Watanabe, A. Osaka J. Math. **45** (2008), 869–875

# THE GLAUBERMAN CORRESPONDENT OF A NILPOTENT BLOCK OF A FINITE GROUP

# ATUMI WATANABE

(Received August 16, 2007)

## Abstract

We prove that a nilpotent block of a finite group and its Glauberman correspondent are basic Morita equivalent.

# 1. Introduction

Let *A* and *G* be finite groups such that *A* is solvable, *A* acts on *G* by automorphisms and that the orders |A| and |G| are coprime. Let  $C = C_G(A)$ . Let  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$  be a *p*-modular system such that  $\mathcal{K}$  contains the |G|-th roots of unity and *k* is algebraically closed. We denote by Irr(G) the set of ordinary irreducible characters of *G* and by  $Irr(G)^A$  the set of *A*-invariant elements of Irr(G). Then there is a natural one-to-one and onto map  $\pi(G, A)$ :  $Irr(G)^A \to Irr(C)$  which we call the Glauberman character correspondence ([5] and [10], Chapter 13).

Let *B* be a (*p*-)block of *G*. *B* is a block algebra of  $\mathcal{O}G$ . By [19], Proposition 1 and Theorem 1, if *B* is *A*-invariant and a defect group *D* of *B* is centralized by *A*, then any  $\chi \in Irr(B)$ , the set of ordinary irreducible characters of *B*, is *A*-invariant and there exists a block *b* of *C* such that  $\pi(G, A)(Irr(B)) = Irr(b)$  (see [12], Theorem 4.3 for another proof of [19], Proposition 1). Moreover *D* is a defect group of *b*, and  $\pi(G, A)$  gives an isotypy between *B* and *b* in the sense of [3], by [19], Proposition 5. Then we call *b* the Glauberman correspondent of *B*. In [8], Theorem 1.1, it is shown that if *G* is *p*-solvable, then there is a basic Morita equivalence, in the sense of [15], Chapter 7, between *B* and *b* such that the bijection between Irr(B) and Irr(b) induced by it is  $\pi(G, A)_{|Irr(B)}$ . Moreover in [7], Theorem 2 and [17], Theorem 4.9, it is shown that if the defect group *D* is normal in *G*, then there is a splendid Morita equivalence between *B* and *b* such that the bijection between Irr(B) and Irr(b) induced by it is  $\pi(G, A)_{|Irr(B)}$  (see also [11], [9] and [16]). In this paper we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** With the above notations, let B be an A-invariant block of G such that a defect group D of B is contained in C, and let b be the Glauberman correspondent of B. Assume that B is nilpotent. Then b is nilpotent and there is a basic

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C20.

#### A. WATANABE

Morita equivalence between B and b such that the bijection Irr(B) and Irr(b) induced by it is  $\pi(G, A)_{|Irr(B)}$ .

For notations and terminologies in this paper, we follow [18]. A module is a left module unless stated explicitly.

We remark that in [6], it is shown that a block of a finite group and its Isaacs correspondent are basic Morita equivalent.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let *G* be a finite group and *B* be a block of *G*. We denote by  $1_B$  the identity element of *B*. Let  $D_{\gamma}$  be a maximal local pointed group contained in the pointed group  $G_{\{1_B\}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}G$  and let  $i \in \gamma$ , then *i* is called a *D*-source idempotent of *B*, and *iBi* is called a source algebra of *B*. If *L* is a left (resp. right) *iBi*-module, then *L* is regarded as a left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{O}D$ -module via the  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}D$  to *iBi*.

Let *G* and *G'* be finite groups. If *M* is an  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodule, *M* is considered as an  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module through  $(x', x)m = x'mx^{-1}$  for any  $x' \in G'$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $m \in M$ , which we denote by  $_{G' \times G}M$ . Similarly, if *M* is an  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module, then *M* is considered as an  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodule. Moreover, for  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules  $M_1, M_2$ , those are isomorphic if and only if  $_{G' \times G}M_1$  and  $_{G' \times G}M_2$  are so. Let *D* and *D'* be subgroups of *G* and *G'* respectively and *N* be an  $(\mathcal{O}D', \mathcal{O}D)$ -bimodule. We have an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -modules

$$N_{D' \times D}^{G' \times G} \cong \mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$$

mapping  $(x', x) \otimes n$  to  $x' \otimes n \otimes x^{-1}$  for any  $x' \in G'$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $n \in N$  where  $N_{D' \times D}^{G' \times G}$  is the induced  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module from the  $\mathcal{O}(D' \times D)$ -module N.

**Lemma 2.** Let G and G' be finite groups, and let B and B' be blocks of G and G' with defect groups D and D' respectively. Let i (resp. i') be a D (resp. D')-source idempotent of B (resp. B'). If N is an (i'B'i', iBi)-bimodule, then as  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -modules

$$B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB \mid N_{D'\times D}^{G'\times G}$$

where  $N_{D'\times D}^{G'\times G}$  is the induced  $\mathcal{O}(G'\times G)$ -module from the  $\mathcal{O}(D'\times D)$ -module N.

Proof. By the above it suffices to show that as  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules,  $B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$  is a component of  $\mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$ . By [13], Theorem 1.2, there exist  $c, d \in (\mathcal{O}G)^D$  such that  $1_B = \sum_{u \in U} ucidu^{-1}$  where U is the set of representatives of G/D. Here  $(\mathcal{O}G)^D$  denotes the set of D-fixed elements of  $\mathcal{O}G$ . Similarly there exist  $c', d' \in (\mathcal{O}G')^{D'}$  such that  $1_{B'} = \sum_{u' \in U'} u'c'i'd'u'^{-1}$  where U' is the set of representatives

870

of G'/D'. We can construct a homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules

$$\varphi \colon B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB \to \mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$$

which satisfies

$$\varphi(a' \otimes n \otimes a) = \sum_{u' \in U'} \sum_{u \in U} a'u'c' \otimes (i'd'u'^{-1}i')n(iuci) \otimes du^{-1}a$$

for any  $a' \in B'i'$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $a \in iB$ . On the other hand there is a homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules

$$\psi: \mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G \to B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$$

which satisfies

$$\psi(x' \otimes n \otimes x) = x'i' \otimes n \otimes ix$$

for any  $x' \in \mathcal{O}G'$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $x \in \mathcal{O}G$ . For  $a' \otimes n \otimes a \in B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$  where  $a' \in B'i'$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $a \in iB$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \varphi)(a' \otimes n \otimes a) &= \sum_{u' \in U'} \sum_{u \in U} a'u'c'i' \otimes (i'd'u'^{-1}i')n(iuci) \otimes idu^{-1}a \\ &= \sum_{u' \in U'} \sum_{u \in U} a'i'u'c'i' \otimes (i'd'u'^{-1}i')n(iuci) \otimes idu^{-1}ia \\ &= a' \otimes i'ni \otimes a \\ &= a' \otimes n \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$  is a component of  $\mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$  as  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. Firstly we recall the arguments in [19], §3. Let  $(D, B_D)$  be an A-invariant maximal B-Brauer pair. For  $Q \leq D$ , let  $(Q, B_Q)$  be a unique B-Brauer pair contained in  $(D, B_D)$ . Then  $B_Q$  is A-invariant and a defect group of  $B_Q$  is centralized by A. Let  $b_Q$  be the Glauberman correspondent of  $B_Q$ . Then  $b_Q$  is associated with b and  $(Q, b_Q) \subset (D, b_D)$ . In particular  $(D, b_D)$  is a maximal b-Brauer pair. Moreover, by [19], Proposition 4, the Brauer categories of B and b are equivalent, and  $N_G(Q, B_Q) = C_G(Q)N_C(Q, b_Q)$ . Therefore  $N_C(Q, b_Q)/C_C(Q)$  is a p-group for any  $Q \leq D$ , and hence b is a nilpotent block.

Let *U* be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of *D* and for each  $u \in U$ , set  $B_u = B_{\langle u \rangle}$  and  $b_u = b_{\langle u \rangle}$ . By [2], Theorem 1.2 or [14], 1.7,  $\{(u, B_u) \mid u \in U\}$  is a set of representatives for the *G*-conjugacy classes of *B*-Brauer elements and  $\{(u, b_u) \mid u \in U\}$  is a set of representatives for the *C*-conjugacy classes of *b*-Brauer

elements. By [2], Theorem 1.2 or [14], 1.9,  $B_u$  (resp.  $b_u$ ) has a unique irreducible Brauer character  $\varphi^{(u)}$  (resp.  $\varphi^{*(u)}$ ). For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ , we denote by  $d(\chi, u, \varphi^{(u)})$  the generalized decomposition number of  $\chi$  with respect to  $\varphi^{(u)}$ . Also we set  $\chi^* = \pi(G, A)(\chi)$ . Since there is an isotypy between *B* and *b* given by the Glauberman character correspondence by [19], Proposition 5, for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$  and  $u \in U$ 

(1) 
$$d(\chi, u, \varphi^{(u)}) = \epsilon_{\chi} \tilde{\omega}(u) d(\chi^*, u, \varphi^{*(u)})$$

where  $\epsilon_{\chi} = \pm 1$  and  $\tilde{\omega}(u) = \pm 1$  because  $B_u$  and  $b_u$  has the same defect.

Let *i* (resp. *j*) be a *D*-source idempotent of *B* (resp. *b*). By [13], Theorem 3.5, there is a Morita equivalence between the source algebra *iBi* of *B* and the block algebra *B* realized by the (B, iBi)-bimodule *Bi*, and similarly there is a Morita equivalence between *jbj* and *b* realized by the (b, jbj)-bimodule *bj*. Moreover by [14], 1.6 and 1.8, there exist  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple interior *D*-algebras *S* and *T* such that

$$iBi \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$$
 and  $jbj \cong T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$ 

as interior D-algebras. In fact S and T are primitive Dade D-algebras. Suppose that

$$S \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(V)$$
 and  $T \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(W)$ 

for some  $\mathcal{O}$ -free modules V and W. Thus V and W become indecomposable endopermutation  $\mathcal{O}D$ -modules with vertex D. Now there is a Morita equivalence between the group algebra  $\mathcal{O}D$  and iBi (resp. jbj) realized by the  $(iBi, \mathcal{O}D)$  (resp.  $(jbj, \mathcal{O}D)$ )bimodule  $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$  (resp.  $W \otimes \mathcal{O}D$ ). Hence we obtain the equivalences  $\Psi_B$ : mod $(\mathcal{O}D) \rightarrow$ mod(B) and  $\Psi_b$ : mod $(\mathcal{O}D) \rightarrow$  mod(b) where mod(B) denotes the category of finitely generated B-modules. Thus  $\Psi_B$  is realized by  $Bi \otimes_{iBi} (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D)$ , and  $\Psi_b$  is realized by  $bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D)$ .

For  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D)$ , let  $L_{\lambda}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}D$ -lattice with the character  $\lambda$ . Also set  $M_{\lambda} = Bi \otimes_{iBi} (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\lambda})$  and  $N_{\lambda} = bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\lambda})$ . Then  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(D) \leftrightarrow \chi_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Irr}(B)$  is a bijection induced by the equivalence  $\Psi_B$ , where  $\chi_{\lambda}$  is the character of  $M_{\lambda}$ . By [14], 1.12, we have for any  $u \in U$ 

(2) 
$$d(\chi_{\lambda}, u, \varphi^{(u)}) = \omega(u)\lambda(u)$$

where  $\omega(u) = \pm 1$ . Similarly  $\lambda \in Irr(D) \Leftrightarrow \zeta_{\lambda} \in Irr(b)$  is a bijection induced by the equivalence  $\Psi_b$ , where  $\zeta_{\lambda}$  is the character of  $N_{\lambda}$ . We have also for any  $u \in U$ 

(3) 
$$d(\zeta_{\lambda}, u, \varphi^{*(u)}) = \omega^{*}(u)\lambda(u)$$

where  $\omega^*(u) = \pm 1$ .

From (2) and (3) we have  $\chi_{\nu} * \lambda = \chi_{\nu\lambda}$  and  $\zeta_{\nu} * \lambda = \zeta_{\nu\lambda}$  for a linear character  $\nu$  of D and  $\lambda \in Irr(D)$  where  $\chi_{\nu} * \lambda$  is a Broué-Puig's generalized character defined in [1]

(see [2], Theorem 1.2). Let  $1_D$  be the trivial character of D. From (1)–(3), if we set  $(\chi_{1_D})^* = \zeta_{\eta}$  for some  $\eta \in Irr(D)$ , then  $\eta$  is linear. Note that if p is odd, then  $\eta = 1_D$ . Now, since there is an isotypy between B and b given by the Glauberman character correspondence, we can see

(4) 
$$(\chi_{\lambda})^* = (\chi_{1_D} * \lambda)^* = (\chi_{1_D})^* * \lambda = \zeta_{\eta} * \lambda = \zeta_{\eta\lambda}.$$

Now since  $\eta$  is linear, the  $\mathcal{O}D$ -bimodule  $L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$  realizes an equivalence of mod( $\mathcal{O}D$ ), which we denote by  $\Psi$ . Let  $\Pi = \Psi_b \Psi \Psi_B^{-1}$ : mod(B)  $\to$  mod(b) where  $\Psi_B^{-1}$  is the equivalence from mod(B) to mod( $\mathcal{O}D$ ) realized by the ( $\mathcal{O}D$ , B)-bimodule ( $V^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$ )  $\otimes_{iBi} iB$ where  $V^*$  is the dual module. Note that  $V^*$  is a right *S*-module. Thus  $\Pi$  is realized by the (b, B)-bimodule

$$bj \otimes_{ibi} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (L_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (V^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{iBi} iB.$$

Moreover we see that the bijection between Irr(B) and Irr(b) induced by  $\Pi$  is  $\pi(G, A)_{|Irr(B)}$  from (4).

Now we have a (b, B)-bimodule isomorphism

$$bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (L_{\eta} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (V^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{iBi} iB$$
  
$$\cong bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\eta} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*) \otimes_{iBi} iB.$$

Here  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\eta} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*$  is regarded as a (jbj, iBi)-bimodule through

$$(t \otimes d_1)(w \otimes l \otimes a \otimes v^*)(s \otimes d_2) = tw \otimes d_1 l \otimes d_1 a d_2 \otimes v^* s$$

for any  $t \in T$ ,  $d_1, d_2 \in D$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $l \in L_\eta$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{O}D$ ,  $v^* \in V^*$  and  $s \in S$  identifying iBi (resp. jbj) with  $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$  (resp.  $T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$ ). Let  $\Delta D = \{(d, d) \in C \times G \mid d \in D\}$  and let

$$M = bj \otimes_{ibi} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*) \otimes_{iBi} iB.$$

In order to complete the proof, it suffices to show that M as an  $\mathcal{O}(C \times G)$ -module has  $\Delta D$  as a vertex by [15], Corollary 7.4.

Let

$$X = W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*.$$

We regard X as an  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module by the following action.

$$(d, d)(w \otimes l \otimes v^*) = dw \otimes dl \otimes v^* d^{-1}$$

where  $d \in D$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $l \in L_{\eta}$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . We show jMi and  $X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D}$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -modules. (Note that jMi is a (jbj, iBi)-bimodule, and hence this is an A. WATANABE

 $\mathcal{O}D$ -bimodule.) Here jMi is identified with  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\eta} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*$ . Now we have an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map

$$f: X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D} \to jMi$$

defined by

$$f((d_1, d_2) \otimes (w \otimes l \otimes v^*)) = d_1 w \otimes d_1 l \otimes d_1 d_2^{-1} \otimes v^* d_2^{-1}$$

for any  $d_1, d_2 \in D$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $l \in L_\eta$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . Then f is an  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -homomorphism. On the other hand we have an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map

$$g: jMi \to X_{\Lambda D}^{D \times D}$$

defined by

$$g(w \otimes l \otimes d \otimes v^*) = (d, 1) \otimes (d^{-1}w \otimes d^{-1}l \otimes v^*)$$

for any  $w \in W$ ,  $l \in L_{\eta}$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . We see that g is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -isomorphism with the inverse f. Thus jMi and  $X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D}$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -modules.

By Lemma 2, *M* is a component of the induced module  $(jMi)_{D\times D}^{C\times G}$  because  $M \simeq \mathcal{O}C \otimes_{jbj} jMi \otimes_{iBi} \mathcal{O}G$ . Hence by the above *M* is a component of  $X_{\Delta D}^{C\times G}$ . Hence *M* is  $\Delta D$ -projective. Now jMi is a component of *M* as  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -modules. Since  $jMi \cong X_{\Delta D}^{D\times D}$ , *X* is a component of jMi as  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -modules, and hence *X* is a component of *M* as  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -modules. Since  $p \nmid \operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} X$  from [14], 1.6 and 1.8 or [18], Corollary 28.11, an indecomposable component of *X* has  $\Delta D$  as a vertex, and hence an indecomposable component of *M*. Hence *B* and *b* are basic Morita equivalent. This completes the proof.

Here we give a direct proof of the fact that M has an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ module as a source (see [15], Corollary 7.4). Since W,  $L_{\eta}$  and V are endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}D$ -modules, X is an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module, in fact X is capped in the
sense of [4]. Now, since  $M \mid X_{\Delta D}^{C \times G}$ , there exists an indecomposable component Y of Xsuch that  $M \mid Y_{\Delta D}^{C \times G}$ . Then Y is an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module and it is a source
module of M. We note that  $Y = \operatorname{cap}(X)$ .

### References

874

<sup>[1]</sup> M. Broué and L. Puig: *Characters and local structure in G-algebras*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), 306–317.

<sup>[2]</sup> M. Broué and L. Puig: A Frobenius theorem for blocks, Invent. Math. 56 (1980), 117-128.

- [3] M. Broué: Isométries parfaites, types de blocs, catégories dérivées, Astérisque 181–182 (1990), 61–92.
- [4] E.C. Dade: *Endo-permutation modules over p-groups*, I, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), 459–494.
- [5] G. Glauberman: Correspondences of characters for relatively prime operator groups, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 1465–1488.
- [6] M.E. Harris and S. Koshitani: An extension of Watanabe's theorem for the Isaacs-Horimoto-Watanabe corresponding blocks, J. Algebra 296 (2006), 96–109.
- [7] M.E. Harris: Glauberman-Watanabe corresponding p-blocks of finite groups with normal defect groups are Morita equivalent, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 309–335.
- [8] M.E. Harris and M. Linckelmann: On the Glauberman and Watanabe correspondences for blocks of finite p-solvable groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 3435–3453.
- [9] H. Horimoto: A note on the Glauberman correspondence of p-blocks of finite p-solvable groups, Hokkaido Math. J. **31** (2002), 255–259.
- [10] I.M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [11] S. Koshitani and G.O. Michler: *Glauberman correspondence of p-blocks of finite groups*, J. Algebra **243** (2001), 504–517.
- [12] G. Navarro: Actions and characters in blocks, J. Algebra 275 (2004), 471–480.
- [13] L. Puig: Pointed groups and construction of characters, Math. Z. 176 (1981), 265–292.
- [14] L. Puig: Nilpotent blocks and their source algebras, Invent. Math. 93 (1988), 77-116.
- [15] L. Puig: On the Local Structure of Morita and Rickard Equivalences Between Brauer Blocks, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [16] L. Puig: On the Brauer-Glauberman correspondence, J. Algebra 319 (2008), 629-656.
- [17] F. Tasaka: A note on the Glauberman-Watanabe corresponding blocks of finite groups with normal defect groups, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [18] J. Thévenaz: G-Algebras and Modular Representation Theory, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.
- [19] A. Watanabe: The Glauberman character correspondence and perfect isometries for blocks of finite groups, J. Algebra 216 (1999), 548–565.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Kumamoto University Kumamoto, 860–8555 Japan