

STABLE HOMOTOPY TYPES OF THOM SPACES OF BUNDLES OVER ORBIT MANIFOLDS $(S^{2m+1} \times S) / D_p$

Dedicated to Professor Teiichi Kobayashi on his 60th birthday

SUSUMU KÔNO

(Received November 10, 1994)

1. Introduction

Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer, and D_q the dihedral group of order $2q$ generated by two elements a and b with relations $a^q = b^2 = abab = 1$. Let S^{2m+1} and S^l be the unit spheres in the complex $(m+1)$ -space C^{m+1} and the real $(l+1)$ -space R^{l+1} respectively. Then D_q operates on the product space $S^{2m+1} \times S^l$ by

$$\begin{cases} a \cdot (z, x) = (\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q) \cdot z, x) \\ b \cdot (z, x) = (\bar{z}, -x) \end{cases}$$

for $(z, x) \in S^{2m+1} \times S^l$, where \bar{z} is the conjugate of z . We set

$$\begin{cases} D(q)^{2m+1, l} = (S^{2m+1} \times S^l) / D_q, \\ D(q)^{2m, l} = \{[(z_0, \dots, z_m, x)] \in D(q)^{2m+1, l} \mid z_m \text{ is real } \geq 0\}, \\ D(q)^{m, l, i, j} = D(q)^{m, l} \cup D(q)^{i, l+1} \cup D(q)^{m+1, j}. \end{cases}$$

Then $D(q)^{m, 0}$ is naturally identified with the space L_q^m defined in [6], and $D(q)^{m, l} \approx (L_q^m \times S^l) / (Z/2)$, where the action of $Z/2$ is given by $b \cdot ([z], x) = ([\bar{z}], -x)$. Complex K -rings $K(D(q)^{m, l})$ for odd q are studied in [9]. KO -groups $\widetilde{KO}(D(q)^{m, l})$ and J -groups $\widetilde{J}(D(q)^{m, l})$ for odd q are studied in [8] and [16]. Let m, n, l, k, i, j, c and d be integers with $m \geq n \geq 0, l \geq k \geq 0, m+1 \geq i \geq n-1, l+1 \geq j \geq k-1, m+1 \geq c \geq n$ and $l+1 \geq d \geq k$. We set

$$\begin{cases} D(q)_{m, k}^{m, l} = D(q)^{m, l} / (D(q)^{m, k-1} \cup D(q)^{n-1, l}), \\ D(q)_{n, k, c, d}^{m, l, i, j} = D(q)^{m, l, i, j} / (D(q)^{m, k-1, c-1, k-1} \cup D(q)^{n-1, l, n-1, d-1}). \end{cases}$$

Let q be an odd integer. Then the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{n, k}^{m, l})$ is decomposed to a direct sum of \widetilde{KO} -groups of suspensions of stunted lens spaces mod q or mod 2 (Theorem 1). J -groups $\widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{n, k}^{m, l})$ of suspensions $S^j D(q)_{n, k}^{m, l}$ of the spaces $D(q)_{n, k}^{m, l}$ are determined for the case in which q is an odd prime (Theorems 2 and 3). Combining the results in [6] and [16], we obtain a sufficient condition for

the spaces $D(q)_{2n,k}^{m,l}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{m+2s,l+t}$ to have the same stable homotopy type for the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ (Theorem 4). As an application of Theorems 1, 2 and 3, we obtain some necessary conditions for the spaces $D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}$ to have the same stable homotopy type for the case in which q is an odd prime (Theorem 5).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state main theorems. In section 3 we prepare some lemmas and recall known results in [5], [10], [16] and [18]. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given in section 4. Theorem 3 is proved in section 5. We prove Theorems 4 and 5 in the final section.

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Akie Tamamura and Professor Katsuo Kawakubo for helpful suggestions and constant encouragement.

2. Statement of results

In this section q denotes an odd integer with $q \geq 3$. In order to state theorems, we set

$$(2.1) \quad G_0(n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & (n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}) \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & (n \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{8}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad A(q, j, k)_n^m = \begin{cases} \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^m / L_q^{n-1})) & (j \equiv k + 2 \pmod{4}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3) \quad B(q, j, k)_n^m = \begin{cases} \widetilde{J}(S^{j+k}(L_q^m / L_q^{n-1})) & (j \equiv k + 2 \pmod{4}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.4) \quad RP_k^l = RP(l) / RP(k-1).$$

Theorem 1. *Let m, n, l and k be integers with $m \geq n \geq 0$ and $l > k \geq 0$. Then*

- (1) $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \cong A(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus A(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m}$.
- (2) $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m+1,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1})$.
- (3) $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1})$.
- (4) $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$.

REMARK. (1) If $l = k$, then

$$S^j D(q)_{n,k}^{m,l} \approx S^{j+k}(L_q^m / L_q^{n-1})$$

(Lemma 3.11), and groups $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^m / L_q^{n-1}))$ are studied in [19].

(2) The partial results for the case $j = n = k = 0$ of this theorem have been obtained in [16] (Proposition 3.20 (1)).

(3) *KO*-groups of suspensions of stunted real projective spaces are determined completely in [7].

Let $v_p(s)$ denote the exponent of the prime p in the prime power decomposition of s , and $m(s)$ the function defined on positive integers as follows (cf. [3]):

$$v_p(m(s)) = \begin{cases} (1 + v_p(s))([s/(p-1)] - [(s-1)/(p-1)]) & (p \neq 2) \\ (1 + v_2(s))([s/2] - [(s-1)/2]) + 1 & (p = 2). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. *Let m, n, l and k be integers with $m \geq n \geq 0$ and $l > k \geq 0$. Then*

- (1) $\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m}$.
- (2) $\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m+1,l}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1})$.
- (3) $\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1})$.
- (4) *If $(k-j, j+2n+k) \not\equiv (0,0) \pmod{4}$ and $(l+2-j, j+2n+l) \not\equiv (0,0) \pmod{4}$, or $(m-n)n=0$, then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}).$$

(5) *Suppose $m > n > 0$ and $j-l+2 \equiv j+2n+l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

i) *If $j+n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n}^{2m} \oplus G_0(j+2n+k).$$

ii) *If $j+n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n}^{2m}.$$

(6) *Suppose $m > n > 0$ and $j-k \equiv j+2n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

i) *If $j+n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus G_0(j+2n+l+0^{l-k-1}).$$

ii) *If $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}).$$

iii) *If $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $l \equiv j+2 \pmod{4}$, then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m}$$

and $\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l})$.

REMARK. The partial results for the case $j=n=k=0$ of this theorem have been obtained in [16] (Proposition 3.20 (2)).

Let p be an odd prime. In order to state next theorem, we set

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(m) = [m/4] + [(m+7)/8] + [(m+6)/8].$$

$$(2.6) \quad a_2(m, n) = \varphi(m) - [(n+1)/4] - [(n+7)/8] - [(n+6)/8].$$

$$(2.7) \quad a_p(m, n) = [m/2(p-1)] - [(n+1)/2(p-1)].$$

$$(2.8) \quad b_2(j, m, n) = \begin{cases} a_2(m, n) & (j=0) \\ \min\{v_2(j)+1, a_2(m+j, n+j)\} & (j>0). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.9) \quad b_p(j, m, n) = \begin{cases} a_p(m, n) & (j=0) \\ \min\{v_p(j)+1, a_p(m+j, n+j)\} & (j>0). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. *Let p be an odd prime. Suppose $m > n > 0$, $l > k \geq 0$, $j \equiv k \pmod{4}$ and $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then*

$$\tilde{J}(S^j D(p)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong B(p, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{b_2-i_2} p^{b_p-i_p} M \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{i_2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/p^{i_p},$$

where $M = m(j+2n+k)/2$, $b_2 = b_2(j+n, n+l, n+k)$, $b_p = b_p(j+k, 2m, 2n)$, $i_2 = \min\{b_2, v_2(n+k)\}$ and $i_p = \min\{b_p, v_p(n), v_p(M)\}$.

REMARK. Combining Theorem 2, Theorem 3, [13] and [14], we obtain complete results of groups $\tilde{J}(S^j D(p)_{n,k}^{m,l})$.

Considering the (\mathbf{Z}/q) -action on $S^{2m+1} \times \mathbf{C}$ given by

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q) \cdot (z, v) = (\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q) \cdot z, \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q)v)$$

for $(z, v) \in S^{2m+1} \times \mathbf{C}$, we have a complex line bundle

$$\eta_q: (S^{2m+1} \times \mathbf{C})/(\mathbf{Z}/q) \rightarrow L_q^{2m+1}.$$

We denote the restriction of η_q to L_q^n by η_q^n ($0 \leq n \leq 2m+1$). Let $h(q, k)$ denotes the order of $J(r(\eta_q) - 2) \in \tilde{J}(L_q^k)$, which has been determined completely (cf. [6]). Spaces X and Y are said to have the same stable homotopy type ($X \underset{S}{\simeq} Y$)

if there exist non-negative integers c and d such that $S^c X$ and $S^d Y$ have the same homotopy type ($S^c X \simeq S^d Y$).

Theorem 4. *If $s \equiv 0 \pmod{h(q, m)}$ and $t \equiv -s \pmod{2^{\omega(l)}}$, then $D(q)_{2n,k}^{2n+m, k+t}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2s, k+t}^{2n+2s+m, k+t+1}$ have the same stable homotopy type.*

REMARK. (1) The partial results for the case in which q is an odd prime, and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n = s = 0$ or $k = t = 0$, $m \equiv l \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ of this theorem have been obtained in [8].

(2) Let q be an odd prime. Then $h(q, m) = q^{\lfloor m/2(q-1) \rfloor}$ (cf. [11]).

In order to state the next theorem, we prepare functions β and γ defined by

(2.10) $\beta(k,n)$ is equal to the corresponding integer in the following table:

$k \pmod 8 \backslash n \pmod 4$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
3	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1

(2.11) $\gamma(q,k,n) = [(n+k-2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2)/(q-1)]$.

Theorem 5. Suppose $D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}$ have the same stable homotopy type, where m, n, l, k, s and t are integers with $m \geq n \geq 0, l > k \geq 0, s \geq 0$ and $k+t \geq 0$. Then

- (1) Set $v = v_2(|s+t|+2^l)$ and $v_2 = v_2(n+k+2^l)$. Then
 - i) $v \geq \lceil \log_2(l-k) \rceil + 1$.
 - ii) $v \geq \varphi(l-k) - 1 + \max\{\beta(l-k, n+k), \beta(l-k, m+k+1)\}$.
 - iii) If $\max\{v_2, v_2(n+l+1), v_2(m+k+1), v_2(m+l+2)\} \geq \varphi(l-k) - 1$, then $v \geq \varphi(l-k)$.
- (2) Let q be an odd prime. Set $v_q = v_q(n+q^m)$. Then
 - i) $v_q(s+q^m) \geq \max\{\gamma(q, m-n, n+k), \gamma(q, m-n, n+l+1)\}$.
 - ii) If $\max\{(-1)^{(n+k)(n+l+1)}v_q, (-1)^{(m+l)(m+k+1)}v_q(m+1)\} \geq \lceil (m-n)/(q-1) \rceil$, then $v_q(s+q^m) \geq \lceil (m-n)/(q-1) \rceil$.

REMARK. Let q be an odd prime. It follows from Theorems 4 and 5 that we have obtained the necessary and sufficient condition for spaces $D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}$ to have the same stable homotopy type if following conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.

- (1) One of the following conditions:
 - i) $k < l \leq k+8$,
 - ii) $\max\{\beta(l-k, n+k), \beta(l-k, m+k+1)\} = 1$,

iii) $\max\{v_2(n+k+2^l), v_2(n+l+1), v_2(m+k+1), v_2(m+l+2)\} \geq \varphi(l-k) - 1.$

(2) One of the following conditions:

i) $n \leq m < n + q - 1,$

ii) $\max\{\gamma(q, m-n, n+k), \gamma(q, m-n, n+l+1)\} = [(m-n)/(q-1)],$

iii) $\max\{(-1)^{(n+k)(n+l+1)}v_q(n+q^m), (-1)^{(m+l)(m+k+1)}v_q(m+1)\} \geq [(m-n)/(q-1)].$

3. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling some notation in [18]. Let $\alpha_i(u, v)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8$) be the integers defined by

$$(3.1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) \alpha_1(u, v) = \binom{2u}{u-v} (-1)^{u-v}, \\ (2) \alpha_4(u, v) = \binom{u+v-1}{u-v}, \\ (3) \alpha_6(u, v) = \binom{2u-v-1}{u-v} (-1)^{u-v}, \\ (4) \alpha_7(u, v) = \binom{v-1}{u-v}, \\ (5) \alpha_3(u, v) = \alpha_1(u-1, v-1) - \alpha_1(u-1, v+1), \\ (6) \alpha_2(u, v) = \alpha_4(u+1, v+1) - \alpha_4(u-1, v+1), \\ (7) \alpha_5(u, v) = \alpha_7(u+1, v+1) + \alpha_7(u-1, v), \\ (8) \alpha_8(u, v) = \alpha_6(u-1, v-1) + \alpha_6(u, v+1). \end{array} \right.$$

We set elements $a_i^{2j,m}(q)$, $b_i^{2j,m}(q)$ and $c_i^{2j,m}(q)$ of $\widetilde{KO}(S^{2j}L_m^q)$ by

$$(3.2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_i^{2j,m}(q) = r(I^j((\eta_q)^i - 1)) \\ b_i^{2j,m}(q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_1(i, u) a_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_3(i, u) a_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}) \end{cases} \\ c_i^{2j,m}(q) = r(I^j((\eta_q - 1)^i)), \end{array} \right.$$

where $r: K \rightarrow KO$ denotes the real restriction and $I: \widetilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}(S^2X)$ is the Bott periodicity isomorphism.

Lemma 3.3 (Tamamura [18]). *The elements $a_i^{2j,m}(q)$, $b_i^{2j,m}(q)$ and $c_i^{2j,m}(q)$ satisfy following relations.*

$$(1) \ a_i^{2j,m}(q) = b_1^{2j,m}(q) = c_1^{2j,m}(q).$$

$$(2) \ a_i^{2j,m}(q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_2(i, u) b_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_4(i, u) b_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}). \end{cases}$$

- (3) $a_i^{2j,m}(q) = \sum_{u=1}^i \binom{i}{u} c_u^{2j,m}(q).$
- (4) $c_i^{2j,m}(q) = \sum_{u=1}^i \binom{i}{u} (-1)^{i-u} a_u^{2j,m}(q).$
- (5) $c_i^{2j,m}(q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_5(i,u) b_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_7(i,u) b_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}). \end{cases}$
- (6) $b_i^{2j,m}(q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_6(i,u) c_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ \sum_{u=1}^i \alpha_8(i,u) c_u^{2j,m}(q) & (j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}). \end{cases}$

Lemma 3.4 (Tamamura [18]). *Let $q \geq 3$ be an odd integer and $d = (q - 1) / 2$. Then*

$$b_{d+1+u}^{2j,m}(q) = -\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_5(q, d+i) b_{i+u}^{2j,m}(q),$$

where $u \geq 0$ is an integer.

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain

Lemma 3.5. *Let p be an odd prime, and $d = (p - 1) / 2$. Then*

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^{2j}L_p^m) = \langle \{c_{2i-j+2[j/2]}^{2j,m}(p) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\} \rangle.$$

For each integer n with $0 \leq n < m$, we denote the inclusion map of L_q^n into L_q^m by i_n^m , and the kernel of the homomorphism

$$(i_n^m)^! : \widetilde{KO}(S^{2j}L_q^m) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^{2j}L_q^n)$$

by $VO_{m,n}^{2j}(q)$, and set

$$(3.6) \quad UO_{m,n}^{2j}(q) = \sum_k \left(\cap_e k^e (\psi^k - 1) VO_{m,n}^{2j}(q) \right).$$

Proposition 3.7 (Tamamura [18]). *Let p be an odd prime, and $d = (p - 1) / 2$. Then the group $VO_{2m,2n}^{2j}(p)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of cyclic groups of order*

$$p^{a_p(2m-4i+2j-4[j/2], 2n-4i+2j-4[j/2])}$$

generated by $p^{a_p(2n-4i+2j-4[j/2], 0) + 1} b_i^{2j,2m}(p) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$

Proposition 3.8 ([14]). *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}(S^{2j}(L_p^{2m} / L_p^{2n})) &\cong VO_{2m,2n}^{2j}(p) / UO_{2m,2n}^{2j}(p) \\ &= \langle [p^{[(n-v)/(p-1)]+1} c_v^{2j,2m}(p)] \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z} / p^{b_p(2j,2m,2n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v = p - 1 - j + (p - 1)[j / (p - 1)].$

Considering the D_q -action on $S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times \mathbf{R}$ and $S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times \mathbf{C}$ given by

$$\begin{cases} a \cdot (z, x, y) = (\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q) \cdot z, x, y) \\ b \cdot (z, x, y) = (\bar{z}, -x, -y) \end{cases}$$

for $(z, x, y) \in S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times \mathbf{R}$ and

$$\begin{cases} a \cdot (z, x, w) = (\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q) \cdot z, x, \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q)w) \\ b \cdot (z, x, w) = (\bar{z}, -x, \bar{w}) \end{cases}$$

for $(z, x, w) \in S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times \mathbf{C}$, we have a real line bundle

$$\xi(q): (S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times \mathbf{R}) / D_q \rightarrow D(q)^{2m+1, l}$$

and a real 2-plane bundle

$$\eta(q): (S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times \mathbf{C}) / D_q \rightarrow D(q)^{2m+1, l}.$$

We denote the restriction of $\xi(q)$ (resp. $\eta(q)$) to $D(q)^{n, k}$ ($0 \leq n \leq 2m+1, 0 \leq k \leq l$) by $\xi(q)$ (resp. $\eta(q)$). Then we have following elements of $\widetilde{KO}(D(q)^{m, l})$:

$$(3.9) \quad \alpha(q) = \eta(q) - \xi(q) - 1.$$

We denote by X^γ the Thom complex of a vector bundle γ over a finite CW-complex X . Define a map

$$f: S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times D^{2n} \times D^k \rightarrow S^{2m+2n+1} \times S^{l+k}$$

by setting

$$f((z, x, v, w)) = ((v, (1 - \|v\|^2)^{1/2}z), (w, (1 - \|w\|^2)^{1/2}x)).$$

Then f induces homeomorphisms

$$\bar{f}: (D(q)^{2m+1, l})^{n\eta(q) \oplus k\xi(q)} \rightarrow D(q)_{2n, k}^{2m+2n+1, l+k}$$

and $\bar{f}|_{D(q)^{2m, l}}: (D(q)^{2m, l})^{n\eta(q) \oplus k\xi(q)} \rightarrow D(q)_{2n, k}^{2m+2n, l+k}$. Thus we obtain

Lemma 3.10. $(D(q)^{m, l})^{n\eta(q) \oplus k\xi(q)}$ is homeomorphic to $D(q)_{2n, k}^{2n+m, k+l}$.

REMARK. The partial results for the case in which q is an odd prime and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ have been obtained in [8].

Lemma 3.11. There are following homeomorphisms:

- (1) $D(q)_{2m, k}^{2m, l} \approx S^m RP_{m+k}^{m+l}$
- (2) $D(q)_{2m+1, k}^{2m+1, l} \approx S^m RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}$,
- (3) $D(q)_{n, l}^{m, l} \approx S^l(L_q^m / L_q^{n-1})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.10, we obtain

$$D(q)_{2m,k}^{2m+1,l} \approx (D(q)^{1,l-k})^{m\eta(q) \oplus k\xi(q)}.$$

Define a map

$$h: S^1 \times S^{l-k} \times C \rightarrow S^1 \times S^{l-k} \times C$$

by setting $h(z, x, v) = (z, x, z^{q-1}v)$. Then h induces a bundle isomorphism $\bar{h}: \eta(q) \rightarrow 1 \oplus \xi(q)$ over $D(q)^{1,l-k}$. This implies

$$D(q)_{2m,k}^{2m+1,l} \approx (D(q)^{1,l-k})^{m \oplus (m+k)\xi(q)} \approx S^m(D(q)^{1,l-k})^{(m+k)\xi(q)},$$

$$D(q)_{2m,k}^{2m,l} \approx S^m(D(q)^{0,l-k})^{(m+k)\xi(q)} \approx S^m RP(l-k)^{(m+k)\xi(q)} \approx S^m RP_{m+k}^{m+l}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(q)_{2m+1,k}^{2m+1,l} &\approx S^m(D(q)^{1,l-k})^{(m+k)\xi(q)} / S^m(D(q)^{0,l-k})^{(m+k)\xi(q)} \\ &\approx S^m(((S^{l-k} \times D^{m+k+1}) / (S^{l-k} \times S^{m+k})) / (Z/2)) \\ &\approx S^m RP(l-k)^{(m+k+1)\xi(q)} \approx S^m RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the homomorphism $D(q)^{m,l} \approx (L_q^m \times S^l) / (Z/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(q)_{n,l}^{m,l} &\approx (L_q^m \times D_+^l) / ((L_q^m \times S^{l-1}) \cup (L_q^{n-1} \times D_+^l)) \\ &\approx (L_q^m \times S^l) / ((L_q^m \times *) \cup (L_q^{n-1} \times S^l)) \\ &\approx ((L_q^m / L_q^{n-1}) \times S^l) / (((L_q^m / L_q^{n-1}) \times *) \cup (* \times S^l)) \\ &\approx S^l(L_q^m / L_q^{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Let $\tau(q)^{2m+1,l}: TD(q)^{2m+1,l} \rightarrow D(q)^{2m+1,l}$ be the tangent bundle of $D(q)^{2m+1,l}$. Then we have

Lemma 3.12. $\tau(q)^{2m+1,l} \oplus 2$ is isomorphic to $(m+1)\eta(q) \oplus (l+1)\xi(q)$.

Proof. There exists an equivariant isomorphism

$$h: T(S^{2m+1} \times S^l) \times R^2 \rightarrow S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times C^{m+1} \times R^{l+1},$$

which induces a bundle isomorphism

$$\bar{h}: (T(S^{2m+1} \times S^l) / D_q) \times R^2 \rightarrow (S^{2m+1} \times S^l \times C^{m+1} \times R^{l+1}) / D_q$$

from $\tau(q)^{2m+1,l} \oplus 2$ to $(m+1)\eta(q) \oplus (l+1)\xi(q)$. q.e.d.

Lemma 3.13. Let N and M be integers with $N \equiv 0 \pmod{h(q, 2m-2n+1)}$, $M \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{q(l-k)}}$, $N > m+1$ and $M > N+l+2$. Then the S -dual of $D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}$ is

$$D(q)_{2N-2m-2, M-N-l-1}^{2N-2n-1, M-N-k-1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.10, Lemma 3.12 and [5, Proposition (2.6) and Theorem (3.5)], the S -dual of

$$D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l} \approx (D(q)^{2m-2n+1,l-k})^{\eta(q) \oplus k\xi(q)}$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} & (D(q)^{2m-2n+1,l-k})^{(N-n)\eta(q) \oplus (M-N-k)\xi(q) - \tau(q)^{2m-2n+1,l-k}} \\ \cong & \frac{S}{S} (D(q)^{2m-2n+1,l-k})^{(N-n)\eta(q) \oplus (M-N-k)\xi(q) - ((m-n+1)\eta(q) \oplus (l-k+1)\xi(q))} \\ \approx & (D(q)^{2m-2n+1,l-k})^{(N-m-1)\eta(q) \oplus (M-N-l-1)\xi(q)} \\ \approx & D(q)_{2N-2m-2, M-N-l-1}^{2N-2n-1, M-N-k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

According to [10], $D(q)^{m,l}$ has a cellular decomposition

$$\{(C_i, D_j) | 0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq l\},$$

where $\dim(C_i, D_j) = i + j$ and boundary operations are given by

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial(C_{2i}, D_j) = q(C_{2i-1}, D_j) + ((-1)^i + (-1)^j)(C_{2i}, D_{j-1}), \\ \partial(C_{2i+1}, D_j) = ((-1)^i + (-1)^{j+1})(C_{2i+1}, D_{j-1}). \end{cases}$$

We denote by (c^i, d^j) the dual cochain of (C_i, D_j) .

Lemma 3.15. *Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.*

- (1) $\tilde{H}^*(D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \cong (\bigoplus_{2n < 4i-2k \leq 2m} (\mathbf{Z}/q)[(c^{4i-2k}, d^k)]) \oplus (\bigoplus_{2n < 4i-2l-2 \leq 2m} (\mathbf{Z}/q)[(c^{4i-2l-2}, d^l)]).$
- (2) $\tilde{H}^*(D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}; \mathbf{Z}/2) \cong 0.$

Lemma 3.16. *Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $l > k$. Then there exists a split short exact sequence*

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \rightarrow A(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow A(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \rightarrow 0$$

of ψ -groups.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.15 and the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for KO -theory that the order of the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l})$ is a divisor of $q^{a(j,m,n,l,k)}$, where

$$a(j, m, n, l, k) = \begin{cases} [(m+k)/2] - [(n+k)/2] + [(m+l+1)/2] - [(n+l+1)/2] & (j \equiv k \equiv l+2 \pmod{4}) \\ [(m+k)/2] - [(n+k)/2] & (j \equiv k \not\equiv l+2 \pmod{4}) \\ [(m+l+1)/2] - [(n+l+1)/2] & (j \equiv l+2 \not\equiv k \pmod{4}) \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise).} \end{cases}$$

In the case $k \equiv l \pmod{2}$, the order of the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l})$ is equal to $q^{a(j, m, n, l, k)}$. By Lemma 3.11, we obtain a sequence

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \xrightarrow{h_1} \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l}) \xrightarrow{h_2} \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$$

of ψ -groups with $h_2 \circ h_1 = 0$. It follows from [19] that

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^{2j+1}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \cong 0$$

and the order of $\widetilde{KO}(S^{2j}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$ is equal to $q^{[(m+j)/2] - [(n+j)/2]}$. Inspect the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k+1}^{2m, l}) & \xrightarrow{g_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l}) & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \rightarrow \\ & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \uparrow & \\ \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \xrightarrow{h_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l}) & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l-1}) & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Suppose $j \equiv k \equiv l \pmod{4}$. Then $g_1 = 0$. This implies that $h_1 = 0$, h_2 is an isomorphism and g_2 is an isomorphism. Suppose $j-2 \equiv k \equiv l \pmod{4}$. Then $g_2 = 0$. This implies that $h_2 = 0$, h_1 is an isomorphism and g_1 is an isomorphism. Thus we obtain the lemma for the case $k \equiv l \pmod{4}$ and it is shown that the order of $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l})$ is equal to $q^{a(j, m, n, l, k)}$ if $k \equiv l-3 \pmod{4}$. Suppose $j \equiv k \equiv l-3 \pmod{4}$. Then $g_1 = h_1 = 0$. This implies that h_2 is an isomorphism and g_2 is a monomorphism. Suppose $j-1 \equiv k \equiv l-3 \pmod{4}$. Then $h_2 = g_2 = 0$. This implies that g_1 is an epimorphism and h_1 is an isomorphism. Thus we obtain the lemma for the case $k \equiv l-3 \pmod{4}$. Suppose $j \equiv k \equiv l-2 \pmod{4}$. Then h_1 is a monomorphism and h_2 is an epimorphism. This implies that $\text{Im } h_1 = \text{Ker } h_2$. Using the isomorphism

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l+2}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$$

we obtain a ψ -map

$$h_3: \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l})$$

with $h_2 \circ h_3 = 1$. Thus we obtain the lemma for the case $k \equiv l-2 \pmod{4}$ and it is shown that the order of $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1, k}^{2m, l})$ is equal to $q^{a(j, m, n, l, k)}$ if $k \equiv l-1 \pmod{4}$. Suppose $j \equiv k \equiv l-1 \pmod{4}$. Then $g_1 = h_1 = 0$. This implies that h_2 and

g_2 are isomorphisms. Suppose $j+1 \equiv k \equiv l-1 \pmod{4}$. Then $h_2 = g_2 = 0$. This implies that g_1 and h_1 are isomorphisms. Thus we obtain the lemma for the case $k \equiv l-1 \pmod{4}$. q.e.d.

We consider the following maps

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} i_1: L_q^{2m+1} \rightarrow D(q)^{2m+1,l}, & i_2: RP(l) \rightarrow D(q)^{2m+1,l} \\ p_0: D(q)^{2m+1,l} \rightarrow RP(l), & p_1: D(q)^{2m+1,l} \rightarrow S^l L_q^{2m+1}, \\ p_2: D(q)^{2m+1,l} \rightarrow S^m RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1}. \end{cases}$$

We set the following homomorphisms

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{cases} f_1: \widetilde{KO}(L_q^{2m}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(D(q)^{2m+1,l}), \\ i_0: \widetilde{KO}(S^l L_q^{2m}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^l L_q^{2m+1}), \\ f_2 = (p_1)^l \circ i_0: \widetilde{KO}(S^l L_q^{2m}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(D(q)^{2m+1,l}), \end{cases}$$

where f_1 is defined by $f_1(r(\eta_q - 1)) = \alpha(q)$, and i_0 is a right inverse of the restriction homomorphism $\widetilde{KO}(S^l L_q^{2m+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^l L_q^{2m})$.

Proposition 3.20 ([16]). *Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $l > 0$.*

(1) *The homomorphism*

$$f: \widetilde{KO}(L_q^{2m}) \oplus A(q, 0, l)_1^{2m} \oplus \widetilde{KO}(RP(l)) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^m RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(D(q)^{2m+1,l})$$

defined by $f(x, y, z, w) = f_1(x) + f_2(y) + (p_0)^l(z) + (p_2)^l(w)$ is an isomorphism.

(2) *The homomorphism*

$$g: \widetilde{J}(L_q^{2m}) \oplus B(q, 0, l)_1^{2m} \oplus \widetilde{J}(RP(l)) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^m RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{J}(D(q)^{2m+1,l})$$

defined by $g(J(x), J(y), J(z), J(w)) = J(f_1(x) + f_2(y) + (p_0)^l(z) + (p_2)^l(w))$ is an isomorphism.

4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

The part (1) of Theorems 1 and 2 is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.16.

It follows from Lemma 3.11 that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2m+k+1}^{2m+2,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+2,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_3 & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Since $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2m+k+1}^{2m+2,l})$ has an odd order, $f_3 = 0$ and we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & & \\
 & & & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
 0 \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+l,l}) \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow & 0, & &
 \end{array}$$

in which the row is exact. Thus we obtain

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+l,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

and $\widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+l,l}) \cong \widetilde{J}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$. Similarly we obtain

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m+l,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l})$$

and $\widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m+l,l}) \cong \widetilde{J}(S^{j+m} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l})$.

Since the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{1,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{0,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j RP_k^l) \rightarrow 0$$

of ψ -groups splits, we obtain

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{0,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^j RP_k^l)$$

and $\widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{0,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^j RP_k^l)$.

Suppose $n > 0$. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & \parallel & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n-1,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n-1,k}^{2n,l}) \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. If $(j-l-2, j+2n+l) \not\equiv (0,0) \pmod{4}$ and $(j-k, j+2n+k) \not\equiv (0,0) \pmod{4}$, then $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n-1,k}^{2n,l}) \cong 0$. Hence

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$$

and $\widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$.

Suppose $m > n > 0$ and $j-l-2 \equiv j+2n+l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then $j+n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& & g_1 \downarrow & & g_2 \downarrow & & g_3 \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_4} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& & h_1 \downarrow & & h_2 \downarrow & & h_3 \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_5} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_6} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l-1}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

of exact sequences. Since $j-l-1 \equiv 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $j+n \equiv 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, there exists a ψ -map

$$f_7: \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l-1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1})$$

with $f_7 \circ f_5 = 1$. By Lemma 3.16, we obtain a ψ -map

$$h_4: \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l})$$

with $h_1 \circ h_4 = 1$. If $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l-1}) \cong 0$, then

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+l}) \cong \mathbf{Z},$$

f_5 is an isomorphism,

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \\
&\cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n}^{2m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m > n > 0$, $j-l-2 \equiv j+n-3 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $j+l+2n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $l > k+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l-1}) &\cong \mathbf{Z}/2, \\
\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+l}) \cong \mathbf{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$. Choose generators $\alpha \in \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+l})$ and $\beta \in \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$ with $g_3(\alpha) = 2\beta$. Choose $z \in \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$ with $f_4(z) = \beta$. Set

$$y = z - (f_3 \circ h_4 \circ f_7 \circ h_2)(z).$$

Since $f_6(h_2(2z))=h_3(2f_4(z))=h_3(2\beta)=0$, there exists an element $u \in \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1})$ with $f_5(u)=h_2(2z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(2y) &= h_2(2z) - f_5(f_7(h_2(2z))) \\ &= f_5(u) - f_5(f_7(f_5(u))) \\ &= f_5(u) - f_5(u) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists an element $x \in \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1}))$ with $g_2(x)=2y$. Then $f_2(x)=\alpha$. Since $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$ has an odd order, the homomorphism

$$i_0: \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$$

defined by $i_0(a)=2a$ is an isomorphism. Let

$$f_8: \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1}))$$

be the homomorphism defined by $f_8(ax)=ax$ for $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, and

$$f_9: \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

the homomorphism defined by $f_9(ax)=ay$ for $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Define the homomorphism

$$g_0: \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

by setting

$$g_0(a) = f_3(g_1(i_0^{-1}(f_1^{-1}(a - f_8(f_2(a)))))) + f_9(f_2(a)).$$

Suppose $g_0(a)=0$. Then $f_4(g_0(a))=f_4(f_9(f_2(a)))=0$. This implies that $f_2(a)=0$. Hence $f_3(g_1(i_0^{-1}(f_1^{-1}(a))))=0$. Since f_3 and g_1 are monomorphisms, this implies that $a=0$. Thus g_0 is a monomorphism. Since g_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(a) &= g_2(a - f_8(f_2(a))) + g_2(f_8(f_2(a))) \\ &= g_2(f_1(i_0^{-1}(2f_1^{-1}(a - f_8(f_2(a)))))) + 2f_9(f_2(a)) \\ &= 2f_3(g_1(i_0^{-1}(f_1^{-1}(a - f_8(f_2(a)))))) + 2f_9(f_2(a)) \\ &= 2g_0(a), \end{aligned}$$

$g_2=2g_0$. This implies that the homomorphism g_0 is a ψ -map. Consider the sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A(q, j, l)_{2n}^{2m} \xrightarrow{g_0} \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \xrightarrow{f_7 \circ h_2} \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Noting that $f_7 \circ h_2 \circ f_3 \circ h_4 = f_7 \circ f_5 = 1$, it is not difficult to see that (4.1) is a split exact sequence of ψ -groups. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \\ &\cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n}^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m > n > 0$, $j-l-2 \equiv n+j-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $l > k+1$. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l+1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l+1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l+1}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow k_1 & & \downarrow k_2 & & \downarrow k_3 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_5} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_6} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l-1}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, k_1 and k_3 are isomorphisms. This implies that k_2 is an isomorphism. Using k_2 , we obtain a ψ -map

$$h_5 : \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l-1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

with $h_2 \circ h_5 = 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l-1}) \\ &\cong A(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus A(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l-1}) \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \tilde{J}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l-1}) \\ &\cong B(q, j, l)_{2n}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus G_0(j+2n+k). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m > n > 0$ and $j-k \equiv j+2n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. If $n+j \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $j+2n+k \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 & & \downarrow g_3 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_4} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & \downarrow h_3 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \xrightarrow{f_5} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) & \xrightarrow{f_6} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

of exact sequences. Choose $r \geq l$ with $r \not\equiv j+2 \pmod{4}$ and $j+2n+r \equiv 3,4,5,6$ or $7 \pmod{8}$. Then, in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,r}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,r}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+r}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & k_1 \downarrow & & k_2 \downarrow & & k_3 \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \xrightarrow{f_5} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) & \xrightarrow{f_6} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, k_1 and k_3 are isomorphisms. This implies that k_2 is an isomorphism. Using k_2 , we obtain a ψ -map

$$h_5 : \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

with $h_2 \circ h_5 = 1$. Since $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $j-k-1 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there exists a ψ -map

$$f_7 : \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l})$$

with $f_2 \circ f_7 = 1$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l}) \\ &\cong A(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus A(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \widetilde{J}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l}) \\ &\cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus G_0(j+2n+l). \end{aligned}$$

If $n+j \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $j+2n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k+1}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k+1}) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & h_4 \downarrow & & h_5 \downarrow & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & g_1 \downarrow & & g_2 \downarrow & & g_3 \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_4} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & h_1 \downarrow & & h_2 \downarrow & & h_3 \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \xrightarrow{f_5} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) & \xrightarrow{f_6} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

of exact sequences. If $l=k+1$, then $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l}) \cong 0$ and there exists a

homotopy equivalence

$$g : S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{j+2n+k+1} \vee S^{j+2n+k}.$$

Using g , we obtain a ψ -map

$$g_6 : \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+k+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k+1})$$

with $g_6 \circ g_3 = 1$. Define a ψ -map

$$g_5 : \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,k+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,k+1})$$

by $g_5(a) = f_2^{-1}(g_6(f_4(a)))$ for $a \in \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,k+1})$. Then

$$g_5 \circ g_2 = f_2^{-1} \circ g_6 \circ f_4 \circ g_2 = f_2^{-1} \circ g_6 \circ g_3 \circ f_2 = f_2^{-1} \circ f_2 = 1.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,k+1}) &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k+1}) \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2 \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,k+1}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,k+1}) &\cong \widetilde{J}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^{j+2n+k+1}) \\ &\cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n}^{2m} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2. \end{aligned}$$

If $l > k + 1$, then $\text{Im } h_3 = 2\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k})$, $\text{Im } h_2 = 2\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1}))$ and

$$\text{Ker } g_2 \cong \text{Ker } g_3 \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k+1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2.$$

Thus we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} & \text{Coker } h_4 & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_2} & \text{Coker } h_5 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \bar{g}_1 \downarrow & & \bar{g}_2 \downarrow & & \bar{g}_3 \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_4} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & h_1 \downarrow & & \bar{h}_2 \downarrow & & \bar{h}_3 \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_5} & \text{Im } h_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_6} & \text{Im } h_3 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

of exact swquences. Since $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $j-k-1 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there exists a ψ -map

$$f_7: \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l})$$

with $f_7 \circ f_1 = 1$. Since $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l})$ has an odd order, f_7 induces a ψ -map

$$\bar{f}_7: \text{Coker } h_4 \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l})$$

with $\bar{f}_7 \circ \bar{f}_1 = 1$. Choose an integer $r \geq l$ with $j+2n+r \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Then $j \not\equiv r+2 \pmod{4}$ and using the isomorphism

$$f_8: \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,r}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow \text{Im } h_2,$$

we obtain a ψ -map

$$h_6: \text{Im } h_2 \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

with $\bar{h}_2 \circ h_6 = 1$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \text{Im } h_2 \oplus \text{Coker } h_4 \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n-1})) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l}) \oplus \text{Coker } h_5 \\ &\cong A(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus Z \oplus A(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus G_0(j+2n+l) \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong J''(\text{Im } h_2) \oplus J''(\text{Coker } h_4) \\ &\cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n}^{2m} \oplus B(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus G_0(j+2n+l). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $j-k \equiv j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & g_1 \downarrow & & g_2 \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_4} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & h_1 \downarrow & & h_2 \downarrow & & \\ & & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & = & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

of exact sequences. Since $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $j-k-1 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there exists a ψ -map

$$f_8: \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k+1}^{2m,l})$$

with $f_2 \circ f_8 = 1$. Thus we obtain

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l})$$

and $\widetilde{J}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1}) \cong \widetilde{J}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l})$. There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\ &\cong \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+l}). \end{aligned}$$

If $j+n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $l \equiv j+2 \pmod{4}$, then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A(q,j,l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \xrightarrow{h_1} \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \xrightarrow{h_2} \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l+1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l+1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+l+1}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow k_1 & & \downarrow k_2 & & \downarrow k_3 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, k_1 and k_3 are isomorphisms. This implies that k_2 is an isomorphism. Using k_2 , we obtain a ψ -map

$$h_3: \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l})$$

with $h_2 \circ h_3 = 1$. Thus we obtain

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}) &\cong \widetilde{J}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \\ &\cong \widetilde{J}(S^jD(q)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \oplus B(q,j,l)_{2n+1}^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1}) &\rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \rightarrow 0 \\
 &\cong \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) &= \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})),
 \end{aligned}$$

in which the row is exact. This implies that

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \oplus \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widetilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1}) &\cong \widetilde{J}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n})) \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \\
 &\cong B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \oplus \widetilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Suppose $m > n > 0$, $j - k \equiv j + n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and p is an odd prime. We set

$$X = \begin{cases} S^j D(p)_{2n,k,2n,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1} & (l \equiv j + 2 \pmod{4}) \\ S^j D(p)_{2n,k}^{2m,l} & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y = \begin{cases} S^j D(p)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l-1,2n,k-1} & (l \equiv j + 2 \pmod{4}) \\ S^j D(p)_{2n,k,2n+1,k}^{2m,l,2n-1,k-1} & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & VO_{n+l,n+k}^{j+n}(2) & \xrightarrow{f_{2,1}} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) & \xrightarrow{f_{2,2}} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & h_{2,1} \uparrow & & h_{2,2} \uparrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \widetilde{KO}(Y) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \widetilde{KO}(X) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & h_{p,1} \downarrow & & h_{p,2} \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \rightarrow & VO_{2m,2n}^{j+k}(p) & \xrightarrow{f_{p,1}} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_p^{2m}/L_p^{2n-1})) & \xrightarrow{f_{p,2}} & \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. In the diagram, $h_{2,2}$ and $h_{p,2}$ are epimorphisms. There exist ψ -maps

$$g_2 : VO_{n+l,n+k}^{j+n}(2) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(Y)$$

and $g_p : VO_{2m,2n}^{j+k}(p) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(Y)$ with $h_{2,1} \circ g_2 = 1$, $h_{p,1} \circ g_p = 1$, $\text{Im } g_2 = \text{Ker } h_{p,1}$ and $\text{Im } g_p = \text{Ker } h_{2,1}$. For each i prime to p (resp. 2), $N_p(i)$ (resp. $N_2(i)$) denote the integer chosen to satisfy the property

$$(5.1) \quad iN_p(i) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m} \text{ (resp. } iN_2(i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2^l}\text{)}.$$

In order to state the next lemma, we set

$$(5.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) \quad v = (p-1)([(j+k)/2(p-1)] + 1) - (j+k)/2. \\ (2) \quad s = [(n-v)/(p-1)]. \\ (3) \quad u_p = \begin{cases} N_p(2)p^{s+1}c_v^{j+k, 2m}(p) & (j+2n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{8}) \\ p^{s+1}c_v^{j+k, 2m}(p) & (j+2n+k \equiv 4 \pmod{8}). \end{cases} \\ (4) \quad UO = \sum_{i \in e} (\cap \psi^i - 1) \widetilde{KO}(Y). \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 5.3. *There exists an element $x \in \widetilde{KO}(X)$ such that*

- (1) $f_2(x)$ generates the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.
- (2) The Adams operations are given by

$$\psi^i(x) \equiv i^u x + f_1(g_p(v_p) + g_2(v_2)) \pmod{f_1(UO)},$$

where $u = (j+2n+k)/2$,

$$v_2 = \begin{cases} -(i^u/2)u_2 & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ -((i^u - i^{(j+n)/2})/2)u_2 & (i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}), \end{cases}$$

$$v_p = \begin{cases} -(i^u/p)0^{u-t(p-1)}u_p & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}) \\ -((i^u - 1 + ((j+k)/2)(i^{p-1} - 1))/p)0^{u-t(p-1)}u_p & (i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}), \end{cases}$$

$t = [u/(p-1)]$ and u_2 is a generator of the group $VO_{n+l, n+k}^{j+n}(2)$.

Proof. According to [14], there exists an element

$$x_p \in \widetilde{KO}(S^{j+k}(L_p^{2m}/L_p^{2n-1}))$$

such that

- i) $f_{p,2}(x_p)$ generates the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.
- ii) The Adams operations are given by

$$\psi^i(x_p) \equiv i^{(j+2n+k)/2} x_p + f_{p,1}(v_p) \pmod{f_{p,1}(UO_{2m, 2n}^{j+k}(p))},$$

where

$$v_p = \begin{cases} -(i^u/p)0^{u-t(p-1)}u_p & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}) \\ -((i^u - 1 + ((j+k)/2)(i^{p-1} - 1))/p)0^{u-t(p-1)}u_p & (i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}), \end{cases}$$

$u = (j+2n+k)/2$ and $t = [u/(p-1)]$. Choose an element $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{KO}(X)$ with $f_2(\tilde{x})$

$=f_{p,2}(x_p)$. Then, there exists an element $y_p \in VO_{2m,2n}^{j+k}(p)$ with $x_p - h_{p,2}(\tilde{x}) = f_{p,1}(y_p)$. Set $x = \tilde{x} + f_1(g_p(y_p))$ and $x_2 = h_{2,2}(x)$. Then, we have $h_{p,2}(x) = x_p$ and $f_{2,2}(x_2) = f_2(x) = f_{p,2}(x_p)$. It follows from [13] that the Adams operations are given by

$$\psi^i(x_2) = i^u x_2 + f_{2,1}(v_2),$$

where

$$v_2 = \begin{cases} -(i^u/2)u_2 & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ -((i^u - i^{j+n})/2)u_2 & (i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}), \end{cases}$$

and u_2 is a generator of the group $VO_{n+l, n+k}^{j+n}$. We necessarily have

$$\psi^i(x) = ax + f_1(g_2(b) + g_p(c))$$

for some integer a and an element $g_2(b) + g_p(c) \in \widetilde{KO}(Y)$. By using the ψ -map f_2 , we see that $a = i^u$. Under $h_{2,2}$, $f_1(g_2(b) + g_p(c))$ maps into $f_{2,1}(b)$ and x maps into x_2 , and we see that

$$\psi^i(x_2) = i^u x_2 + f_{2,1}(b).$$

This implies that $b = v_2$. Under $h_{p,2}$, $f_1(g_2(b) + g_p(c))$ maps into $f_{p,1}(c)$ and x maps into x_p , and we see that

$$\psi^i(x_p) = i^u x_p + f_{p,1}(c).$$

This implies that $c \equiv v_p \pmod{UO_{2m,2n}^{j+k}(p)}$. Since $g_p(UO_{2m,2n}^{j+k}(p))$ is contained in UO , we obtain

$$\psi^i(x) \equiv i^u x + f_1(g_p(v_p) + g_2(v_2)) \pmod{f_1(UO)}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

q.e.d.

We now recall some definition in [3]. Let f be a function which assigns to each integer i a non-negative integer $f(i)$. Given such a function f , we define $\widetilde{KO}(X)_f$ to be the subgroup of $\widetilde{KO}(X)$ generated by

$$\{i^{f(i)}(\psi^i - 1)(y) \mid i \in \mathbf{Z}, y \in \widetilde{KO}(X)\};$$

that is, $\widetilde{KO}(X)_f = \langle \{i^{f(i)}(\psi^i - 1)(y) \mid i \in \mathbf{Z}, y \in \widetilde{KO}(X)\} \rangle$. According to [2], [3] and [17], the kernel of the homomorphism $J: \widetilde{KO}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{J}(X)$ coincides with $\bigcap_f \widetilde{KO}(X)_f$,

where the intersection runs over all functions f . Set $w_2 = f_1(g_2(u_2))$ and $w_p = f_1(g_p(u_p))$. Suppose that f satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad f(i) \geq m + l + \max\{v_r(m(u)) \mid r \text{ is a prime divisor of } i\}$$

for every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i^{f(i)}(\psi^i - 1)(x) \\
 \equiv & i^{f(i)}(i^u - 1)x + (i^{f(i)}(i^{(j+n)/2} - i^u) / 2)w_2 \\
 & - (i^{f(i)}(i^u - 1 + ((j+k) / 2)(i^{p-1} - 1)) / p)0^{u-t(p-1)}w_p \pmod{f_1(UO)} \\
 = & i^{f(i)}(i^u - 1)x + (i^{f(i)}N_2(u / 2^{v_2(u)})(u(i^{(j+n)/2} - 1) - u(i^u - 1)) / 2^{v_2(2u)})w_2 \\
 & - (i^{f(i)}N_p(u / p^{v_p(u)})(u(i^u - 1) + u((j+k) / 2)(i^{p-1} - 1)) / p^{v_p(pu)}0^{u-t(p-1)}w_p \\
 \equiv & i^{f(i)}(i^u - 1)x + (i^{f(i)}N_2(u / 2^{v_2(u)})((j+n-2u) / 2)(i^u - 1) / 2^{v_2(2u)})w_2 \\
 & - (i^{f(i)}N_p(u / p^{v_p(u)})(2u-j-k) / 2)(i^u - 1) / p^{v_p(pu)}0^{u-t(p-1)}w_p \pmod{f_1(UO)} \\
 = & (i^{f(i)}(i^u - 1) / (2^{v_2(2u)}p^{v_p(pu)})(2^{v_2(2u)}p^{v_p(pu)}x \\
 & - p^{v_p(pu)}N_2(u / 2^{v_2(u)})(n+k) / 2)w_2 - 2^{v_2(2u)}N_p(u / p^{v_p(u)})n0^{u-t(p-1)}w_p).
 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of [3; II, Theorem (2.7) and Lemma (2.12)], we have

$$\langle f_1(UO) \cup \{i^{f(i)}(\psi^i - 1)(x) | i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rangle = f_1(UO) \cup \{m(u)x - M_2w_2 - M_pw_p\},$$

where $M_2 = (m(u) / 2^{v_2(4u)})N_2(u / 2^{v_2(u)})(n+k)$ and

$$M_p = \begin{cases} (m(u) / p^{v_p(pu)})N_p(u / p^{v_p(u)})n & (u \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Since this is true for every function f which satisfies (5.4), we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{J}(X) \cong \widetilde{KO}(X) / \langle f_1(UO) \cup \{m((j+2n+k) / 2)x - M_2w_2 - M_pw_p\} \rangle,$$

where $w_2 = f_1(g_2(u_2))$, $w_p = f_1(g_p(u_p))$, $v_2(M_2) = v_2(n+k)$ and

$$\begin{cases} v_p(M_p) = v_p(n) & (j+2n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{2(p-1)}) \\ M_p = 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

It follows from [13], [14] and the proof of Theorem 2 that we have

$$\tilde{J}(X) \cong F(z) / \langle \{B_0, B_2, B_p\} \rangle,$$

where $F(z)$ is a free abelian group generated by $\{z_0, z_2, z_p\}$,

$$B_2 = 2^{b_2(j+n, n+l, n+k)}z_2,$$

$$B_p = p^{b_p(j+k, 2m, 2n)}z_p,$$

$$B_0 = M_0z_0 - M_2z_2 - M_pz_p$$

and $M_0 = m((j+2n+k) / 2)$. Set

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} i_2 = \min\{b_2(j+n, n+l, n+k), v_2(n+k)\} \\ i_p = \min\{b_p(j+k, 2m, 2n), v_p\}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$v_p = \begin{cases} v_p(n) & (M_p \neq 0) \\ m & (M_p = 0). \end{cases}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we put $b_2 = b_2(j+n, n+l, n+k)$ and $b_p = b_p(j+k, 2m, 2n)$ in the following calculation. Choose integers e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 with $e_1 2^{b_2} - e_2 p^{b_p - i_p} M_2 = 2^{i_2}$ and $e_3 p^{b_p} - e_4 2^{b_2 - i_2} M_p = p^{i_p}$. We assume $e_4 = 0$ if $M_p = 0$. Then we have

$$A \begin{pmatrix} B_0 \\ B_2 \\ B_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{b_2 - i_2} p^{b_p - i_p} M_0 z_0 \\ e_2 p^{b_p - i_p} M_0 z_0 + 2^{i_2} z_2 \\ e_4 2^{b_2 - i_2} M_0 z_0 + p^{i_p} z_p \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{b_2 - i_2} p^{b_p - i_p} & p^{b_p - i_p} M_2 / 2^{i_2} & 2^{b_2 - i_2} M_p / p^{i_p} \\ e_2 p^{b_p - i_p} & e_1 & e_2 M_p / p^{i_p} \\ e_4 2^{b_2 - i_2} & e_4 M_2 / 2^{i_2} & e_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\det A = 1$. This implies that

$$\tilde{J}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z} / 2^{b_2 - i_2} p^{b_p - i_p} M_0 \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 2^{i_2} \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p^{i_p}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

6. Proof of Theorems 4 and 5

By Proposition 3.20, $J(h(q, m)\alpha(q)) = J(2^{q(l)}(\xi(q) - 1)) = 0$. It follows from [5, Proposition (2.6)] that

$$(D(q)^{m, l})^{(n+s)\eta(q) \oplus (k-s+t+s)\xi(q)} \simeq_S (D(q)^{m, l})^{n\eta(q) \oplus (k-s+s)\xi(q)}.$$

Theorem 4 follows from Lemma 3.10.

Suppose $D(q)_{2n, k}^{2m+1, l}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2s, k+t}^{2m+2s+1, l+t}$ are of the same stable homotopy type, $s \geq 0$ and $k+t \geq 0$. There exists an integer $j > 2s+t$ and a cellular homotopy equivalence

$$h: S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s, k+t}^{2m+2s+1, l+t} \rightarrow S^j D(q)_{2n, k}^{2m+1, l},$$

which induces isomorphisms

$$h^* : \tilde{H}^*(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}; \mathbf{Z}/2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}; \mathbf{Z}/2),$$

$$h^! : \tilde{K}\tilde{O}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}\tilde{O}(S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t})$$

and $J(h) : \tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}) \rightarrow \tilde{J}(S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t})$. If $n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then h induces a homotopy equivalence

$$\bar{h} : S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t, 2n+2s-1,k+t-1}^{2m+2s+1,l+t, 2n+2s-1,k+t-1} \rightarrow S^j D(q)_{2n,k, 2n+1,k}^{2m+1,l, 2n-1,k-1}.$$

By Lemma 3.11, we obtain

$$\text{Sq}^i(\sigma^{j-2s-t}([(c^{2n+2s}, d^{k+t})])) = \binom{n+k+s+t}{i} \sigma^{j-2s-t}([(c^{2n+2s}, d^{k+t+i})])$$

and $\text{Sq}^i(\sigma^j([(c^{2n}, d^k)]) = \binom{n+k}{i} \sigma^j([(c^{2n}, d^{k+i})])$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-k$, where $\sigma : \tilde{H}^*(X; \mathbf{Z}/2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{*+1}(SX; \mathbf{Z}/2)$ is the suspension isomorphism. Since $h^*(\sigma^j([(c^{2n}, d^k)])) = \sigma^{j-2s-t}([(c^{2n+2s}, d^{k+t})])$, we obtain

$$\binom{n+k}{i} \equiv \binom{n+k+s+t}{i} \pmod{2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq l-k$. It follows from [12, Lemma 2.1] that $v \geq [\log_2(l-k)] + 1$, where $v = v_2(|s+t| + 2^l)$. This completes the proof of the part i) of (1) of Theorem 5.

To prove the parts ii) and iii) of (1) of Theorem 5, we may assume $l \geq k + 9$. So, assume $l \geq k + 9$ and $v \geq 4$. If $m = n$, then

$$\tilde{J}(S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^{j+n-s-t} RP_{n+k+s+t}^{n+l+s+t}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+n-s-t} RP_{n+k+s+t+1}^{n+l+s+t+1})$$

and $\tilde{J}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}) \cong \tilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \oplus \tilde{J}(S^{j+n} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l+1})$. Suppose $v_2(j+n) > \varphi(l-k)$. By the isomorphism $J(h)$, we see

$$v+1 \geq \max\{a_2(n+l, n+k-1), a_2(n+l+1, n+k)\}.$$

If $n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)-1}}$, then $a_2(n+l, n+k-1) = \varphi(l-k)$ and

$$n+k+s+t \equiv n+k \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)}}.$$

This implies that $v \geq \varphi(l-k)$. If $n+k+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)-1}}$, then $a_2(n+l+1, n+k) = \varphi(l-k)$ and

$$n+k+1+s+t \equiv n+k+1 \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)}}.$$

This implies that $v \geq \varphi(l-k)$. Thus the parts ii) and iii) of (1) of Theorem 5 for the case $m = n$ are obtained by using Lemma 3.13.

Suppose $m > n$. If $m \equiv n \pmod{4}$, then

$$h(i_0(S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t}^{n+k+s+t+8})) \subset S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m,l}$$

and $i_0^l \circ h^l \circ p_2^l = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 : S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t}^{n+k+s+t+8} &\approx S^{j-2s-t}D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t+8}^{2m+2s,k+t+8} \\ &\subset S^{j-2s-t}D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t} \end{aligned}$$

is an inclusion map and

$$p_2 : S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l} \rightarrow S^j D(q)_{2m+1,k}^{2m+1,l} \approx S^{j+m}RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}$$

is an identification. Let

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 : S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t}^{n+l+s+t} &\rightarrow S^{j-2s-t}D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}, \\ i_2 : S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+l} &\rightarrow S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}, \\ i_3 : S^{j+2n+k} &\rightarrow S^{j-2s-t}D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t} \end{aligned}$$

and $i_4 : S^{j+2n+k} \rightarrow S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l}$ be inclusion maps, and

$$p_1 : S^{j-2s-t}D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t} \rightarrow S^{j+m-s-t}RP_{m+k+s+t+1}^{m+l+s+t+1}$$

an identification. Suppose $v_2(j+n) \geq \varphi(l-k)$. If $n+k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $J(h)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{J}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+l}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{J}(S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t}^{n+l+s+t}).$$

This implies that $v_2(j+n-s-t)+1 \geq a_2(n+l, n+k-1)$ and $v \geq a_2(n+l, n+k-1)-1$. If $n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $J(\tilde{h})$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{J}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{J}(S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t+1}^{n+l+s+t}).$$

This implies that $v_2(j+n-s-t)+1 \geq a_2(n+l, n+k) = a_2(n+l, n+k-1)$ and $v \geq a_2(n+l, n+k-1)-1$. If $n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)-1}}$, then

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k}) \cong \mathbf{Z}.$$

Let x be an element of $\widetilde{KO}(S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l})$ with $(i_4)^l(x)$ generates the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k})$. Then $(i_3)^l(h^l(x))$ generates the group $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+2n+k})$. It follows from [13] that

$$(i_1)^l(\psi^3(y)) = 3^{(j+2n+k)/2}(i_1)^l(y) + ((3^{(j+n-s-t)/2} - 3^{(j+2n+k)/2})/2)v$$

and

$$(i_2)^l(\psi^3(x)) = 3^{(j+2n+k)/2}(i_2)^l(x) + ((3^{(j+n)/2} - 3^{(j+2n+k)/2})/2)u,$$

where $y = h^l(x)$, v is a generator of torsion subgroup of

$$\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t}^{n+l+s+t})$$

and u is a generator of torsion subgroup of $\widetilde{KO}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k}^{n+l})$. It follows from [15, Lemma 3.1] that

$$(3^{(j+n)/2} - 3^{(j+2n+k)/2})/2 \equiv -(n+k) \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)}}$$

and $(3^{(j+n-s-t)/2} - 3^{(j+2n+k)/2})/2 \equiv -(s+t+n+k) \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)}}$. Since $J(\bar{h})$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{J}(S^{j+n}RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{J}(S^{j+n-s-t}RP_{n+k+s+t+1}^{n+l+s+t}),$$

this implies that $v \geq \varphi(l-k)$. Suppose $v_2(j+m) \geq \varphi(l-k)$. Then $J(h)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{J}(S^{j+m}RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{J}(S^{j+m-s-t}RP_{m+k+s+t+1}^{m+l+s+t+1}).$$

This implies that $v+1 \geq a_2(m+l+1, m+k)$. If $m+k+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)-1}}$, then $m+k+s+t+1 \equiv m+k+1 \pmod{2^{\varphi(l-k)}}$ and $v \geq \varphi(l-k)$. Thus the parts ii) and iii) of (1) of Theorem 5 are obtained by using Lemma 3.13. This completes the proof of the part (1) of Theorem 5.

Let q be an odd prime. By the part i) of (1) of Theorem 5, $s+t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Suppose $j+k \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$ and $j+k \equiv 2(-2+k-2[(n+k)/2]) \pmod{2(q-1)}$. Then $j \equiv k \pmod{4}$, $j-2s-t \equiv k+t \pmod{4}$,

$$B(q, j-1, k+1)_{2n+1}^{2m} \cong \mathbf{Z} / q^{a_q(j+k+2m, j+k+2n)},$$

$$B(q, j-2s-t-1, k+t+1)_{2n+2s+1}^{2m+2s} \cong \mathbf{Z} / q^{b_q(j+k-2s, 2m+2s, 2n+2s)},$$

$$b_q(j+k-2s, 2m+2s, 2n+2s) = \min\{v_q(j+k-2s)+1, a_q(j+k+2m, j+k+2n)\}$$

and $a_q(j+k+2m, j+k+2n) = [(m+k-2[(n+k)/2]-2)/(q-1)]+1$. Suppose $j+l \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$ and $j+l \equiv 2(-1+l-2[(n+l+1)/2]) \pmod{2(q-1)}$. Then $j \equiv l+2 \pmod{4}$, $j-2s-t \equiv l+t+2 \pmod{4}$,

$$B(q, j, l)_{2n+1}^{2m} \cong \mathbf{Z} / q^{a_q(j+l+2m, j+l+2n)},$$

$$B(q, j-2s-t, l+t)_{2n+2s+1}^{2m+2s} \cong \mathbf{Z} / q^{b_q(j+l-2s, 2m+2s, 2n+2s)},$$

$$b_q(j+l-2s, 2m+2s, 2n+2s) = \min\{v_q(j+l-2s)+1, a_q(j+l+2m, j+l+2n)\}$$

and $a_q(j+l+2m, j+l+2n) = [(m+l-2[(n+l+1)/2]-1)/(q-1)]+1$. This implies that

$$v_q(s+q^m) \geq [(m+k-2[(n+k)/2]-2)/(q-1)]$$

and $v_q(s+q^m) \geq [(m+l-2[(n+l+1)/2]-1)/(q-1)]$ except for the case $l \equiv k+2$

(mod 4),

$$d = [(m+k-2[(n+k)/2]-2)/(q-1)] = [(m+l-2[(n+l+1)/2]-1)/(q-1)] > 0,$$

$$l-k-2s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^d}$$

and $l-k+2s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^d}$. If $l \equiv k+2 \pmod{4}$,

$$d = [(m+k-2[(n+k)/2]-2)/(q-1)] = [(m+l-2[(n+l+1)/2]-1)/(q-1)] > 0,$$

$$l-k-2s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^d}$$

and $l-k+2s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^d}$, then $l \equiv k \pmod{2q^d}$, $l \geq k+2q^d \geq k+2q$,

$$h(\bar{i}_0(S^{j+k-2s}(L_q^{2n+2s+2q-2}/L_q^{2n+2s-1}))) \subset S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l-1}$$

and $\bar{i}_0 \circ h^1 \circ \bar{p}_2^1 = 0$, where

$$\bar{i}_0 : S^{j+k-2s}(L_q^{2n+2s+2q-2}/L_q^{2n+2s-1}) \approx S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2q-2,k+t}$$

$$\subset S^{j-2s-t} D(q)_{2n+2s,k+t}^{2m+2s+1,l+t}$$

is an inclusion map and

$$\bar{p}_2 : S^j D(q)_{2n,k}^{2m+1,l} \rightarrow S^j D(q)_{2n,l}^{2m+1,l} \approx S^{j+l} (L_q^{2m+1}/L_q^{2n-1})$$

is an identification. This implies that h^1 induces isomorphisms

$$\tilde{J}(S^{j+k-2s}(L_q^{2m+2s}/L_q^{2n+2s})) \cong \tilde{J}(S^{j+k}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$$

and $\tilde{J}(S^{j+l-2s}(L_q^{2m+2s}/L_q^{2n+2s})) \cong \tilde{J}(S^{j+l}(L_q^{2m}/L_q^{2n}))$. Thus we obtain the part i) of (2) of Theorem 5. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$, $n+k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $j+k \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$ and $j+k \equiv -2n \pmod{2(q-1)}$, then $j \equiv k \pmod{4}$ and the isomorphism $J(h)$ implies

$$n+s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$$

and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$, $n+l \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $j+l \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$ and $j+l \equiv -2n \pmod{2(q-1)}$, then $j \equiv l-2 \pmod{4}$ and the isomorphism $J(h)$ implies

$$n+s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$$

and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{l(m-n)/(q-1)}}$. Thus the part ii) of (2) of Theorem 5 is obtained by using Lemma 3.13. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

References

- [1] J.F. Adams: *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [2] J.F. Adams: *On the groups $J(X)$ -I*, Topology **2** (1963), 181–195.
- [3] J.F. Adams: *On the groups $J(X)$ -II, -III*, Topology **3** (1965), 137–171, 193–222.
- [4] J.F. Adams and G. Walker: *On complex Stiefel manifolds*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **61** (1965), 81–103.
- [5] M.F. Atiyah: *Thom complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **11** (1961), 291–310.
- [6] K. Fujii, T. Kobayashi and M. Sugawara: *Stable homotopy types of stunted lens spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. (Math.) **3** (1982), 21–27.
- [7] M. Fujii and T. Yasui: *K_0 -groups of the stunted real projective spaces*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **16** (1973), 47–54.
- [8] T. Fujino and M. Kamata: *J -groups of orbit manifolds $D_p(4m+3, 8n+7)$ of $S^{8m+7} \times S^{8n+7}$ by the dihedral group D_p* , Math. Rep. Coll. Gen. Ed. Kyushu Univ. **11** (1978), 127–133.
- [9] M. Imaoka and M. Sugawara: *On the K -ring of the orbit manifold $(S^{2m+1} \times S^4)/D_n$ by the dihedral group D_n* , Hiroshima Math. J. **4** (1974), 53–70.
- [10] M. Kamata and H. Minami: *Bordism groups of dihedral groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973), 334–341.
- [11] T. Kambe, H. Matsunaga and H. Toda: *A note on stunted lens space*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **5** (1966), 143–149.
- [12] S. Kôno: *Stable homotopy types of stunted lens spaces mod 4*, Osaka J. Math. **29** (1992), 697–717.
- [13] S. Kôno and A. Tamamura: *On J -groups of $S^l(RP(t-l)/RP(n-l))$* , Math. J. Okayama Univ. **24** (1982), 45–51.
- [14] ——— : *J -groups of the suspensions of the stunted lens spaces mod p* , Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987), 481–498.
- [15] ——— : *J -groups of suspensions of stunted lens spaces mod 8*, Osaka J. Math. **30** (1993), 203–234.
- [16] S. Kôno, A. Tamamura and M. Fujii: *J -groups of the orbit manifolds $(S^{2m+1} \times S^4)/D_n$ by the dihedral group D_n* , Math. J. Okayama Univ. **22** (1980), 205–221.
- [17] D.G. Quillen: *The Adams conjecture*, Topology **10** (1971), 67–80.
- [18] A. Tamamura: *J -groups of the suspensions of the stunted lens spaces mod $2p$* , Osaka J. Math. **30** (1993), 581–610.
- [19] A. Tamamura and S. Kôno: *On the KO -cohomologies of the stunted lens spaces*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **29** (1987), 233–244.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan