

***The Structures of neighbourhood systems
and the types of convergences***

By Kanenji SAKAKIHARA

(Received June 30, 1953)

1. Directed systems. Let X be a set and $>$ be a binary relation between two elements of X . We say that $(X, >)$ is a directed system, if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $x > x_1 > x_2 \rightarrow x > x_2$,
2. $\forall_{x_1, x_2} \exists x \ x > x_1, x > x_2$.

When X' is a subset of X , the two notions " X' is cofinal in X ", " X' is residual in X " are defined as follows:

$$X' \text{ cof } X \equiv \forall_{x_1} \exists x \ x > x_1, \quad x_1 \in X',$$

$$X' \text{ res } X \equiv \exists_{x_1} \forall x \ x > x_1 \rightarrow x \in X'.$$

If σ is a mapping from a directed system X to X , satisfying the condition $\forall_{x_0} \exists x \ \sigma(x) > x_0$, then σ is said a increasing transformation of X . It is easy to see that

$$X' \text{ cof } X \equiv \exists_{\sigma} \text{ran } \sigma \subset X',$$

where $\text{ran } \sigma$ denotes the range of σ . Hereafter we use " ran " is this sense.

2. Ordering. If ρ is a mapping from a directed system X to another directed system Y , satisfying the condition

$$\forall_{y_0} \exists_{x_0} \forall x \ x > x_0 \rightarrow \rho(x) > y_0,$$

then ρ is said a divergent transformation from X to Y . Particularly, a divergent transformation from the naturally ordered natural numbers to the naturally ordered positive numbers is a divergent sequence.

If there exists a divergent transformation from X to Y , then we define $X > Y$.

This order is transitive and reflexive. The direct product $X \otimes Y > X, Y$, because the projections are divergent transformations. Accordingly this order is also directed. Generally

$$X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \cdots > X_1, X_2, \cdots$$

Hence any number of directed systems have an upper bound.

If we define

$$X \sim Y \equiv X > Y, Y > X,$$

then \sim is a congruence. Each class which is decided by this congruence is called a "type". We write the type of X by $\tau(x)$.

Lemma 1. $X' \text{ cof } X \rightarrow X' \sim X$.

Proof. If $X' \text{ cof } X$, there exists a increasing transformation σ of X such that $\text{ran } \sigma \subset X'$. This σ is a divergent transformation from X to X' . Also the identity is a divergent transformation from X' to X .

Lemma 2. *If X is a countable directed system, X has the greatest element, or has the same type to the naturally ordered natural numbers.*

Proof. We assume $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} a &= x_1, \\ a &= x \vee a, \\ a &= x \vee a, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \end{aligned}$$

where \vee denotes an upper bound. Then

$$a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \cdots.$$

This sequence $\{a_n\}$ is cofinal in X . If $\{a_n\}$ consists of a finite number of elements, X has the greatest element. Otherwise, $\{a_n\}$ is congruent to the naturally ordered natural numbers, and hence by Lemma 1, X has the same type to the naturally ordered natural numbers.

3. Mappings from a directed system. If φ is a mapping from a directed system X to a space R and if A is a subset of R , we define

$$\varphi \text{ ult } A \equiv \bigcap_{x_0 \in X} \bigvee_{x > x_0} \varphi(x) \in A,$$

$$\varphi \text{ div } A \equiv \bigvee_{x_0 \in X} \bigcap_{x > x_0} \varphi(x) \in A.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \text{ ult } A &\equiv \bigcap_{X'} \bigvee X' \text{ res } X, & \varphi(X') &\subset A, \\ \varphi \text{ div } A &\equiv \bigcap_{X'} \bigvee X' \text{ cof } X, & \varphi(X') &\subset A \\ &\equiv \bigcap_{\sigma} \bigvee \text{ran}(\varphi \circ \sigma) \subset A. \end{aligned}$$

4. The structures of neighbourhood systems and the types of convergences.

We study the relation between a neighbourhood space for which a neighbourhood relation “nbd” is given and a convergence space for which a convergence relation “conv” is given. Here we confine the base of convergence within a directed system X . If all directed systems are taken, that is the usual convergence.

nbd given, conv is defined by

$$T_{nc} . \varphi \text{ conv } a \equiv \forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N$$

conv given, nbd is defined by

$$T_{cn} . N \text{ nbd } a \equiv \forall_\varphi \varphi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N$$

We study the condition for nbd and conv to be mutually reversible by these transformations. For this purpose we put

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{nc} . (\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N) &\rightarrow \varphi \text{ conv } a, \\ T''_{nc} . \varphi \text{ conv } a &\rightarrow (\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N), \\ o_n . [\forall_\varphi (\forall_M M \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } M) &\rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N] \rightarrow N \text{ nbd } a; \\ T'_{cn} . (\forall_\varphi \varphi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N) &\rightarrow N \text{ nbd } a, \\ T''_{cn} . N \text{ nbd } a &\rightarrow (\forall_\varphi \varphi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N), \\ o_c . [\forall_N (\forall_\psi \psi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow \psi \text{ ult } N) &\rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N] \rightarrow \varphi \text{ conv } a. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \begin{array}{l} T''_{nc} \rightarrow T'_{cn} \\ T'_{nc} \\ o_n \end{array} \right\} &\rightarrow o_c \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} T''_{cn} \rightarrow T'_{nc} \\ T'_{cn} \\ o_c \end{array} \right\} &\rightarrow o_n \end{aligned}$$

From above we have

Theorem 1. Each of nbd satisfying o_n and conv satisfying o_c turns to one another by T_{nc} , T_{cn} respectively and returns to itself by T_{nc} and T_{cn} , T_{cn} and T_{nc} respectively.

o_c is reformed as follows:

$$(\forall_A \varphi \text{ div } A \rightarrow \exists_\psi \text{ran } \psi \subset A, \psi \text{ conv } a) \rightarrow \varphi \text{ conv } a.$$

This means a star-convergence.

Next we take the neighbourhood system of an additive topology:

- 1_n. $R \text{ nbd } a$,
- 2_n. $M \supset N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow M \text{ nbd } a$,
- 3_n. $M, N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow MN \text{ nbd } a$.

We study the relation between these conditions and o_n . We can easily verify the next lemma.

Lemma 3. $T'_{cn} \rightarrow 1_n$; $T'_{cn}, T''_{cn} \rightarrow 2_n, 3_n$.

Theorem 2. $o_n \rightarrow 1_n, 2_n, 3_n$.

Proof. If we define conv by T_{nc} from nbd satisfying o_n , by Theorem 1. T_{cn} is satisfied by the first nbd. Accordingly, by Lemma 3, $1_n, 2_n, 3_n$ are hold.

Theorem 3. If $\forall_a X > \tau\{N : N \text{ nbd } a\}$, $2_n \rightarrow o_n$.

Proof. o_n is equivalent to

$$\bar{A} \overline{\text{ nbd } a} \rightarrow \exists_{\varphi} \varphi \text{ div } A, \forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N,$$

where \bar{A} means the complement of A and $\overline{\text{ nbd } a}$ means the negation of nbd. This formula is also equivalent to

$$\bar{A} \overline{\text{ nbd } a} \rightarrow \exists_{\varphi} \text{ ran } \varphi \subset A, \forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N.$$

On the other hand, we have from 2_n

$$\bar{A} \overline{\text{ nbd } a} \rightarrow \forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow NA \neq 0$$

Therefore, for o_n to be hold, it is sufficient to prove

$$(\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow NA \neq 0) \rightarrow \exists_{\varphi} \text{ ran } \varphi \subset A, \forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N.$$

We shall prove the above formula. From this assumption $\{NA : N \text{ nbd } a\}$ is a collection of non-null sets. By Zermelo's axiom, there exists a function α which chooses a element from each NA . Also, by the assumption of this theorem, there exists a divergent transformation ρ from X to $\{N : N \text{ nbd } a\}$.

Then

$$\text{ran}(\alpha \circ \rho) \subset A$$

and

$$\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \exists_{x_0} \forall_x x > x_0 \rightarrow \rho(x) \subset N.$$

If we notice that $(\alpha \circ \rho)(x) = \alpha(\rho(x)) \in \rho(x)$,

$$\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \exists_{x_0} \forall_x x > x_0 \rightarrow (\alpha \circ \rho)(x) \in N,$$

that is

$$\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow (\alpha \circ \rho) \text{ ult } N.$$

Hence the existence of φ is assured by $a \circ \rho$.

By Theorem 1,3, we have

Theorem 4. If nbd gives an additive topology and if $\forall_a X > \tau \{N : N \text{ nbd } a\}$, nbd returns to itself by T_{nc} and T_{cn} .

Next we study the relation between nbd, conv and the closure operator f . We put

$$\begin{aligned} T_{cf}. \quad a \in f(A) &\equiv \exists \text{ran } \varphi \subset A, \varphi \text{ conv } a, \\ T_{fn}. \quad N \text{ nbd } a &\equiv a \in f(\overline{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_{cf} T_{fn}. \quad N \text{ nbd } a &\equiv \overline{\exists \text{ran } \varphi \subset \overline{N}, \varphi \text{ conv } a} \\ &\equiv \forall \varphi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow (\text{ran } \varphi) N \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$T_{cn}. \quad N \text{ nbd } a \equiv \forall \varphi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N.$$

The right side of $T_{cf} T_{fn}$ is weaker than that of T_{cn} . But if conv satisfies

$$1_c. \quad \varphi \text{ conv } a \rightarrow (\varphi \circ \sigma) \text{ conv } a,$$

both are mutually equivalent and $T_{cf} T_{fn} = T_{cn}$, because we have from 1_c ,

$$(\exists \text{conv } a, \varphi \text{ div } \overline{N}) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi \text{ conv } a, \text{ran } \varphi \subset \overline{N}).$$

We put

$$T_{nf}. \quad a \in f(A) \equiv \overline{A} \text{ nbd } a.$$

It is evident that nbd and f are mutually reversible by T_{nf}, T_{fn} . Since $T_{nc} \rightarrow 1_c$, we have

$$T_{nc} T_{cf} T_{fn} = T_{nc} T_{cn}.$$

Hence $T_{nc} T_{cn} = 1$ (1 means that nbd returns to itself) is equivalent to $T_{nc} T_{cf} T_{fn} = 1$. This is also equivalent to $T_{nc} T_{cf} = T_{nf}$, since nbd and f are mutually reversible by T_{nf}, T_{fn} .

Hence we have next

Theorem 5. nbd returns to itself by T_{nc} and T_{cn} if and only if nbd and conv defined by T_{nc} give the same topology.

From Theorem 4,5, we have

Theorem 6. If nbd gives an additive topology and if $\forall_a X > \tau \{N : N \text{ nbd } a\}$, nbd is equivalent to a convergence with the base X .

From Lemma 2, Theorem 6, we have

Theorem 7. If the neighbourhood system of an additive topology satisfies the first countability axiom, the neighbourhood system is equivalent to a sequential convergence.

If we define conv from nbd and define nbd₁ from that conv,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} T''_{nc} \rightarrow T''_{cn} \\ T'_{cn_1} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow (N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow N \text{ nbd}_1 a).$$

Accordingly, the neighbourhood system of a point is extended.

Theorem 8. We define nbd₁, nbd₂ from nbd by two processes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nbd} &\rightarrow \text{conv}_1 \rightarrow \text{nbd}_1 \text{ with base } X, \\ \text{nbd} &\rightarrow \text{conv}_2 \rightarrow \text{nbd}_2 \text{ with base } Y. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, if $Y > X$, then

$$N \text{ nbd}_2 a \rightarrow N \text{ nbd}_1 a.$$

Proof. Let ρ be a divergent transformation from Y to X . If $\varphi \text{ ult } N$, then

$$\exists \forall_{x_0} \forall x > x_0 \rightarrow \varphi(x) \in N.$$

While

$$\forall \exists \forall_{x_0} \forall y > y_0 \rightarrow \rho(y) > x_0.$$

Hence

$$\exists \forall_{y_0} \forall y > y_0 \rightarrow (\varphi \circ \rho)(y) \in N.$$

This means $(\varphi \circ \rho) \text{ ult } N$. That is

$$\varphi \text{ ult } N \rightarrow (\varphi \circ \rho) \text{ ult } N.$$

Hence

$$(\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow \varphi \text{ ult } N) \rightarrow (\forall_N N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow (\varphi \circ \rho) \text{ ult } N).$$

That is

$$(*) \quad \varphi \text{ conv}_1 a \rightarrow (\varphi \circ \rho) \text{ conv}_2 a.$$

After this preparation, we go to the proof of this theorem. It is sufficient that we lead to a contradiction from

$$(\forall_{\psi} \psi \text{ conv}_2 a \rightarrow \psi \text{ ult } N), \quad \varphi \text{ conv}_1 a, \quad \varphi \text{ div } N.$$

From $\varphi \text{ div } \bar{N}$, there exists a increasing transformation σ such that $\text{ran}(\varphi \circ \sigma) \subset \bar{N}$. From $\varphi \text{ conv}_1 a$, $(\varphi \circ \sigma) \text{ conv}_1 a$, because T_{nc_1} implies $\varphi \text{ conv}_1 a \rightarrow (\varphi \circ \sigma) \text{ conv}_1 a$. Using $(*)$, $(\varphi \circ \sigma \circ \rho) \text{ conv}_2 a$. From $\forall \psi \text{ conv}_2 a \rightarrow \psi \text{ ult } N$, $(\varphi \circ \sigma \circ \rho) \text{ ult } N$. On the other hand, from $\text{ran}(\varphi \circ \sigma) \subset \bar{N}$, $\text{ran}(\varphi \circ \sigma \circ \rho) \subset \bar{N}$. These two results lead us to a contradiction.

Theorem 9. If $Y > X$ and the neighbourhood system of an additive topology is equivalent to the convergence defined by T_{nc} with the base X , then it is also equivalent to the convergence defined by T_{nc} with the base Y .

Proof. By Theorem 8,

$$N \text{ nbd } a \rightarrow N \text{ nbd}_2 a \rightarrow N \text{ nbd}_1 a \rightarrow N \text{ nbd } a .$$

Hence

$$N \text{ nbd } a \rightleftarrows N \text{ nbd}_2 a .$$

By Theorem 5, we get the statement.

Theorem 6 gives a sufficient type of X for an additive topology and a star-convergence to be equivalent. It remains to decide a necessary and sufficient type of X .

References

- Tukey, Convergence in Topology.
Kuratowski, Topologie, I.
Komatsu, The Theory of Topological Spaces (In Japanese)