||C_K(x)|}{\chi(1)|K||C_G(x)|},$$

where L_x is the conjugacy class of $\langle x, K \rangle$ containing x . For any i , let b_i be the block of $\langle x, K \rangle$ containing ζ_i . Then b_i covers b . We claim $d(b_i) - d(b) = v(|\langle x, K \rangle|) - v(|K|)$. Indeed, let H/K be a (normal) Sylow p -subgroup of $\langle x, K \rangle/K$. Let \hat{b} be a unique block of H covering b . Then, since b_i covers \hat{b} , $d(b_i) = d(\hat{b})$. Furthermore, $d(\hat{b}) - d(b) = v(|H|) - v(|K|)$. Thus the claim follows. On the other hand, since D is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_G(x)$, $D \cap K$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_K(x)$. Furthermore $D \cap K$ is a defect group of b . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} v\left(\frac{\zeta_i(1)|G||C_K(x)|}{\chi(1)|K||C_G(x)|}\right) &= v(|\langle x, K \rangle|) - d(b_i) + \text{ht}(\zeta_i) + v(|G|) + v(|C_K(x)|) \\ &\quad - \{v(|G|) - d(B) + v(|K|) + v(|C_G(x)|)\} \\ &= v(|\langle x, K \rangle|) - v(|K|) - d(b_i) + d(b) + \text{ht}(\zeta_i) \\ &= \text{ht}(\zeta_i) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega_\chi(\hat{K}_x) \neq 0$, there exists i such that $\omega_{\zeta_i}(\hat{L}_x) \neq 0$. Then $x \in \langle x, K \rangle[b]$ by Corollary 3.3, and $x \in G[b]$. Thus (*) follows and $B = B'^G$.

If B_1 is another block of G covering B' , then similarly $B_1 = B'^G$. So $B_1 = B$.

(ii) Since $Q = D \cap K$, Q is a normal subgroup of D . Put

$$I = \{u \in D \mid u \text{ induces an inner automorphism of } Q\}.$$

Clearly $I = QC_D(Q)$, so it suffices to show $I = S$. For any $u \in D$, put $Q_u = \langle u, Q \rangle$. If b_u is a unique block of $Q_u K$ covering b , then Q_u is a defect group of b_u , cf. Lemma 4.13 of [9].

Let $u \in I$. Then Q_u induces inner automorphisms of Q . Since $Q_u K = \langle u, K \rangle$, $Q_u K = (Q_u K)[b] \leq G[b]$ by Proposition 3.4. So $u \in G[b]$, and $I \leq G[b] \cap D = S$.

Conversely let $u \in S$. Then, since $u \in G[b]$ and $Q_u K = \langle u, K \rangle$, we have $Q_u K = (Q_u K)[b]$. Thus Q_u induces inner automorphisms of Q by Proposition 3.4. So $u \in I$, and $S \leq I$. Thus $I = S$. The proof is complete. \square

- REMARK 3.6. (1) Theorem 3.5 sharpens Lemma 4.14 of [9].
 (2) Theorem 3.5 (i) is implicit in [3]. It follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 1.9 of [3].
 (3) Proposition 3.1 of [1] follows immediately from Theorem 3.5 (ii). (The assumption made there that c is nilpotent is unnecessary.)

The following extends Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that G/K is a p -group. Let B be a unique block of G covering b . Let D be a defect group of B such that $D \geq Q$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $G = G[b]$.
 (ii) b is G -invariant and $D = QC_D(Q)$.

In particular, if D is abelian and b is G -invariant, then $G = G[b]$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This follows from Theorem 3.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let B' be a block of $G[b]$ such that B covers B' and that $S := D \cap G[b]$ is a defect group of B' . Then B' covers b . Since b is G -invariant, $G = DK$ and $G[b] = SK$. By Theorem 3.5, $S = QC_D(Q) = D$. Therefore $G = G[b]$. \square

REMARK 3.8. The last statement of Corollary 3.7 is implicit in the proof of Theorem of [7].

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that G/K is a cyclic p' -group. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $G = G[b]$.
 (ii) $|\text{BL}(G | b)| = |G/K|$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Put $G = \langle x, K \rangle$. Let B be a block of G covering b . By Corollary 3.3, there exists some y in xK such that $\omega_B^*(K_y) \neq 0$. Let χ be an irreducible character in B . Let λ be any linear character of G/K . Assume that $\chi \otimes \lambda$ lies in B . Then $\omega_{\chi \otimes \lambda}^*(\hat{K}_y) = \omega_\chi^*(\hat{K}_y)$, which implies $\lambda^*(y) = 1$. Since G/K is a p' -group, we see that λ is a trivial character. Therefore we obtain $|\text{BL}(G | b)| \geq |G/K|$. To prove the reverse inequality, let $\xi \in \text{Irr}(b)$. Let m be the number of irreducible characters of G lying over ξ . Any block of G covering b contains an irreducible character lying over ξ , so $|\text{BL}(G | b)| \leq m$. On the other hand, $m \leq (\xi^G, \xi^G)_G = ((\xi^G)_K, \xi)_K \leq |G/K|$. Thus $|\text{BL}(G | b)| \leq |G/K|$, and (ii) follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). We claim that any block B in $\text{BL}(G \mid b)$ is induced from a block in $\text{BL}(G[b] \mid b)$. To see this, let \tilde{B} be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in G_b . Choose a block B' of $G[b]$ such that \tilde{B} covers B' and B' covers b . Then $\tilde{B} = B'^{G_b}$ by Theorem 3.5. So $B = \tilde{B}^G = (B'^{G_b})^G = B'^G$. Thus the claim is proved. Then $|\text{BL}(G[b] \mid b)| \geq |\text{BL}(G \mid b)|$. Since $|\text{BL}(G[b] \mid b)| \leq |G[b]/K|$ (as above), it follows that $|G/K| \leq |G[b]/K|$. Thus $G = G[b]$. The proof is complete. \square

REMARK 3.10. Application of Theorem 3.7 of [3] would shorten the proof of Proposition 3.9.

The following gives a necessary and sufficient condition for G to coincide with $G[b]$ when G/K is an arbitrary group.

Theorem 3.11. *Let B_w be a weakly regular block of G covering b . Let D_w be a defect group of B_w such that $D_w \geq Q$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $G = G[b]$.
- (ii) (ii a) b is G -invariant;
 (ii b) For any subgroup L of G such that $L \geq K$ and that L/K is a cyclic p' -group, it holds that $|\text{BL}(L \mid b)| = |L/K|$; and
 (ii c) $D_w = QC_{D_w}(Q)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This follows from Proposition 3.9 and Theorem 3.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let x be a p' -element of G and put $H = \langle x, K \rangle$. By (ii b) and Proposition 3.9, $x \in H = H[b]$. So $x \in G[b]$. Let x be a p -element of G . By (ii a) and Fong’s theorem $D_w K/K$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G/K . So $x^g \in D_w K$ for some $g \in G$. By (ii a) and [9, Lemma 2.2], D_w is a defect group of a unique block of $D_w K$ covering b . So by (ii c) and Corollary 3.7, $(D_w K)[b] = D_w K$. Thus $x^g \in G[b]$. Since $G[b] \triangleleft G$ by (ii a), $x \in G[b]$. Hence $G = G[b]$. \square

We introduce some notation. Let \tilde{b} be the Brauer correspondent of b in $N_K(Q)$ and let β be a block of $QC_K(Q)$ covered by \tilde{b} . Put $L_0 = QC_K(Q)$. Let β_0 be a block of $C_K(Q)$ covered by β . Let θ be the canonical character of β and let φ be the restriction of θ to $C_K(Q)$. So φ is the canonical character of β_0 . Let $S = N_G(Q)_\beta$ and $T = N_K(Q)_\beta$. So T is the inertial group of β_0 in $N_K(Q)$. Put $L = QC_G(Q)$ and $C = C_G(Q)$.

Noting that T and L_β are normal subgroups of S , we have $[T, L_\beta] \leq L_\beta \cap T = L_0$. So we can define (after Isaacs [6, Section 2]) $\langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta \in \mathcal{K}^*$ for $(t, x) \in T \times L_\beta$, where \mathcal{K}^* is the multiplicative group of \mathcal{K} . The definition is as follows: let $x \in L_\beta$ and let $\hat{\theta}$ be an extension of θ to $\langle x, L_0 \rangle$. Let $t \in T$. Then, since $\hat{\theta}^t$ is also an extension of θ to $\langle x, L_0 \rangle$, there exists a unique linear character λ_t of $\langle x, L_0 \rangle/L_0$ such that $\hat{\theta}^t = \hat{\theta} \otimes \lambda_t$. Then put $\langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta = \lambda_t(x)$. This definition is independent of the choice of $\hat{\theta}$. It is bilinear in the sense that $\langle\langle ts, x \rangle\rangle_\theta = \langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta \langle\langle s, x \rangle\rangle_\theta$ for $t, s \in T$ and $x \in L_\beta$.

and $\langle\langle t, xy \rangle\rangle_\theta = \langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta \langle\langle t, y \rangle\rangle_\theta$ for $t \in T$ and $x, y \in L_\beta$, see [6, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3]. Similarly we can define $\langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\varphi \in \mathcal{K}^*$ for $(t, x) \in T \times C_{\beta_0}$. It is also bilinear. Define

$$L_\omega = \{x \in L_\beta \mid \langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta = 1 \text{ for all } t \in T\},$$

$$C_\omega = \{x \in C_{\beta_0} \mid \langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\varphi = 1 \text{ for all } t \in T\}.$$

By definition, we see that for $x \in L_\beta$, the condition that $x \in L_\omega$ is equivalent to the condition that any (equivalently, some) extension of θ to $\langle x, L_0 \rangle$ is T -invariant.

Lemma 3.12. (i) L_ω is a normal subgroup of L_β such that L_β/L_ω is a p' -group.
 (ii) $L_\omega K = C_\omega K$.

Proof. (i) Put $\alpha_x(t) = \langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta$ for $(t, x) \in T \times L_\beta$. Since $\alpha_x(t) = 1$ for $t \in L_0$, α_x may be regarded as an element of $\text{Hom}(T/L_0, \mathcal{K}^*)$. Then the map α sending x to α_x is a group homomorphism from L_β to $\text{Hom}(T/L_0, \mathcal{K}^*)$. Since $\text{Ker } \alpha = L_\omega$ and T/L_0 is a p' -group, the result follows.

(ii) We have $L_\beta = C_{\beta_0} L_0$. So $L_\omega = (L_\omega \cap C_{\beta_0}) L_0$. It is easy to see $\langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\varphi = \langle\langle t, x \rangle\rangle_\theta$ for $t \in T$ and $x \in C_{\beta_0}$. So $L_\omega \cap C_{\beta_0} = C_\omega$. Thus $L_\omega = C_\omega L_0$, and hence $L_\omega K = C_\omega K$. □

Theorem 3.13. We have $G[b] = C_\omega K$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.12 it suffices to show $G[b] = L_\omega K$. We fix a block B of G covering b . Let \tilde{B} be the Harris–Knörr correspondent of B over b in $N_G(Q)$.

We first claim $G[b] \leq L_\beta K$. Let $x \in G[b]$. Put $G_x = \langle x, K \rangle$ and $L_x = L \cap G_x$. Then $L_x = QC_{G_x}(Q)$. Since the condition that $x \in G[b]$ is equivalent to the condition that b is $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant and $\langle x \rangle$ acts on b as inner automorphisms, $x \in G[b]$ if and only if $x \in G_x[b]$. Thus it suffices to show $G_x[b] \leq (L_x)_\beta K$, where $(L_x)_\beta$ is the inertial group of β in L_x . Thus we may assume $G = G_x = \langle x, K \rangle$. By Corollary 3.3, there is some $y \in xK$ such that $\omega_{\tilde{B}}^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$. Since \tilde{B} covers \tilde{b} , \tilde{B} covers β . So there is a block B' of L such that \tilde{B} covers B' and B' covers β . Let β' be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B' over β in L_β . Since a defect group of B' contains Q , we have $B'^H = \tilde{B}$. This implies $B = \beta'^G$. So $\omega_{\tilde{B}}^*(\hat{K}_y) = \omega_{\beta'}^*(\widehat{K_y \cap L_\beta})$. Thus there is $g \in G$ such that $y^g \in L_\beta \leq L_\beta K$. Then $y \in L_\beta K$, since G/K is abelian. Thus $x \in L_\beta K$, and the claim is proved.

Then $G[b] = (L_\beta \cap G[b])K$. Therefore it suffices to prove $L_\beta \cap G[b] = L_\omega$. We shall show both sides contain the same p -elements and p' -elements. It suffices to show that under the assumption that x is either a p -element or a p' -element, it holds that $x \in L_\beta \cap G[b]$ if and only if $x \in L_\omega$. Since $x \in L_\beta \cap G[b]$ if and only if $x \in (L_x)_\beta \cap G_x[b]$ and $x \in L_\omega$ if and only if $x \in (L_x)_\omega$ (here $(L_x)_\omega$ is defined in a manner similar to L_ω), we may assume $G = G_x$.

Let x be a p' -element. If $x \in L_\beta \cap G[b]$, then $x \in L_\omega$, since L_β/L_ω is a p' -group by Lemma 3.12. Conversely let $x \in L_\omega$. Then $L = \langle x, L_0 \rangle$. So $L = L_\beta \leq S$. Then $S = \langle x, T \rangle = LT$. Thus $S/L \simeq T/L_0$, and S/L is a p' -group. Let B_1 be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of \tilde{B} over β in S . Let D be a defect group of B_1 . Then $D \geq Q$. Since S/L is a p' -group, $D \leq L$. So $D = QC_D(Q)$. By the Fong–Reynolds theorem, D is a defect group of \tilde{B} . So D is a defect group of B . Since β is $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant, $b = \beta^K$ is G -invariant. Therefore, $G = G[b]$ by Proposition 3.4, and $x \in L_\beta \cap G[b]$. The proof is complete in this case.

Let x be a p' -element. It suffices to show that under the assumption that $x \in L_\beta$, $x \in G[b]$ if and only if $x \in L_\omega$. Assume $x \in L_\beta$. Then $L = \langle x, L_0 \rangle = L_\beta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{BL}(G \mid b)| &= |\text{BL}(N_G(Q) \mid \tilde{b})| \quad (\text{by the Harris–Knörr theorem}) \\ &= |\text{BL}(N_G(Q) \mid \beta)| \quad (\text{since } \tilde{b} \text{ is a unique block of } N_G(Q) \text{ covering } \beta) \\ &= |\text{BL}(S \mid \beta)| \quad (\text{by the Fong–Reynolds theorem}). \end{aligned}$$

Since β is S -invariant, if $B_1 \in \text{BL}(S \mid \beta)$ covers a block B' of L , then $B' \in \text{BL}(L \mid \beta)$. If $B' \in \text{BL}(L \mid \beta)$ and a block B_1 of S covers B' , then $B_1 \in \text{BL}(S \mid \beta)$. Further in this case B' is determined up to S -conjugacy by B_1 and $B_1 = B'^S$, since $L = QC_G(Q)$. Thus $|\text{BL}(S \mid \beta)| = |\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)/S|$, where $\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)/S$ is a set of representatives of S -conjugacy classes of $\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)$. Since $G = \langle x, K \rangle$, we have $S = \langle x, T \rangle$. So $|\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)/S| = |\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)/T| \leq |\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)|$.

Since L/L_0 is cyclic and θ is L -invariant, there is an extension of θ to L . Let \mathcal{E} be the set of such extensions. We show there is a bijection of $\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)$ onto \mathcal{E} . For any $B' \in \text{BL}(L \mid \beta)$, B' contains an irreducible character $\hat{\theta}$ lying over θ . Then $\hat{\theta} \in \mathcal{E}$. Since L/L_0 is a p' -group, B' has defect group Q . Therefore $\hat{\theta}$ is the canonical character of B' and $\hat{\theta}$ is uniquely determined. Of course any $\hat{\theta} \in \mathcal{E}$ is contained in some $B' \in \text{BL}(L \mid \beta)$. Therefore the map $B' \mapsto \hat{\theta}$ is the required bijection. So $|\text{BL}(L \mid \beta)| = |\mathcal{E}| = |L/L_0|$.

Since $|L/L_0| = |G/K|$, we obtain $|\text{BL}(G \mid b)| \leq |G/K|$. By Proposition 3.9, $x \in G[b]$ if and only if the equality holds here. The last condition is equivalent to the condition that any extension of θ to L is T -invariant. Thus it is equivalent to the condition that $x \in L_\omega$, since $L = \langle x, L_0 \rangle$. Thus $x \in G[b]$ if and only if $x \in L_\omega$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.14. *Our C_ω in Theorem 3.13 is the same as $C_\omega (= C(D \text{ in } H)_\omega$ in Dade’s notation) appearing in Corollary 12.6 of [3].*

Proof. If we denote by C'_ω the group C_ω defined above, then Theorem 3.13 becomes $G[b] = C'_\omega K$. Then $C'_\omega = C \cap G[b]$. From Dade’s theorem that $G[b] = C_\omega K$ [3, Corollary 12.6], we also obtain $C_\omega = C \cap G[b]$. Thus (our) $C_\omega = C'_\omega =$ (Dade’s) C_ω . □

Corollary 3.15 (Külshammer [8, Proposition 9]). $G[b] = N_G(Q)[\tilde{b}]K$.

Proof. Use Theorem 3.13 to $G[b]$ and $N_G(Q)[\tilde{b}]$. □

4. Isomorphic blocks

The following theorem gives characterizations of isomorphic blocks with respect to normal subgroups. For isomorphic blocks, see [5, Section 4] and references therein.

Theorem 4.1. *Let B be a block of G covering b . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $G = G[b]$, $d(B) = d(b)$ and for some irreducible character χ in B , χ_K is irreducible.
- (ii) G/K is a p' -group and for any $x \in G$, there is an element y in xK such that $\omega_B^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$.
- (iii) The restriction $\chi \mapsto \chi_K$ is a bijection of $\text{Irr}(B)$ onto $\text{Irr}(b)$.
- (iv) The restriction $\chi \mapsto \chi_K$ is a bijection of $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ onto $\text{Irr}_0(b)$.
- (v) For some character $\xi \in \text{Irr}(b)$, we have $\text{Irr}(B \mid \xi) = \{\chi\}$ with $\chi_K = \xi$.
- (vi) For some character $\xi \in \text{Irr}(b)$, we have $\text{Irr}_0(B \mid \xi) = \{\chi\}$ with $\chi_K = \xi$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since $\chi = \chi \otimes 1_{G/K}$, we see $B_0(G/K)$ is χ -dominated by B (for χ -domination see [10, p.35]). So a defect group of $B_0(G/K)$ is contained in $QK/K = 1$ by [10, Corollary 1.5]. Thus G/K is a p' -group.

Let $x \in G$ and put $H = \langle x, K \rangle$. Since $H = H[b]$, by Corollary 3.3, there is some $y \in xK$ such that $\omega_\chi^*(\hat{L}_y) \neq 0$, where L_y is the conjugacy class of H containing y . Now $C_G(y)$ normalizes H . So $C_G(y)H$ is a subgroup of G containing K . Thus $|G : C_G(y)H|$ is a p' -integer. On the other hand, we have $\omega_\chi(\hat{K}_y) = \omega_\chi(\hat{L}_y)|G : C_G(y)H|$. Therefore $\omega_\chi^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$. For any $x \in G$, there is an element $y \in xK$ such that $\chi(y) \neq 0$ by (ii). Then, by Lemma 3.1, χ_K is irreducible and $\chi_K \in \text{Irr}(b)$. Of course, then the restriction is surjective. Let $\chi' \in \text{Irr}(B)$ such that $\chi_K = \chi'_K$. Then $\chi' = \chi \otimes \theta$ for a linear character θ of G/K . For any $x \in G$, let $y \in xK$ be such that $\omega_\chi^*(\hat{K}_y) \neq 0$. We have

$$\omega_\chi^*(\hat{K}_y) = \omega_{\chi'}^*(\hat{K}_y) = \omega_\chi^*(\hat{K}_y)\theta(x)^*.$$

So $\theta(x)^* = 1$. Since G/K is a p' -group, we see that θ is the trivial character. Thus $\chi' = \chi$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Put $a = v(|G|)$ and $a' = v(|K|)$. We have $a - d(B) + \text{ht}(\chi) = a' - d(b) + \text{ht}(\chi_K)$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$. If $\text{ht}(\chi) = 0$, we obtain $a - d(B) \geq a' - d(b)$. If $\text{ht}(\chi_K) = 0$, we obtain $a' - d(b) \geq a - d(B)$. Thus $a - d(B) = a' - d(b)$. Hence $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\chi_K)$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$. Thus (iv) follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (v). This is trivial.

(iv) \Rightarrow (vi). This is trivial.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi). Let a and a' be as above. We have $a - d(B) + \text{ht}(\chi) = a' - d(b) + \text{ht}(\xi)$. Let B_w be a weakly regular block of G covering b . Since b is G -invariant, we have $a - d(B_w) = a' - d(b)$. Thus $a - d(B) \geq a - d(B_w) = a' - d(b)$. On the other hand, we have $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq \text{ht}(\xi)$ by [10, Lemma 2.2]. Thus equality holds throughout and $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\xi)$. So $\text{Irr}_0(B \mid \xi) = \{\chi\}$.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i). Let θ be an irreducible character of p' -degree in $B_0(G/K)$. Then $\chi \otimes \theta \in \text{Irr}(B \mid \xi)$. We have $\text{ht}(\chi \otimes \theta) = \text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\xi)$. Thus $\chi \otimes \theta = \chi$, and θ is the trivial character. So $B_0(G/K)$ has defect 0 by the Cliff–Plesken–Weiss theorem [2, Proposition 3.3] ([13, Problem 3.11]), and G/K is a p' -group. So $d(B) = d(b)$. Put $\zeta = \chi_{G[b]}$. We claim $\text{Irr}(G \mid \zeta) = \{\chi\}$. Let $\chi' \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \zeta)$. Then $\nu(\chi'(1)) = \nu(\zeta(1)) = \nu(\chi(1))$. Since χ' lies in B by Theorem 3.5, $\text{ht}(\chi') = \text{ht}(\chi)$. Therefore $\chi' = \chi$ by assumption, and the claim follows. Then, by Frobenius reciprocity, $\zeta^G = \chi$. Since $\zeta(1) = \chi(1)$, we obtain $G = G[b]$.

The proof is complete. \square

REMARK 4.2. The equivalence of (i) and (iii) in Theorem 4.1 follows from [5, Proposition 2.6, Theorem 3.5, and Theorem 4.1].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express his thanks to the referee for pointing out an error in an earlier version of the manuscript and for valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] D.A. Craven, C.W. Eaton, R. Kessar and M. Linckelmann: *The structure of blocks with a Klein four defect group*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), 441–476.
- [2] G.H. Cliff, W. Plesken and A. Weiss: *Order-theoretic properties of the center of a block*; in The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups (Arcata, Calif., 1986), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **47**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 413–420.
- [3] E.C. Dade: *Block extensions*, Illinois J. Math. **17** (1973), 198–272.
- [4] Y. Fan: *Remarks on Brauer correspondences*, J. Algebra **157** (1993), 213–223.
- [5] A. Hida and S. Koshitani: *Morita equivalent blocks in non-normal subgroups and p -radical blocks in finite groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **59** (1999), 541–556.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs: *Characters of solvable and symplectic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 594–635.
- [7] S. Koshitani and B. Külshammer: *A splitting theorem for blocks*, Osaka J. Math. **33** (1996), 343–346.
- [8] B. Külshammer: *Morita equivalent blocks in Clifford theory of finite groups*, Astérisque **181–182** (1990), 209–215.
- [9] M. Murai: *Block induction, normal subgroups and characters of height zero*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 9–25.
- [10] M. Murai: *Normal subgroups and heights of characters*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 31–43.
- [11] M. Murai: *Blocks of normal subgroups, automorphisms of groups and the Alperin–McKay conjecture*, preprint.

- [12] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [13] G. Navarro: *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [14] G. Navarro: *Irreducible restriction and zeros of characters*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 1643–1645.
- [15] A. Watanabe: *The Glauberman character correspondence and perfect isometries for blocks of finite groups*, J. Algebra **216** (1999), 548–565.

Meiji-machi 2-27
Izumi Toki-shi
Gifu 509-5146
Japan
e-mail: m.murai@train.ocn.ne.jp
Passed away on July 2012