

MODULI SPACES OF WEIGHTED POINTED STABLE RATIONAL CURVES VIA GIT

YOUNG-HOON KIEM and HAN-BOM MOON

(Received November 10, 2010)

Abstract

We construct moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable rational curves $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$ with symmetric weight data by the GIT quotient of moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable maps $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$. As a consequence, we prove that the Knudsen–Mumford space $\bar{M}_{0,n}$ of n -pointed stable rational curves is obtained by a sequence of explicit blow-ups from the GIT quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // SL(2)$ with respect to the symmetric linearization $\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$. The intermediate blown-up spaces turn out to be $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$ for suitable ranges of ϵ . As an application, we provide a new unconditional proof of M. Simpson’s theorem about the log canonical models of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$.

1. Introduction

Recently there has been a tremendous amount of interest in the birational geometry of moduli spaces of stable curves. See for instance [2, 4, 7, 11, 12, 16, 20] for the genus 0 case only. Most prominently, it has been proved in [2, 4, 20] that the log canonical models for $(\bar{M}_{0,n}, K_{\bar{M}_{0,n}} + \alpha D)$, where D is the boundary divisor and α is a rational number, give us Hassett’s moduli spaces $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$ of weighted pointed stable curves with *symmetric* weights $n \cdot \epsilon = (\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$. See §2.1 for the definition of $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$ and Theorem 1.2 below for a precise statement. The purpose of this paper is to prove that all the moduli spaces $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$ can be constructed by the GIT quotient of the moduli spaces $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ of weighted pointed stable maps to \mathbb{P}^1 of genus zero and degree one (§3). Also, from an explicit blow-up construction of $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ explained in §3, we deduce that $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$ is obtained by a sequence of explicit blow-ups from the GIT quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // SL(2)$ with respect to the symmetric linearization $\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$ where $SL(2)$ acts on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ diagonally. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. (i) *With respect to the linearization described explicitly in §4,*

$$(1) \quad \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) // SL(2) \cong \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}.$$

(ii) *There is a sequence of blow-ups*

$$(2) \quad \bar{M}_{0,n} = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-2}} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-3}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n // SL(2)$$

where $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and $1/(m + 1 - k) < \epsilon_k \leq 1/(m - k)$. Except for the last arrow when n is even, the center for each blow-up is a union of transversal smooth subvarieties of same dimension. When n is even, the last arrow is the blow-up along the singular locus which consists of $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ points in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // SL(2)$. More precisely, \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_1} is Kirwan’s desingularization (see [15]) of the GIT quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m} // SL(2)$.

If the center of a blow-up is the transversal union of smooth subvarieties in a nonsingular variety, the result of the blow-up is isomorphic to that of the sequence of smooth blow-ups along the proper transforms of the irreducible components of the center in any order (see §2.3). So each of the above arrows can be decomposed into a composition of smooth blow-ups along the proper transforms of the irreducible components. The fact that the reduction morphism $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}$ is a composition of smooth blow-ups along smooth centers is mentioned in several papers ([7, Remark 4.6] for some special cases, and [20, Section 3]). But there is no proof about this “folklore” in the literature. Actually, this fact, especially the transversality of the blow-up centers is nontrivial and indeed somewhat delicate. The reason is that in contrast to the $\bar{M}_{0,n}$, the boundary divisors and the closures of topological strata do *not* intersect transversally in $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$, so we have to select the order of blow-ups carefully. The notion of the transversal intersection is much more stronger than the statement that the intersection is a smooth variety. See §2.3 for related definitions. So the authors believe that it should be proved rigorously. In this paper, we provide a detailed proof. This proof justifies the pull-back formulas in Lemma 5.3 and the blow-up formula for the canonical divisor in Lemma 5.5.

For the Mori theoretic approach to the birational geometry of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$, one of the most prominent results is the following theorem of M. Simpson [20].

Theorem 1.2. *Let α be a rational number satisfying $2/(n - 1) < \alpha \leq 1$ and let $D = \bar{M}_{0,n} - M_{0,n}$ denote the boundary divisor. Then the log canonical model*

$$\bar{M}_{0,n}(\alpha) = \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} H^0(\bar{M}_{0,n}, \mathcal{O}([l(K_{\bar{M}_{0,n}} + \alpha D)])) \right)$$

satisfies the following:

- (1) *If $2/(m - k + 2) < \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$, then $\bar{M}_{0,n}(\alpha) \cong \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$.*
- (2) *If $2/(n - 1) < \alpha \leq 2/(m + 1)$, then $\bar{M}_{0,n}(\alpha) \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^n // SL(2)$ where the quotient is taken with respect to the symmetric linearization $\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$.*

Simpson proved this theorem assuming Fulton’s conjecture. There are already two different unconditional proofs of Theorem 1.2 by Alexeev–Swinarski [2] and by

Fedorchuk–Smyth [4]. See Remark 5.13 for a brief outline of the two proofs. The essential part of all known proofs is proving the ampleness of certain divisors on $\bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k}$ as shown by Simpson [20]. Alexeev and Swinarski proved it as following:

- (1) Prove the nefness of the divisors by expressing them as positive linear combinations of several nef divisors arise from the GIT quotients.
- (2) Reduce the proof of ampleness to a combinatorial problem by using a theorem of Alexeev ([2, Theorem 4.1]) comes from the general theory of the moduli spaces of weighted hyperplane arrangements.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we give a quick direct proof of the ampleness result from step (1).

It is often the case in moduli theory that adding an extra structure makes a problem easier. A morphism $f: (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow X$ from a pointed rational nodal curve C to a nonsingular projective variety X is called $n \cdot \delta$ -stable map if

- i. all marked points p_1, \dots, p_n are smooth points of C ;
- ii. if $p_{i_1} = \dots = p_{i_j}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_j \in I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\delta \cdot |I| \leq 1$;
- iii. $\omega_C + \delta \sum_i p_i$ is f -ample.

There exists a proper moduli stack $\bar{M}_{0,n-\delta}(X, \beta)$ parameterizing $n \cdot \delta$ -stable maps to X with $f_*[C] = \beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ([1, Theorem 1.9]).

Now, suppose that $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\beta = 1 \in H_2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then the conditions ii. and iii. are equivalent to the following more intuitive conditions.

- iv. no more than $\lfloor 1/\delta \rfloor$ of the marked points p_1, \dots, p_n can coincide;
- v. any ending irreducible component C' of C which is contracted by f contains more than $\lfloor 1/\delta \rfloor$ marked points;
- vi. the group of automorphisms of C preserving f and p_i is finite.

A. Mustață and A.M. Mustață called that a pointed nodal curve (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) of genus 0 together a degree 1 morphism $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ as a k -stable pointed parameterized rational curve if it satisfies i., iv., v. and vi. for $k = n - \lfloor 1/\delta \rfloor$, or equivalently, $1/(n - k + 1) < \delta \leq 1/(n - k)$. Moreover, they proved the following in [18] (in terms of moduli spaces of k -stable pointed parameterized rational curves).

Theorem 1.3 ([18, §1]). *Let δ_k be a rational number satisfying $1/(n - k + 1) < \delta_k \leq 1/(n - k)$. Let $F_k = \bar{M}_{0,n-\delta_k}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$. Then F_k is a fine moduli space of $n \cdot \delta_k$ -stable maps. Furthermore, the moduli spaces F_k fit into a sequence of blow-ups*

$$(3) \quad \bar{M}_{0,n-1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) = F_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-2}} F_{n-3} \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-3}} \dots \xrightarrow{\psi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} F_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$$

whose centers are transversal unions of smooth subvarieties.

The first term $\bar{M}_{0,n-1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ is the moduli space of ordinary stable maps. It is isomorphic to the Fulton–MacPherson compactification $\mathbb{P}^1[n]$ of the configuration space of n points in \mathbb{P}^1 constructed in [5] ([18, p.55]). The blow-up centers are transversal unions of smooth subvarieties and hence we can further decompose each arrow into

the composition of smooth blow-ups along the irreducible components in any order. This blow-up sequence is actually a special case of L. Li’s inductive construction of a *wonderful compactification* of the configuration space and the transversality of various subvarieties is a corollary of Li’s result [16, Proposition 2.8]. (See §2.3.) The images of the blow-up centers are invariant under the diagonal action of $SL(2)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and so this action lifts to F_k for all k . The aim of this paper is to show that the GIT quotient of the sequence (3) by $SL(2)$ gives us (2).

To make sense of GIT quotients, we need to specify a linearization of the action of $G = SL(2)$ on F_k . For $F_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, we choose the symmetric linearization $L_0 = \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$. Inductively, we choose $L_k = \psi_k^* L_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-a_k E_k)$ where E_k is the exceptional divisor of ψ_k and $0 < a_k \ll a_{k-1} \ll \dots \ll a_1 \ll 1$. Let F_k^{ss} (resp. F_k^s) be the semistable (resp. stable) part of F_k with respect to L_k . Then by [15, §3] or [8, Theorem 3.11], we have

$$(4) \quad \psi_k^{-1}(F_{k-1}^s) \subset F_k^s \subset F_k^{ss} \subset \psi_k^{-1}(F_{k-1}^{ss}).$$

In particular, we obtain a sequence of morphisms

$$\tilde{\psi}_k: F_k // G \rightarrow F_{k-1} // G.$$

It is well known that a point (x_1, \dots, x_n) in $F_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is stable (resp. semistable) if $\geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ points (resp. $> \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ points) do not coincide ([17, 14]).

Let us first consider the case where n is odd. In this case, $F_0^s = F_0^{ss}$ because $n/2$ is not an integer. Hence $F_k^s = F_k^{ss}$ for any k by (4). Since the blow-up centers of ψ_k for $k \leq m + 1$ lie in the unstable part, we have $F_k^s = F_0^s$ for $k \leq m + 1$. Furthermore, the stabilizer group of every point in F_k^s is $\{\pm 1\}$, i.e. $\tilde{G} = PGL(2)$ acts freely on F_k^s for $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and thus $F_k // G = F_k^s / G$ is nonsingular. By the stability conditions, forgetting the degree 1 morphism $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ gives us an invariant morphism $F_{n-m+k}^s \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k}$ which induces a morphism

$$\phi_k: F_{n-m+k} // G \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k} \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m - 2.$$

Since both varieties are nonsingular, we can conclude that ϕ_k is an isomorphism by showing that the Picard numbers are identical. By the definition of ϵ_k and δ_k in Theorem 1.1 and 1.3,

$$\bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) // G = \bar{M}_{0,n,\delta_{n-m+k}}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) // G = F_{n-m+k} // G,$$

thus we get the first part of Theorem 1.1. Since \tilde{G} acts freely on F_{n-m+k}^s , the quotient of the blow-up center of $\psi_{n-m+k+1}$ is again a transversal union of $\binom{n}{m-k}$ smooth varieties $\Sigma_{n-m+k}^S // G$ for a subset S of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|S| = m - k$. Finally we conclude that

$$\varphi_k: \bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k} \cong F_{n-m+k} // G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_{n-m+k}} F_{n-m+k-1} // G \cong \bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_{k-1}}$$

is a blow-up by using a lemma in [15] which tells us that quotient and blow-up commute in some sense. (For more precise statement, see §2.2.) It is straightforward to check that this morphism ϕ_k is identical to Hassett’s natural morphisms (§2.1). Note that the isomorphism

$$\phi_{m-2}: \bar{M}_{0,n,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)//G = \mathbb{P}^1[n]//G \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{M}_{0,n}$$

was obtained by Hu and Keel ([10]) when n is odd because L_0 is a *typical* linearization in the sense that $F_0^{ss} = F_0^S$. The above proof of the fact that ϕ_k is an isomorphism in the odd n case is essentially the same as Hu–Keel’s. However their method does not apply to the even degree case.

The case where n is even is more complicated because $F_k^{ss} \neq F_k^S$ for all k . Indeed, $F_m//G = \dots = F_0//G = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G$ is singular with exactly $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ singular points. But for $k \geq 1$, we proved that the GIT quotient of F_{n-m+k} by G is nonsingular by using Kirwan’s partial desingularization of the GIT quotient $F_{n-m+k}//G$ ([15]). For $k \geq 1$, the locus Y_{n-m+k} of closed orbits in $F_{n-m+k}^{ss} - F_{n-m+k}^S$ is the disjoint union of the transversal intersections of smooth divisors Σ_{n-m+k}^S and $\Sigma_{n-m+k}^{S^c}$ where $S \sqcup S^c = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a partition with $|S| = m$. In particular, Y_{n-m+k} is of codimension 2 and the stabilizers of points in Y_{n-m+k} are all conjugates of \mathbb{C}^* . The weights of the action of the stabilizer \mathbb{C}^* on the normal space to Y_{n-m+k} are 2, -2 . By Luna’s slice theorem ([17, Appendix 1.D]), it follows that $F_{n-m+k}//G$ is smooth along the divisor $Y_{n-m+k}//G$. If we let $\tilde{F}_{n-m+k} \rightarrow F_{n-m+k}^{ss}$ be the blow-up of F_{n-m+k}^{ss} along Y_{n-m+k} , $\tilde{F}_{n-m+k}^{ss} = \tilde{F}_{n-m+k}^S$ and $\tilde{F}_{n-m+k}//G = \tilde{F}_{n-m+k}^S/G$ is nonsingular. Since blow-up and quotient commute (§2.2), the induced map

$$\tilde{F}_{n-m+k}//G \rightarrow F_{n-m+k}//G$$

is a blow-up along $Y_{n-m+k}//G$ which has to be an isomorphism because the blow-up center is already a smooth divisor. So we can use \tilde{F}_{n-m+k}^S instead of F_{n-m+k}^{ss} and apply the same line of arguments as in the odd degree case. In this way, we can establish Theorem 1.1.

To deduce Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 1.1, we note that by [20, Corollary 3.5], it suffices to prove that $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample for $2/(m - k + 2) < \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1)$ where $D_k = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} - M_{0,n}$ is the boundary divisor of \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_k} (Proposition 5.6). By the intersection number calculations of Alexeev and Swinarski ([2, §3]), we obtain the nefness of $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ for $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 1) + s$ for some (sufficiently small) positive number s . Because any positive linear combination of an ample divisor and a nef divisor is ample, it suffices to show that $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample for $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 2) + t$ for *any* sufficiently small $t > 0$. We use induction on k . By calculating the canonical divisor explicitly, it is easy to show when $k = 0$. Because ϕ_k is a blow-up with exceptional divisor D_k^{m-k+1} , $\phi_k^*(K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}} + \alpha D_{k-1}) - \delta D_k^{m-k+1}$ is ample for small $\delta > 0$ if $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}} + \alpha D_{k-1}$ is ample. By a direct calculation, we find that these ample

divisors give us $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ with $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 2) + t$ for any sufficiently small $t > 0$. So we obtain a proof of Theorem 1.2.

For the moduli spaces of *unordered* weighted pointed stable curves

$$\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}/S_n$$

we can simply take the S_n quotient of our sequence (2) and thus $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$ can be constructed by a sequence of *weighted blow-ups* from $\mathbb{P}^n//G = ((\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G)/S_n$. In particular, $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_1}$ is a weighted blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n//G$ at its singular point when n is even.

In the previous version of this paper, as another application of Theorem 1.1, we gave an explicit basis of *integral* Picard group of $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon}$. It comes from a study of the Picard group of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G$ by using descent lemma ([3]) and the blow-up formula ([6, II.8. Exercise 5]). But it seems that we have no practical use of this basis yet, so we omit this computational result.

After completing this paper, we noticed that there is another description of the morphism $\pi: \bar{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//SL(2)$ by Hu ([9]) via symplectic reduction. He showed that in analytic category, π is a composition of blow-ups and (if $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n//SL(2)$ is singular) a resolution of singularities. However there is no moduli theoretic description of intermediate spaces and morphisms in [9] and his approach seems quite different from ours.

Here is an outline of this paper. In §2, we recall necessary materials about the moduli spaces \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_k} of weighted pointed stable curves, partial desingularization and blow-up along transversal center. In §3, we recall the blow-up construction of the moduli space $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ of weighted pointed stable maps. In §4, we prove Theorem 1.1. In §5, we give a quick proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Moduli of weighted pointed stable curves. We recall the definition and basic facts on Hassett’s moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves from [7].

A family of nodal curves of genus g with n marked points over base scheme B consists of

- (1) a flat proper morphism $\pi: C \rightarrow B$ whose geometric fibers are nodal connected curves of arithmetic genus g and
- (2) sections s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n of π .

An n -tuple $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ with $0 < a_i \leq 1$ assigns a weight a_i to the i -th marked point. Suppose that $2g - 2 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n > 0$.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([7, §2]). A family of nodal curves of genus g with n marked points $(C, s_1, \dots, s_n) \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ is *stable of type* (g, \mathcal{A}) if

- (1) the sections s_1, \dots, s_n lie in the smooth locus of π ;
- (2) for any subset $\{s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_r}\}$ of nonempty intersection, $a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_r} \leq 1$;

(3) $\omega_\pi + a_1s_1 + a_2s_2 + \dots + a_ns_n$ is π -relatively ample.

Theorem 2.2 ([7, Theorem 2.1]). *There exists a connected Deligne–Mumford stack $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\mathcal{A}}$, smooth and proper over \mathbb{Z} , representing the moduli functor of weighted pointed stable curves of type (g, \mathcal{A}) . The corresponding coarse moduli scheme $\bar{M}_{g,\mathcal{A}}$ is projective over \mathbb{Z} .*

When $g = 0$, there is no nontrivial automorphism for any weighted pointed stable curve and hence $\bar{M}_{0,\mathcal{A}}$ is a projective smooth variety for any \mathcal{A} .

There are natural morphisms between moduli spaces with different weight data. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathcal{B} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two weight data and suppose $a_i \geq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there exists a birational reduction morphism

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}: \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\mathcal{B}}.$$

For $(C, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\mathcal{A}}$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(C, s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is obtained by collapsing components of C on which $\omega_C + b_1s_1 + \dots + b_ns_n$ fails to be ample. These morphisms between moduli stacks induce corresponding morphisms between coarse moduli schemes.

The exceptional locus of the reduction morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}$ consists of boundary divisors D_{I,I^c} where $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ and $I^c = \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r}\}$ form a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $r > 2$,

$$a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_r} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{j_1} + \dots + b_{j_{n-r}} \leq 1.$$

Here D_{I,I^c} denotes the closure of the locus of (C, s_1, \dots, s_n) where C has two irreducible components C_1, C_2 with $p_a(C_1) = 0$, $p_a(C_2) = g$, r sections s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_r} lying on C_1 , and the other $n - r$ sections lying on C_2 .

Proposition 2.3 ([7, Proposition 4.5]). *The boundary divisor D_{I,I^c} is isomorphic to $\bar{M}_{0,\mathcal{A}'_I} \times \bar{M}_{g,\mathcal{A}'_{I^c}}$, with $\mathcal{A}'_I = (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}, 1)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_{I^c} = (a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_{n-r}}, 1)$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}(D_{I,I^c}) \cong \bar{M}_{g,\mathcal{B}'_{I^c}}$ with $\mathcal{B}'_{I^c} = (b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-r}}, \sum_{k=1}^r b_{i_k})$.*

From now on, we focus on the $g = 0$ case. Let

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad \frac{1}{m - k + 1} < \epsilon_k \leq \frac{1}{m - k} \quad \text{and} \quad n \cdot \epsilon_k = (\epsilon_k, \dots, \epsilon_k).$$

Consider the reduction morphism

$$\varphi_{n \cdot \epsilon_k, n \cdot \epsilon_{k-1}}: \bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_k} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_{k-1}}.$$

Then D_{I,I^c} is contracted by $\varphi_{n \cdot \epsilon_k, n \cdot \epsilon_{k-1}}$ if and only if $|I| = m - k + 1$. Certainly, there are $\binom{n}{m-k+1}$ such partitions $I \sqcup I^c$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

By [12], it is well known that the Picard number of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$ is

$$(5) \quad \rho(\bar{M}_{0,n}) = \rho(\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-2}}) = 2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} - 1$$

From (5) and a counting the number of contracted divisors, we obtain the following lemma.

- Lemma 2.4.** (1) *If n is odd, $\rho(\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}) = n + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{m-i+1}$.*
 (2) *If n is even, $\rho(\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}) = n + (1/2)\binom{n}{m} + \sum_{i=2}^k \binom{n}{m-i+1}$.*

2.2. Partial desingularization. We recall a few results from [15, 8] on change of stability in a blow-up.

Let G be a complex reductive group acting on a projective nonsingular variety X . Let L be a G -linearized ample line bundle on X . Let Y be a G -invariant closed subvariety of X , and let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Y , with exceptional divisor E . Then for sufficiently large d , $L_d = \pi^*L^d \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$ becomes very ample, and there is a natural lifting of the G -action to L_d ([15, §3]).

Let X^{ss} (resp. X^s) denote the semistable (resp. stable) part of X . With respect to the polarizations L and L_d , the following hold ([15, §3] or [8, Theorem 3.11]):

$$(6) \quad \tilde{X}^{ss} \subset \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}), \quad \tilde{X}^s \supset \pi^{-1}(X^s).$$

In particular, if $X^{ss} = X^s$, then $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^s = \pi^{-1}(X^s)$.

For the next lemma, let us suppose $Y^{ss} = Y \cap X^{ss}$ is nonsingular. We can compare the GIT quotient of \tilde{X} by G with respect to L_d with the quotient of X by G with respect to L .

Lemma 2.5 ([15, Lemma 3.11]). *For sufficiently large d , $\tilde{X} // G$ is the blow-up of $X // G$ along the image $Y // G$ of Y^{ss} .*

Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of Y . In the statement of Lemma 2.5, the blow-up is defined by the ideal sheaf $(\mathcal{I}^m)_G$ which is the G -invariant part of \mathcal{I}^m , for some m . (See the proof of [15, Lemma 3.11].) In the cases considered in this paper, the blow-ups always take place along *reduced* ideals, i.e. $\tilde{X} // G$ is the blow-up of $X // G$ along the subvariety $Y // G$ because of the following.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $G = SL(2)$ and \mathbb{C}^* be the maximal torus of G . Suppose Y^{ss} is smooth. The blow-up $\tilde{X} // G \rightarrow X // G$ is the blow-up of the reduced ideal of $Y // G$ if any of the following holds:*

- (1) *The stabilizers of points in X^{ss} are all equal to the center $\{\pm 1\}$, i.e. $\bar{G} = SL(2)/\{\pm 1\}$ acts on X^{ss} freely.*

- (2) If we denote the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus in X^{ss} by $Z_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{ss}$, $Y^{ss} = Y \cap X^{ss} = GZ_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{ss}$ and the stabilizers of points in $X^{ss} - Y^{ss}$ are all $\{\pm 1\}$. Furthermore suppose that the weights of the action of \mathbb{C}^* on the normal space of Y^{ss} at any $y \in Z_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{ss}$ are $\pm l$ for some $l \geq 1$.
- (3) There exists a smooth divisor W of X^{ss} which intersects transversely with Y^{ss} such that the stabilizers of points in $X^{ss} - W$ are all $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ and the stabilizers of points in W are all isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 .

In the cases (1) and (3), $Y//G = Y^s/G$ and $X//G = X^s/G$ are nonsingular and the morphism $\tilde{X}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is the smooth blow-up along the smooth subvariety $Y//G$.

Proof. Let us consider the first case. Let $\tilde{G} = PGL(2)$. By Luna’s étale slice theorem [17, Appendix 1.D], étale locally near a point in Y^{ss} , X^{ss} is $\tilde{G} \times S$ and Y^{ss} is $\tilde{G} \times S^Y$ for some nonsingular locally closed subvariety S and $S^Y = S \cap Y$. Then étale locally \tilde{X}^{ss} is $\tilde{G} \times \text{bl}_{S^Y} S$ where $\text{bl}_{S^Y} S$ denotes the blow-up of S along the nonsingular variety S^Y . Thus the quotients $X//G$, $Y//G$ and $\tilde{X}//G$ are étale locally S , S^Y and $\text{bl}_{S^Y} S$ respectively. This implies that the blow-up $\tilde{X}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is the smooth blow-up along the reduced ideal of $Y//G$.

For the second case, note that the orbits in Y^{ss} are closed in X^{ss} because the stabilizers are maximal. So we can again use Luna’s slice theorem to see that étale locally near a point y in Y^{ss} , the varieties X^{ss} , Y^{ss} and \tilde{X} are respectively $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} S$, $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} S^0$ and $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \text{bl}_{S^0} S$ for some nonsingular locally closed \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant subvariety S and its \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus S^0 . Therefore the quotients $X//G$, $Y//G$ and $\tilde{X}//G$ are étale locally $S//\mathbb{C}^*$, S^0 and $(\text{bl}_{S^0} S)//\mathbb{C}^*$. Thus it suffices to show

$$(\text{bl}_{S^0} S)//\mathbb{C}^* \cong \text{bl}_{S^0}(S//\mathbb{C}^*).$$

Since X is smooth, étale locally we can choose our S to be the normal space to the orbit of y and S is decomposed into the weight spaces $S^0 \oplus S^+ \oplus S^-$. As the action of \mathbb{C}^* extends to $SL(2)$, the nonzero weights are $\pm l$ by assumption. If we choose coordinates x_1, \dots, x_r for S^+ and y_1, \dots, y_s for S^- , the invariants are polynomials of $x_i y_j$ and thus $(I^{2m})_{\mathbb{C}^*} = (I_{\mathbb{C}^*})^m$ for $m \geq 1$ where $I = \langle x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s \rangle$ is the ideal of S^0 . By [6, II Exercise 7.11], we have

$$\text{bl}_{S^0} S = \text{Proj}_S \left(\bigoplus_m I^m \right) \cong \text{Proj}_S \left(\bigoplus_m I^{2m} \right)$$

and thus

$$(\text{bl}_{S^0} S)//\mathbb{C}^* = \text{Proj}_{S//\mathbb{C}^*} \left(\bigoplus_m I^{2m} \right)_{\mathbb{C}^*} = \text{Proj}_{S//\mathbb{C}^*} \left(\bigoplus_m (I_{\mathbb{C}^*})^m \right) = \text{bl}_{I_{\mathbb{C}^*}}(S//\mathbb{C}^*).$$

Since S is factorial and I is reduced, $I_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is reduced. (If $f^m \in I_{\mathbb{C}^*}$, then $f \in I$ and $(g \cdot f)^m = f^m$ for $g \in \mathbb{C}^*$. By factoriality, $g \cdot f$ may differ from f only by a constant

multiple, which must be an m -th root of unity. Because \mathbb{C}^* is connected, the constant must be 1 and hence $f \in I_{\mathbb{C}^*}$.) Therefore $I_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is the reduced ideal of S^0 on $S//\mathbb{C}^*$ and hence $(\text{bl}_{S^0} S)//\mathbb{C}^* \cong \text{bl}_{S^0}(S//\mathbb{C}^*)$ as desired.

The last case is similar to the first case. Near a point in W , X^{ss} is étale locally $\tilde{G} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S$ where $S = S_W \times \mathbb{C}$ for some smooth variety S_W . \mathbb{Z}_2 acts trivially on S_W and by ± 1 on \mathbb{C} . Étale locally Y^{ss} is $\tilde{G} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S_Y$ where $S_Y = (S_W \cap Y) \times \mathbb{C}$. The quotients $X//G$, $Y//G$ and $\tilde{X}//G$ are étale locally $S_W \times \mathbb{C}$, $(S_W \cap Y) \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{bl}_{S_W \cap Y} S_W \times \mathbb{C}$. This proves our lemma. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose that (1) of Lemma 2.6 holds. If $Y^{ss} = Y_1^{ss} \cup \dots \cup Y_r^{ss}$ is a transversal union of smooth subvarieties of X^{ss} and if \tilde{X} is the blow-up of X^{ss} along Y^{ss} , then $\tilde{X}//G$ is the blow-up of $X//G$ along the reduced ideal of $Y//G$ which is again a transversal union of smooth varieties $Y_i//G$. The same holds under the condition (3) of Lemma 2.6 if furthermore Y_i are transversal to W .*

Proof. Because of the assumption (1), $X^{ss} = X^s$. If $Y^{ss} = Y_1^{ss} \cup \dots \cup Y_r^{ss}$ is a transversal union of smooth subvarieties of X^{ss} and if $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X^{ss}$ is the blow-up along Y^{ss} , then $\tilde{X}^s = \tilde{X}^{ss} = \pi^{-1}(X^s)$ is the composition of smooth blow-ups along (the proper transforms of) the irreducible components Y_i^{ss} by Proposition 2.10 below. For each of the smooth blow-ups, the quotient of the blown-up space is the blow-up of the quotient along the reduced ideal of the quotient of the center by Lemma 2.6. Hence $\tilde{X}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is the composition of smooth blow-ups along irreducible smooth subvarieties which are proper transforms of $Y_i//G$. Hence $\tilde{X}//G$ is the blow-up along the union $Y//G$ of $Y_i//G$ by Proposition 2.10 again.

The case (3) of Lemma 2.6 is similar and we omit the detail. \square

Finally we recall Kirwan’s partial desingularization construction of GIT quotients. Suppose $X^{ss} \neq X^s$ and X^s is nonempty. Kirwan in [15] introduced a systematic way of blowing up X^{ss} along a sequence of nonsingular subvarieties to obtain a variety \tilde{X} with linearized G action such that $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^s$ and $\tilde{X}//G$ has at worst finite quotient singularities only, as follows:

- (1) Find a maximal dimensional connected reductive subgroup R such that the R -fixed locus Z_R^{ss} in X^{ss} is nonempty. Then

$$GZ_R^{ss} \cong G \times_{N^R} Z_R^{ss}$$

is a nonsingular closed subvariety of X^{ss} where N^R denotes the normalizer of R in G .

- (2) Blow up X^{ss} along GZ_R^{ss} and find the semistable part X_1^{ss} . Go back to step 1 and repeat this process until there are no more strictly semistable points.

Kirwan proves that this process stops in finite steps and $\tilde{X}//G$ is called the *partial desingularization* of $X//G$. We will drop “partial” if it is nonsingular.

2.3. Blow-up along transversal center. We show that the blow-up along a center whose irreducible components are transversal smooth varieties is isomorphic to the result of smooth blow-ups along the irreducible components in any order. This fact can be directly proved but instead we will see that it is an easy special case of beautiful results of L. Li in [16].

DEFINITION 2.8 ([16, §1]). (1) For a nonsingular algebraic variety X , an *arrangement* of subvarieties S is a finite collection of nonsingular subvarieties such that all nonempty scheme-theoretic intersections of subvarieties in S are again in S . (2) For an arrangement S , a subset $B \subset S$ is called a *building set* of S if for any $s \in S - B$, the minimal elements in $\{b \in B : b \supset s\}$ intersect transversally and the intersection is s . (3) A set of subvarieties B is called a *building set* if all the possible intersections of subvarieties in B form an arrangement S (called the induced arrangement of B) and B is a building set of S .

The *wonderful compactification* X_B of $X^0 = X - \bigcup_{b \in B} b$ is defined as the closure of X^0 in $\prod_{b \in B} \text{bl}_b X$. Li then proves the following.

Theorem 2.9 ([16, Theorem 1.3]). *Let X be a nonsingular variety and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be a nonempty building set of subvarieties of X . Let I_i be the ideal sheaf of $b_i \in B$.*

- (1) *The wonderful compactification X_B is isomorphic to the blow-up of X along the ideal sheaf $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$.*
- (2) *If we arrange $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ in such an order that the first i terms b_1, \dots, b_i form a building set for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $X_B = \text{bl}_{\tilde{b}_n} \cdots \text{bl}_{\tilde{b}_2} \text{bl}_{b_1} X$, where each blow-up is along a nonsingular subvariety \tilde{b}_i .*

Here \tilde{b}_i is the *dominant transform* of b_i which is obtained by taking the proper transform when it doesn't lie in the blow-up center or the inverse image if it lies in the center, in each blow-up. (See [16, Definition 2.7].)

Let X be a smooth variety and let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be transversally intersecting smooth closed subvarieties. Here, *transversal intersection* means that for any nonempty $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ the intersection $Y_S := \bigcap_{i \in S} Y_i$ is smooth and the normal bundle $N_{Y_S/X}$ in X of Y_S is the direct sum of the restrictions of the normal bundles $N_{Y_i/X}$ in X of Y_i , i.e.

$$N_{Y_S/X} = \bigoplus_{i \in S} N_{Y_i/X}|_{Y_S}.$$

If we denote the ideal of Y_i by I_i , the ideal of the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is the product $I_1 I_2 \cdots I_n$. Moreover for any permutation $\tau \in S_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, $B = \{Y_{\tau(1)}, \dots, Y_{\tau(i)}\}$ is clearly a building set. By Theorem 2.9 we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $Y = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_n$ be a union of transversally intersecting smooth subvarieties of a smooth variety X . Then the blow-up of X along Y is isomorphic to*

$$\text{bl}_{\tilde{Y}_{\tau(n)}} \cdots \text{bl}_{\tilde{Y}_{\tau(2)}} \text{bl}_{Y_{\tau(1)}} X$$

for any permutation $\tau \in S_n$ where \tilde{Y}_i denotes the proper transform of Y_i .

3. Moduli of weighted pointed stable maps

Let X be a smooth projective variety. In this section, we decompose the map

$$X[n] \rightarrow X^n$$

defined by Fulton and MacPherson ([5]) into a *symmetric* sequence of blow-ups along transversal centers. A. Mustața and M. Mustața already considered this problem in their search for intermediate moduli spaces for the stable map spaces in [18, §1]. Let us recall their construction.

STAGE 0: Let $F_0 = X^n$ and $\Gamma_0 = X^n \times X$. For a subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we let

$$\Sigma_0^S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \mid x_i = x_j \text{ if } i, j \in S\}, \quad \Sigma_0^k = \bigcup_{|S|=k} \Sigma_0^S$$

and let $\sigma_0^i \subset \Gamma_0$ be the graph of the i -th projection $X^n \rightarrow X$. Then $\Sigma_0^n \cong X$ is a smooth subvariety of F_0 . For each S , fix any $i_S \in S$.

STAGE 1: Let F_1 be the blow-up of F_0 along Σ_0^n . Let Σ_1^n be the exceptional divisor and Σ_1^S be the proper transform of Σ_0^S for $|S| \neq n$. Let us define Γ_1 as the blow-up of $F_1 \times_{F_0} \Gamma_0$ along $\Sigma_1^n \times_{F_0} \sigma_0^1$ so that we have a flat family

$$\Gamma_1 \rightarrow F_1 \times_{F_0} \Gamma_0 \rightarrow F_1$$

of varieties over F_1 . Let σ_1^i be the proper transform of σ_0^i in Γ_1 . Note that Σ_1^S for $|S| = n - 1$ are all disjoint smooth varieties of same dimension.

STAGE 2: Let F_2 be the blow-up of F_1 along $\Sigma_1^{n-1} = \sum_{|S|=n-1} \Sigma_1^S$. Let Σ_2^S be the exceptional divisor lying over Σ_1^S if $|S| = n - 1$ and Σ_2^S be the proper transform of Σ_1^S for $|S| \neq n - 1$. Let us define Γ_2 as the blow-up of $F_2 \times_{F_1} \Gamma_1$ along the disjoint union of $\Sigma_2^S \times_{F_1} \sigma_1^{i_S}$ for all S with $|S| = n - 1$ so that we have a flat family

$$\Gamma_2 \rightarrow F_2 \times_{F_1} \Gamma_1 \rightarrow F_2$$

of varieties over F_2 . Let σ_2^i be the proper transform of σ_1^i in Γ_2 . Note that Σ_2^S for $|S| = n - 2$ in F_2 are all transversal smooth varieties of same dimension. Hence the blow-up of F_2 along their union is smooth by §2.3.

We can continue this way until we reach the last stage.

STAGE $n - 1$: Let F_{n-1} be the blow-up of F_{n-2} along $\Sigma_{n-2}^2 = \sum_{|S|=2} \Sigma_{n-2}^S$. Let Σ_{n-1}^S be the exceptional divisor lying over Σ_{n-2}^S if $|S| = 2$ and Σ_{n-1}^S be the proper transform of Σ_{n-2}^S for $|S| \neq 2$. Let us define Γ_{n-1} as the blow-up of $F_{n-1} \times_{F_{n-2}} \Gamma_{n-2}$ along the disjoint union of $\Sigma_{n-1}^S \times_{F_{n-2}} \sigma_{n-2}^{i_S}$ for all S with $|S| = 2$ so that we have a flat family

$$\Gamma_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \times_{F_{n-2}} \Gamma_{n-2} \rightarrow F_{n-1}$$

of varieties over F_{n-1} . Let σ_{n-1}^i be the proper transform of σ_{n-2}^i in Γ_{n-1} .

Nonsingularity of the blown-up spaces F_k are guaranteed by the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Σ_k^S for $|S| \geq n - k$ are transversal in F_k i.e. the normal bundle in F_k of the intersection $\bigcap_i \Sigma_k^{S_i}$ for distinct S_i with $|S_i| \geq n - k$ is the direct sum of the restriction of the normal bundles in F_k of $\Sigma_k^{S_i}$.*

Proof. This is a special case of the inductive construction of the wonderful compactification in [16]. (See §2.3.) In our situation, the building set is the set of all diagonals $B_0 = \{\Sigma_0^S \mid S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$. By [16, Proposition 2.8], $B_k = \{\Sigma_k^S\}$ is a building set of an arrangement in F_k and hence the desired transversality follows. \square

By construction, F_k are all smooth and $\Gamma_k \rightarrow F_k$ are equipped with n sections σ_k^i and a morphism $f: \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_0 = X^n \times X \rightarrow X$ where the last map is the projection onto the last factor. When $\dim X = 1$, Σ_{n-2}^2 is a divisor and thus $F_{n-1} = F_{n-2}$. A. Mustařă and A.M. Mustařă prove that the varieties F_k have following moduli theoretic meaning.

DEFINITION 3.2 ([1, Definition 1.2]). Let δ be a positive rational number and let $n \cdot \delta = (\delta, \dots, \delta)$. Fix $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. A family of genus zero $n \cdot \delta$ -stable maps over S to a smooth projective variety X consists of a flat family of rational nodal curves $\pi: C \rightarrow S$, a morphism $f: C \rightarrow X$ of degree one over each geometric fiber C_s of π , and n sections $\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^n$ such that for all $s \in S$,

- (1) Every section lies on smooth locus of C ;
- (2) if $\sigma^{i_1}(s) = \dots = \sigma^{i_k}(s)$ for $i_1, \dots, i_k \in I$, then $\delta \cdot |I| \leq 1$;
- (3) $\omega_{C_s} + \delta \sum \sigma^i(s)$ is f -ample.

Let $\bar{M}_{0,n,\delta}(X, \beta)$ be the moduli stack of $n \cdot \delta$ -stable maps with $f_*[C] = \beta$. When $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\delta = 1$, then $\bar{M}_{0,n,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ is isomorphic to the Fulton–MacPherson space $\mathbb{P}^1[n]$ constructed in [5] ([18, p.55]).

Proposition 3.3 ([18, Proposition 1.8]). *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. Let δ_k be a rational number such that $1/(n - k + 1) < \delta_k \leq 1/(n - k)$. Then $F_k = \bar{M}_{0,n,\delta_k}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)$ and it is a fine moduli space. In particular, $F_{n-2} = F_{n-1}$ is the moduli space of stable maps $\bar{M}_{0,n,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) = \mathbb{P}^1[n]$.*

REMARK 3.4. Indeed, Mustață and Mustață proved Proposition 3.3 with using the notion of *k-stable parameterized rational curves*. A family of *k-stable parameterized rational curves* over S consists of a flat family of rational nodal curves $\pi: C \rightarrow S$, a morphism $\phi: C \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 1 over each geometric fiber C_s of π and n marked sections $\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^n$ of π such that for all $s \in S$,

- (1) all the marked points are smooth points of the curve C_s ;
- (2) no more than $n - k$ of the marked points $\sigma^i(s)$ in C_s coincide;
- (3) any ending irreducible curve in C_s , except the parameterized one, contains more than $n - k$ marked points;
- (4) C_s has finitely many automorphisms preserving the marked points and the map to \mathbb{P}^1 .

It is straightforward to check that the category of families of *k-stable parameterized rational curves* are equivalent to the category of families of $n \cdot \delta_k$ -stable maps to \mathbb{P}^1 of degree one.

4. Blow-up construction of moduli of pointed stable curves

In the previous section, we construct a sequence of blow-ups

$$(7) \quad \bar{M}_{0,n,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1) = F_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-2}} F_{n-3} \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-3}} \dots \xrightarrow{\psi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} F_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$$

along transversal centers. By construction the morphisms above are all equivariant with respect to the action of $G = SL(2)$. For GIT stability, we use the *symmetric* linearization $L_0 = \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$ for F_0 . For F_k we use the linearization L_k inductively defined by $L_k = \psi_k^* L_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-a_k E_k)$ where E_k is the exceptional divisor of ψ_k and $\{a_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence of sufficiently small positive numbers. Let $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. In this section, we prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. (i) *The GIT quotient $F_{n-m+k} // G$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$ is isomorphic to Hassett’s moduli space of weighted pointed stable rational curves \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_k} with weights $n \cdot \epsilon_k = (\epsilon_k, \dots, \epsilon_k)$ where $1/(m + 1 - k) < \epsilon_k \leq 1/(m - k)$. The induced maps on quotients*

$$\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} = F_{n-m+k} // G \rightarrow F_{n-m+k-1} // G = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}$$

are blow-ups along transversal centers for $k = 2, \dots, m - 2$.

(ii) *If n is odd,*

$$F_{m+1} // G = \dots = F_0 // G = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_0}$$

and we have a sequence of blow-ups

$$\bar{M}_{0,n} = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-2}} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-3}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_1} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_0} = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G$$

whose centers are transversal unions of equidimensional smooth varieties.

(iii) If n is even, \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_1} is a desingularization of

$$F_m//G = \cdots = F_0//G = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G,$$

obtained by blowing up $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ singular points so that we have a sequence of blow-ups

$$\bar{M}_{0,n} = \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-2}} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-3}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G.$$

REMARK 4.2. (1) Let δ_k be a rational number satisfying $1/(n - k + 1) < \delta_k \leq 1/(n - k)$. Then by Proposition 3.3,

$$\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)//G = \bar{M}_{0,n,\delta_{n-m+k}}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1)//G = F_{n-m+k}//G$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$. Thus item (i) of Theorem 4.1 is indeed item (i) of Theorem 1.1.

(2) When n is even, \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_0} is not defined because the sum of weights does not exceed 2.

(3) When n is even, \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_1} is Kirwan’s (partial) desingularization of the GIT quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G$ with respect to the symmetric linearization $L_0 = \mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$.

Let F_k^{ss} (resp. F_k^s) denote the semistable (resp. stable) part of F_k . By (6), we have

$$(8) \quad \psi_k(F_k^{ss}) \subset F_{k-1}^{ss}, \quad \psi_k^{-1}(F_{k-1}^s) \subset F_k^s.$$

Also recall from [14] that $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is semistable (resp. stable) if $> n/2$ (resp. $\geq n/2$) of x_i ’s are not allowed to coincide. In particular, when n is odd, $\psi_k^{-1}(F_{k-1}^s) = F_k^s = F_k^{ss}$ for all k and

$$(9) \quad F_{m+1}^s = F_m^s = \cdots = F_0^s,$$

because the blow-up centers lie in the unstable part. Therefore we have

$$(10) \quad F_{m+1}//G = \cdots = F_0//G = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G.$$

When n is even, ψ_k induces a morphism $F_k^{ss} \rightarrow F_{k-1}^{ss}$ and we have

$$(11) \quad F_m^{ss} = F_{m-1}^{ss} = \cdots = F_0^{ss} \quad \text{and} \quad F_m//G = \cdots = F_0//G = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G.$$

Let us consider the case where n is odd first. By forgetting the degree one morphism of each member of family $(f: \Gamma_{m+k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \Gamma_{m+k+1} \rightarrow F_{m+k+1}, \sigma_{m+k+1}^i)$ and stabilizing, we get a morphism $F_{m+k+1}^s \subset F_{m+k+1} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$. By construction this morphism is G -invariant and thus induces a morphism

$$\phi_k: F_{m+k+1}//G \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}.$$

Since the stabilizer groups in G of points in F_0^s are all $\{\pm 1\}$, the quotient

$$\tilde{\psi}_{m+k+1}: F_{m+k+1} // G \rightarrow F_{m+k} // G$$

of ψ_{m+k+1} is also a blow-up along a center which consists of transversal smooth varieties by Corollary 2.7.

Since the blow-up center has codimension ≥ 2 , the Picard number increases by $\binom{n}{m-k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-2$. Since the character group of $SL(2)$ has no free part, by the descent result in [3], the Picard number of $F_{m+1} // G = F_0^s / G$ is the same as the Picard number of F_0^s which equals the Picard number of F_0 . Therefore $\rho(F_{m+1} // G) = n$ and the Picard number of $F_{m+k+1} // G$ is

$$n + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{m-i+1}$$

which equals the Picard number of $\bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k}$ by Lemma 2.4. Since $\bar{M}_{0,n-\epsilon_k}$ and $F_{m+k+1} // G$ are smooth and their Picard numbers coincide, we conclude that ϕ_k is an isomorphism as we desired. So we proved Theorem 4.1 for odd n .

Now let us suppose n is even. For ease of understanding, we divide our proof into several steps.

STEP 1: For $k \geq 1$, $F_{m+k} // G$ are nonsingular and isomorphic to the partial desingularizations $\tilde{F}_{m+k} // G$.

The GIT quotients $F_{m+k} // G$ may be singular because there are \mathbb{C}^* -fixed points in the semistable part F_{m+k}^{ss} . So we use Kirwan's partial desingularization of the GIT quotients $F_{m+k} // G$ (§2.2). The following lemma says that the partial desingularization process has no effect on the quotient $F_{m+k} // G$ for $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let F be a smooth projective variety with linearized $G = SL(2)$ action and let F^{ss} be the semistable part. Fix a maximal torus \mathbb{C}^* in G . Let Z be the set of \mathbb{C}^* -fixed points in F^{ss} . Suppose the stabilizers of all points in the stable part F^s are $\{\pm 1\}$ and $Y = GZ$ is the union of all closed orbits in $F^{ss} - F^s$. Suppose that the stabilizers of points in Z are precisely \mathbb{C}^* . Suppose further that $Y = GZ$ is of codimension 2. Let $\tilde{F} \rightarrow F^{ss}$ be the blow-up of F^{ss} along Y and let \tilde{F}^s be the stable part in \tilde{F} with respect to a linearization as in §2.2. Finally suppose that for each $y \in Z$, the weights of the \mathbb{C}^* action on the normal space to Y is $\pm l$ for some $l > 0$. Then $\tilde{F} // G = \tilde{F}^s / G \cong F // G$ and $F // G$ is nonsingular.*

Proof. Since $\bar{G} = G / \{\pm 1\}$ acts freely on F^s , F^s / G is smooth. By assumption, Y is the union of all closed orbits in $F^{ss} - F^s$ and hence $F // G - F^s / G = Y / G$. By Lemma 2.6 (2), \tilde{F}^s / G is the blow-up of $F // G$ along the reduced ideal of Y / G . By our assumption, Z is of codimension 4 and

$$Y / G = GZ / G \cong G \times_{N_{\mathbb{C}^*}} Z / G \cong Z / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

where $N^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is the normalizer of \mathbb{C}^* in G . Since the dimension of $F//G$ is $\dim F - 3$, the blow-up center Y/G is nonsingular of codimension 1. By Luna’s slice theorem ([17, Appendix 1.D]), the singularity of $F//G$ at any point $[Gy] \in Y/G$ is $\mathbb{C}^2//\mathbb{C}^*$ where the weights are $\pm l$. Obviously this is smooth and hence $F//G$ is smooth along Y/G . Since the blow-up center is a smooth divisor, the blow-up map $\tilde{F}^s/G \rightarrow F//G$ has to be an isomorphism. \square

Let Z_{m+k} be the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus in F_{m+k}^{ss} and let $Y_{m+k} = GZ_{m+k}$. Then Y_{m+k} is the disjoint union of

$$\Sigma_{m+k}^{S,S^c} := \Sigma_{m+k}^S \cap \Sigma_{m+k}^{S^c} \cap F_{m+k}^{ss} \quad \text{for } |S| = m, S^c = \{1, \dots, n\} - S$$

which are nonsingular of codimension 2 for $k \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.1. For a point

$$(f : (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1) \in \Sigma_{m+k}^{S,S^c}$$

the degree one component of C (i.e. the unique component which is not contracted by f) has two nodes and no marked points. The normal space \mathbb{C}^2 to Σ_{m+k}^{S,S^c} is given by the smoothing deformations of the two nodes and hence the stabilizer \mathbb{C}^* acts with weights 2 and -2 .

The blow-up \tilde{F}_{m+k} of F_{m+k}^{ss} along Y_{m+k} has no strictly semistable points by [15, §6]. In fact, the unstable locus in \tilde{F}_{m+k} is the proper transform of $\Sigma_{m+k}^S \cup \Sigma_{m+k}^{S^c}$ and the stabilizers of points in \tilde{F}_{m+k}^S are either $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ (for points not in the exceptional divisor of $\tilde{F}_{m+k}^S \rightarrow F_{m+k}^{ss}$) or $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ (for points in the exceptional divisor). Therefore, by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 2.6 (3), we have isomorphisms

$$(12) \quad \tilde{F}_{m+k}^S/G \cong F_{m+k}//G$$

and $F_{m+k}//G$ are nonsingular for $k \geq 1$.

STEP 2: The partial desingularization $\tilde{F}_m//G$ is a nonsingular variety obtained by blowing up the $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ singular points of $F_m//G = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n//G$.

Note that Y_m in F_m^{ss} is the disjoint union of $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ orbits Σ_m^{S,S^c} for $|S| = m$. By Lemma 2.6 (2), the morphism $\tilde{F}_m^s/G \rightarrow F_m//G$ is the blow-up at the $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ points given by the orbits of the blow-up center. A point in Σ_m^{S,S^c} is represented by $(\mathbb{P}^1, p_1, \dots, p_n, \text{id})$ with $p_i = p_j$ if $i, j \in S$ or $i, j \in S^c$. Without loss of generality, we may let $S = \{1, \dots, m\}$. The normal space to an orbit Σ_m^{S,S^c} is given by

$$(T_{p_1} \mathbb{P}^1)^{m-1} \times (T_{p_{m+1}} \mathbb{P}^1)^{m-1} = \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$$

and \mathbb{C}^* acts with weights 2 and -2 respectively on the two factors. By Luna’s slice theorem, étale locally near Σ_m^{S,S^c} , F_m^{ss} is $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} (\mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1})$ and \tilde{F}_m is $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \text{bl}_0(\mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1})$ while \tilde{F}_m^s is $G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} [\text{bl}_0(\mathbb{C}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1}) - \text{bl}_0 \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \sqcup \text{bl}_0 \mathbb{C}^{m-1}]$. By an explicit local

calculation, the stabilizers of points on the exceptional divisor of \tilde{F}_m are $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ and the stabilizers of points over F_m^s are $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$. Since the locus of nontrivial stabilizers for the action of \tilde{G} on \tilde{F}_m^s is a smooth divisor with stabilizer \mathbb{Z}_2 , $\tilde{F}_m//G = \tilde{F}_m^s/G$ is smooth and hence \tilde{F}_m^s/G is the desingularization of $F_m//G$ obtained by blowing up its $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ singular points.

STEP 3: The morphism $\tilde{\psi}_{m+k+1}: F_{m+k+1}//G \rightarrow F_{m+k}//G$ is the blow-up along the union of transversal smooth subvarieties for $k \geq 1$. For $k = 0$, we have $\tilde{F}_{m+1}^s = \tilde{F}_m^s$ and thus

$$F_{m+1}//G \cong \tilde{F}_{m+1}^s/G = \tilde{F}_m^s/G = \tilde{F}_m//G$$

is the blow-up along its $(1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ singular points.

From Lemma 3.1, we know Σ_{m+k}^S for $|S| \geq m - k$ are transversal in F_{m+k} . In particular,

$$\bigcup_{|S|=m} \Sigma_{m+k}^S \cap \Sigma_{m+k}^{S^c}$$

intersects transversely with the blow-up center

$$\bigcup_{|S'|=m-k} \Sigma_{m+k}^{S'}$$

for $\psi_{m+k+1}: F_{m+k+1} \rightarrow F_{m+k}$. Hence, by Proposition 2.10 we have a commutative diagram

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{F}_{m+k+1} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_{m+k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_{m+k+1}^{SS} & \longrightarrow & F_{m+k}^{SS} \end{array}$$

for $k \geq 1$ where the top horizontal arrow is the blow-up along the proper transforms $\tilde{\Sigma}_{m+k}^{S'}$ of $\Sigma_{m+k}^{S'}$, $|S'| = m - k$. By Corollary 2.7, we deduce that for $k \geq 1$, $\tilde{\psi}_{m+k+1}$ is the blow-up along the transversal union of smooth subvarieties $\tilde{\Sigma}_{m+k}^{S'}/G \cong \Sigma_{m+k}^{S'}/G$.

For $k = 0$, the morphism $\tilde{F}_{m+1} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_m$ is the blow-up along the proper transforms of Σ_m^S and $\Sigma_m^{S^c}$ for $|S| = m$. But these are unstable in \tilde{F}_m and hence the morphism $\tilde{F}_{m+1}^s \rightarrow \tilde{F}_m^s$ on the stable part is the identity map. So we obtain $\tilde{F}_{m+1}^s = \tilde{F}_m^s$ and $\tilde{F}_{m+1}^s/G \cong \tilde{F}_m^s/G$.

STEP 4: Calculation of Picard numbers.

The Picard number of $F_m^{SS} = F_0^{SS} \subset F_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is n and so the Picard number of \tilde{F}_m is $n + (1/2)\binom{n}{m}$. By the descent lemma of [3] as in the odd degree case, the Picard number of

$$F_{m+1}//G \cong \tilde{F}_{m+1}^s/G = \tilde{F}_m^s/G$$

equals the Picard number $n + (1/2)\binom{n}{m}$ of \tilde{F}_m^s . Since the blow-up center of $\tilde{F}_{m+k} // G \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{m+k-1} // G$ has $\binom{n}{m-k+1}$ irreducible components, the Picard number of $\tilde{F}_{m+k} // G \cong F_{m+k} // G$ is

$$(14) \quad n + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{m} + \sum_{i=2}^k \binom{n}{m-i+1}$$

for $k \geq 2$.

STEP 5: Completion of the proof.

As in the odd degree case, for $k \geq 1$ the universal family $\pi_k: \Gamma_{m+k} \rightarrow F_{m+k}$ gives rise to a family of pointed curves by considering the linear system $K_{\pi_k} + \epsilon_k \sum_i \sigma_{m+k}^i$. Over the semistable part F_{m+k}^{ss} it is straightforward to check that this gives us a family of $n \cdot \epsilon_k$ -stable pointed curves. Therefore we obtain an invariant morphism

$$F_{m+k}^{ss} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$$

which induces a morphism

$$F_{m+k} // G \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, the Picard number of \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_k} coincides with that of $F_{m+k} // G$ given in (14). Hence the morphism $F_{m+k} // G \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$ is an isomorphism as desired. This completes our proof of Theorem 4.1.

REMARK 4.4. For the moduli space of *unordered* weighted pointed stable curves $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} / S_n$, we can simply take quotients by the S_n action of the blow-up process in Theorem 4.1. In particular, $\bar{M}_{0,n} / S_n$ is obtained by a sequence of weighted blow-ups from $((\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G) / S_n = \mathbb{P}^n // G$.

5. Log canonical models of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$

In this section, we give a simple proof of the following theorem by using Theorem 4.1. Let $M_{0,n}$ be the moduli space of n distinct points in \mathbb{P}^1 up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

Theorem 5.1 (M. Simpson [20]). *Let α be a rational number satisfying $2/(n-1) < \alpha \leq 1$ and let $D = \bar{M}_{0,n} - M_{0,n}$ denote the boundary divisor. Then the log canonical model*

$$\bar{M}_{0,n}(\alpha) = \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} H^0(\bar{M}_{0,n}, \mathcal{O}(\lfloor l(K_{\bar{M}_{0,n}} + \alpha D) \rfloor)) \right)$$

satisfies the following:

- (1) If $2/(m - k + 2) < \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$, then $\bar{M}_{0,n}(\alpha) \cong \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$.
- (2) If $2/(n - 1) < \alpha \leq 2/(m + 1)$, then $\bar{M}_{0,n}(\alpha) \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G$ where the quotient is taken with respect to the symmetric linearization $\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)$.

REMARK 5.2. Keel and McKernan prove ([13, Lemma 3.6]) that $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n}} + D$ is ample. Because

$$\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-2}} \cong \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-1}} = \bar{M}_{0,n}$$

by definition, we find that (1) above holds for $k = m - 1$ as well.

For notational convenience, we denote $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G$ by \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_0} for even n as well. Let Σ_k^S denote the subvarieties of F_k defined in §3 for $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|S| \leq m$. Let

$$D_k^S = \Sigma_{n-m+k}^S // G \subset F_{n-m+k} // G \cong \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}.$$

Then D_k^S is a divisor of \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_k} for $|S| = 2$ or $m - k < |S| \leq m$. Let $D_k^j = (\bigcup_{|S|=j} \Sigma_{n-m+k}^S // G) // G$ and $D_k = D_k^2 + \sum_{j>m-k} D_k^j$. Then D_k is the boundary divisor of \bar{M}_{0,n,ϵ_k} , i.e. $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} - M_{0,n} = D_k$. When $k = m - 2$ so $\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k} \cong \bar{M}_{0,n}$, sometimes we will drop the subscript k . Note that if n is even and $|S| = m$, $D_k^S = D_k^{S^c} = \Sigma_{n-m+k}^{S^c} // G$.

By Theorem 4.1, there is a sequence of blow-ups

$$(15) \quad \bar{M}_{0,n} \cong \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-2}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-2}} \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{m-3}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-3}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_0}$$

whose centers are transversal unions of smooth subvarieties, except for φ_1 when n is even. Note that the irreducible components of the blow-up center of φ_k furthermore intersect transversely with D_{k-1}^j for $j > m - k + 1$ by Lemma 3.1 and by taking quotients.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$.*

- (1) $\varphi_k^*(D_{k-1}^j) = D_k^j$ for $j > m - k + 1$.
- (2) $\varphi_k^*(D_{k-1}^2) = D_k^2 + \binom{m-k+1}{2} D_k^{m-k+1}$.
- (3) $\varphi_{k*}(D_k^j) = D_{k-1}^j$ for $j > m - k + 1$ or $j = 2$.
- (4) $\varphi_{k*}(D_k^j) = 0$ for $j = m - k + 1$.

Proof. The push-forward formulas (3) and (4) are obvious. Recall from §4 that $\varphi_k = \psi_{n-m+k}$ is the quotient of $\psi_{n-m+k}: F_{n-m+k}^{SS} \rightarrow F_{n-m+k-1}^{SS}$. Suppose n is not even or k is not 1. Since D_k^S for $|S| > 2$ does not contain any component of the blow-up center, $\varphi_k^*(D_{k-1}^S) = D_k^S$. If $|S| = 2$, D_{k-1}^S contains a component $D_{k-1}^{S'}$ of the blow-up center if and only if $S' \supset S$. Therefore we have

$$\varphi_k^*(D_{k-1}^S) = D_k^S + \sum_{S' \supset S, |S|=m-k+1} D_k^{S'}$$

By adding them up for all S such that $|S| = 2$, we obtain (2).

When n is even and $k = 1$, we calculate the pull-back before quotient. Let $\pi: \tilde{F}_m^s \rightarrow F_m^{ss}$ be the map obtained by blowing up $\bigcup_{|S|=m} \Sigma_m^{S, S^c}$ and removing unstable points. Recall that $\tilde{F}_m^s/G \cong F_{m+1}/G \cong \bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_1}$ and the quotient of π is φ_1 . Then a direct calculation similar to the above gives us $\pi^* \Sigma_m^2 = \tilde{\Sigma}_m^2 + 2 \binom{m}{2} \tilde{\Sigma}_m^m$ where $\Sigma_m^2 = \bigcup_{|S|=2} \Sigma_m^S$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_m^2$ is the proper transform of Σ_m^2 while $\tilde{\Sigma}_m^m$ denotes the exceptional divisor. Note that by the descent lemma ([3]), the divisor Σ_m^2 and $\tilde{\Sigma}_m^2$ descend to D_0^2 and D_1^2 . However $\tilde{\Sigma}_m^m$ does not descend because the stabilizer group \mathbb{Z}_2 in $\bar{G} = PGL(2)$ of points in $\tilde{\Sigma}_m^m$ acts nontrivially on the normal spaces. But by the descent lemma again, $2\tilde{\Sigma}_m^m$ descends to D_1^m . Thus we obtain (2). \square

Next we calculate the canonical divisors of $\bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_k}$. Since the reduction morphism is a composition of smooth blow-ups by Theorem 4.1, the proof is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.4 and the discrepancy formula.

Proposition 5.4 ([19, Proposition 1]). *The canonical divisor of $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ is*

$$K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}} \cong -\frac{2}{n-1} D^2 + \sum_{j=3}^m \left(-\frac{2}{n-1} \binom{j}{2} + (j-2) \right) D^j.$$

Lemma 5.5. (1) *The canonical divisor of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G$ is*

$$K_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // G} \cong -\frac{2}{n-1} D_0^2.$$

(2) *For $1 \leq k \leq m-2$, the canonical divisor of $\bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_k}$ is*

$$K_{\bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_k}} \cong -\frac{2}{n-1} D_k^2 + \sum_{j \geq m-k+1}^m \left(-\frac{2}{n-1} \binom{j}{2} + (j-2) \right) D_k^j.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1. By [20, Corollary 3.5], the theorem is a direct consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6. (1) *$K_{\bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_0}} + \alpha D_0$ is ample if $2/(n-1) < \alpha \leq 2/(m+1)$.*

(2) *For $1 \leq k \leq m-2$, $K_{\bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample if $2/(m-k+2) < \alpha \leq 2/(m-k+1)$.*

Since any positive linear combination of an ample divisor and a nef divisor is ample, it suffices to show the following:

(a) Nefness of $K_{\bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ for $\alpha = 2/(m-k+1) + s$ where s is some (small) positive number;

(b) Ampleness of $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ for $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 2) + t$ where t is any sufficiently small positive number.

We will use Alexeev and Swinarski’s intersection number calculation in [2] to achieve (a) (See Lemma 5.12.) and then (b) will immediately follow from our Theorem 4.1.

DEFINITION 5.7 ([20]). Let $\varphi = \varphi_{n,\epsilon_{m-2},n,\epsilon_k} : \bar{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0,n\epsilon_k}$ be the natural contraction map (§2.1). For $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 2$ and $\alpha > 0$, define $A(k, \alpha)$ by

$$A(k, \alpha) := \varphi^*(K_{\bar{M}_{0,n\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k) = \sum_{j=2}^{m-k} \binom{j}{2} \left(\alpha - \frac{2}{n-1}\right) D^j + \sum_{j \geq m-k+1}^m \left(\alpha - \frac{2}{n-1} \binom{j}{2} + j - 2\right) D^j.$$

Notice that the last equality is an easy consequence of Lemma 5.3.

By [11], there is a birational morphism $\pi_{\vec{x}} : \bar{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n //_{\vec{x}} G$ for any linearization $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_+^n$. Since the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) // G$ over $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n //_{\vec{x}} G$ is ample, its pull-back $L_{\vec{x}}$ by $\pi_{\vec{x}}$ is certainly nef.

DEFINITION 5.8 ([2, Definition 2.3]). Let x be a rational number such that $1/(n - 1) \leq x \leq 2/n$. Set $\vec{x} = \mathcal{O}(x, \dots, x, 2 - (n - 1)x)$. Define

$$V(x, n) := \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \bigotimes_{\tau \in S_n} L_{\tau \vec{x}}.$$

Obviously the symmetric group S_n acts on \vec{x} by permuting the components of \vec{x} .

Notice that $V(x, n)$ is nef because it is a positive linear combination of nef line bundles.

DEFINITION 5.9 ([2, Definition 3.5]). Let $C_{a,b,c,d}$ be any vital curve class corresponding to a partition $S_a \sqcup S_b \sqcup S_c \sqcup S_d$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|S_a| = a, \dots, |S_d| = d$.

- (1) Suppose $n = 2m + 1$ is odd. Let $C_i = C_{1,1,m-i,m+i-1}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.
- (2) Suppose $n = 2m$ is even. Let $C_i = C_{1,1,m-i,m+i-2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.

By [13, Corollary 4.4], the following computation is straightforward.

Lemma 5.10. *The intersection numbers $C_i \cdot A(k, \alpha)$ are*

$$C_i \cdot A(k, \alpha) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } i < k, \\ \left(2 - \binom{m-k}{2}\right)\alpha + m - k - 2 & \text{if } i = k, \\ \left(\binom{m-k+1}{2} - 1\right)\alpha - m + k + 1 & \text{if } i = k + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > k + 1. \end{cases}$$

This lemma is in fact a slight generalization of [2, Lemma 3.7] where the intersection numbers for $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 1)$ only are calculated.

The S_n -invariant subspace of Néron–Severi vector space of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$ is generated by D^j for $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$ ([13, Theorem 1.3]). Therefore, in order to determine the linear dependency of S_n -invariant divisors, we find $m - 1$ linearly independent curve classes, and calculate the intersection numbers of divisors with these curves classes. Let U be an $(m - 1) \times (m - 1)$ matrix with entries $U_{ij} = (C_i \cdot V(1/(m + j), n))$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m - 1$. Since $V(1/(m + j), n)$'s are all nef, all entries of U are nonnegative.

Lemma 5.11 ([2, §3.2, §3.3]). (1) *The intersection matrix U is upper triangular and if $i \leq j$, then $U_{ij} > 0$. In particular, U is invertible.*

(2) *Let $\vec{a} = ((C_1 \cdot A(k, 2/(m - k + 1))), \dots, (C_{m-1} \cdot A(k, 2/(m - k + 1))))^t$ be the column vector of intersection numbers. Let $\vec{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-1})^t$ be the unique solution of the system of linear equations $U\vec{c} = \vec{a}$. Then $c_i > 0$ for $i \leq k + 1$ and $c_i = 0$ for $i \geq k + 2$.*

This lemma implies that $A(k, 2/(m - k + 1))$ is a positive linear combination of $V(1/(m + j), n)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$. Note that $A(k, 2/(m - k + 2)) = A(k - 1, 2/(m - (k - 1) + 1))$ and that for $2/(m - k + 2) \leq \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1)$, $A(k, \alpha)$ is a nonnegative linear combination of $A(k, 2/(m - k + 2))$ and $A(k, 2/(m - k + 1))$. Hence by the numerical result in Lemma 5.11 and the convexity of the nef cone, $A(k, \alpha)$ is nef for $2/(m - k + 2) \leq \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1)$. Actually we can slightly improve this result by using continuity.

Lemma 5.12. *For each $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 2$, there exists $s > 0$ such that $A(k, \alpha)$ is nef for $2/(m - k + 2) \leq \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1) + s$. Therefore, $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is nef for $2/(m - k + 2) \leq \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1) + s$.*

Proof. Let $\vec{a}^\alpha = ((C_1 \cdot A(k, \alpha)), \dots, (C_{m-1} \cdot A(k, \alpha)))^t$ and let $\vec{c}^\alpha = (c_1^\alpha, \dots, c_{m-1}^\alpha)^t$ be the unique solution of equation $U\vec{c}^\alpha = \vec{a}^\alpha$. Then by continuity, the components $c_1^\alpha, c_2^\alpha, \dots, c_{k+1}^\alpha$ remain positive when α is slightly increased. By Lemma 5.10 and the upper triangularity of U , c_i^α for $i > k + 1$ are all zero. Hence $A(k, \alpha)$ is still nef for $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 1) + s$ with sufficiently small $s > 0$. □

With this nefness result, the proof of Proposition 5.6 is obtained as a quick application of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.6. We prove that in fact $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample for $2/(m - k + 2) < \alpha < 2/(m - k + 1) + s$ where s is the small positive rational number in Lemma 5.12. Since a positive linear combination of an ample divisor and a nef divisor is ample, it suffices to show that $K_{\bar{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample when $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 2) + t$ for any sufficiently small $t > 0$ by Lemma 5.12.

We use induction on k . It is certainly true when $k = 0$ by Lemma 5.5 because D_0^2 is ample as the quotient of $\mathcal{O}(n - 1, \dots, n - 1)$. Suppose $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}} + \alpha D_{k-1}$ is ample for $2/(m - k + 3) < \alpha < 2/(m - k + 2) + s'$ where s' is the small positive number in Lemma 5.12 for $k - 1$. Since φ_k is a blow-up with exceptional divisor D_k^{m-k+1} ,

$$\varphi_k^*(K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}} + \alpha D_{k-1}) - \delta D_k^{m-k+1}$$

is ample for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ by [6, II 7.10]. A direct computation with Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5 provides us with

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_k^*(K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_{k-1}}} + \alpha D_{k-1}) - \delta D_k^{m-k+1} \\ &= K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k + \left(\binom{m-k+1}{2} \alpha - \alpha - (m-k-1) - \delta \right) D_k^{m-k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 2)$, $\binom{m-k+1}{2} \alpha - \alpha - (m - k - 1) = 0$ and thus we can find $\alpha > 2/(m - k + 2)$ satisfying $\binom{m-k+1}{2} \alpha - \alpha - (m - k - 1) - \delta = 0$. If δ decreases to 0, the solution α decreases to $2/(m - k + 2)$. Hence $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample when $\alpha = 2/(m - k + 2) + t$ for any sufficiently small $t > 0$ as desired. \square

REMARK 5.13. There are already two different proofs of M. Simpson’s theorem (Theorem 5.1) given by Fedorchuk–Smyth [4], and by Alexeev–Swinarski [2] without relying on Fulton’s conjecture. Here we give a brief outline of the two proofs.

In [20, Corollary 3.5], Simpson proves that Theorem 5.1 is an immediate consequence of the ampleness of $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ for $2/(m - k + 2) < \alpha \leq 2/(m - k + 1)$ (Proposition 5.6). The differences in the proofs of Theorem 5.1 reside solely in different ways of proving Proposition 5.6.

The ampleness of $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ follows if the divisor $A(k, \alpha) = \varphi^*(K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k)$ is nef and its linear system contracts only φ -exceptional curves. Here, $\varphi: \tilde{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$ is the natural contraction map (§2.1). Alexeev and Swinarski prove Proposition 5.6 in two stages: First the nefness of $A(k, \alpha)$ for suitable ranges is proved and next they show that the divisors are the pull-backs of ample line bundles on $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$. Lemma 5.12 above is only a negligible improvement of the nefness result in [2, §3]. In [2, Theorem 4.1], they give a partial criterion for a line bundle to be the pull-back of an ample line bundle on $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$. After some rather sophisticated combinatorial computations, they prove in [2, Proposition 4.2] that $A(k, \alpha)$ satisfies the desired properties.

On the other hand, Fedorchuk and Smyth show that $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is ample as follows. Firstly, by applying the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem, they represent $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ as a linear combination of boundary divisors and tautological ψ -classes. Secondly, for such a linear combination of divisor classes and for a complete curve in $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$ parameterizing a family of curves with smooth general member, they perform brilliant computations and get several inequalities satisfied by their intersection numbers ([4, Proposition 3.2]). Combining these inequalities, they prove in particular that

$K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ has positive intersection with any complete curve on $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}$ with smooth general member ([4, Theorem 4.3]). Thirdly, they prove that if the divisor class intersects positively with any curve with smooth general member, then it intersects positively with all curves by an induction argument on the dimension. Thus they establish the fact that $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ has positive intersection with all curves. Lastly, they prove that the same property holds even if $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ is perturbed by any small linear combination of boundary divisors. Since the boundary divisors generate the Néron–Severi vector space, $K_{\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_k}} + \alpha D_k$ lies in the interior of the nef cone and the desired ampleness follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper grew out of our effort to prove a conjecture of Brendan Hassett (passed to us by David Donghoon Hyeon): When n is even, $\tilde{M}_{0,n,\epsilon_1}$ is the (weighted) blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n//G$ at the singular point. It is our pleasure to thank Donghoon Hyeon for useful discussions. We are grateful to Yi Hu who informed us of [9]. We are also grateful to David Smyth who kindly pointed out an error in a previous draft. The second author would like to thank Valery Alexeev for his kind explanation about the proof of the ampleness theorem in [2, §4].

References

- [1] V. Alexeev and G.M. Guy: *Moduli of weighted stable maps and their gravitational descendants*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **7** (2008), 425–456.
- [2] V. Alexeev and D. Swinarski: *Nef divisors on $\tilde{M}_{0,n}$ from GIT*, arXiv:0812.0778.
- [3] J.-M. Drezet and M.S. Narasimhan: *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 53–94.
- [4] M. Fedorchuk and D. Smyth: *Ample divisors on moduli spaces of weighted pointed rational curves, with applications to log MMP for $\tilde{M}_{0,n}$* , to appear in J. of Alg. Geom., arXiv:0810.1677.
- [5] W. Fulton and R. MacPherson: *A compactification of configuration spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **139** (1994), 183–225.
- [6] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Text in Mathematics **52**, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [7] B. Hassett: *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), 316–352.
- [8] Y. Hu: *Relative geometric invariant theory and universal moduli spaces*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), 151–181.
- [9] Y. Hu: *Moduli spaces of stable polygons and symplectic structures on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$* , Compositio Math. **118** (1999), 159–187.
- [10] Y. Hu and S. Keel: *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 331–348.
- [11] M.M. Kapranov: *Chow quotients of Grassmannians, I*; in I.M. Gel’fand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math. **16**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 29–110, 1993.
- [12] S. Keel: *Intersection theory of moduli space of stable n -pointed curves of genus zero*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), 545–574.
- [13] S. Keel and J. McKernan. *Contractible extremal rays on $\tilde{M}_{0,n}$* , arXiv:9607009.
- [14] F.C. Kirwan: *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, Mathematical Notes **31**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.

- [15] F.C. Kirwan: *Partial desingularisations of quotients of nonsingular varieties and their Betti numbers*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), 41–85.
- [16] L. Li: *Wonderful compactification of an arrangement of subvarieties*, Michigan Math. J. **58** (2009), 535–563.
- [17] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan: *Geometric Invariant Theory*, third edition, Ser. Modern Surveys Math. **34**, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [18] A. Mustaþă and M.A. Mustaþă: *Intermediate moduli spaces of stable maps*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), 47–90.
- [19] R. Pandharipande: *The canonical class of $\bar{M}_{0,n}(\mathbf{P}^r, d)$ and enumerative geometry*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1997), 173–186.
- [20] M. Simpson: *On Log canonical models of the moduli space of stable pointed curves*, arXiv:0709.4037.

Young-Hoon Kiem
Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Mathematics
Seoul National University
Seoul 151-747
Korea
e-mail: kiem@math.snu.ac.kr

Han-Bom Moon
Department of Mathematics
Seoul National University
Seoul 151-747
Korea
e-mail: spring-1@snu.ac.kr