Imaoka, M.
Osaka J. Math.
30 (1993), 759–769

FACTORIZATION OF DOUBLE TRANSFER MAPS

Dedicated to Professor Seiya Sasao on his 60th birthday

MITSUNORI IMAOKA

(Received July 30, 1992)

1. Introduction

In [6] and [4], the authors have studied a factorization of the double S^1-transfer map through the second stage of the chromatic filtration. In this paper, I show that such a factorization exists for other double transfer maps.

Let α be an orientable vector bundle of fiber dimension a over a connected finite complex X, and X^α denote the Thom space of α. Then we have a cofiber sequence

$$S^a \xrightarrow{i} X^\alpha \xrightarrow{j} X^\alpha/S^a \xrightarrow{\tau} S^{a+1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where i is the inclusion to the bottom sphere. Then, by [7], the S^1-transfer map is stably homotopic to τ when $X=CP^n$ and $\alpha=-\xi$ for the canonical C-line bundle ξ over the complex projective space CP^n. If $X=\Sigma W$ a suspension of a space W, then τ is stably homotopic to the stable J-map $J(\alpha)\colon X\to S^1$. Thus, generalizing the original meaning of transfer maps, we call τ in (1.1) a transfer map. Then the following stable map τ_2 is called to be a double transfer map.

$$\tau_2=\tau\wedge\tau\colon X^\alpha/S^a\wedge Y^\beta/S^b\to S^{a+b+2}, \tag{1.2}$$

where β is an orientable vector bundle of fiber dimension b over a connected finite complex Y.

By Ravenel [11] a geometric realization of the chromatic filtration has been given, and we shall denote the first two stages in it by

$$\cdots\to\Sigma^{-2}N_2\xrightarrow{\delta_2}\Sigma^{-1}N_1\xrightarrow{\delta_1}S^0. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, the spectra are localized at a prime p, and there is some difference in our treatment between the cases of an odd prime p and $p=2$. This difference is caused by the use of K-theory, and thus we treat the K-spectrum K_Λ which denotes the complex K-spectrum $K_{(p)}$ localized at p in case of an odd prime p and the real K-spectrum $KO_{(2)}$ localized at 2 in case of $p=2$. Then we shall show the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let τ_2 be the double transfer map of* (1.2), *and N_2 the second* *stage of the chromatic filtration as in* (1.3). *If* α *and* β *are* K_Λ*-orientable and* $K_\Lambda^{a-1}(X^\alpha/S^0; Q/Z)=0$, *then there is a factorization* $\tau_2\simeq\delta_1\delta_2\bar{u}_2$ *by a map* $\bar{u}_2$: $X^\alpha/S^a\wedge Y^\beta/S^b\to\Sigma^{a+b}N_2$.

For the important case that p is an odd prime, $X=Y=CP^N$ and $\alpha=\beta=-\xi$, the theorem has been established in [6] and [4; Th. 5.2], and we show that their method can be extended to obtain the theorem. Theorem 1.4 is a corollary of Theorem 2.8 which makes a construction of $\bar{u}_2$ clear, and §2 is devoted to demonstrate Theorem 2.8.

Such a factorization as in Theorem 1.4 draws a clear strategy to understand the double transfer image, as seen in [6], and some detailed formuals for $\bar{u}_2$ are required. In §3, we describe such formulas in the case of stunted projective spaces. When $X=Y=CP^N$, $\alpha=m\xi$ and $\beta=n\xi$ for integers m and n, τ_2 of (1.2) is a double S^1-transfer map for stunted complex projective spaces. By Theorem 1.4, a factorization of such double S^1-transfer map exists if p is an odd prime. On the other hand, the double S^1-transfer map has no such factorization as in Theorem 1.4 if $p=2$ and both m and n are odd. In case of $p=2$, it might be natural to consider the quaternionic projective space HP^N instead of CP^N. Then τ_2 is called a double S^3-transfer map, and it always has a factorization by Theorem 1.4. For these S^1 and S^3-transfer maps, formulas concerning $\bar{u}_2$ are given in Theorem 3.5 and 3.13, (3.7) and (3.15). The method to obtain such formulas is attributed to Hilditch [6].

The author wishes ot express his heartfelt thanks to faculty members of the University of Manchester for their kind hospitality during his recent visit at the university, in particular, A. Baker, P. Eccles, N. Ray, G. Walker and R. Wood.

2. Factotization

Let $S(G)$ be the Moore spectrum for a group G, and put $E^kG=\Sigma^kE\wedge S(G)$ for a spectrum E. Then, $E^k(-;G)=\{-, E^kG\}$ is the G-coefficient E-cohomology group. We have a cofiber sequence $E^kZ\overset{l_Q}{\to}E^kQ\overset{\rho_Z}{\to}E^kQ/Z$, where l_Q is induced from the inclusion of the ring Z of integers into the field Q of rational numbers and ρ_Z is induced from the mod Z reduction.

Now, let α be an orientable vector bundle over a connected finite complex X. Since we work only in the stable category, it is convenient to assume that α is a virtual vector bundle of dimension 0, and that cohomology groups are all assumed to be reduced. Then we have a Thom class $U_\alpha^H\in H^0(X^\alpha; Z)$ of α in the integral cohomology group. Let $\pi_s^*(-)$ denote the stable cohomotopy group. Then, the Hurewicz map $h^H: \pi_s^0(X^\alpha; Q)\to H^0(X^\alpha; Q)$ is an isomorphism, and we can put $u=(h^H)^{-1}(U_\alpha^H)\in\pi_s^0(X^\alpha; Q)$. u yields an element $\bar{u}\in\pi_s^0(X^\alpha/S^0; Q/Z)$ which makes the following diagram stably homotopy commutative up to sign:

$$
(2.1)\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S^0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X^\alpha & \xrightarrow{j} & X^\alpha/S^0 & \xrightarrow{\tau} & S^1 \\
\| & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow \bar{u} & & \| \\
S^0 & \xrightarrow{l_Q} & S^0Q & \xrightarrow{\rho_Z} & S^0Q/Z & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & S^1 .
\end{array}
$$

This diagram generalizes the fundamental situation designed by Miller [8], and τ represents a transfer map as in §1. $\bar{u}$ is uniquely determined by the equation $j^*(\bar{u})=\rho_Z(u)$.

We denote by K_Λ the K-spectrum $K_{(p)}$ for an odd prime p or $KO_{(2)}$ for $p=2$, and we assume that α is K_Λ-orientable. Then we have a K_Λ-theory Thom class $U_\alpha^{K_\Lambda}\in K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha)$ of α. Let $ch_\Lambda\colon K_\Lambda^0(-)\to H^*(-;Q)$ be the Chern character, and $h^{K_\Lambda}\colon \pi_s^*(-)\to K_\Lambda^*(-)$ the K_Λ-Hurewicz homomorphism. Then the characteristic class $bh_\Lambda(\alpha)\in 1+\sum_{i>0}H^{di}(X;Q)$ is defined by the equation $ch_\Lambda(U_\alpha^{K_\Lambda})=U_\alpha^H bh_\Lambda(\alpha)$ (cf. [1]), where $d=2$ or 4 according as $K_\Lambda=K_{(p)}$ or $KO_{(2)}$. We notice that $ch_\Lambda\colon K_\Lambda^0(W;Q)\to\sum_{i\geq 0}H^{di}(W;Q)$ is an isomorphism for $W=X_+$ or X^α, since X is assumed to be a finite complex. Then the following is deduced from (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. *For a K_Λ-orientable vector bundle α,*
(1) $h^{K_\Lambda}(u)=U_\alpha^{K_\Lambda}ch_\Lambda^{-1}(bh_\Lambda(-\alpha))$ *in* $K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha;Q)$, *and*
(2) *there is a unique element* $V_\alpha\in K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha/S^0;Q)$ *which satisfies*

$$\rho_Z(V_\alpha)=h^{K_\Lambda}(\bar{u}) \quad \textit{and} \quad j^*(V_\alpha)=h^{K_\Lambda}(u)-(l_Q)_*(U_\alpha^{K_\Lambda}).$$

Proof. Apply ch_Λ on both sides of the equation in (1). Then they both become U_α^H, since $ch_\Lambda h^{K_\Lambda}(u)=h^H(u)$ for the left hand side. Since ch_Λ is an isomorphism over $K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha;Q)$, we have (1). Let $K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha/S^0;G)\xrightarrow{j^*}K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha;G)\xrightarrow{i^*}K_\Lambda^0(S^0;G)$ for $G=Q$ or Q/Z be the exact sequence induced from the cofiber sequence as in (1.1). Then j^* is a monomorphism, since $K_\Lambda^{-1}(S^0;G)=0$. We put $z=h^{K_\Lambda}(u)-(l_Q)_*(U_\alpha^{K_\Lambda})\in K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha;Q)$. Then $i^*(z)=0$, and there is a unique element $V_\alpha\in K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha/S^0;Q)$ with $j^*(V_\alpha)=z$. V_α is the required element of (2), because $j^*(\rho_Z(V_\alpha))=\rho_Z(z)=j^*(h^{K_\Lambda}(\bar{u}))$.

Let $\psi=\psi^\gamma-1\colon K_\Lambda\to K_\Lambda$ be the stable Adams operation for a generator γ of the unit group in Z/p^2, and Ad the fiber spectrum of ψ. We assume that $\gamma=3$ in cases of $p=2$. Thus we have a cofiber sequence

$$(2.3)\qquad Ad^0G\xrightarrow{\kappa}K_\Lambda^0G\xrightarrow{\psi}K_\Lambda^0G$$

for $G=Z_{(p)}$, Q or $Q/Z_{(p)}$. The Ad-theory plays an important role later.

Now, let β be an orientable virtual vector bundle of dimension 0 over a connected finite complex Y, and $1\wedge i\colon X^\alpha/S^0=X^\alpha/S^0\wedge S^0\to X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta$ the inclusion. For the element V_α in Lemma 2.2, we have an extension $\tilde{u}$ as follows:

Proposition 2.4. *Assume that α and β are K_Λ-orientable. Then, there is an element $\tilde{u}\in K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta; Q)$ which satisfies*

(1) $(1\wedge i)^*(\tilde{u})=V_\alpha$, *and*

(2) $\psi(\tilde{u})\in \mathrm{Im}[(l_Q)_*\colon K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta)\to K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta; Q)]$.

Proof. Since $ch_\Lambda\colon K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0; Q)\to\sum_{i>0}H^{di}(X^\alpha/S^0; Q)$ is an isomorphism, we can write $ch_\Lambda(V_\alpha)=\sum_{i>0}a_i$ for some $a_i\in H^{di}(X^\alpha/S^0; Q)$ and put $A_i=(ch_\Lambda)^{-1}(a_i)\in K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0; Q)$. Then $V_\alpha=\sum_{i>0}A_i$, and $\psi^\gamma A_i=\gamma^{id/2}A_i$. Similarly, regarding a Thom class $U^{K_\Lambda}_\beta\in K^0_\Lambda(Y^\beta)$ as an element of $K^0_\Lambda(Y^\beta; Q)$, we have $U^{K_\Lambda}_\beta=\sum_{j\geq 0}B_j$ for some $B_j\in K^0_\Lambda(Y^\beta; Q)$ with $\psi^\gamma B_j=\gamma^{jd/2}B_j$. We put

$$\tilde{u} = V_\alpha\otimes U^{K_\Lambda}_\beta-\sum_{k,l>0}\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,l}A_k\otimes B_l\in K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta; Q)\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,l}=(\gamma^{ld/2}-1)/(\gamma^{(k+l)d/2}-1)$. Then, $\tilde{u}$ satisfies (1), since $i^*(U^{K_\Lambda}_\beta)=1$ and $i^*(B_l)=0$. Using the definitions of A_i and B_j, it follows that

$$\psi(\tilde{u}) = \psi(V_\alpha)\psi^\gamma(U^{K_\Lambda}_\beta)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

By the second equation in Lemma 2.2 (2), we have $j^*(\psi(V_\alpha))=h^{K_\Lambda}(u)-\psi^\gamma((l_Q)_*(U^{K_\Lambda}_\alpha))-j^*(V_\alpha)=-(l_Q)_*(\psi(U^{K_\Lambda}_\alpha))$, where $j\colon X^\alpha\to X^\alpha/S^0$ and $l_Q\colon K^0_\Lambda Z\to K^0_\Lambda Q$. But, there is an element $w\in K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0)$ with $j^*(w)=-\psi(U^{K_\Lambda}_\alpha)$, and thus $j^*(l_Q)_*(w)=j^*(\psi(V_\alpha))$ in $K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha; Q)$. Since $j^*\colon K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha/S^0; Q)\to K^0_\Lambda(X^\alpha; Q)$ is a monomorphism, we have $\psi(V_\alpha)=(l_Q)_*(w)$, and thus $\tilde{u}$ satisfies (2) by (2.6), which completes the proof.

We need to recall the geometric realization [11] of the chromatic filtration as in (1.3). Let $l_i\colon E\to L_iE$ be the Bousfield localization [5] with respect to the $v_i^{-1}BP_*$-homology for a prime p. Then the i-stage of the filtration is realized by a spectrum N_i which is defined inductively, starting with $N_0=S^0$, by the cofiber sequence

$$N_i \xrightarrow{l_i} M_i = L_iN_i \xrightarrow{\rho_i} N_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i+1}} \Sigma N_i\,. \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, $M_0=S(Q)$ and $N_1=S(Q/Z)$. Furthermore, by [5] or [12], it is shown that there is a homotopy equivalence $M_1\simeq Ad^0Q/Z$ through which $l_1\colon N_1\to M_1$ is identified with the Ad-theory Hurewicz homomorphism $h^{Ad}\colon S^0Q/Z\to Ad^0Q/Z$. Here, spectra are assumed to be localized at p, and Ad is the fiber spectrum of the stable Adams operation $\psi=\psi^\gamma-1$ defined on $K_{(p)}$ if p is odd and on $KO_{(2)}$ if $p=2$. Thus, $\rho_1\colon M_1\to N_2$ is identified with $\bar{\rho}\colon Ad^0Q/Z\to\overline{Ad}^0Q/Z$ for $\overline{Ad}=Ad/S^0_{(p)}$, and we have maps $\kappa\colon M_1\to K^0_\Lambda Q/Z$ and $\bar{\kappa}\colon N_2\to\bar{K}^0_\Lambda Q/Z$ induced from $\kappa\colon Ad^0Q/Z\to K^0_\Lambda Q/Z$ as in (2.3). Then Theorem 5.2 in [4] is extended to the following form.

Theorem 2.8. *Assume that α and β are K_Λ-orientable and $K_\Lambda^{-1}(X^\alpha/S^0; Q/Z)=0$. Then, we have elements $u_2\in(M_1)^0(X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta)$ and $\bar{u}_2\in(N_2)^0(X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta/S^0)$ which make the following diagram stably homotopy commutative up to sign:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X^\alpha/S^0 & \xrightarrow{1\wedge i} & X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta & \xrightarrow{1\wedge j} & X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta/S^0 & \xrightarrow{1\wedge\tau} & \Sigma X^\alpha/S^0 \\
{\scriptstyle V_\alpha}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle u_2}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \bar{u}_2}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \bar{u}}\downarrow \\
K_\Lambda^0 Q & & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Sigma N_1 \\
\| & & {\scriptstyle \kappa}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \bar{\kappa}}\downarrow & & \\
K_\Lambda^0 Q & \xrightarrow{\rho_Z} & K_\Lambda^0 Q/Z & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & \tilde{K}_\Lambda^0 Q/Z & &
\end{array}$$

Here, u_2 can be taken to satisfy $\kappa_*(u_2)=\rho_Z(\tilde{u})$ for $\tilde{u}$ of Proposition 2.4.

Proof. We put $W=X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta$. Then by Proposition 2.4 (2), $\psi(\rho_Z(\tilde{u}))=0$ in $K_\Lambda^0(W; Q/Z)$, and thus we have an element $u_2\in(M_1)^0(W)$ satisfying $\kappa_*(u_2)=\rho_Z(\tilde{u})$. By Proposition 2.4 (1) and Lemma 2.2 (2), $\kappa_*(1\wedge i)^*(u_2)=(1\wedge i)^*\rho_Z(\tilde{u})=\rho_Z(V_\alpha)=h^{K_\Lambda}(\bar{u})=\kappa_*(l_l)_*(\bar{u})$, where $l_1\colon N_1\to M_1$ is the map as in (2.7). Since $\kappa_*\colon(M_1)^0(X^\alpha/S^0)\to K_\Lambda^0(X^\alpha/S^0; Q/Z)$ is a monomorphism by the assumption that $K_\Lambda^{-1}(X^\alpha/S^0; Q/Z)=0$, we have

$$(1\wedge i)^*(u_2)=(l_1)_*(\bar{u}) \quad \text{in} \quad (M_1)^0(X^\alpha/S^0)\,.$$

Then, $\bar{u}$ and u_2 produce maps from the upper cofiber sequence in the diagram to the second cofiber sequence $N_1\to M_1\to N_2\to\Sigma N_1$, and thus we have the required elements u_2 and $\bar{u}_2$ which make the diagram commutative up to sign.

We notice that the assumption $K_\Lambda^{-1}(X^\alpha/S^0; Q/Z)=0$ in the theorem is satisfied if $K_\Lambda^0(X)$ is torsion free and $K_\Lambda^{-1}(X)$ is a torsion group. From (2.1) and the commutativity of the upper right square in the diagram of Theorem 2.8, it follows that the double transfer $\tau_2\colon X^\alpha/S^0\wedge Y^\beta/S^0\to S^2$ is factored through the second stage N_2 as $\tau_2\simeq\delta_1\delta_2\bar{u}_2$, and we have Theorem 1.4.

REMARK 2.9. For the canonical complex line bundle ξ over CP^N, $(2m+1)\xi$ is not KO-orientable for any integer m. By the same reason as in [6: Remark 3.2], there is no such factorization as in Theorem 1.4 in case of $p=2$, $X=Y=CP^N$, $\alpha=(2m+1)\xi$ and $\beta=(2n+1)\xi$.

3. Stunted projective spaces

Let C and H be the field of the complex and quaternionic numbers, and put $(F, d)=(C, 2)$ or $(H, 4)$, respectively. We denote the N-th projective space over F by FP^N for $N\geq 0$, and the canonical F-line bundle over FP^N by ξ. Then, for a positive integer k, the Thom space of $k\xi$ is homeomorphic to the stunted projective space $FP_k^{N+k}=FP^{N+k}/FP^{k-1}$ by [2]. Thus, for any integer k, we denote the Thom space of $k\xi$ over FP^N simply by FP_k, since our results are valid for any N and compatible with each N. Then, in the cofiber sequence $S^{dk}\xrightarrow{i}FP_k\xrightarrow{j}FP_{k+1}\xrightarrow{\tau}S^{dk+1}$, τ represents a transfer map for $k\xi$, and we call this τ a S^{d-1}-transfer map. Thus, a double S^{d-1}-transfer map is given by

$$\tau_2=\tau\wedge\tau\colon FP_{m+1}\wedge FP_{n+1}\to S^{d(m+n)+2}\,. \tag{3.1}$$

In this section, we are concerned with this τ_2.

In Theorem 2.8, $K_\Lambda=K_{(p)}$ or $KO_{(2)}$ according as the spectra are assumed to be localized at an odd prime p or 2. Hereafter, we assume that p is odd whenever we discuss S^1-transfer maps, and that $p=2$ for S^3-transfer maps. Thus, $(K_\Lambda, FP^N)=(K_{(p)}, CP^N)$ or $(KO_{(2)}, HP^N)$ according as p is an odd prime or $p=2$. Then $k\xi$ over FP^N is always K_Λ-orientable for any integer k. In the below, we denote the coefficient group $\pi_i(K_\Lambda)$ by $(K_\Lambda)_i$, and the Bott generators by $t\in K_2$ and $g_i\in KO_{4i}$ respectively.

In order to express a formula for u_2 of Theorem 2.8 with respect to τ_2 in (3.1), the K_Λ-Bernoulli numbers are necessary. Let e^T be the formal power expansion of the exponential function on T, and $\sinh(T)$ that of the hyperbolic sin function on T. We put $(2\sinh(\sqrt{T}/2))^2=\sum_{j\geq 0}s_jT^{j+1}$, where all s_j are rational numbers and $s_0=1$. Using these notations, we define the following:

DEFINITION 3.2. (1) $\mathrm{Exp}^{K_\Lambda}(-)$ and $\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(-)$:

$$\mathrm{Exp}^K(T)=t^{-1}(1-e^{-tT})\in(K_*\otimes Q)[[T]],$$
$$\mathrm{Exp}^{KO}(T)=\sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j s_j(g_j/a(j))T^{j+1}\in(KO_*\otimes Q)[[T]],$$
$$\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(T)=(\mathrm{Exp}^{K_\Lambda})^{-1}(T)\in((K_\Lambda)_*\otimes Q)[[T]],$$

where $a(j)=1$ (resp. 2) if j is even (resp. odd).

(2) The K_Λ-Bernoulli numbers $\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(m,k)\in(K_\Lambda)_{dk}\otimes Q$:

$$\left(\frac{T}{\mathrm{Exp}^{K_\Lambda}(T)}\right)^m=\sum_{k\geq 0}\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(m,k)T^k\,.$$

Let $X^K=t^{-1}[1-\xi]\in K^2(CP^N)$ and $X^{KO}=[1-\xi]\in KO^4(HP^N)$ be the K_Λ-theory Euler classes of ξ, and $x\in H^d(FP^N;Z)$ the Euler class which satisfies $ch_\Lambda(\xi)=e^x$ or $e^{\sqrt{x}}+e^{-\sqrt{x}}$ for CP^N or HP^N respectively. Then, for $(E,x^E)=(K_\Lambda, X^{K_\Lambda})$ or (H,x), we have an isomorphism $E^*(FP^N)\cong E_*[[x^E]]/((x^E)^{N+1})$, and $E^*(FP_k)$ is a free $E^*(FP^N)$ module with a Thom class $U^E_{k\xi}$ as a generator. As in [8], we can put $U^E_{k\xi}=(x^E)^k$ and $(x^E)^i(x^E)^j=(x^E)^{i+j}$ for $i\geq k$ and $j\geq 0$.

Let $f_\Lambda(x)=1-e^x$ or $-(2\sinh\sqrt{x}/2)^2$ in $H^*(FP^N;Q)$ according as $FP^N=CP^N$ or HP^N. Then, we have the following:

Lemma 3.3. *$ch_\Lambda(X^{K_\Lambda})=f_\Lambda(x)$ and $ch_\Lambda(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))=-x$.*

Proof. Since $ch_\Lambda\xi=d/2-f_\Lambda(x)$, the first equation is clear. Let $\log(T)$ be the power series exapansion of the logarithm function on T, and put $(2\sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{T}/2))^2=\sum_{j\geq 0} r_j T^{j+1}$. Then, $\mathrm{Log}^K(T)=-t^{-1}\log(1-tT)$ and $\mathrm{Log}^{KO}(T)=\sum_{j\geq 0}(-1)^j r_j (g_j/a(j))T^{j+1}$. Since ch_Λ is a ring homomorphism, we have the second required equation.

Let $u\in\pi_s^{dm}(FP_m; Q)$ and $V_{m\xi}\in K_\Lambda^{dm}(FP_{m+1}; Q)$ be the elements as in (2.1) and Lemma 2.2 respectively. Then, the following is a corollary of Lemmas 2.2 and 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *For any integer m,*

$$h^{K_\Lambda}(u)=(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^m \quad and \quad j^*(V_{m\xi})=(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^m-(X^{K_\Lambda})^m,$$

where $j^*: K_\Lambda^{dm}(FP_{m+1}; Q)\to K_\Lambda^{dm}(FP_m; Q)$ is a monomorphism.

Proof. As above, $U_{m\xi}^{K_\Lambda}$ is taken to be $(X^{K_\Lambda})^m$. In order to satisfy $ch_\Lambda(U_\xi^{K_\Lambda})=U_\xi^H bh_\Lambda(\xi)$ and $bh_\Lambda(\xi)\in 1+\sum_{i>0}H^{di}(FP^N; Q)$, we must take $U_\xi^H=-x$ instead of x, because $ch_\Lambda(X^{K_\Lambda})=f_\Lambda(x)=(-x)(f_\Lambda(x)/(-x))$ by Lemma 3.3. Hence, $U_{m\xi}^H=(-x)^m$ and $bh_\Lambda(m\xi)=(-f_\Lambda(x)/x)^m$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$ch_\Lambda^{-1}(bh_\Lambda(-m\xi))=\left(\frac{\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda})}{X^{K_\Lambda}}\right)^m.$$

Thus we have the first required equation by Lemma 2.2(1), and the second required equation by the first equation and Lemma 2.2 (2).

Now, we can show a formula for an element $u_2\in(M_1)^{d(m+n)}(FP_{m+1}\wedge FP_n)$ as in Theorem 2.8. For a while, we put $FP(k, l)=FP_k\wedge FP_l$ for brevity. Since $K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)-1}(FP(m+1, n); Q/Z)=0$ and $K_\Lambda^{dn-1}(FP_n; Q/Z)=0$, both $\kappa_*: (M_1)^{d(m+n)}(FP(m+1, n); Q/Z)\to K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)}(FP(m+1, n); Q/Z)$ and $(j\wedge 1)^*: K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)}(FP(m+1, n); Q/Z)\to K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)}(FP(m, n); Q/Z)$ are monomorphisms. Hence we shall describe a formula for $\kappa_*(u_2)\in K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)}(FP(m+1, n); Q/Z)$, regarding it as an element of $K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)}(FP(m, n); Q/Z)$ through $(j\wedge 1)^*$. We shall represent $K_\Lambda^*(FP(m, n); Q/Z)$ as $R\{(X^{K_\Lambda})^m\}\otimes R\{(Y^{K_\Lambda})^n\}$ for $R=K_\Lambda^*(FP^N; Q/Z)$, using Y^{K_Λ} to denote the K_Λ-theory Euler class of ξ for the second factor. Let γ be a generator of the unit group in Z/p^2, which is used in the definition of Ad before (2.3). Then we have the following formula.

Theorem 3.5. *In $K_\Lambda^{d(m+n)}(FP_{m+1}\wedge FP_n; Q/Z)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_*(u_2)&=((\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^m-(X^{K_\Lambda})^m)\otimes(Y^{K_\Lambda})^n\\&\quad+\sum_{k,l>0}\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,l}\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m, k)\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-n, l)\,(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^{m+k}\otimes(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(Y^{K_\Lambda}))^{n+l},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{k,l}=(\gamma^{dl/2}-1)/(\gamma^{d(k+l)/2}-1)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.8, we take u_2 to satisfy $\kappa_*(u_2)=\rho_Z(\tilde{u})$ for $\tilde{u}$ given by (2.5). Since $(j\wedge 1)^*(V_{m\xi}\otimes U^{K}_{n\xi}{}_{\Lambda})=((\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^m-(X^{K_\Lambda})^m)\otimes(Y^{K_\Lambda})^n$ by Corollary 3.4, all we need is formulas for A_k and B_l in (2.5). By Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.4, we have

$$ch_\Lambda(j^*(V_{m\xi}))=ch_\Lambda(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^m-ch_\Lambda(X^{K_\Lambda})^m=-\sum_{i>0}[f_\Lambda(x)^m]_{m+i},$$

where $[f_\Lambda(x)^m]_j$ denotes the dj-dimensional part of $f_\Lambda(x)^m$. On the other hand, from Definition 3.2 ,it follows that

$$\left(\frac{X^{K_\Lambda}}{\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda})}\right)^m=\sum_{i\geq 0}\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m,i)(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^i.$$

Applying ch_Λ on both sides of this equation and using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$f_\Lambda(x)^m=(-x)^m+\sum_{k>0}ch_\Lambda(\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m,k))(-x)^{m+k}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$A_k=ch_\Lambda^{-1}(-[f_\Lambda(x)^m]_{m+k})=-\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m,k)(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^{m+k}.$$

Similarly, $B_l=\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-n,l)(\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(Y^{K_\Lambda}))^{n+l}$. Thus, by (2.5), we have the required formula.

We have not got any explicit formula for $\bar{\kappa}_*(\bar{u}_2)\in\tilde{K}^{d(m+n)}_\Lambda(FP_{m+1}\wedge FP_{n+1};Q/Z)$. However, Theorem 2.8 shows

$$(1\wedge j)^*\bar{\kappa}_*(\bar{u}_2)=\bar{\rho}_*\kappa_*(u_2), \tag{3.7}$$

and thus the formula for $\kappa_*(u_2)$ in Theorem 3.5 describes $\bar{\kappa}_*(\bar{u}_2)$ with indeterminacy $\mathrm{Ker}(1\wedge j)^*=(1\wedge\tau)^*(\tilde{K}^{d(m+n)-1}_\Lambda(FP_{m+1};Q/Z))$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\rho}_*)=h^{K_\Lambda}(\pi_s^{d(m+n)}(FP_{m+1}\wedge FP_n;Q/Z))$.

Let MG be the Thom spectrum MU or MSp for the complex or symplectic cobordism theory, respectively. We only consider these spectra in the case that $(MG,K_\Lambda,FP_k)=(MU,K_{(p)},CP_k)$ or $(MSp,KO_{(2)},HP_k)$ according as p is an odd prime or 2. Let $p_{k,l}$ be a generator of the primitive part $PMG_{dk}(FP_l)\cong Z$ for $k\geq l$. The rest of this section is devoted to obtain a formula for $\kappa_*(u_2)_*(p_{i,j}\otimes p_{k,l})$ using Theorem 3.5. Then it gives a formula for $\bar{\kappa}_*(\bar{u}_2)_*(p_{i,j}\otimes p_{k,l})$ by (3.7).

Let $\beta_i\in H_{di}(FP^\infty;Z)$ be the dual of x^i, and $b_i^{MG}\in H_{di}(MG)$ be the image of β_{i+1} under the canonical homomorphism $H_{d(i+1)}(FP^\infty;Z)\rightarrow H_{di}(MG;Z)$, for $i\geq 0$. We define a ring spectrum E to be F-oriented if there is an element $x^E\in E^d(FP^\infty)$ with $E^*(S^d)\cong E_*\{i^*(x^E)\}$, where $F=C$ or H and $i\colon S^d\rightarrow FP^\infty$ is the inclusion map. Then, as is well known, there is a map $\Phi^E\colon MG\rightarrow E$ associated with x^E such that $\iota^*(\Phi^E)$ is a unit of $\pi_0(E)$ for the unit $\iota\colon S^0\rightarrow MG$. Then we have an element $b_i^E=\Phi^E_*(b_i^{MG})\in H_{di}(E;Z)$, and also an element $\beta_i^E\in E_{di}(FP^\infty)$ which is the dual of $(x^E)^i$. For an F-oriented spectrum E, the E-theory Bernoulli numbers as in [8] are defined as follows:

DEFINITION 3.8.
(1) $\mathrm{Exp}^E(T)=\sum_{i\geq 0} b_i^E T^{i+1}\in(H\wedge E)_*[[T]]$ and $\mathrm{Log}^E(T)=(\mathrm{Exp}^E)^{-1}(T)$.
(2) The E-theory Bernoulli number $\tilde{B}^E(m,k)\in(E_{dk}\otimes Q)[[T]]$;

$$\left(\frac{T}{\mathrm{Exp}^E(T)}\right)^m=\sum_{i\geq 0}\tilde{B}^E(m,k)T^k .$$

In case of a C-oriented E, Exp^E is the exponential sequence related to the formal group law over E_* induced from Φ^E. Definition 3.2 coincides with this definition if $(E,x^E)=(K_\Lambda,X^{K_\Lambda})$. For later use, we put

$$(3.9)\quad b^E=\sum_{i\geq 0} b_i^E\in H_*(E;Z)\quad\text{and}\quad \hat{\beta}_k^E(T)=\sum_{i\geq k}\beta_i^E T^i\in E_*(FP_k)[[T]] .$$

As for a generator $p_{n,0}$, of the primitive part $PMG_{dn}(FP_0)$, an explicit formula is given for MU by Segal [13] and for MSp by Baker [3]. They have described a generator $p_{n,0}^H\in PH_{dn}(FP^\infty;Z)\subset P(H\wedge MG)_{dn}(FP^\infty)$, and their methods are immediately applicable to stunted projective spaces. Let $c(k,l)$ be the positive minimal integer c which makes $c\cdot[b^{MG}]_{k-i}^i$ an element of $h^H(MG_{d(k-i)})$ in $H_{d(k-i)}(MG;Q)$ for any i with $l\leq i\leq k$. Here $[b^{MG}]_{k-i}^i$ is the $d(k-i)$-dimensional part of $(b^{MG})^i$. Then, using the methods in [13] and [3], we have the following:

Lemma 3.10. *Let $k\geq l$.*
(1) $p_{k,l}=c(k,l)\sum_{i=l}^k[b^{MG}]_{k-i}^i\beta_i^{MG}$ *is a generator of* $PMG_{dk}(FP_l)\cong Z$.
(2) *When* $(MG,FP_l)=(MU,CP_l)$, $c(k,l)$ *is equal to the K-codegree* $cd^K(k,l)$ *which is cited below.*

REMARK 3.11. The K_Λ-codegree $cd^{K_\Lambda}(k,l)$ is defined as the minimal positive integer c such that the $d(k-j)$-dimensional part of $c\cdot bh_\Lambda(j\xi)$ is in $H^{k-j}(FP_0;Z)$ for $l\leq j\leq k$, that is, $c\cdot bh_\Lambda(j\xi)$ is integral. Thus K_Λ-codegrees are computable. If the mod torsion Hattori-Stong conjecture for MSp (cf. [10], [9]) holds, then we also have $c(k,l)=cd^{KO}(k,l)$ in the case of $(MG,FP_l)=(MSp,HP_l)$. This can be seen by the method in [3]. In general, $cd^{KO}(k,l)$ is a factor of $c(k,l)$.

Put $p_{i,j}^E=(\Phi^E)_*(p_{i,j})\in PE_{di}(FP_j)$ for a F-oriented spectrum E. Then, by Definition 3.8 (1), (3.9) and Lemma 3.10 (1), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12. *Let E be F-oriented. Then*

$$\hat{\beta}_k^E(\mathrm{Exp}^E(T))=\sum_{i\geq k}\frac{p_{i,k}^E}{c(i,k)}T^i .$$

We obtain the following formula, using the technique due to Miller[8] and Hilditch[6].

Theorem 3.13. *Let $k, l \geq 1$. Then, as an element of $(K_\Lambda)_{d(k+l)}(E; Q/Z)$,*

$$\kappa_*(u_2)_*(p^E_{m+k,m+1} \otimes p^E_{n+l,n+1}) = c(m+k, m+1)c(n+l, n+1)\cdot (\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m, k)\tilde{B}^E(-n, l) - \Gamma_{k,l}\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m, k)\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-n, l))$$

for $\Gamma_{k,l} = \gamma^{dl/2}(\gamma^{dk/2}-1)/(\gamma^{d(k+l)/2}-1)$.

Proof. Let $g(X^{K_\Lambda}) = \sum_{i \geq n} a_i (X^{K_\Lambda})^i$ be an element of $K^*_\Lambda(FP_n; Q)$, and put $b(T) = \mathrm{Exp}^{K_\Lambda}(\mathrm{Log}^E(T))$. Then, by [8] or [6], it is shown that

$$g(X^{K_\Lambda})_*(\hat{\beta}^E_n(T)) = g(b(T)) \in ((K_\Lambda \wedge E)_* \otimes Q)[[T]]\,. \tag{3.14}$$

Hence, it follows that $((X^{K_\Lambda})^j)_*(\hat{\beta}^E_l(T)) = b(T)^j$ (resp. 0) if $j \geq l$ (resp. $j < l$), and $((\mathrm{Log}^{K_\Lambda}(X^{K_\Lambda}))^m - (X^{K_\Lambda})^m)_*(\hat{\beta}^E_{m+1}(T)) = (\mathrm{Log}^E(T))^m - b(T)^m$. Also, by Proposition 2.4 (1), Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 3.4, $\kappa_*(u_2)_*(\hat{\beta}^E_{m+1}(T) \otimes S^n) = ((\mathrm{Log}^E(T))^m - (b(T))^m) \otimes S^n$. Thus, we have

$$\kappa_*(u_2)_*(\hat{\beta}^E_{m+1}(\mathrm{Exp}^E(T)) \otimes \hat{\beta}^E_{n+1}(\mathrm{Exp}^E(S))$$
$$= \sum_{k,l>0} (\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m, k)\tilde{B}^E(-n, l) - \Gamma_{k,l}\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-m, k)\tilde{B}^{K_\Lambda}(-n, l))T^{m+k}S^{n+l}\,,$$

and the required equation by Corollary 3.12.

By (3.7), we have

$$\bar{\kappa}_*(\bar{u}_2)_*(p^E_{m+k,m+1} \otimes p^E_{n+l,n+1}) = \bar{\rho}_*\kappa_*(u_2)_*(p^E_{m+k,m+1} \otimes p^E_{n+l,n+1})\,, \tag{3.15}$$

and Theorem 3.13 gives a formula for $\bar{\kappa}_*(\bar{u}_2)_*(p^E_{m+k,m+1} \otimes p^E_{n+l,n+1})$ with indeterminacy $\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\rho}_*) = h^{K_\Lambda}(\pi_{d(k+l)}(E; Q/Z))$.

References

[1] J.F. Adams: *On the groups J(X)-II,* Topology **3** (1965), 137–171.

[2] M.F. Atiyah: *Thom complexes,* Proc. London Math. Soc. **11** (1961), 291–310.

[3] A. Baker: *The Adams-Novikov spectral sequences for projective spaces,* preprint, 1981.

[4] A. Baker, D. Carlisle, B. Gray, S. Hilditch, N. Ray and R. Wood: *On the iterated complex transfer,* Math. Z. **199** (1988), 191–207.

[5] A.K. Bousfield: *The localization of spectra with respect to homology,* Topology **18** (1979), 257–281.

[6] A.S. Hilditch: *On calculating the compelx double transfer in homotopy,* Ph. D. thesis, Manchester University, 1986.

[7] K. Knapp: *Some applications of K-theory to framed bordism: e-invariant and transfer,* Habilitationsschrift, Bonn, 1979.

[8] H.R. Miller: *Universal Bernoulli numbers and the S^1-transfer,* Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. **2** (1982), 437–439.

[9] R. Okita: *On the MSp Hattori-Stong problem,* Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976), 547–

566.
[10] N. Ray: *Some results in generalized homology, K-theory and bordism*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **71** (1972), 283–300.
[11] D.C. Ravenel: *A geometric realization of the chromatic resolution*, Proc. J. C. Moore Conf., Princeton (1983), 168–179.
[12] D.C. Ravenel: *Localization gith respect to certain periodic homology theories*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 351–414.
[13] D.M. Segal: *The cooperations of* $MU_*(CP^\infty)$ *and* $MU_*(HP^\infty)$ *and the primitive generators*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. **14** (1979), 315–322.

Department of Mathematics
Wakayama University
930 Sakaedani, Wakayama 640,
Japan