LOCALLY CONFORMAL KÄHLER STRUCTURES ON COMPACT SOLVMANIFOLDS

Hiroshi SAWAI

Abstract

Let (M, g, J) be a compact Hermitian manifold and Ω the fundamental 2-form of (g, J). A Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is said to be locally conformal Kähler if there exists a closed 1-form ω such that $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate a relation between a locally conformal Kähler structure and the adapted differential operator on compact solvmanifolds.

Introduction

Let (M, g, J) be a $2n$-dimensional compact Hermitian manifold. We denote by Ω the fundamental 2-form, that is, the 2-form defined by $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$. A Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is said to be *locally conformal Kähler* if there exists a closed 1-form ω such that $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$. The closed 1-form ω is called *Lee form*. In the case $\omega = df$, $(M, e^{-f}g, J)$ is Kähler. The main non-Kähler examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds are Hopf manifolds [15], Inoue surfaces [14] and generalized Kodaira–Thurston manifolds [5] (cf. [6]). Note that Inoue surfaces and generalized Kodaira–Thurston manifolds have a structure of a compact solvmanifold. In this paper, we investigate locally conformal Kähler structures on a compact solvmanifold $\Gamma \backslash G$ with a left-invariant complex structure, where G is a simply-connected solvable Lie group and Γ is a lattice of G, that is, a discrete co-compact subgroup.

An n-dimensional complex manifold M is said to be *complex parallelizable* if it admits holomorphic vector fields $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ which are linearly independent at every point. Wang [16] proved that a compact complex parallelizable manifold M is biholomorphic to a homogeneous space $D \backslash G$, where G is a simply connected complex Lie group and D is a discrete subgroup of G. Abbena–Grassi [1] proved that a nontoral compact complex parallelizable manifold has no locally conformal Kähler structures. The author in [12] proved that if a compact nilmanifold M with a left-invariant complex structure has a locally conformal Kähler structure, then M is biholomorphic to a Kodaira–Thurston manifold or a generalized Kodaira–Thurston manifold.

A locally conformal Kähler manifold (M, g, J) is said to be *a generalized Hopf manifold* if the Lee form ω is parallel with respect to metric g. Vaisman [15] proved

---

that a generalized Hopf manifold has a structure of a principal S^1-bundle over a compact Sasakian manifold. Hopf manifolds and generalized Kodaira–Thurston manifolds are generalized Hopf manifolds. Tricerri [14] constructed a locally conformal Kähler structure on Inoue surfaces with non-parallel Lee form ω. In Section 3, we prove that

Main Theorem. *Let* $(\Gamma\backslash G, g, J)$ *be a locally conformal Kähler solvmanifold of* dim $\Gamma\backslash G \geq 4$ *with a left-invariant complex structure and* ω *the Lee form. We assume that* $(\Gamma\backslash G, g, J)$ *satisfies the following conditions*:
1. *There exists a left-invariant closed* 1-*form* ω_0 *such that the Lee form* ω *is cohomologous to* ω_0.
2. *The fundamental* 2-*form* Ω *of* (g, J) *can be written as* $\Omega = -\omega \wedge \eta + d\eta$, *where* $\eta = -\omega \circ J$.
Then $\Gamma\backslash G$ *has a left-invariant locally conformal Kähler structure with the parallel Lee form* ω_0, *in particular*, $\Gamma\backslash G$ *is a generalized Hopf manifold.*

In Section 4, we see that Kodaira–Thurston manifolds and secondary Kodaira–Thurston manifolds are examples of Main Theorem.

Hasegawa [7] proved that a complex structure on a 4-dimensional compact solvmanifold is induced from a left-invariant complex structure on the solvable Lie group and classified 4-dimensional compact solvmanifolds with a complex structure. By this classification, we have a classification of 4-dimensional locally conformal Kähler solvmanifolds in Section 4.

1. Preliminaries

Let $(M = \Gamma\backslash G, g, J)$ be a compact locally conformal Kähler solvmanifold such that J is left-invariant. In this section, we see that the locally conformal Kähler metric g induces a left-invariant locally conformal Kähler metric and consider its fundamental 2-form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G.

Let Ω be the fundamental 2-form of (g, J). There exists a closed 1-form ω such that $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$. We now assume that the associated Lee form satisfies the condition 1 in Main Theorem. Then there exists a left-invariant closed 1-form ω_0 such that $\omega_0 - \omega = df$. This assumption holds for completely solvmanifolds [9]. Then we define a left-invariant 2-form Ω_0 by

$$\Omega_0(X, Y) = \int_M (e^f \Omega)(X, Y) \, d\mu$$

for left-invariant vector fields X, Y, where $d\mu$ is the volume element induced by a bi-invariant volume element on G. Belgun [3] proved that Ω_0 is J-invariant and it is the fundamental 2-form of a Hermitian structure $(\langle\,,\,\rangle, J)$ on the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G such that $d\Omega_0 = \omega_0 \wedge \Omega_0$.

DEFINITION 1.1.   Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and α a closed 1-form on $\mathfrak{g}$. We define the adapted algebraic complex $\left(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*, d_\alpha \right)$ with the differential operator d_α:

$$d_\alpha \beta := \alpha \wedge \beta + d\beta$$

for $\beta \in \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*$. Note that $d_\alpha^2 = 0$ because α is closed. A p-form β is called α-*closed* if $d_\alpha \beta = 0$. It is called α-*exact* if there exists a $(p-1)$-form γ such that $\beta = d_\alpha \gamma$.

On the above Hermitian structure $(\langle \, , \, \rangle, J)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, since ω_0 is a closed 1-form, the fundamental 2-form Ω_0 is $-\omega_0$-closed. We say that $(\langle \, , \, \rangle, J)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is *locally conformal Kähler*. In Section 4, we consider a relation between a property of Lee form and the adapted differential operator on 4-dimensional solvmanifolds.

We next show that Ω_0 is $-\omega_0$-exact if the second condition in Main Theorem also holds true.

Proposition 1.2 (cf. [3]).   *Let Ω_0 be the 2-form on $\mathfrak{g}$ above. If $\Omega = -\omega \wedge \eta + d\eta$, then Ω_0 is $-\omega_0$-exact. In addition, if $\eta = -\omega \circ J$, then $\eta_0 = -k\omega_0 \circ J$, where $k = \int_M e^f \, d\mu$.*

Proof.   Since $\Omega = -\omega \wedge \eta + d\eta$ and $\omega = \omega_0 - df$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^f \Omega &= e^f(-\omega \wedge \eta + d\eta) \\
&= e^f(-\omega_0 \wedge \eta + df \wedge \eta + d\eta) \\
&= -\omega_0 \wedge e^f \eta + d(e^f) \wedge \eta + e^f \, d\eta \\
&= -\omega_0 \wedge e^f \eta + d(e^f \eta).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0(X, Y) &= \int_M (-\omega_0 \wedge e^f \eta + d(e^f \eta))(X, Y) \, d\mu \\
&= -\omega_0(X) \int_M (e^f \eta)(Y) \, d\mu + \omega_0(Y) \int_M (e^f \eta)(X) \, d\mu + \int_M d(e^f \eta)(X, Y) \, d\mu,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Now, we define a 1-form η_0 on $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\eta_0(X) = \int_M (e^f \eta)(X) \, d\mu$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $d\mu$ is the right-invariant volume element, its Lie derivative $L_X \, d\mu$ along a left-invariant vector fields X is zero. Then, for any function F on M, we see that

$$(XF) \, d\mu = (L_X F) \, d\mu = L_X(F \, d\mu) = di(X)F \, d\mu + i(X) \, d(F \, d\mu) = di(X)F \, d\mu,$$

where $i(X)$ is the interior product with the vector field X. Therefore, by Stokes's theorem, we have

$$\int_M d(e^f \eta)(X, Y) \, d\mu$$

$$= \int_M X((e^f \eta)(Y)) \, d\mu - \int_M Y((e^f \eta)(X)) \, d\mu - \int_M (e^f \eta)([X, Y]) \, d\mu$$

$$= \int_M di(X)(e^f \eta(Y)) \, d\mu - \int_M di(Y)(e^f \eta(X)) \, d\mu - \eta_0([X, Y])$$

$$= d\eta_0(X, Y).$$

Thus we see that

$$\Omega_0(X, Y) = -\omega_0(X) \int_M (e^f \eta)(Y) \, d\mu + \omega_0(Y) \int_M (e^f \eta)(X) \, d\mu + \int_M d(e^f \eta)(X, Y) \, d\mu$$

$$= -\omega_0(X)\eta_0(Y) + \omega_0(Y)\eta_0(X) + d\eta_0(X, Y)$$

$$= (-\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0)(X, Y).$$

Similarly, if $\eta = -\omega \circ J$, then we have

$$\eta_0(X) = \int_M (e^f \eta)(X) \, d\mu = -\int_M (e^f \omega)(JX) \, d\mu = -\int_M (e^f \omega_0 - e^f \, df)(JX) \, d\mu$$

$$= -\omega_0(JX) \int_M e^f \, d\mu + \int_M d(e^f)(JX) \, d\mu$$

$$= -\omega_0(JX) \int_M e^f \, d\mu + \int_M (JX)(e^f) \, d\mu$$

$$= -\left(\int_M e^f \, d\mu \right) \omega_0(JX).$$

Thus we see that $\eta_0 = -k\omega_0 \circ J$, where $k = \int_M e^f \, d\mu$. $\qquad\square$

By Proposition 1.2, we see that $\Omega_0 = -\omega_0 \wedge k\eta_0' + k \, d\eta_0' = k(-\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0' + d\eta_0') = kd_{-\omega_0}\eta_0'$, where $\eta_0' = (1/k)\eta_0 = -\omega_0 \circ J$. Then the locally conformal Kähler structure (Ω_0, J) induces the locally conformal Kähler structure $(\Omega_0' = d_{-\omega_0}(-\omega_0 \circ J), J)$ on the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, by replacing Ω_0 by Ω_0', in order to prove Main Theorem, it is enough to show that if $(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega_0 = d_{-\omega_0}(-\omega_0 \circ J), J)$ is a locally conformal Kähler solvable Lie algebra, then the Lee form ω_0 is parallel with respect to $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ (see Section 3).

2. The adjoint operators and the inner product

Let $(\langle \, , \, \rangle, J)$ be the locally conformal Kähler structure as we consider in Section 1 on solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, namely Ω_0 can be written as $\Omega_0 = d_{-\omega_0}\eta_0 = -\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0$,

where ω_0 is a closed 1-form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\eta_0 = -\omega_0 \circ J$. In this section, we investigate the properties of $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\,,\,\rangle, J)$.

Let γ be the canonical isomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}^*$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by the inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle$. Put $A = \gamma(\omega_0)$. By this normalization, we may assume that $\langle A, A \rangle = 1$.

We easily see that an abelian Lie algebra of dimension equal to or more than 4 has no locally conformal Kähler structures. From now on, we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not abelian.

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent. We take the descending central series for $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$: $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \supset \mathfrak{n}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \supset \mathfrak{n}^{(2)} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^{(1)}] \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{n}^{(r)} \supset \mathfrak{n}^{(r+1)} = 0$, where $\mathfrak{n}^{(i+1)} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^{(i)}]$ $(i \geq 1)$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{(r)} \neq 0$. We easily see that $\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ is contained in the center $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ of $\mathfrak{n}$. Note that, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathrm{ad}(X)\mathfrak{n}^{(i)} \subset \mathfrak{n}^{(i)}$ for each i. Then we get

Lemma 2.1. $J\mathfrak{n}^{(r)} \subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$, where $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ is the orthogonal component of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.

Proof. For $Z \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ and $X \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, we see that

$$\langle JZ, X \rangle = \Omega_0(X, Z) = (-\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0)(X, Z) = 0,$$

because ω_0 is closed and $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{n})$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.2. For $Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$, $[JZ, Z'] = [JZ', Z]$ and $[JZ, JZ'] = 0$.

Proof. The Nijenhuis tensor $\mathbf{N}_J$ vanishes, because J is integrable. Since $Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$, we see that

$$0 = \mathbf{N}_J(Z, Z') = [Z, Z'] + J[JZ, Z'] + J[Z, JZ'] - [JZ, JZ']$$
$$= \quad J\{[JZ, Z'] + [Z, JZ']\} - [JZ, JZ'].$$

Note that $[JZ, Z'], [Z, JZ'] \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$. It follows that $J\{[JZ, Z'] + [Z, JZ']\} \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus we have $[JZ, Z'] + [Z, JZ'] = 0$ and $[JZ, JZ'] = 0$. $\qquad\square$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

Proposition 2.3. For $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$,

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}(JU)V, W \rangle + \omega_0(JV)\langle U, W \rangle = \langle V, \mathrm{ad}(JU)W \rangle + \omega_0(JW)\langle U, V \rangle.$$

Proof. Since $\Omega_0 = -\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0$ and ω_0 is closed 1-form, we see that

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}(JU)V, W \rangle = \Omega_0(J\,\mathrm{ad}(JU)V, W) = -\Omega_0(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V, JW)$$

(2.1)
$$= (\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 - d\eta_0)(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V, JW)$$

$$= -\omega_0(JW)\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V) - d\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V, JW).$$

Since $\eta_0 = -\omega_0 \circ J$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\omega_0(JW)\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V) &= \omega_0(JW)\,d\eta_0(JU, V) \\
&= \omega_0(JW)\{\Omega_0(JU, V) + \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0(JU, V)\} \\
&= \omega_0(JW)\langle U, V \rangle - \omega_0(JW)\omega_0(JU)\omega_0(JV).
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.2)

From the derivation conditions and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V, JW) &= d\eta_0(JU, [V, JW]) - d\eta_0(V, [JU, JW]) \\
&= d\eta_0(JU, [V, JW]) \\
&= d\eta_0(JU, [W, JV]) \\
&= d\eta_0([JU, W], JV) + d\eta_0(W, [JU, JV]) \\
&= d\eta_0([JU, W], JV) = -d\eta_0(JV, [JU, W]).
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-d\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(JU)V, JW) \\
= d\eta_0(JV, [JU, W]) \\
= \Omega_0(JV, [JU, W]) + \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0(JV, [JU, W]) \\
= \langle V, [JU, W] \rangle - \omega_0(JV)\,d\eta_0(JU, W) \\
= \langle V, [JU, W] \rangle - \omega_0(JV)\{\Omega_0(JU, W) + \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0(JU, W)\} \\
= \langle V, [JU, W] \rangle - \omega_0(JV)\langle U, W \rangle + \omega_0(JV)\omega_0(JU)\omega_0(JW).
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.3)

From (2.1) and (2.2)), (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{ad}(JU)V, W \rangle &= \omega_0(JW)\langle U, V \rangle - \omega_0(JW)\omega_0(JU)\omega_0(JV) \\
&\quad + \langle V, [JU, W] \rangle - \omega_0(JV)\langle U, W \rangle + \omega_0(JV)\omega_0(JU)\omega_0(JW),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\langle \mathrm{ad}(JU)V, W \rangle + \omega_0(JV)\langle U, W \rangle = \langle V, \mathrm{ad}(JU)W \rangle + \omega_0(JW)\langle U, V \rangle. \qquad \square
$$

It is well-known that if a solvable Lie group G admits a lattice Γ, then the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G is unimodular. We define

DEFINITION 2.4.   A solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called strongly unimodular if, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathrm{tr}\,\mathrm{ad}(X)|_{\mathfrak{n}^{(i)}} = 0$ for each i.

Benson–Gordon [4] proved that if a solvable Lie group G admits a lattice Γ, then the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G is strongly unimodular.

We take an orthonormal frame $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ and consider the strongly unimodular conditions of $\mathrm{ad}(JZ_i)$ from $\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ to $\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ for each i.

For each i, let

$$\text{ad}(JZ_i)(Z_1, \ldots, Z_m) = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_m) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i) & \cdots & a_{1m}(i) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}(i) & \cdots & a_{mm}(i) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 2.3, we see that

$$\langle \text{ad}(JZ_i)Z_j, Z_k \rangle + \omega_0(JZ_j)\langle Z_i, Z_k \rangle = \langle Z_j, \text{ad}(JZ_i)Z_k \rangle + \omega_0(JZ_k)\langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle,$$

$$a_{kj}(i) + \delta_{ik}\omega_0(JZ_j) = a_{jk}(i) + \delta_{ij}\omega_0(JZ_k).$$

It follows that, in the case of $j, k \neq i$,

$$a_{kj}(i) = a_{jk}(i)$$

and, in the case of $j = i$, $k \neq i$,

$$a_{ki}(i) = a_{ik}(i) + \omega_0(JZ_k).$$

Then we get

$$(2.4) \qquad\qquad (a_{jk}(i)) = A_i + \begin{pmatrix} & \overset{\text{line } i}{\omega_0(JZ_1)} & \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ & \omega_0(JZ_m) & \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_i is an $(m \times m)$-symmetric matrix.

Moreover, put

$$A_i = \text{row } i \begin{pmatrix} & & \overset{\text{line } i}{a_1^i} & & \\ & * & \vdots & * & \\ a_1^i & \cdots & a_i^i & \cdots & a_m^i \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & * & a_m^i & * & \end{pmatrix},$$

for each i. Since $[JZ_i, Z_j] = [JZ_j, Z_i]$, we get

$$a_{jj}(i) = \langle \text{ad}(JZ_i)Z_j, Z_j \rangle = \langle \text{ad}(JZ_j)Z_i, Z_j \rangle = a_i^j$$

for $j \neq i$. Then A_i can be written as

$$
A_i = \begin{array}{c} \text{line } i \\ \text{row } i \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} a_i^1 & & a_1^i & & \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \text{\Large ✽} \\ a_1^i & \cdots & a_i^i & \cdots & a_m^i \\ & & \vdots & \ddots & \\ & \text{\Large ✽} & a_m^i & & a_i^m \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Thus (2.4) can be expressed as follows:

$$
(2.5) \qquad (a_{jk}(i)) = \begin{array}{c} \text{line } i \\ \text{row } i \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} a_i^1 & & a_1^i + \omega_0(JZ_1) & & \\ & \text{\Large ✽} & & & \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \text{\Large ✽} \\ \text{\Large ✽} & & & & \\ a_1^i & \cdots & a_i^i + \omega_0(JZ_i) & \cdots & a_m^i \\ & & & \text{\Large ✽} & \\ & & \vdots & \ddots & \\ \text{\Large ✽} & & & \text{\Large ✽} & \\ & a_m^i + \omega_0(JZ_m) & & & a_i^m \end{pmatrix}.
$$

From the strongly unimodular condition of $\mathrm{ad}(JZ_i)|_{\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}}$, we have

Proposition 2.5. *For each i,* $\left(\sum_j a_i^j\right) + \omega_0(JZ_i) = 0.$

Then we have

Corollary 2.6. $\sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 = 1.$

Proof.   For each i, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 = \langle Z_i, Z_i \rangle &= \Omega_0(JZ_i, Z_i) \\
&= (-\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0)(JZ_i, Z_i) \\
&= -\omega_0(JZ_i)\eta_0(Z_i) - \eta_0([JZ_i, Z_i]) \\
&= \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \omega_0(J[JZ_i, Z_i]),
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\eta_0 = -\omega_0 \circ J$. From (2.5),

$$1 = \langle Z_i, Z_i \rangle = \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \omega_0(J[JZ_i, Z_i]),$$

(2.6)
$$1 = \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \omega_0 \circ J\left(\sum_j (a_j^i + \omega_0(JZ_j))Z_j\right),$$

$$1 = \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \sum_j a_j^i \omega_0(JZ_j) + \sum_j \omega_0(JZ_j)^2.$$

Take the sum of (2.6) for i, we get

$$\sum_i 1 = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \sum_{i,j} a_j^i \omega_0(JZ_j) + \sum_{i,j} \omega_0(JZ_j)^2,$$

$$m = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \sum_i \left(\sum_j a_i^j\right) \omega_0(JZ_i) + m \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2.$$

By Proposition 2.5, we have

$$m = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \sum_i (-\omega_0(JZ_i))\omega_0(JZ_i) + m \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2,$$

$$m = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 - \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + m \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2,$$

$$m = m \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2,$$

which implies that $\sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 = 1$.   □

Corollary 2.7. $A = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)JZ_i \in J\mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$.

Proof. Since $A = \gamma(\omega_0)$, it can be given by

$$A = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)JZ_i + B,$$

where $B \in (J\mathfrak{n}^{(r)})^{\perp} \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^{\perp}$. From $\langle A, A \rangle = 1$, we have

$$1 = \langle A, A \rangle = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 \langle JZ_i, JZ_i \rangle + \langle B, B \rangle = \sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)^2 + \langle B, B \rangle.$$

By Corollary 2.6, we have $\langle B, B \rangle = 0$, which implies that $B = 0$.   □

3.   Structure on the solvable Lie algebra

We use same notation introduced in Section 2. In this section, we prove that JA is in the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and have Main Theorem.

We see $JA = -\sum_i \omega_0(JZ_i)Z_i \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$ by Corollary 2.7. Put $Z_0 = JA$. Note that $\eta_0(Z_0) = -\omega_0 \circ J(JA) = 1$ and $\eta_0(A) = -\omega_0 \circ J(A) = 0$. We get

Lemma 3.1.   *For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $d\eta_0(A, X) = 0$ and $d\eta_0(Z_0, X) = 0$.*

Proof.   Since $\eta_0 = -\omega_0 \circ J$, we see that

$$d\eta_0(A, X) = \Omega_0(A, X) + \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0(A, X) = \langle A, JX \rangle + \eta_0(X) = \omega_0(JX) + \eta_0(X)$$
$$= 0.$$

Similarly, we get

$$d\eta_0(Z_0, X) = \Omega_0(Z_0, X) + \omega_0 \wedge \eta_0(Z_0, X) = -\langle X, JZ_0 \rangle - \omega_0(X) = \langle X, A \rangle - \omega_0(X)$$
$$= 0. \qquad \square$$

Then we see that

Proposition 3.2.   *For $U \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$, $\mathrm{ad}(A)U = 0$.*

Proof.   By a straightforward computation, we see that

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}(A)U, \mathrm{ad}(A)U \rangle = \Omega_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U, \mathrm{ad}(A)U)$$
$$= (-\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0)(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U, \mathrm{ad}(A)U)$$
$$= -\omega_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U)\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(A)U) + d\eta_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U, \mathrm{ad}(A)U).$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the derivation conditions,

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}(A)U, \mathrm{ad}(A)U \rangle = \omega_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U)\, d\eta_0(A, U) - d\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(A)U, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U)$$
$$= -d\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(A)U, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U)$$
$$= -d\eta_0(A, [U, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U]) + d\eta_0(U, [A, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U])$$
$$= d\eta_0(U, [A, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U]).$$

Now, since $JA = Z_0$ and $\mathrm{ad}(A)U \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$, we see that

$$[A, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U] = [-JZ_0, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U] = 0$$

by Lemma 2.2. It follows that $d\eta_0(U, [A, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)U]) = 0$, which implies that $\mathrm{ad}(A)U = 0$. $\qquad \square$

Therefore we have

Theorem 3.3. $Z_0 \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 3.1, we see that

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0 \rangle = \Omega_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)$$
$$= (-\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 + d\eta_0)(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)$$
$$= -\omega_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0) + d\eta_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)$$
$$= \omega_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)\, d\eta_0(X, Z_0) + d\eta_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)$$
$$= d\eta_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0).$$

Moreover, from the derivation conditions and $Z_0 \in Z([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])$,

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0 \rangle = d\eta_0(J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0) = -d\eta_0(\mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0)$$
$$= -d\eta_0(X, [Z_0, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0]) + d\eta_0(Z_0, [X, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0])$$
$$= -d\eta_0(X, [Z_0, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0]).$$

Now, since $\mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0 \in \mathfrak{n}^{(r)}$, we see that

$$[Z_0, J \circ \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0] = [\mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0, JZ_0] = [A, \mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0] = 0$$

by Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.2. It follows that $d\eta_0(X, [Z_0, J\,\mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0]) = 0$, which implies that $\mathrm{ad}(X)Z_0 = 0$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. $\quad\square$

From Theorem 3.3, we have

Corollary 3.4. $\mathrm{ad}(A) \circ J = J \circ \mathrm{ad}(A)$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since J is integrable, we see that

$$0 = \mathbf{N}_J(A, X) = [A, X] + J[JA, X] + J[A, JX] - [JA, JX]$$
$$0 = [A, X] + J[Z_0, X] + J[A, JX] - [Z_0, JX].$$

From $Z_0 \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, $[A, X] + J[A, JX] = 0$. Then we have our claim. $\quad\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Lee form ω_0 is parallel, if and only if Ω_0 is $\mathrm{ad}(A)$-invariant.*

Proof. Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection of $\langle\,,\,\rangle$. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2(\nabla_X \omega_0)(Y) &= -2\omega_0(\nabla_X Y) = -2\langle A, \nabla_X Y\rangle \\
&= -\langle [A, X], Y\rangle - \langle X, [A, Y]\rangle - \langle A, [X, Y]\rangle \\
&= -\Omega_0(J[A, X], Y) - \Omega_0(JX, [A, Y]) - \omega_0([X, Y]) \\
&= -\Omega_0(J[A, X], Y) - \Omega_0(JX, [A, Y]),
\end{aligned}
$$

because ω_0 is a closed 1-form. From Corollary 3.4, we get

$$
2(\nabla_X \omega_0)(Y) = -\Omega_0([A, JX], Y) - \Omega_0(JX, [A, Y]) = (\mathrm{ad}^*(A)\Omega_0)(JX, Y).
$$

Thus we have our claim. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Main Theorem. By Corollary 3.5, to prove Main Theorem, it is enough to show that Ω_0 is $\mathrm{ad}(A)$-invariant.

Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. By a straightforward computation, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{ad}^*(A)\Omega_0)(X, Y) &= -\Omega_0([A, X], Y) - \Omega_0(X, [A, Y]) \\
&= (\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 - d\eta_0)([A, X], Y) + (\omega_0 \wedge \eta_0 - d\eta_0)(X, [A, Y]) \\
&= -\omega_0(Y)\eta_0([A, X]) - d\eta_0([A, X], Y) \\
&\quad + \omega_0(X)\eta_0([A, X]) - d\eta_0(X, [A, Y]).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, we get $\eta_0([A, X]) = -d\eta_0(A, X) = 0$ and $\eta_0([A, Y]) = 0$. Moreover, from the derivation conditions,

$$
d\eta_0([A, X], Y) + d\eta_0(X, [A, Y]) = d\eta_0(A, [X, Y]) = 0,
$$

which implies that Ω_0 is $\mathrm{ad}(A)$-invariant. This completes the proof of Main Theorem. $\qquad\square$

4. Examples

In this section, we give examples of Main Theorem and consider locally conformal Kähler structures on 4-dimensional compact solvmanifolds. Note that a compact Kähler solvmanifold is a finite quotient of a complex tours which has a structure of a complex tours bundle over a complex tours ([2], [8]).

Hasegawa [7] classified a 4-dimensional compact solvmanifold and proved that any complex structure on such solvmanifold is induced from a left-invariant complex structure on Lie group. By this classification, we see that a 4-dimensional locally conformal Kähler solvmanifold is biholomorphic to Kodaira–Thurston manifold, Secondary Kodaira–Thurston manifold or Inoue surfaces.

We see that Kodaira–Thurston manifolds and secondary Kodaira–Thurston manifolds are examples of Main Theorem:

EXAMPLE 4.1 (Kodaira–Thurston manifold [5]). Let G be a 3-dimensional nilpotent Lie group given by

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The Lie group G admits a lattice Γ. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the nilpotent Lie algebra corresponding to G. $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathrm{span}\{X, Y, Z, A \colon [X, Y] = Z\}.$$

Let $\{x, y, z, \omega\}$ be the dual base of $\{X, Y, Z, A\}$:

$$dx = dy = d\omega = 0, \quad dz = -x \wedge y.$$

We define a left-invariant metric $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ on $\Gamma \backslash G \times S^1$ such that $\{X, Y, Z, A\}$ is an orthonormal frame and a left-invariant complex structure J by $JA = Z, JX = Y$. Then $(\Gamma \backslash G \times S^1, \langle \, , \, \rangle, J)$ is a locally conformal Kähler manifold with the fundamental 2-form given by

$$\Omega_0 = -\omega \wedge z - x \wedge y = d_{-\omega}z = d_{-\omega}(-\omega \circ J).$$

Hence the fundamental 2-form Ω_0 is $-\omega$-exact. We easily see that Lee form ω is parallel.

EXAMPLE 4.2 (Secondary Kodaira–Thurston manifold (cf. [15])). Let G be a 4-dimensional solvable Lie group given by

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2}(x \sin t + y \cos t) & \frac{1}{2}(x \cos t - y \sin t) & z \\ 0 & \cos t & \sin t & x \\ 0 & -\sin t & \cos t & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The Lie group G admits a lattice Γ:

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2}(u \sin 2\pi s + v \cos 2\pi s) & \frac{1}{2}(u \cos 2\pi s - v \sin 2\pi s) & w \\ 0 & \cos 2\pi s & \sin 2\pi s & u \\ 0 & -\sin 2\pi s & \cos 2\pi s & v \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : s, u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the solvable Lie algebra corresponding to G:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{A, X, Y, Z \colon [A, X] = Y, \ [A, Y] = -X, \ [X, Y] = Z\}.$$

Let $\{\omega, x, y, z\}$ be the dual base of $\{A, X, Y, Z\}$:

$$d\omega = 0, \quad dx = -\omega \wedge y, \quad dy = \omega \wedge x, \quad dz = -x \wedge y.$$

We define a left-invariant metric $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ such that $\{A, X, Y, Z\}$ is an orthonormal frame and a left-invariant complex structure J by $JA = Z$, $JX = Y$. Then $(\Gamma \backslash G, \langle \, , \, \rangle, J)$ is a locally conformal Kähler manifold with the fundamental 2-form given by

$$\Omega_0 = -\omega \wedge z - x \wedge y = d_{-\omega} z = d_{-\omega}(-\omega \circ J).$$

Hence the fundamental 2-form Ω_0 is $-\omega$-exact. We easily see that Lee form ω is parallel.

A locally conformal Kähler structure on Inoue surfaces are different from one on solvmanifolds as Main Theorem:

EXAMPLE 4.3 (Inoue surface S^0 [11], [14]).   Let $B \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ be a unimodular matrix with eigenvalues $\alpha, \beta, \bar{\beta}$ such that $\beta \neq \bar{\beta}$, and eigenvectors $(a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ of α, β, respectively. Then we define a structure of the group on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} = \{(x + \sqrt{-1}\alpha^t, z) \colon x, t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ as follows:

$$(x + \sqrt{-1}\alpha^t, z) \cdot (x' + \sqrt{-1}\alpha^{t'}, z') = (\alpha^t x' + x + \sqrt{-1}\alpha^{t+t'}, \beta^t z' + z).$$

It can be expressed by

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^t & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & \beta^t & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\beta}^t & \bar{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \colon t, x \in \mathbb{R}, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Thus we easily see that the Lie group G is solvable and it admits a lattice Γ:

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^s & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & \beta^s & 0 & P\begin{pmatrix} u \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\beta}^s & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \colon s, u, w_1, \ w_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where $P = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ \bar{b}_1 & \bar{b}_2 & \bar{b}_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the solvable Lie algebra corresponding to G:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{A, X, Y_1, Y_2 \colon [A, X] = -2rX, \ [A, Y_1] = rY_1 + \theta Y_2, \ [A, Y_2] = rY_2 - \theta Y_1\},$$

where $\alpha = e^{-2r}$ and $\beta = e^{r+\sqrt{-1}\theta}$. Let $\{\omega, x, y_1, y_2\}$ be the dual base of $\{A, X, Y_1, Y_2\}$:

$$d\omega = 0, \quad dx = 2r\omega \wedge x, \quad dy_1 = -r\omega \wedge y_1 + \theta\omega \wedge y_2, \quad dy_2 = -r\omega \wedge y_2 - \theta\omega \wedge y_1.$$

We define a left-invariant metric $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ on $\Gamma\backslash G$ such that $\{A, X, Y_1, Y_2\}$ is an orthonormal frame and a left-invariant complex structure J by $JA = X$, $JY_1 = Y_2$. Then $(\Gamma\backslash G, \langle\,,\,\rangle, J)$ is a locally conformal Kähler manifold with the fundamental 2-form given by

$$\Omega = -\omega \wedge x - y_1 \wedge y_2.$$

Note that the fundamental 2-form Ω is not $-2r\omega$-exact. We see that the Lee form ω is not parallel: $(\nabla_X\omega)X \neq 0$.

EXAMPLE 4.4 (Inoue surface S^+ [5], [11], [14]). Let G be a 4-dimensional solvable Lie group given by

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\dfrac{1}{2}y & \dfrac{1}{2}x & z \\ 0 & e^t & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-t} & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : t, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We can construct a lattice Γ on G (cf. [13]). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the solvable Lie algebra corresponding to G:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{span}\{A, X, Y, Z \colon [A, X] = X, \ [A, Y] = -Y, \ [X, Y] = Z\}.$$

Let $\{\omega, x, y, z\}$ be the dual base of $\{A, X, Y, Z\}$:

$$d\omega = 0, \quad dx = -\omega \wedge x, \quad dy = \omega \wedge y, \quad dz = -x \wedge y.$$

We define a left-invariant metric $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ on $\Gamma\backslash G$ such that $\{A, X, Y, Z\}$ is an orthonormal frame and a left-invariant complex structure J by $JA = Y$, $JZ = X$. Then $(\Gamma\backslash G, \langle\,,\,\rangle, J)$ is a locally conformal Kähler manifold with the fundamental 2-form given by

$$\Omega_0 = -\omega \wedge y - z \wedge x.$$

Note that the fundamental 2-form Ω is not $-\omega$-exact. We see that the Lee form ω is not parallel: $(\nabla_Y\omega)Y \neq 0$.

We mention that an Inoue surface S^- is not of the form $\Gamma\backslash G$, but it is a double covering space of an Inoue surface S^+ (cf. [10]). Then an Inoue surface S^- has a locally conformal Kähler structure.

References

[1] E. Abbena and A. Grassi: *Hermitian left invariant metrics on complex Lie groups and cosymplectic Hermitian manifolds*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6) **5** (1986), 371–379.

[2] D. Arapura and M. Nori: *Solvable fundamental groups of algebraic varieties and Kähler manifolds*, Compositio Math. **116** (1999), 173–188.

[3] F.A. Belgun: *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), 1–40.

[4] C. Benson and C.S. Gordon: *Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 971–980.

[5] L.A. Cordero, M. Fernández and M. de León: *Compact locally conformal Kähler nilmanifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **21** (1986), 187–192.

[6] S. Dragomir and L. Ornea: Locally Conformal Kähler Geometry, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.

[7] K. Hasegawa: *Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **3** (2005), 749–767.

[8] K. Hasegawa: *A note on compact solvmanifolds with Kähler structures*, Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), 131–135.

[9] A. Hattori: *Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **8** (1960), 289–331.

[10] M. Inoue: *On surfaces of class* VII$_0$, Invent. Math. **24** (1974), 269–310.

[11] Y. Kamishima: *Note on locally conformal Kähler surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata **84** (2001), 115–124.

[12] H. Sawai: *Locally conformal Kähler structures on compact nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structures*, Geom. Dedicata **125** (2007), 93–101.

[13] H. Sawai: *A construction of lattices on certain solvable Lie groups*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), 3125–3134.

[14] F. Tricerri: *Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **40** (1982), 81–92.

[15] I. Vaisman: *Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form*, Rend. Mat. (6) **12** (1979), 263–284.

[16] H.-C. Wang: *Complex parallisable manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 771–776.

Numazu National College of Technology
3600 Ooka, Numazu
Japan
e-mail: sawai@numazu-ct.ac.jp