

## NORMAL SUBGROUPS AND MULTIPLICITIES OF INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES

ATUMI WATANABE

(Received September 4, 1995)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $(K, \mathfrak{o}, F)$  be a  $p$ -modular system, where  $p$  is a prime number. We assume that  $K$  contains the  $|G|$ -th roots of unity and  $F$  is algebraically closed and we put  $R = \mathfrak{o}$  or  $F$ . For an  $R$ -free finitely generated indecomposable  $RG$ -module  $M$  and a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ , let  $V$  be an indecomposable component of  $M_N$ , where  $M_N$  is the restriction of  $M$  to  $N$ . In this paper we give some results on the multiplicity of  $V$  as a component of  $M_N$  and from them we obtain properties of heights of indecomposable modules and irreducible characters. This study is inspired by Murai [8, 9].

Throughout this paper  $N$  is a fixed normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $v$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation such that  $v(p) = 1$ . All  $RG$ -modules are assumed to be  $R$ -free of finite rank. For an indecomposable  $RG$ -module  $M$ , let  $\text{vx}(M)$  denote a vertex of  $M$ . As is well known  $v(\text{rank}_R M) \geq v(|G : \text{vx}(M)|)$ . We refer to Feit [1, Chap.3] and Nagao-Tsushima [10, Chap.4] for the vertex-source theory in modular representations of finite groups.

### 1. $p$ -parts of multiplicities

In this section we study the  $p$ -parts of multiplicities of indecomposable  $RN$ -modules in an indecomposable decomposition of  $M_N$ . The following is a key result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -invariant indecomposable  $RN$ -module. Let  $M$  be an indecomposable  $RG$ -module with vertex  $Q$  and  $n$  be the multiplicity of  $V$  in an indecomposable decomposition of  $M_N$ . Then we have  $v(n) \geq v(|G : QN|)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $L/N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/N$  and let

$$M_L = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_s,$$

where each  $M_i$  is an indecomposable  $RL$ -module. By Mackey decomposition

$M_i$  is  $(Q^{x_i} \cap L)$ -projective for some  $x_i \in G$ . We have

$$v(|L : (Q^{x_i} \cap L)N|) = v(|G : (Q^{x_i} \cap L)N|) \geq v(|G : Q^{x_i}N|) = v(|G : QN|).$$

Let  $n_i$  be the multiplicity of  $V$  as an indecomposable component of  $M_{iN}$  and  $Q_i$  be a vertex of  $M_i$ . We have  $n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ . If Theorem holds for each  $M_i$ , then we have

$$v(n_i) \geq v(|L : Q_iN|) \geq v(|L : (Q^{x_i} \cap L)N|) \geq v(|G : QN|)$$

and hence  $v(n) \geq v(|G : QN|)$ . So we may assume that  $G=L$ . Then by a theorem of Green, there exists an indecomposable  $R(QN)$ -module  $M_0$  such that  $M$  is isomorphic to  $M_0^G$ . Then we have  $M_N = \sum_x M_0 \otimes x$ , where  $x$  ranges over a set of representatives for the  $QN$ -cosets  $(QN)x$  of  $G$ . Since  $M_0 \otimes x$  is an  $RN$ -module which is  $G$ -conjugate to  $M_{0N}$ ,  $n$  is divisible by  $|G : QN|$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $M$  be an indecomposable  $RG$ -module such that  $v(\text{rank}_R M) = v(|G : vx(M)|)$ , then there exists an indecomposable component  $V$  of  $M_N$  which satisfies the following.*

- (i)  $v(\text{rank}_R V) = v(|N : vx(V)|)$ ,
- (ii) *Let  $n$  be the multiplicity of  $V$  in an indecomposable decomposition of  $M_N$ . There exists a vertex  $P$  of  $M$  such that  $P \cap N$  is a vertex of  $V$ ,  $T(V) \supset P$  and  $v(n) = v(|T(V) : PN|)$ , where  $T(V)$  is the inertial group of  $V$  in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_i\}$  be a set of representatives (up to isomorphism) for the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of indecomposable components of  $M_N$  and  $\tilde{V}_i$  be the direct sum of all  $RN$ -modules which is  $G$ -conjugate to  $V_i$ . We can set

$$M_N \cong \sum_{i=1}^s \oplus n_i \tilde{V}_i,$$

where  $n_i$  is the multiplicity of  $V_i$ . Here we fix some  $i$  for a while and let  $T_i$  be the inertial group of  $V_i$ . We put

$$M_{T_i} = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_i \oplus L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_u,$$

where  $M_j$  is an indecomposable  $RT_i$ -module such that  $V_i$  is a component of  $M_{jN}$ , and  $L_j$  is an indecomposable  $RT_i$ -module such that  $V_i$  is not a component of  $L_{jN}$ . Let  $Q$  be a vertex of  $M$ . By Mackey decomposition,  $M_j$  is  $(Q^{y_j} \cap T_i)$ -projective for some  $y_j \in G$ . By Theorem 1 we have

$$v(n_i) \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq t} \{v(|T_i : vx(M_j)N|)\} \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq t} \{v(|T_i : (Q^{y_j} \cap T_i)N|)\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad v(n_i \text{rank}_R \tilde{V}_i) &= v(n_i) + v(|G : T_i|) + v(\text{rank}_R V_i) \\
 &\geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq t} \{v(|G : (Q^{y_j} \cap T_i)N|)\} + v(\text{rank}_R V_i) \\
 &\geq v(|G : QN|) + v(|N : \text{vx}(V_i)|).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand  $V_i$  is  $(Q^{x_i} \cap N)$ -projective for some  $x_i \in G$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(n_i \text{rank}_R \tilde{V}_i) &\geq v(|G : QN|) + v(|N : (Q^{x_i} \cap N)|) \\
 &= v(|G : QN|) + v(|Q^{x_i}N : Q^{x_i}|) = v(|G : Q|).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption we may assume that  $v(n_i \text{rank}_R \tilde{V}_i) = v(|G : Q|)$ . Then  $v(\text{rank}_R V_i) = v(|N : \text{vx}(V_i)|)$  and  $|\text{vx}(V_i)| = |Q \cap N|$ . On the other hand for some  $M_j$  we have  $v(n_i \text{rank}_R \tilde{V}_i) - v(\text{rank}_R V_i) = v(|G : \text{vx}(M_j)N|) = v(|G : (Q^{y_j} \cap T_i)N|) = v(|G : Q^{y_j}N|)$ . This implies  $Q^{y_j} \subset T_i$ ,  $v(n_i) = v(|T_i : Q^{y_j}N|)$  and  $PN = Q^{y_j}N$ , where  $P$  is a vertex of  $M_j$  which is contained in  $Q^{y_j}$ . Since  $V_i$  is a component of  $M_{jN}$ , we have  $|Q \cap N| = |\text{vx}(V_i)| \leq |P \cap N|$ . Hence we have  $Q^{y_j} \cap N = P \cap N$ , so  $Q^{y_j} = P$  and  $P \cap N$  is a vertex of  $V_i$ . This completes the proof.

As a corollary of the proposition we have the following for  $N$ -projective indecomposable modules (see Karpilovsky [3, Chapter 12]).

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $N$ -projective indecomposable  $RG$ -module and  $V$  be an indecomposable component of  $M_N$  with multiplicity  $n$ . Then  $\text{vx}(V)$  is a vertex of  $M$  and  $v(\text{rank}_R M) \geq v(|G : N|) + v(\text{rank}_R V)$ . Moreover if  $v(\text{rank}_R M) = v(|G : \text{vx}(M)|)$  then  $v(n) = v(|T(V) : N|)$  and  $v(\text{rank}_R V) = v(|N : \text{vx}(V)|)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{V}$  be the direct sum of the  $G$ -conjugates of  $V$ . By the assumption  $\text{vx}(V)$  is a vertex of  $M$  and  $M_N \cong \bigoplus n\tilde{V}$ . Hence from the arguments in the proof of the above proposition we have  $v(\text{rank}_R M) \geq v(|G : \text{vx}(M)N|) + v(\text{rank}_R V) = v(|G : N|) + v(\text{rank}_R V)$ . The latter also follows from it.

From the above corollary we have the following, which is shown implicitly in Knörr [6].

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $M$  be an indecomposable  $RG$ -module with source  $S$ . If  $v(\text{rank}_R M) = v(|G : \text{vx}(M)|)$ , then  $p \nmid \text{rank}_R S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a vertex of  $M$ . By Green correspondence we may assume that  $Q$  is normal and  $S$  is an  $RQ$ -module. Here we can put  $N = Q$  and  $V = S$  in

Corollary 1. By the assumption and since  $Q = vx(V)$  we have  $p \nmid \text{rank}_R S$ .

Y. Tsushima and M. Murai pointed out independently that if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable the converse of Corollary 2 is true. This follows from Green correspondence and the fact that if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable then the equality  $v(n) = v(|T(V) : N|)$  holds in Corollary 1.

Moreover if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable then for an irreducible  $FG$ -module  $M$ ,  $v(\dim_F M) = v(|G : vx(M)|)$  by Hemernik-Michler [2, Theorem 2.1]. Hence Proposition 1 and Corollary 2 combined with Clifford's theorem imply the following.

**Corollary 3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable. Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $FG$ -module and  $V$  be an irreducible constituent of  $M_N$  with multiplicity  $n$ . Then  $V$  has  $P \cap N$  as a vertex and  $v(n) = v(|T(V) : PN|)$ , where  $P$  is a vertex of  $M$ . Moreover if  $S$  is a source of  $M$ , then  $p \mid \dim_F S$ .*

Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . In [8] Murai extends the heights of characters to  $RG$ -modules. For an  $RG$ -module  $U$  in  $B$  the height  $\text{ht}(U)$  is defined by  $\text{ht}(U) = v(\text{rank}_R U) - v(|G : D|)$ . In particular when  $U$  is indecomposable,  $U$  is of height 0 if and only if  $v(\text{rank}_R U) = v(|G : vx(U)|)$  and  $vx(U)$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $D$ . Let  $b$  be a  $p$ -block of  $N$  covered by  $B$ . Since by Knörr [5, Prop.4.2], a defect group of  $b$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $D \cap N$ , we see by Proposition 1 that if an indecomposable  $RG$ -module  $U$  lying in  $B$  is of height 0 then an indecomposable component  $V$  of  $U_N$  lying in  $b$  is of height 0 (see [8, Theorem 4.11]). We can also get this fact from the following, which Murai proved by using the arguments of the proof of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $B$  and  $b$  be as in the above, and  $M$  be an indecomposable  $RG$ -module lying in  $B$ . Let*

$$M_N \cong \sum_{i=1}^t \oplus n_i V_i$$

*be a decomposition of  $M_N$  to the sum of indecomposable  $RN$ -submodules. Then we have  $\text{ht}(M) \geq \min\{\text{ht}(V_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s\}$  ( $s \leq t$ ) is a set of representatives for the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of indecomposable components of  $M_N$  and that  $V_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ) belongs to  $b$ . Let  $D$  be a defect group of  $B$  such that  $D \cap N$  is a defect group of  $b$ . Using the notations in the proof of Proposition 1, from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(n_i \text{rank}_R \tilde{V}_i) &\geq v(|G : QN|) + v(\text{rank}_R V_i) \\ &\geq v(|G : DN|) + v(|N : D \cap N|) + \text{ht}(V_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$= v(|G : D|) + \text{ht}(V_i)$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . This implies the inequality in the proposition.

**2. Heights of irreducible characters**

Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character in  $B$  and  $\zeta$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$  in  $b$ , where  $B$  and  $b$  are as in §1. Let  $X$  be an indecomposable  $\mathfrak{o}G$ -lattice affording  $\chi$  and let  $Z$  be an indecomposable component of  $X_N$  which lies in  $b$  and  $\text{ht}(X) \geq \text{ht}(Z)$  ( $Z$  exists by Proposition 2). Then  $\text{rank}_\mathfrak{o} Z$  is a multiple of  $\zeta(1)$ , and hence  $\text{ht}(Z) \geq \text{ht}(\zeta)$ . Since  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(X)$ , we have  $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq \text{ht}(\zeta)$  as in [9, Lemma 2.2]. On the other hand, by Proposition 2, for an irreducible  $FG$ -module  $M$  in  $B$  and an irreducible constituent  $V$  of  $M_N$  in  $b$ , we have  $\text{ht}(M) \geq \text{ht}(V)$  ([9, Lemma 3.2]). We shall show that  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\zeta)$  and  $\text{ht}(M) = \text{ht}(V)$  when a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  is contained in  $N$ .

The following is shown from the results of Külshammer-Robinson [7], and the converse is proved in Robinson [11, Lemma 4.4].

**Lemma 1** (Külshammer-Robinson). *Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $G$  and  $\zeta$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$  with multiplicity  $n$ . If  $\chi$  is afforded by an  $N$ -projective  $\mathfrak{o}G$ -lattice  $M$  then we have  $v(n) = v(|T(\zeta) : N|)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\chi$  is afforded by an  $N$ -projective indecomposable  $\mathfrak{o}G$ -lattice  $M$  and let  $V$  be an indecomposable component of  $M_N$ . Then from the argument in the proof Corollary 1 we have  $\text{rank}_\mathfrak{o} M = m \text{rank}_\mathfrak{o} V$ , where  $m$  is a natural number with  $v(m) \geq v(|G : N|)$ . Since  $v(\chi(1)/\zeta(1)) \geq v(m) \geq v(|G : N|)$  because  $m$  divides  $\chi(1)/\zeta(1)$ , we see  $v(n) \geq v(|T(\zeta) : N|)$ . On the other hand, as is well known  $n$  divides  $|T(\zeta) : N|$ . Therefore we have  $v(n) = v(|T(\zeta) : N|)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $N$ -projective irreducible  $FG$ -module and  $V$  be an irreducible component of  $M_N$  with multiplicity  $n$ . Then we have  $v(n) = v(|T(V) : N|)$ . Moreover  $n$  is equal to the multiplicity  $m$  of  $M$  as an indecomposable component of  $V^G$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $V$  is  $G$ -invariant. We put  $E = \text{End}_{FG}(V^G)$  and let  $e$  be a primitive idempotent of  $E$  corresponding to  $M$ , i.e.,  $M = eV^G = (eE)V$ . Then as is well known  $E$  is isomorphic to a twisted group algebra  $F(\bar{G}, \varphi)$  over  $F$  with factor set  $\varphi$ , where  $\bar{G} = G/N$ . Moreover  $\dim_F M = (\dim_F(eE))(\dim_F V)$  and hence  $n = \dim_F(eE)$ . By Humphreys [3], there exists a central  $p'$ -extension  $\hat{G}$  of  $\bar{G}$  such that  $F(\bar{G}, \varphi)$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of some block ideals of  $F\hat{G}$ . Now as  $M$  is irreducible,  $eE$  is irreducible. Hence  $n$  is equal to the dimension of an irreducible and projective  $F\hat{G}$ -module, so we have  $v(n) = v(|\hat{G}|) = v(|G : N|)$ .

By the way  $m$  is equal to the dimension of the irreducible  $E$ -module corresponding to  $eE$ . But  $eE$  is irreducible, hence  $m$  is equal to  $\dim_F(eE)$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$  and  $b$  be a  $p$ -block of  $N$  covered by  $B$ . Assume that  $D$  is contained in  $N$ . Then for an irreducible character  $\chi$  in  $B$  and for an irreducible constituent  $\zeta$  of  $\chi_N$  in  $b$ , we have  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\zeta)$ . We also have  $\text{ht}(M) = \text{ht}(V)$  for an irreducible  $FG$ -module  $M$  in  $B$  and an irreducible constituent  $V$  of  $M_N$  in  $b$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $D$  is a defect group of  $b$ . By the assumption and Lemma 1 we have  $v(\chi(1)) = v(|G:N|) + v(\zeta(1)) = v(|G:D|) + \text{ht}(\zeta)$ . This implies  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\zeta)$ . By the former of Lemma 2 we also have  $v(\dim_F M) = v(|G:N|) + v(\dim_F V) = v(|G:D|) + \text{ht}(V)$ . Hence  $\text{ht}(M) = \text{ht}(V)$ . This completes the proof.

For a  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $G$  let  $\text{Ker}(B)$  be the kernel of  $B$  and let  $\text{mod-Ker}(B) = \bigcap \text{Ker } M$ , where  $M$  runs over the irreducible  $FG$ -modules in  $B$ . After [8], let  $\text{Irr}^0(B)$  be the set of irreducible characters of height 0 in  $B$  and let  $\text{Ker}^0(B) = \bigcap \text{Ker } \chi$ , where  $\chi$  runs through  $\text{Irr}^0(B)$ . As is well known,  $\text{Ker}(B)$  is a  $p'$ -group and  $\text{mod-ker}(B)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. By [8, Lemma 5.1], we have  $\text{Ker}(B) \subset \text{Ker}^0(B) \subset \text{mod-Ker}(B)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  with defect group  $D$ . Then we have  $\text{Ker}^0(B) = \bigcap_{x \in G} (\text{Ker}(B)D')^x$ , where  $D'$  is the commutator subgroup of  $D$ . In particular if  $D$  is abelian then  $\text{Ker}^0(B) = \text{Ker}(B)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Ker}^0(B)$ . Then  $\text{Ker}^0(B) = \text{Ker}(B)Q$  by [8, Lemma 5.1]. Since  $Q$  is contained in a vertex of an  $oG$ -lattice affording  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$ , we may assume that  $Q \subset D$ . Let  $B_0$  be the Brauer correspondent of  $B$  in  $N_G(D)$ . For any  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0)$  there is  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$  such that  $\zeta$  is a constituent of  $\chi_{N_G(D)}$  (cf. [8, Prop.1.8]). Hence  $\text{Ker}^0(B) \cap N_G(D) \subset \text{Ker}^0(B_0)$ . In particular  $Q \subset \text{Ker}^0(B_0) \cap D$ . By Proposition 3 for any  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(B_0)$ ,  $\zeta$  belongs to  $\text{Irr}^0(B_0)$  if and only if an irreducible constituent of  $\zeta_D$  is linear. Therefore we see  $\text{Ker}^0(B_0) \cap D = D'$ . So we have  $Q \subset D'$ .

Put  $H = \bigcap_{x \in G} (\text{Ker}(B)D')^x$  and let  $\chi$  be any element of  $\text{Irr}^0(B)$ . Then there exists  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0)$  such that  $\zeta$  is a constituent of  $\chi_{N_G(D)}$ . By the above argument  $\text{Ker } \zeta \supset D'$ . Hence  $\chi_{\text{Ker}(B)D'}$  has the trivial character of  $\text{Ker}(B)D'$  as an irreducible constituent, so  $\chi_H$  has the trivial character of  $H$  as an irreducible constituent. Therefore  $\text{Ker } \chi \supset H$  and hence we have  $\text{Ker}^0(B) \supset H$ . Since  $\text{Ker}^0(B) \subset H$ , we have  $\text{Ker}^0(B) = H$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thanks Professor Y. Tsushima and M. Murai for their valuable suggestions.

---

#### References

- [1] W. Feit: The representation theory of finite groups, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [2] W. Hamernik-G. Michler: *On vertices of simple modules in  $p$ -solvable groups*, Math. Sem. Giessen, **121** (1976), 147–162.
- [3] J.F. Humphreys: *Projective modular representations of finite groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **16** (1977), 51–66.
- [4] G. Karpilovsky: Group Representations Volume 3, North-Holland, 1994.
- [5] R. Knörr: *Blocks, vertices and normal subgroups*, Math. Z., **148** (1976), 53–60.
- [6] R. Knörr: *On the vertices of irreducible modules*, Ann. Math., **110** (1979), 487–499.
- [7] B. Külshammer-G.R. Robinson: *Characters of relative projective modules*, II, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **36** (1987), 59–67.
- [8] M. Murai: *Block induction, normal subgroups and characters of height zero*, Osaka J. Math., **31** (1994), 9–25.
- [9] M. Murai: *Normal subgroups and heights of characters*.
- [10] H. Nagao-Y. Tsushima: Representations of finite groups, Academic Press, Boston, Tokyo, 1988.
- [11] G.R. Robinson: *Local structure, vertices & Alperin's conjecture*.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of General Education  
Kumamoto University  
Kurokami, Kumamoto City  
Kumamoto 860, Japan

