

ON FINITELY PSEUDO-FROBENIUS RINGS

HIROSHI YOSHIMURA

(Received April 19, 1990)

In this paper we are concerned with FPF rings and GFC rings. In section 2 we provide some results about these rings; we show that every right GFC ring is essentially bounded (Proposition 4) and give a characterization of right FPF rings (Theorem 11). Finally, we present examples to illustrate Theorem 11.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper R will always denote an associative ring with identity and all R -modules will be unital.

If every finitely generated faithful right R -module is a generator of the category $\text{mod-}R$ of right R -modules then R is said to be *right finitely pseudo-Frobenius (right FPF)*. Following [2], R is said to be *generated by faithful cyclic (right GFC)* if every faithful cyclic right R -module is a generator of $\text{mod-}R$. Right FPF rings are obviously right GFC and the class of right FPF rings includes right PF rings and Dedekind domains.

Let M be a right R -module, X (resp. S) a subset of M (resp. R), A a right ideal of R and n a positive integer. Then we denote by $r_R(X)$ (resp. $l_R(S)$) the right (resp. left) annihilator of M (resp. S) in R , by $Tr_R(M)$ the trace ideal of M , i.e., $Tr_R(M) = \sum \{\text{Im}(f) \mid f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)\}$ and by $Z_r(M)$ the singular submodule of M , i.e., $Z_r(M) = \{x \in M \mid r_R(x) \text{ is essential in } R_R\}$. Further we denote by $M^{(n)}$ the direct sum of n copies of M . By ideals we will mean two-sided ideals of R .

Let τ be a hereditary torsion theory for $\text{mod-}R$. Then we denote by $L(\tau)$ the Gabriel topology corresponding to τ and by $\tau(M)$ the τ -torsion submodule of M . Set $B/A = \tau(R/A)$. If A is an ideal of R then we see that B becomes an ideal; hence in particular, $\tau(R)$ is an ideal of R . A submodule N of M is τ -closed in M if M/N is τ -torsionfree. We let G denote the Goldie torsion theory for $\text{mod-}R$. We then note that M is G -torsionfree if and only if $Z_r(M) = 0$, i.e., M is right non-singular.

We refer to [8] for all the torsion-theoretic notions used in this paper.

The following easy result will be used repeatedly without reference throughout the sequel.

Lemma. For a right ideal A of R , $Tr_R(R/A) = l_R(A)R$.

2. FPF (GFC) rings

A submodule N of a right R -module M is *essentially closed* in M if it has no proper essential extensions inside M , or equivalently there exists a submodule L of M such that N is maximal with respect to $N \cap L = 0$. We note that every G -closed submodule of M is essentially closed in it. Further, it is easy to show that if $L \leq N \leq M$ are right R -modules such that L is essentially closed in M and N is essential in M then N/L is essential in M/L .

Now, the following result is easy.

Lemma 1. An ideal I of R is G -closed in R_R if and only if it is essentially closed in R_R and R/I is right non-singular over R/I .

Lemma 2. Let I be an ideal of R and A a right ideal of R such that $I+A$ is essential in R . If R/A is a generator of $\text{mod-}R$ then I is essential in R_R .

Proof. Assume that R/A is a generator of $\text{mod-}R$, that is, $l_R(A)R = R$. Then there exists a finite number of elements $a_i \in l_R(A)$ and $b_i \in R$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) such that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$. Setting $B = \{x \in R \mid b_i x \in I + A \text{ for all } i=1, \dots, n\}$, we see from the essentiality of $I+A$ that B is an essential right ideal of R . It then follows that I is essential in R_R , because $B \leq I$.

The following result shows that if R is right GFC then $Z_r(R)$ contains all nilpotent one-sided ideals of R .

Proposition 3. Assume that R is right GFC, and let A be a nilpotent right ideal of R . Then $r_R(A)$ is essential in R_R .

Proof. Let n be the nilpotent index of A . The assertion is clear for $n=1$.

Now let $n > 1$ and assume that the assertion is true for every nilpotent right ideal of R with nilpotent index $n' < n$. Choose a right ideal B of R maximal with respect to $B \leq r_R(A^2)$ and $B \cap r_R(A) = 0$. Then $B \oplus r_R(A)$ is essential in $r_R(A^2)$. Since A^2 has nilpotent index $\leq n-1$, the induction hypothesis assures that $r_R(A^2)$ is essential in R_R . Thus $B \oplus r_R(A)$ is essential in R . On the other hand, we have $Ar_R(R/B) \leq B \cap r_R(A) = 0$; hence $r_R(R/B) \leq B \cap r_R(A) = 0$. Since R is right GFC, R/B is a generator of $\text{mod-}R$. It now follows from Lemma 2 that $r_R(A)$ is essential in R_R .

If every essential right ideal of R contains an ideal essential in R as a right ideal then R is said to be *right essentially bounded*. By [3, Proposition 1.3B], every essential right ideal of a right FPF ring contains a non-zero ideal. On the other hand, by [4, Corollary 2.2.], a left Noetherian, right FPF and right order

in a QF ring is right essentially bounded. However, we see that every right GFC ring is right essentially bounded. To show this, let A be an essential right ideal of a right GFC ring R , and choose a right ideal B of R maximal with respect to $B \leq A$ and $r_R(R/A) \cap B = 0$. We then see that $r_R(R/A) \oplus B$ is essential in R , and further that R/B is faithful; hence it is a generator of $\text{mod-}R$. Now Lemma 2 shows that $r_R(R/A)$ is essential in R_R , as desired. Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 4. *Every right GFC ring is right essentially bounded.*

From the above two Propositions, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5. *Assume that R is right GFC. Then an ideal I of R is G -closed in R_R if and only if it is a semiprime ideal which is essentially closed in R_R .*

Proof. Assume that I is G -closed in R_R . To show that I is a semiprime ideal of R , let J be an ideal of R such that $I \leq J$ and $J^2 \leq I$. Choose a right ideal A of R such that $A \leq J$ and $A \cap I = 0$. Since R/I is a non-singular right R -module, so is A . On the other hand, $A^2 \leq A \cap J^2 \leq A \cap I = 0$; hence Proposition 3 implies $A \leq Z_r(R)$. Thus we have $A = 0$, which shows that I is essential in J_R . Since I is essentially closed in R_R by Lemma 1, we must have $I = J$. Therefore, I is indeed a semiprime ideal of R .

Conversely, assume that I is a semiprime ideal which is essentially closed in R_R , and set $\bar{R} = R/I$. According to Lemma 1, it suffices to show that $\bar{R}_{\bar{R}}$ is non-singular. Let $x + I \in Z_r(\bar{R})$, and set $A = \{a \in R \mid xa \in I\}$. Then A is an essential right ideal of R , and $r_{\bar{R}}(x + I) = A/I$. By Proposition 4, A contains an ideal H essential in R_R . Set $\bar{H} = (H + I)/I$. Since I is essentially closed in R_R , the essentiality of H implies that \bar{H} is essential in $\bar{R}_{\bar{R}}$. Now, $(l_{\bar{R}}(\bar{H}) \cap \bar{H})^2 \leq l_{\bar{R}}(\bar{H}) \bar{H} = 0$; hence we see that $l_{\bar{R}}(\bar{H}) = 0$, because \bar{R} is a semiprime ring. Thus we have $x + I \in l_{\bar{R}}(\bar{H}) = 0$, from which we conclude that $\bar{R}_{\bar{R}}$ is non-singular.

Immediately, Corollary 5 implies the following result which is a generalization of [2, Proposition 2.5] and [3, Theorem 3.3].

Corollary 6. *A right GFC ring is right non-singular if and only if it is a semiprime ring.*

By [8, Proposition VI, 6.2], we have $G(R) = \{x \in R \mid x + Z_r(R) \in Z_r(R/Z_r(R))\}$. Thus [3, Theorem 5.1] shows that if R is right FPF then $G(R)$ is a direct summand of R as a right ideal and $R/G(R)$ is a non-singular right FPF ring. More generally we have the following result.

Proposition 7. *Assume that R is right FPF, and let I be an ideal which is G -closed in R_R . Then*

- (1) *I is a direct summand of R_R .*

(2) R/I is a right and left non-singular right FPF ring.

Proof. (1) Choose a right ideal A of R maximal with respect to $A \cap I = 0$. Then $R/A \oplus R/I$ is finitely generated faithful; hence by assumption, $R = Tr_R(R/A \oplus R/I) = Tr_R(R/A) + Tr_R(R/I) = l_R(A)R + l_R(I)$. Set $\bar{R} = R/I$ and $\bar{A} = (A \oplus I)/I$. Then, observing that I is essentially closed in R_R by Lemma 1 and that $A \oplus I$ is essential in R , we see that \bar{A} is an essential right ideal of \bar{R} . Since $\bar{A} \leq r_{\bar{R}}(x + I)$ for every $x \in l_R(A)$, it follows from the essentiality of \bar{A} and Lemma 1 that $l_R(A) \leq I$. Thus we obtain $R = I + l_R(I)$. Writing $1 = a + b$ where $a \in I$ and $b \in l_R(I)$, we see that a is an idempotent of R and $I = aR$. Consequently, I is a direct summand of R_R .

(2) Let M be a finitely generated faithful right \bar{R} -module and set $X = I \oplus M$. Since $r_R(X) = r_R(I) \cap r_R(M) = r_R(I) \cap I$, we see from (1) that $r_R(X) = 0$; hence X is a finitely generated faithful right R -module. Thus by assumption, in particular, X generates R/I , while (1) says $\text{Hom}_R(I_R, (R/I)_R) = 0$. It then follows that M generates R/I as a right R -module and so does as a right (R/I) -module. Therefore we conclude that R/I is a right FPF ring. Moreover, Lemma 1 and [3, Theorem 3.6] imply that R/I is a right and left non-singular ring.

As consequences of Proposition 7, we obtain the following results.

Corollary 8. *If R is right FPF then every G -closed right ideal of R is a right annihilator ideal of R .*

Proof. Given any G -closed right ideal A of R , choose a right ideal C of R maximal with respect to $C \leq r_R l_R(A)$ and $A \cap C = 0$. If $C = 0$ then we see from the G -closedness of A that $A = r_R l_R(A)$, which completes the proof. Thus it is enough to show that $C = 0$.

Choose a right ideal B of R maximal with respect to $A \leq B$ and $B \cap C = 0$. Since C is non-singular and R/B is an essential extension of C , we see that B is G -closed in R ; hence $G(R) \leq B$. On the other hand, observing that $B \oplus C$ is essential in R and that it is contained in $r_R l_R(B)$, we see that $r_R l_R(B)$ is essential in R ; hence $l_R(B) \leq G(R)$. Thus we have $l_R(B) \leq r_R(R/B)$, which implies $Tr_R(R/B) \leq r_R(R/B)$. Since B is G -closed in R_R and hence so is $r_R(R/B)$, Proposition 7 shows that R is a direct sum of $r_R(R/B)$ and a right ideal of R generated by R/B ; hence in particular, we have $R = r_R(R/B) + Tr_R(R/B)$. It then follows $R = r_R(R/B)$, that is, $B = R$, from which C must be zero, as desired.

Corollary 9. *Assume that R is right FPF. If M is a finitely generated non-singular right R -module with finite Goldie dimension then $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a two-sided order in a semisimple ring.*

Proof. Since $r_R(M)$ is G -closed in R_R and M is non-singular as a right

$R/r_R(M)$ -module, without loss of generality we may assume by Proposition 7 that M is faithful and R is non-singular. It then follows that R is isomorphic to a direct summand of a finite direct sum of copies of M ; hence R_R has finite Goldie dimension, because M has finite Goldie dimension. Now, we see from Corollary 6 and [3, Corollary 3.16C] that R is a semiprime right and left Goldie ring. Therefore, [6, Theorems 2.2.15 and 2.2.17] show that $End_R(M)$ is a two-sided order in a semisimple ring.

Let τ be a hereditary torsion theory for $\text{mod-}R$. Then τ is *stable* if the τ -torsion class is closed under injective envelopes, and $L(\tau)$ is *bounded* if it contains a cofinal subset consisting of ideals of R . We note from [8, Proposition VI, 7.3] that G is stable, and from [8, Chapter VI, Section 6.3] that if R is right non-singular then $L(G)$ consists of all the essential right ideals of R ; hence R is right essentially bounded if and only if $L(G)$ is bounded.

To provide a characterization of right FPF rings, we need the following result.

Lemma 10. *Let τ be a stable hereditary torsion theory for $\text{mod-}R$ such that $L(\tau)$ is bounded. For a finitely generated right R -module M , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $r_R(M) \leq \tau(R)$.
- (2) $r_R(M/\tau(M)) = \tau(R)$.

Proof. First we shall show $r_R(\tau(M)) \in L(\tau)$. To this end, choose a submodule N of M maximal with respect to $\tau(M) \cap N = 0$. Observing that τ is stable and that M/N is an essential extension of $\tau(M)$, we see that M/N is τ -torsion. Since M is finitely generated, $M/N = x_1R + \dots + x_nR$ for a finite number of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M/N$. Further, since M/N is τ -torsion and $L(\tau)$ is bounded, there exist ideals $I_i \in L(\tau)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $I_i \leq r_R(x_i)$ for each i . We then see that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i \in L(\tau)$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i \leq r_R(M/N) \leq r_R(\tau(M))$, from which we conclude $r_R(\tau(M)) \in L(\tau)$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $L_\tau(R) = 0$ for every τ -torsionfree right R -module L , we always have $\tau(R) \leq r_R(M/\tau(M))$. Conversely, according to (1), we have $r_R(M/\tau(M)) r_R(\tau(M)) \leq r_R(M) \leq \tau(R)$. Now, noting that $R/\tau(R)$ is τ -torsionfree and that $r_R(\tau(M)) \in L(\tau)$ as is seen above, we see $r_R(M/\tau(M)) \leq \tau(R)$. Thus we obtain $r_R(M/\tau(M)) = \tau(R)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear.

In [7] Kobayashi has provided a characterization of non-singular right FPF rings. Now we state a characterization of right FPF rings, a part of which is an extension of [7, Theorem 1].

Theorem 11. *The following conditions on R are equivalent:*

- (1) R is right FPF.
- (2) (i) For every finitely generated non-singular right R -module M , R is a direct sum of $r_R(M)$ and a right ideal generated by M .
 - (ii) $L(G)$ is bounded.
 - (iii) Every finitely generated faithful right R -module generates $G(R)$.
- (3) (i) For every finitely generated right ideal A of R such that $r_R(A)$ is G -closed in R_R , R is a direct sum of $r_R(A)$ and a right ideal generated by A .
 - (ii) $L(G)$ is bounded.
 - (iii) For every finitely generated faithful right R -module M such that $G(M)$ is a direct summand of M , $G(M)$ generates $G(R)$.
 - (iv) Every finitely generated non-singular right R -module can be embedded into a free right R -module.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). (2) (i) follows from Proposition 7, and (2) (iii) is clear.

To show (2) (ii), let $A \in L(G)$ and set $I = r_R(R/A)$, $G(R/I) = J/I$ and $M = (R/A) \oplus J$. Then J is an ideal which is G -closed in R_R . It follows from Proposition 7 that $J = eR$ for some idempotent e of R and $r_R(M) = I \cap r_R(J) \leq eR \cap (1-e)R = 0$; hence M is finitely generated faithful. According to (1), M is a generator of $\text{mod-}R$, in particular, M generates $(1-e)R$. However, $\text{Hom}_R(M, (1-e)R) = \text{Hom}_R(R/A, (1-e)R) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(J, (1-e)R) = 0 \oplus 0 = 0$, from which we see $e = 1$. Thus $G(R/I) = R/I$, that is, $r_R(R/A) = I \in L(G)$. Therefore, $L(G)$ is bounded.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). First we shall assume (2) and show the following

Claim 1. For every ideal I which is G -closed in R_R , R/I is a right FPF ring.

Set $\bar{R} = R/I$ and let \bar{G} denote the Goldie torsion theory for $\text{mod-}\bar{R}$. Since \bar{R} is a right non-singular ring by Lemma 1, $L(\bar{G})$ consists of all the essential right ideals of \bar{R} . First we show that $L(\bar{G})$ is bounded. Let $\bar{A} = A/I \in L(\bar{G})$. Then A is essential in R ; hence $A \in L(G)$. According to (2) (ii), there exists an ideal J of R such that $J \leq A$ and $J \in L(G)$. If $\bar{B} = B/I$ is a right ideal of \bar{R} such that $\bar{J} \cap \bar{B} = 0$ where $\bar{J} = (J+I)/I$, then $\bar{B} \cdot \bar{J} = \bar{B} \cap \bar{J} = 0$, that is, $B \cdot J \leq I$, from which we have $B \leq I$, because $(R/I)_R$ is non-singular. Thus \bar{J} is essential in $\bar{R}_{\bar{R}}$, which shows that $L(\bar{G})$ is bounded. Now, we turn to the proof of Claim 1. Let M be a finitely generated faithful right \bar{R} -module. We must show that M is a generator of $\text{mod-}\bar{R}$. Since $M/\bar{G}(M)$ is a faithful right \bar{R} -module by Lemma 10 and M obviously generates $M/\bar{G}(M)$, we may assume that $M_{\bar{R}}$ is non-singular; hence it is non-singular as an R -module, also. According to (2) (i), M generates $R/r_R(M) = \bar{R}_{\bar{R}}$, from which we conclude that \bar{R} is right FPF. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

(3) (i) is immediate from (2) (i) and Claim 1.

To show (3) (iii), let M be a finitely generated faithful right R -module. By (2) (iii), we obtain an exact sequence $M^{(n)} \rightarrow G(R) \rightarrow 0$, and further it splits, be-

cause $G(R)_R$ is projective by (2) (i). Thus we may assume that $M^{(n)}=G(R)\oplus N$ for some integer n and some submodule N of $M^{(n)}$. It now follows $G(M)^{(n)}=G(M^{(n)})=G(R)\oplus G(N)$, from which we see that $G(M)$ generates $G(R)$.

Finally, to show (3) (iv), let M be a finitely generated non-singular right R -module. Then M is finitely generated non-singular as a right $R/r_R(M)$ -module, while (2) (i) implies $R=r_R(M)\oplus A$ for some right ideal A of R . It then follows from Claim 1, [3, Theorem 3.12] and [5, Theorem 5.17] that M is embedded into $(R/r_R(M))^{(n)}\cong A^{(n)}\leq R_R^{(n)}$ for some integer n .

(3) \Rightarrow (1). First we shall assume (3) and show the following

Claim 2. (1) $G(R)$ is a direct summand of R as a right ideal.

(2) For every finitely generated non-singular right R -module M such that $r_R(M)=G(R)$, M generates $R/G(R)$.

Let M be a finitely generated non-singular right R -module such that $r_R(M)=G(R)$. By (3) (iv), we obtain an exact sequence $0\rightarrow M\overset{f}{\rightarrow}R^{(n)}$ for some integer n . Let $p_i: R^{(n)}\rightarrow R$ be the i -th projection ($i=1, \dots, n$) and set $A=\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(M)$.

Then A is a finitely generated right ideal of R and $r_R(A)=G(R)$; hence (3) (i) says that R is a direct sum of $G(R)$ and a right ideal B generated by A . Since M obviously generates A , it also generates $B\cong R/G(R)$, which completes the proof of Claim 2.

To show that R is right FPF, let M be a finitely generated faithful right R -module, and choose a submodule N of M maximal with respect to $N\cap G(M)=0$. Since M/N is an essential extension of $G(M)$, it is G -torsion; hence setting $X=M/G(M)\oplus M/N$, we see that $G(X)=M/N$ and that X is finitely generated faithful. It now follows from (3) (iii) that $G(X)=M/N$ generates $G(R)$. On the other hand, by (3) (ii) and Lemma 10, we have $r_R(X/G(X))=G(R)$; hence Claim 2(2) shows that $X/G(X)\cong M/G(M)$ generates $R/G(R)$. Since M obviously generates both M/N and $M/G(M)$ and since $R\cong G(R)\oplus(R/G(R))$ by Claim 2(1), M generates R . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Assume that R is non-singular right FPF and let M be a finitely generated non-singular right R -module. It then follows from Theorem 11 that $R=r_R(M)\oplus A$ where A is a right ideal of R generated by M . Since R is a semiprime ring by Corollary 6, we see $\text{Hom}_R(M, r_R(M))=0$, which implies $A=Tr_R(M)$. Thus $R=r_R(M)\oplus Tr_R(M)$ as ideals. Therefore, as a consequence of Theorem 11, we obtain the following result, in which (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) is due to [7, Theorem 1] (c.f. [5, Theorem 5.17]).

Corollary 12. For a right non-singular ring R , the following conditions are equivalent :

- (1) R is right EPF.
- (2) (i) For every finitely generated non-singular right R -module M , $R = r_R(M) \oplus Tr_R(M)$ as ideals.
 (ii) R is right essentially bounded.
- (3) (i) For every finitely generated right ideal A of R , $R = r_R(A) \oplus Tr_R(A)$ as ideals.
 (ii) R is right essentially bounded.
 (iii) Every finitely generated non-singular right R -module can be embedded into a free right R -module.

We call a ring homomorphism $\psi: R \rightarrow S$ a *flat epimorphism* if it is an epimorphism in the category of rings (or equivalently, the natural homomorphism $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism by [8, Chapter XI, Section 1]) and S is flat as a right R -module. We note that if both $\psi: R \rightarrow S$ and $\zeta: S \rightarrow T$ are flat epimorphisms then so is $\zeta\psi: R \rightarrow T$. For the Goldie torsion theory G for $\text{mod-}R$, we denote by Q_G the ring of quotients of R with respect to G and by $\varphi: R \rightarrow Q_G$ the canonical ring homomorphism.

Now assume that R is right FPF, and set $Q = Q_G$. Since $\varphi(R) \cong R/G(R)$ is projective as a right R -module by Proposition 7, we see that $\varphi: R \rightarrow \varphi(R)$ is a flat epimorphism. We also note from [8, Chapter IX, Sections 1 and 2] that $\text{Hom}_R((Q/\varphi(R))_R, Q_R) = 0$ and Q_R is injective and non-singular, and from Theorem 11 that if $x \in Q$ then $\varphi(R) + x\varphi(R)$ can be embedded into $R^{(n)}$ (in fact, into $\varphi(R)^{(n)}$) for some integer n . Now, following the same argument as in the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) of [5, Theorem 5.17], we see that if $x \in Q$ and $J = \{\varphi(r) \in \varphi(R) \mid \varphi(r)x \in \varphi(R)\}$ then $QJ = Q$. It then follows from [5, Theorem 3.9] that the inclusion map $\varphi(R) \rightarrow Q$ is a flat epimorphism. Thus we have the following result.

Corollary 13. *If R is right EPF then $\varphi: R \rightarrow Q_G$ is a flat epimorphism.*

Finally, we present examples to illustrate Theorem 11.

EXAMPLE 1. *There exists a ring satisfying the conditions (2) (ii) and (iii) ((3) (ii), (iii) and (iv)) of Theorem 11, but not FPF.*

Proof. Set $R = \{(x, y) \in Z \times Z \mid x \equiv y \pmod{2}\}$ where Z is the ring of integers. Then R is a commutative semiprime Noetherian ring; hence it satisfies (2) (ii) and (iii) ((3) (ii), (iii) and (iv)) of Theorem 11.

Now, set $A = (2, 0)R \oplus (0, 2)R$. Then A is finitely generated faithful, but $Tr_R(A) = A \neq R$; hence R is not FPF.

EXAMPLE 2. *There exists a ring satisfying the conditions (2) (i) and (iii) ((3) (i), (iii) and (iv)) of Theorem 11, but not FPF.*

Proof. Let R be a simple principal ideal domain but not a skew field (c.f.

[6, Proposition 1.3.8]). Then R satisfies the conditions (2) (i) and (iii) ((3)(i), (iii) and (iv)) of Theorem 11, while $L(G)$ is not bounded; hence R is not FPF by Theorem 11.

EXAMPLE 3. *There exists a ring satisfying the conditions (2) (i) and (ii) ((3) (i), (ii) and (iv)) of Theorem 11, but not FPF.*

Proof. Let F be a field and set $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & F[x]/(x^2) \\ 0 & F[x]/(x^2) \end{bmatrix}$. Then $Z_r(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (x)/(x^2) \\ 0 & (x)/(x^2) \end{bmatrix}$ and it is essential in R_R ; hence R_R is G -torsion, from which it trivially satisfies the conditions (2) (i) and (ii) ((3) (i), (ii) and (iv)) of Theorem 11.

Now, set $A = \begin{bmatrix} F & F[x]/(x^2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then A is a faithful right ideal generated by $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, but $Tr_R(A) = A \neq R$; hence R is not right FPF.

EXAMPLE 4. *There exists a ring satisfying the conditions (3) (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 11, but not FPF.*

Proof. Let F be a field, and set $F = F_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $R = \{x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \mid \text{there exists an integer } n \text{ such that } x_n = x_i \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}$. Then R is a commutative von Neumann regular ring which is not self-injective, and it then satisfies the conditions (3) (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 11. But, [5, Theorem 3.12] and Theorem 11 imply that R is not FPF.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his thanks to Professor Y. Kurata for his valuable advice in preparing this paper,

References

[1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller: Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1973.
 [2] G.F. Birkenmeier: *A generalization of FPF rings*, Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), 855-884.
 [3] C. Faith and S. Page: FPF Ring Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 88, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
 [4] T.G. Faticoni: *FPF rings I: The Noetherian case*, Comm. Algebra **13** (1985), 2119-2136.
 [5] K.R. Goodearl: Ring Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1976.
 [6] A.V. Jategaonkar: Localization in Noetherian Rings, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
 [7] S. Kobayashi: *On non-singular FPF rings I*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 787-795.
 [8] B. Stenström: Rings of Quotients, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

Department of Mathematics
Yamaguchi University
Yoshida, Yamaguchi 753, Japan