

FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS OF QUANTUM GROUPS

TOSHIYUKI TANISAKI

(Received March 9, 1990)

0. Introduction

In [2] and [4] Drinfeld and Jimbo independently noticed that there exists an algebraic object behind the theory of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. This is the *quantum algebra*, which is a quantization of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Their formulations, however, are slightly different; Drinfeld's $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an algebra over the formal power series ring $k[[\hbar]]$, and Jimbo's $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an algebra over the rational function field $k(q^{1/2})$, where k is a field of characteristic zero. The indeterminates \hbar and q are related by $q^{1/2} = e^{\hbar/4}$.

One of the purposes of this paper is to give a counter part for $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the results of Lusztig [6] and Rosso [7] concerning finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, by fully using the advantage that $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a topologically free $k[[\hbar]]$ -module satisfying $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})|_{\hbar=0} \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})$ (the indeterminate \hbar can be directly specialized to 0).

Let P (resp. P^{++}) be the set of integral (resp. dominant integral) weights. As in the case for $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ we can construct a "finite dimensional highest weight module" $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^{++}$. It is a $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module which is free of finite rank over $R = k[[\hbar]]$ such that $L(\lambda)|_{\hbar=0}$ is isomorphic to the finite dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight λ . Let \mathcal{A} be the category of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules which are free of finite rank over R . A $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} is said to be \mathcal{A} -irreducible if it has no nontrivial quotients which belong to \mathcal{A} .

Theorem A. (i) Any $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} is a direct sum of \mathcal{A} -irreducible $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

(ii) A $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{A} -irreducible if and only if it is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in P^{++}$.

Let G be a connected split semisimple algebraic group defined over k with $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$. Assume that G is simply connected for simplicity. Let $A_{\hbar}[G]$ be the "dual" Hopf algebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Section 2 below). Since it can be regarded as a quantization of the coordinate algebra $k[G]$ of G , we call it the *quantum group* (see Drinfeld [3], Woronowicz [10]). We have the following

analogue of the Peter-Weyl theorem:

Theorem B. *The matrix coefficients of $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^{++}$ form a free R -basis of $A_{\hbar}[G]$. Hence we have an isomorphism*

$$A_{\hbar}[G] \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} (L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*)$$

of $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodules.

Let B be a Borel subgroup of G and let $k[B]$ be its coordinate algebra. We have an induction functor Ind from the category of $k[B]$ -comodules to that of $k[G]$ -comodules. We can define a quantization $A_{\hbar}[B]$ of $k[B]$ as a quotient Hopf algebra of $A_{\hbar}[G]$ and the induction functor Ind_{\hbar} from the category of $A_{\hbar}[B]$ -comodules to that of $A_{\hbar}[G]$ -comodules is similarly defined. For $\mu \in P$ let R_{μ} (resp. k_{μ}) be the one dimensional $A_{\hbar}[B]$ (resp. $k[B]$)-comodule corresponding to μ . The following theorem implies that the analogue of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem holds for quantum groups.

Theorem C. *For $\mu \in P$ the $A_{\hbar}[G]$ -comodule $R^i \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(R_{\mu})$ is a free R -module and the $k[G]$ -comodule $k \otimes_R R^i \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(R_{\mu})$ is isomorphic to $R^i \text{Ind}(k_{\mu})$, where R^i denotes the right derived functors.*

1. Irreducible Highest Weight Modules

1.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional split semisimple Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ be the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} and choose positive integres d_1, \dots, d_l satisfying $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$. The quantum algebra $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the associative algebra over the formal power series ring $R = k[[\hbar]]$ with 1, which is \hbar -adically generated by $3l$ elements t_i, e_i, f_i ($i=1, \dots, l$) satisfying the following fundamental relations:

$$(1.1.1) \quad t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.2) \quad t_i e_j - e_j t_i = d_i a_{ij} e_j \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.3) \quad t_i f_j - f_j t_i = -d_i a_{ij} f_j \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.4) \quad e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{\sinh(\hbar t_i/2)}{\sinh(\hbar d_i/2)} \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.5) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} e_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} e_j e_i^m = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$(1.1.6) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} f_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} f_j f_i^m = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$$

where $q_i = \exp(\hbar d_i/2) \in R^*$ ($i=1, \dots, l$), and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} = \frac{\prod_{r=1}^n (q^r - q^{-r})}{\prod_{r=1}^m (q^r - q^{-r}) \prod_{r=1}^{n-m} (q^r - q^{-r})} \quad (n \geq m \geq 0)$$

(Drinfeld [2], Jimbo [4]).

Let N^+ (resp. N^- , resp. T) be the subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_1, \dots, e_l (resp. f_1, \dots, f_l , resp. t_1, \dots, t_l) and let $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra generated by N^+ , N^- , \bar{T} , where barring denotes the \hbar -adic closure.

Proposition 1.1.1 ([9], see also [2]). (i) N^+ (resp. N^-) is a free R -module, and the relation (1.1.5) (resp. (1.1.6)) is a fundamental relation among the generators e_1, \dots, e_l (resp. f_1, \dots, f_l) of N^+ (resp. N^-).

(ii) T is naturally isomorphic to the polynomial ring $R[t_1, \dots, t_l]$, and the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow \bar{T}$ is the \hbar -adic completion.

(iii) We have the following isomorphism of R -modules :

$$(1.1.7) \quad N^- \otimes \bar{T} \otimes N^+ \simeq U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g}) \quad (u \otimes v \otimes w \leftrightarrow uvw).$$

(iv) The inclusion $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the \hbar -adic completion.

By [8] we see that the k -algebra $k \otimes_R U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is naturally isomorphic to the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} , where the ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow k$ is given by $\hbar \mapsto 0$. The natural Hopf algebra structure on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ lifts to the topological Hopf algebra structure on $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by the following:

$$(1.1.8) \quad \Delta(t_i) = t_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.9) \quad \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes \exp(-\hbar t_i/4) + \exp(\hbar t_i/4) \otimes e_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.10) \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes \exp(-\hbar t_i/4) + \exp(\hbar t_i/4) \otimes f_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.11) \quad \varepsilon(t_i) = \varepsilon(e_i) = \varepsilon(f_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.12) \quad S(t_i) = -t_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.13) \quad S(e_i) = -q_i^{-1} e_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

$$(1.1.14) \quad S(f_i) = -q_i f_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, l),$$

where Δ , ε , S are the coproduct, the counit and the antipode, respectively (see [2], [4]).

Lemma 1.1.2. $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a noetherian ring; i.e., the ascending chain conditions for left and right ideals are satisfied.

Proof. It is known that the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a noetherian ring and by Proposition 1.1.1 we have

$$U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) = \varinjlim U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})/\hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})/\hbar^{n+1} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})/\hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence the assertion is proved similarly to the well known fact that the formal power series ring over a noetherian ring is noetherian. \square

Let \mathfrak{t} (resp. \mathfrak{t}_0) be the R -submodule (resp. k -subspace) of T generated by t_1, \dots, t_l . By Proposition 1.1.1 $\{t_1, \dots, t_l\}$ is a basis of the k -vector space \mathfrak{t}_0 and

we have $\mathfrak{t} = R \otimes_k \mathfrak{t}_0$. Set $\mathfrak{t}^* = \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{t}, R)$ and $\mathfrak{t}_0^* = \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{t}_0, k)$. Via the ring homomorphisms $k \hookrightarrow R \rightarrow k$ we have:

$$\mathfrak{t}^* \simeq R \otimes_k \mathfrak{t}_0^* \quad \mathfrak{t}_0^* \simeq k \otimes_R \mathfrak{t}^* .$$

We will identify \mathfrak{t}_0^* with a subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* and the natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_0^*$ is denoted by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^0$. We will also identify \mathfrak{t}_0 ($\simeq k \otimes_R \mathfrak{t}$) with a split Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . We define $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{t}_0^*$ ($i=1, \dots, l$) by $\alpha_i(t_j) = d_i a_{ij}$. Then $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ is a set of simple roots of the root system Δ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}_0)$. We denote the set of positive roots by Δ^+ . Set

$$(1.1.15) \quad Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Z} \alpha_i ,$$

$$(1.1.16) \quad Q^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i ,$$

$$(1.1.17) \quad P = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_0^* \mid \lambda(2t_i/\alpha_i(t_i)) \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (i=1, \dots, l) \} ,$$

$$(1.1.18) \quad P^{++} = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_0^* \mid \lambda(2t_i/\alpha_i(t_i)) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad (i=1, \dots, l) \} .$$

We denote by W the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}_0)$. It is a finite subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{t}_0)$ generated by the reflections s_i ($i=1, \dots, l$) given by

$$s_i(t) = t - \frac{2\alpha_i(t)}{\alpha_i(t_i)} t_i \quad (t \in \mathfrak{t}_0) .$$

The \mathbf{Z} -lattices P, Q in \mathfrak{t}_0^* are preserved under the contragredient action of W on \mathfrak{t}_0^* .

1.2. Let \mathcal{A} be the category of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules which are free of finite rank as R -modules. This is not an abelian category but an exact category. Let M be a $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} . A $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule M_1 of M is called a strict submodule if M/M_1 belongs to \mathcal{A} . A non-zero $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} is said to be \mathcal{A} -irreducible if it does not contain non-zero proper strict submodules.

Lemma 1.2.1. *If M_1, M_2 are $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules in \mathcal{A} , we have*

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2) = 0$$

Proof. By the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M_2 \xrightarrow{\hbar} M_2 \rightarrow M_2/\hbar M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules we have:

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2) \xrightarrow{\hbar} \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2/\hbar M_2) \quad (\text{exact}) .$$

Since $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a noetherian ring, we see that $\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2)$ is a finitely generated R -module. Thus it is sufficient to show $\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2/\hbar M_2)=0$. By the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\hbar} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$\text{Tor}_q^{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M_1) = \begin{cases} M_1/\hbar M_1 & q = 0 \\ 0 & q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by the spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^p(\text{Tor}_q^{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M_1), M_2/\hbar M_2) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^{p+q}(M_1, M_2/\hbar M_2)$$

we have

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1, M_2/\hbar M_2) = \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^1(M_1/\hbar M_1, M_2/\hbar M_2).$$

The right-hand side is zero since any finite dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is completely reducible. We are done. \square

Corollary 1.2.2. *Any $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} is a direct sum of \mathcal{A} -irreducible $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.*

1.3. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ let $\xi_\lambda: \bar{T} \rightarrow R$ be the unique algebra homomorphism satisfying $\xi_\lambda(t) = \lambda(t)$ for $t \in \mathfrak{t}$. For a \bar{T} -module M and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we set

$$M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid t \cdot m = \xi_\lambda(t)m \ (t \in \bar{T})\}.$$

We define an ordering on \mathfrak{t}^* by

$$\lambda \geq \mu \text{ if and only if } \lambda - \mu \in Q^+.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we define a $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $M(\lambda)$, called the Verma module with highest weight λ , by

$$(1.3.1) \quad M(\lambda) = U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g}) / (\sum_{i=1}^l U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})e_i + U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g}) \ker \xi_\lambda) = U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})m_\lambda,$$

where m_λ is the canonical generator corresponding to the class of 1. By Proposition 1.1.1 we have $M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} M(\lambda)_\mu$ and each $M(\lambda)_\mu$ is a free R -module of finite rank. Moreover we have the character formula:

$$(1.3.2) \quad \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} (\text{rank}_R M(\lambda)_\mu) e^\mu = \frac{e^\lambda}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}.$$

Lemma 1.3.1. *If K is a $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $M(\lambda)$, we have:*

$$K = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} (K \cap M(\lambda)_\mu).$$

Proof. Assume that we have $m = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \in K$ with $m_i \in M(\lambda)_{\mu_i}$, $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$ ($i \neq j$). We will show that each m_i is an element of K by induction on n . The case $n=1$ being trivial, we assume that $n \geq 2$ and the assertion holds for $n-1$. Since μ_1^0, \dots, μ_n^0 are mutually different elements of \mathfrak{t}_0^* , there exists some $t \in k[t_1, \dots, t_l] \subset \bar{T}$ satisfying $\xi_{\mu_1^0}(t) = \dots = \xi_{\mu_{n-1}^0}(t) = 0$ and $\xi_{\mu_n^0}(t) = 1$. Then we have $\xi_{\mu_i}(t) = \hbar a_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n-1$) and $\xi_{\mu_n}(t) = 1 + \hbar a_n$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$. Hence we have

$$(1 + \hbar a_n)m - t \cdot m = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + \hbar(a_n - a_i))m_i \in K.$$

Since $1 + \hbar(a_n - a_i)$ is an invertible element of R , we have $m_i \in K$ ($i=1, \dots, n-1$) and hence $m_n \in K$. \square

Let $K(\lambda)$ be the sum of all $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodules of $M(\lambda)$ contained in $\bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} M(\lambda)_{\mu}$, and set

$$(1.3.3) \quad L(\lambda) = M(\lambda)/K(\lambda).$$

Lemma 1.3.2. (i) *We have $L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} L(\lambda)_{\mu}$ and each $L(\lambda)_{\mu}$ is a free R -module of finite rank.*

(ii) *If K is a proper $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $L(\lambda)$ such that $L(\lambda)/K$ is a torsion free R -module, we have $K=0$.*

Proof. (i) Set $K' = \{m \in M(\lambda) \mid \hbar m \in K(\lambda)\}$. Then K' is a $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule satisfying $K(\lambda) \subset K' \subset \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda} M(\lambda)_{\mu}$. Hence we have $K' = K(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ is a torsion free R -module. Therefore the assertion follows from Lemma 1.3.1.

(ii) Let K_1 be a proper $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $M(\lambda)$ such that $M(\lambda)/K_1$ is a torsion free R -module. By Lemma 1.3.1 we have $K_1 = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} (M(\lambda)_{\mu} \cap K_1)$ and hence $M(\lambda)_{\mu}/M(\lambda)_{\mu} \cap K_1$ is a torsion free R -module for each $\mu \leq \lambda$. Since $M(\lambda)_{\lambda}$ is a free R -module of rank 1, we have $M(\lambda)_{\lambda} \cap K_1 = M(\lambda)_{\lambda}$ or 0. If $M(\lambda)_{\lambda} \cap K_1 = M(\lambda)_{\lambda}$, then K_1 contains the generator m_{λ} , and hence we have $K_1 = M(\lambda)$, which contradicts with the assumption. Therefore we have $M(\lambda)_{\lambda} \cap K_1 = 0$ and hence $K_1 \subset K(\lambda)$. The assertion is proved. \square

We define $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}_0^*$ by $\rho(2t_i/\alpha_i(t_i)) = 1$ ($i=1, \dots, l$). For $w \in W$ set

$$l(w) = \min \{p \mid w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p} \text{ for some } i_1, \dots, i_p \in [1, l]\}.$$

Lemma 1.3.3 ([6]). (i) *$L(\lambda)$ is finitely generated as an R -module if and only if $\lambda \in P^{++}$.*

(ii) *For $\lambda \in P^{++}$, $k \otimes_R L(\lambda)$ is an irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module and we have*

$$(1.3.4) \quad \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} (\text{rank}_R L(\lambda)_{\mu}) e^{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}.$$

(iii) If $\lambda \in P^{++}$, any $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $L(\lambda)$ is of the form $\hbar^n L(\lambda)$ for some non-negative integer n .

Proof. By the arguments of [6] we see that $L(\lambda)$ is integrable (i.e., the elements e_i, f_i ($i=1, \dots, l$) act on $L(\lambda)$ locally nilpotently) if and only if $\lambda \in P^{++}$. If $L(\lambda)$ is finitely generated as an R -module, then it is integrable and hence we have $\lambda \in P^{++}$. If $\lambda \in P^{++}$, then $L(\lambda)$ is integrable, and hence $k \otimes_R L(\lambda)$ is an integrable highest weight module of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with highest weight λ . Thus $k \otimes_R L(\lambda)$ is the (finite dimensional) irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight λ . Therefore $L(\lambda)$ is finitely generated as an R -module, and Weyl's character formula implies (1.3.4). The statements (i) and (ii) are proved. Let us show (iii). Let $\lambda \in P^{++}$ and let K be a non zero $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $L(\lambda)$. Take a non-negative integer n such that $K \subset \hbar^n L(\lambda)$ and $K \not\subset \hbar^{n+1} L(\lambda)$. Then we have $K = \hbar^n K_1$ for some $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule K_1 of $L(\lambda)$. Since $(K_1 + \hbar L(\lambda)) / \hbar L(\lambda)$ is a non-zero $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of the irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $L(\lambda) / \hbar L(\lambda) = k \otimes_R L(\lambda)$, we have $L(\lambda) = K_1 + \hbar L(\lambda)$. Since $L(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated R -module, we have $K_1 = L(\lambda)$, and hence $K = \hbar^n L(\lambda)$. \square

For $\lambda \in P^{++}$ the action of $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ on $L(\lambda)$ uniquely lifts to that of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $L(\lambda)$. In the following we regard $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^{++}$ as a $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Corollary 1.3.4. $L(\lambda)$ is an \mathcal{A} -irreducible $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module for $\lambda \in P^{++}$.

2. Quantum Groups

2.1. Define a $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodule structure on $U(\mathfrak{g})^* = \text{Hom}_k(U(\mathfrak{g}), k)$ by

$$((u_1 \cdot f \cdot u_2))(u) = f(u_2 u u_1) \quad (f \in U(\mathfrak{g})^*, u, u_1, u_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})),$$

and set

$$U(\mathfrak{g})^\circ = \{f \in U(\mathfrak{g})^* \mid \dim_k(U(\mathfrak{g})fU(\mathfrak{g})) < \infty\}.$$

It is an elementary fact concerning Hopf algebras that $U(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ is also endowed with a Hopf algebra structure whose product, coproduct, unit, counit are induced by the coproduct, the product, the counit, the unit of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively.

Set $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* = \text{Hom}_R(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), R)$. By Proposition 1.1.1. we see that $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the \hbar -adic completion of a free R -submodule $M = N^- \otimes T \otimes N^+$. Hence we have $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* \simeq \text{Hom}_R(M, R) = (\text{a product of rank 1 free } R\text{-modules})$. Therefore any R -submodule of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is torsion free and separated. Define a $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodule structure on $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ by

$$(u_1 \cdot f \cdot u_2)(u) = f(u_2 u u_1) \quad (f \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*, u, u_1, u_2 \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

and set

$U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ = \{f \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* \mid U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})fU_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ is a finitely generated } R\text{-module}\}.$

For a $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module V in \mathcal{A} we have a natural right $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure on $V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R)$ by

$$\langle v^* \cdot u, v \rangle = \langle v^*, u \cdot v \rangle \quad (v^* \in V^*, v \in V, u \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing. Define $\Phi_V: V \otimes V^* \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ by $(\Phi_V(v \otimes v^*))(u) = \langle v^*, u \cdot v \rangle$. Then it is easily seen that Φ_V is a homomorphism of $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodules and that $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ is the sum of $\text{Image}(\Phi_V)$ for $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules V in \mathcal{A} (i.e., the R -module $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ is generated by the matrix coefficients of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules in \mathcal{A}). Moreover the topological Hopf algebra structure on $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by (1.1.8), \dots , (1.1.14) induces a Hopf algebra structure on $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$.

2.2. The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following:

Proposition 2.2.1. *For $\lambda \in P^{++}$ the homomorphism $\Phi_{L(\lambda)}$ is injective and we have*

$$U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda)}.$$

Since $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is topologically free and since $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})/\hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*/\hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})^*$. We denote the natural homomorphism $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ by $f \rightarrow \bar{f}$. We first show the following:

Lemma 2.2.2. *For $\lambda \in P^{++}$ the homomorphism $\Phi_{L(\lambda)}$ is injective and we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in P^{++}} \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda)}.$$

Proof. For $\lambda \in P^{++}$ let $\{f_{ij}^\lambda \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq \text{rank } L(\lambda)\}$ be the set of matrix coefficients of $L(\lambda)$ with respect to some R -basis of $L(\lambda)$. It is sufficient to show that

$$\{f_{ij}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^{++}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \text{rank } L(\lambda)\}$$

is linearly independent over R . The set

$$\{\bar{f}_{ij}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in P^{++}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \text{rank } L(\lambda)\}$$

is linearly independent over k , since it consists of the matrix coefficients of irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Therefore the assertion follows from the fact that $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is torsion free. \square

Set $V(\lambda) = L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$ for $\lambda \in P^{++}$.

Lemma 2.2.3. *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be mutually different elements in P^{++} and let*

$$p_j: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V(\lambda_i) \rightarrow V(\lambda_j)$$

be the projection. If V is a $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -submodule of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V(\lambda_i)$ such that $p_j(V) \neq 0$ for each j , there exist non-negative integers m_1, \dots, m_n satisfying $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \hbar^{m_i} V(\lambda_i)$.

Proof. Set $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V(\lambda_i)$. Since $p_j(V)$ is a non zero $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -submodule of $V(\lambda_j)$ and since $V(\lambda_j)/\hbar V(\lambda_j)$ is an irreducible $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodule, the argument in the proof of Lemma 1.3.3 (iii) implies that there exists some non-negative integer m_j such that $p_j(V) = \hbar^{m_j} V(\lambda_j)$. Let $F: U \rightarrow U$ be the $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -homomorphism defined by

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hbar^{m_i} v_i \quad (v_i \in V(\lambda_i)).$$

Then there exist a $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -submodule V_1 of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V(\lambda_i)$ such that $F(V_1) = V$ and $p_j(V_1) = V(\lambda_j)$. Since $V(\lambda_i)/\hbar V(\lambda_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are mutually non-isomorphic $(U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodules, we see that $(V_1 + \hbar U)/\hbar U = U/\hbar U$, and hence $U = V_1 + \hbar U$. Since U is a finitely generated R -module we have $V_1 = U$ and hence $V = F(V_1) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \hbar^{m_i} V(\lambda_i)$. \square

Set $D = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda)}$.

Lemma 2.2.4. (i) $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ = D + \hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ for any n .
(ii) $\hbar^n D = \hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap D$ for any n .

Proof. (i) Let $f \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$. Then we have $\bar{f} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ and hence there exists some $f_1 \in D$ such that $\bar{f} = \bar{f}_1$. Therefore we have $f = f_1 + \hbar f_2$ for some $f_2 \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$. Since f and f_1 are elements of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ and since $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is torsion free, we have $f_2 \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$. Thus we have

$$U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ = D + \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ = D + \hbar(D + \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ) = \dots = D + \hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ.$$

(ii) Let f be an element of $U(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ such that $\hbar^n f \in D$. Set $V = U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})fU_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ be an R -basis of V and let $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_p^*\}$ be the dual basis of V^* . Regarding V as a left $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module we have

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^p v_j(1)\Phi_V(v_i \otimes v_j^*) \in \text{Image } \Phi_V.$$

Especially we have $f \in \text{Image } \Phi_V$. Since $\hbar^n V$ is a $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -submodule of D , we see from Lemma 2.2.3 that

$$V \simeq \hbar^n V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \hbar^{m_i} (L(\lambda_i) \otimes L(\lambda_i)^*) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L(\lambda_i) \otimes L(\lambda_i)^*$$

for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in P^{++}$ and $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence we have $f \in \text{Image } \Phi_V = \sum_{i=1}^r \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda_i)} \subset D$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2.1. By Lemma 2.2.2 it is sufficient to show $D = U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$. By Lemma 2.2.4 the natural R -homomorphism

$$\hat{D} (= \varprojlim D/\hbar^n D) \rightarrow (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ)^\wedge (= \varprojlim U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ/\hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ)$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore we can regard $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ as an R -submodule of \hat{D} containing D . Since the $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodule structures on D and $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ uniquely lift to the same $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -bimodule structure on \hat{D} , it suffices to prove that if V is a $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -submodule of $(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} V(\lambda))^\wedge$ which is finitely generated over R , then V is contained in $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} V(\lambda)$. Let $q_\mu: (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} V(\lambda))^\wedge \rightarrow V(\mu)$ be the unique extension of the projection $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\mu)$. We have only to show that $q_\mu(V) = 0$ except for finitely many $\mu \in P^{++}$. Assume that there exists an infinite sequence μ_1, μ_2, \dots , of mutually different elements in P^{++} such that $q_{\mu_i}(V) \neq 0$. Let $r_n: (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^{++}} V(\lambda))^\wedge \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V(\mu_i)$ be the unique extension of the projection. By Lemma 2.2.3 we have $r_n(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \hbar^{m_i} V(\mu_i)$ for some non-negative integers m_1, \dots, m_n . Therefore we have $\text{rank } V \geq \text{rank } r_n(V) \geq n$ for any n . This contradicts with the assumption. We are done. \square

Corollary 2.2.5. *Any \mathcal{A} -irreducible $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in P^{++}$.*

Proof. Let V be an \mathcal{A} -irreducible $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Take a non-zero element v^* of V^* and define $F: V \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ by $(F(v))(u) = \langle v^*, u \cdot v \rangle$. Then F is a non-zero homomorphism of left $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. By Proposition 2.3.1 the left $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ is a direct sum of $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^{++}$. Considering the projections we see that there exists a non zero $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -homomorphism $V \rightarrow L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in P^{++}$. It is seen by Lemma 1.3.3 (iii) that $L(\lambda)$ is a quotient of V . Since V is \mathcal{A} -irreducible, we have $V = L(\lambda)$. \square

2.3. Let G be a connected split semisimple algebraic group defined over k such that the Lie algebra consisting of k -rational points of $\text{Lie}(G)$ coincides with \mathfrak{g} . Then the coordinate algebra $k[G]$ is naturally endowed with a Hopf algebra structure and we have a natural injective Hopf algebra homomorphism from $k[G] \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ via the pairing

$$\langle f, u \rangle = (d_u f)(1) \quad (f \in k[G], u \in U(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where d_u is the left invariant differential operator on G corresponding to u . The image of this homomorphism is described as follows. Let L_G be the set of elements of $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{k}^*$ consisting of weights of finite dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules coming from G -modules. L_G is a \mathbf{Z} -lattice satisfying $Q \subset L_G \subset P$. Then the image of $k[G] \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ is spanned by the matrix coefficients of finite dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules with highest weight in $L_G \cap P^{++}$.

Set

$$(2.3.1) \quad A_{\hbar}[G] := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_G \cap P^{++}} \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda)}.$$

It is easily checked that $A_{\hbar}[G]$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$. We call this

Hopf algebra the *quantum group* associated to G (see [3], [10]).

Let \mathcal{A}° be the category of right $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ -comodules which are free of finite rank over R and let \mathcal{A}_G be the category of right $A_{\hbar}[G]$ -comodules which belong to \mathcal{A}° as right $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$ -comodules. Then the natural functor $\mathcal{A}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ gives an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{A}^\circ \simeq \mathcal{A}$. Moreover the category \mathcal{A}_G is equivalent to the full subcategory of \mathcal{A} consisting of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules in \mathcal{A} whose \mathcal{A} -irreducible factors are of the form $L(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in L_G \cap P^{++}$.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let V be a $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in \mathcal{A} such that the \mathbf{Z} -submodule of \mathfrak{t}^* generated by the weights of V coincides with L_G . Then $A_{\hbar}[G]$ is generated by $\text{Image } \Phi_V$ as an R -algebra.*

Proof. Let H be the subalgebra of $A_{\hbar}[G]$ generated by $\text{Image } \Phi_V$. We see by definition that H is a $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$ -submodule of $A_{\hbar}[G]$. Hence by Lemma 2.2.3 we have

$$(2.3.2) \quad H = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma} \hbar^{n_\lambda} \text{Image } \Phi_{L(\lambda)}$$

for a subset Γ of P^{++} and non-negative integers n_λ . On the other hand we see by the assumption on V that the representation $G \rightarrow GL(V/\hbar V)$ is injective and hence the k -algebra $k[G] (\simeq A_{\hbar}[G]/\hbar A_{\hbar}[G])$ is generated by the matrix coefficients of the G -module $V/\hbar V$. Therefore we have $A_{\hbar}[G]/\hbar A_{\hbar}[G] = (H + \hbar A_{\hbar}[G])/\hbar A_{\hbar}[G]$ and hence

$$(2.3.3) \quad H + \hbar A_{\hbar}[G] = A_{\hbar}[G].$$

The assertion follows from (2.3.2) and (2.3.3). \square

3. Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem

3.1. Let $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{b})$ be the subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by \bar{T}, N^+ and let $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})$ be its \hbar -adic closure in $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$. By Proposition 1.1.1 we have $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \bar{T} \otimes N^+$ and the inclusion $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{b}) \hookrightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})$ is the \hbar -adic completion. Moreover we have $k \otimes_R U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq k \otimes_R U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{b})$, where \mathfrak{b} is a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Define $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})^\circ (\subset U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})^*)$ similarly to $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ$. Since $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})$ is a topological Hopf subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$, we also have a natural Hopf algebra structure on $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})^\circ$.

Let G be a connected semisimple split algebraic group defined over k with $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ and let B be the Borel subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{b} . We denote by $F: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^\circ \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})^\circ$ the natural Hopf algebra homomorphism. Then $A_{\hbar}[B] = F(A_{\hbar}[G])$ is endowed with a natural Hopf algebra structure and it can be regarded as a quantization of the coordinate algebra $k[B]$ of B by the following:

Lemma 3.1.1. *$A_{\hbar}[B]$ is free R -module satisfying $k \otimes_R A_{\hbar}[B] \simeq k[B]$.*

Proof. It is easily verified using the results in Section 1 that we have

$$A_{\hbar}[B] = (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}}} R\xi_{\lambda}) \otimes (\bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}^+} (N_{\beta}^+)^*)$$

under the identification $U_{\hbar}^f(\mathfrak{b}) = \bar{T} \otimes N^+$. Hence the assertion follows from the corresponding fact for $k[B]$. \square

3.2. Let $\text{triv}_{k[B]}$ (resp. $\text{triv}_{A_{\hbar}[B]}$) be the right $k[B]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[B]$)-comodule given by the unit and let $\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}$ (resp. $\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}$) be the left $U(\mathfrak{b})$ (resp. $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})$)-module given by the counit. For a right $k[B]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[B]$)-comodule V we set

$$(3.2.1) \quad \text{Ind}(V) = \text{Hom}(\text{triv}_{k[B]}, k[G] \otimes_k V)$$

$$(3.2.2) \quad (\text{resp. } \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(V) = \text{Hom}(\text{triv}_{A_{\hbar}[B]}, A_{\hbar}[G] \otimes_R V)).$$

Here $k[G] \otimes_k V$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[G] \otimes_R V$) is endowed with a right $k[B]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[B]$)-comodule structure via the right $k[B]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[B]$)-comodule structure on $k[G]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[G]$) and Hom is taken in the category of right $k[B]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[B]$)-comodules. Then the left $k[G]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[G]$)-comodules structure on $k[G]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[G]$) induces a left $k[G]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[G]$)-comodule structure on $\text{Ind}(V)$ (resp. $\text{Ind}_{\hbar}(V)$). Hence Ind (resp. Ind_{\hbar}) is a left exact functor from the category of right $k[B]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[B]$)-comodules to that of left $k[G]$ (resp. $A_{\hbar}[G]$)-comodules. We denote by $R^i \text{Ind}$ (resp. $R^i \text{Ind}_{\hbar}$) its right derived functors.

By the Peter-Weyl theorem for $k[G]$ and by (2.3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(V) &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}} \cap P^{++}} \text{Hom}(\text{triv}_{k[B]}, L^0(\lambda) \otimes_k V) \otimes_k L^0(\lambda)^*, \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}} \cap P^{++}} \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}, L^0(\lambda) \otimes_k V) \otimes_k L^0(\lambda)^*, \\ \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(V) &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}} \cap P^{++}} \text{Hom}(\text{triv}_{A_{\hbar}[B]}, L(\lambda) \otimes_R V) \otimes_R L(\lambda)^*, \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}} \cap P^{++}} \text{Hom}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}(\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}, L(\lambda) \otimes_R V) \otimes_R L(\lambda)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $L^0(\lambda) = k \otimes_R L(\lambda)$. Hence we have

$$(3.2.3) \quad R^i \text{Ind}(V) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}} \cap P^{++}} \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}, L^0(\lambda) \otimes_k V) \otimes_k L^0(\lambda)^*,$$

$$(3.2.4) \quad R^i \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(V) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L_{\mathcal{G}} \cap P^{++}} \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}, L(\lambda) \otimes_R V) \otimes_R L(\lambda)^*.$$

For $\mu \in L_{\mathcal{G}}$ we denote by $\hat{\xi}_{\mu}: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow R$ the R -algebra homomorphism given by $\hat{\xi}_{\mu}(t) = \xi_{\mu}(t)$ for $t \in \bar{T}$ and $\hat{\xi}_{\mu}(e_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. It is seen that the one dimensional left $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module induced by $\hat{\xi}_{\mu}$ comes from a one dimensional right $A_{\hbar}[B]$ -comodule R_{μ} . Set $k_{\mu} = k \otimes_R R_{\mu}$.

Proposition 3.2.1. *For $\mu \in L_{\mathcal{G}}$ the left $A_{\hbar}[G]$ -comodule $R^i \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(R_{\mu})$ is free of finite rank as an R -module and we have*

$$k \otimes_R R^i \text{Ind}_{\hbar}(R_{\mu}) \simeq R^i \text{Ind}(k_{\mu})$$

as a left $k[G]$ -comodule.

Proof. Set $V(\lambda, \mu) = L(\lambda) \otimes_R R\mu$ and $V^0(\lambda, \mu) = L^0(\lambda) \otimes_k k\mu$. By (3.2.3) and (3.2.4) it is sufficient to show that $\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}, V(\lambda, \mu))$ is a free R -module of rank $\dim_k(\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}, V^0(\lambda, \mu)))$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 1.2.1 we have

$$(3.2.5) \quad \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}, V^0(\lambda, \mu)) = \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}, V^0(\lambda, \mu))$$

Since $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})$ is noetherian, the R -module $\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}, V(\lambda, \mu))$ is finitely generated, and hence the exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V(\lambda, \mu)) \xrightarrow{\hbar} \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V(\lambda, \mu)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V^0(\lambda, \mu))$$

implies that $\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}, V(\lambda, \mu)) = 0$ if $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}, V^0(\lambda, \mu)) = 0$. Assume that $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}, V^0(\lambda, \mu)) \neq 0$. By the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem for $k[G]$ there exists at most one such i for each λ, μ . Hence the natural R -homomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V(\lambda, \mu)) \xrightarrow{\hbar} \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V(\lambda, \mu))$$

is injective and we have

$$\text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V(\lambda, \mu)) / \hbar \text{Ext}_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V(\lambda, \mu)) = \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^i(\text{triv}, V^0(\lambda, \mu)).$$

This proves the assertion. \square

Appendix

In [3] Drinfeld has given an explicit description of the quantum group $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]$ by generators and relations. Since [3] contains no proof, we will give a proof here.

Set $q = e^{\hbar/2}$. The quantum algebra $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is an R -algebra \hbar -adically generated by the elements $h_1, \dots, h_n, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ satisfying the following fundamental relations:

$$(A.1) \quad h_i h_j = h_j h_i,$$

$$(A.2) \quad h_i e_i - e_i h_i = e_i,$$

$$(A.3) \quad h_i e_{i-1} - e_{i-1} h_i = -e_{i-1},$$

$$(A.4) \quad h_i e_j - e_j h_i = 0 \quad (j \neq i, j-1),$$

$$(A.5) \quad h_i f_i - f_i h_i = -f_i,$$

$$(A.6) \quad h_i f_{i-1} - f_{i-1} h_i = f_{i-1},$$

$$(A.7) \quad h_i f_j - f_j h_i = 0 \quad (j \neq i, i-1),$$

$$(A.8) \quad e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{\sinh(\hbar(h_i - h_{i+1})/2)}{\sinh(\hbar/2)},$$

$$(A.9) \quad e_i^2 e_j - (q + q^{-1}) e_i e_j e_i + e_j e_i^2 = 0 \quad (|i-j| = 1),$$

$$(A.10) \quad e_i e_j = e_j e_i \quad (|i-j| \geq 2),$$

$$(A.11) \quad f_i^2 f_j - (q + q^{-1}) f_i f_j f_i + f_j f_i^2 = 0 \quad (|i-j| = 1),$$

$$(A.12) \quad f_i f_j = f_j f_i \quad (|i-j| \geq 2).$$

Then $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is naturally identified with the \hbar -adic closure of the R -subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ generated by $t_i = h_i - h_{i+1}$, e_i, f_i ($i=1, \dots, n-1$), and the topological Hopf algebra structure of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is extended to that of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ by $\Delta(h_i) = h_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_i$, $\varepsilon(h_i) = 0$, $S(h_i) = -h_i$ (see [9]).

Define an R -algebra homomorphism $\rho: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow M_n(R)$ by $\rho(h_i) = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}$, $\rho(e_i) = E_{i,i+1}$, $\rho(f_i) = E_{i+1,i}$, where $E_{i,j} \in M_n(R)$ is the matrix whose (r, s) -entry is $\delta_{ir} \delta_{js}$. Let $\hat{\rho}_{ij} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^*$ and $\rho_{ij} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^*$ be the matrix coefficients of ρ . They are elements of the Hopf algebras $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^\circ$ and $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^\circ$ ($U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^\circ$ is defined similarly). We see by a direct calculation that

$$(A.13) \quad \hat{\rho}_{ij} \hat{\rho}_{is} = q \hat{\rho}_{is} \hat{\rho}_{ij} \quad (j < s),$$

$$(A.14) \quad \hat{\rho}_{ij} \hat{\rho}_{rj} = q \hat{\rho}_{rj} \hat{\rho}_{ij} \quad (i < r),$$

$$(A.15) \quad \hat{\rho}_{ij} \hat{\rho}_{rs} = \hat{\rho}_{rs} \hat{\rho}_{ij} \quad (i < r, j > s),$$

$$(A.16) \quad \hat{\rho}_{ij} \hat{\rho}_{rs} - \hat{\rho}_{rs} \hat{\rho}_{ij} = (q - q^{-1}) \hat{\rho}_{is} \hat{\rho}_{rj} \quad (i < r, j < s).$$

Since ρ_{ij} is the image of $\hat{\rho}_{ij}$ under the natural algebra homomorphism $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^\circ \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^\circ$, we have

$$(A.17) \quad \rho_{ij} \rho_{is} = q \rho_{is} \rho_{ij} \quad (j < s),$$

$$(A.18) \quad \rho_{ij} \rho_{rj} = q \rho_{rj} \rho_{ij} \quad (i < r),$$

$$(A.19) \quad \rho_{ij} \rho_{rs} = \rho_{rs} \rho_{ij} \quad (i < r, j > s),$$

$$(A.20) \quad \rho_{ij} \rho_{rs} - \rho_{rs} \rho_{ij} = (q - q^{-1}) \rho_{is} \rho_{rj} \quad (i < r, j < s).$$

It is also checked directly that

$$(A.21) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} \rho_{1\sigma(1)} \rho_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots \rho_{n\sigma(n)} = 1,$$

where \mathfrak{S}_n is the symmetric group and $l(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the number of the elements of the set $\{(i, j) \mid i < j, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}$.

Our purpose is to give a proof of the following:

Proposition A.1 (Drinfeld [3]). *The R -algebra $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]$ is generated by the elements ρ_{ij} ($i, j=1, \dots, n$) satisfying the fundamental relations (A.17), \dots , (A.21).*

Let C be the quotient of $R\langle x_{ij} | i, j=1, \dots, n \rangle$ by the two-sided ideal generated by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}x_{is} - qx_{is}x_{ij} & \quad (j < s), \\ x_{ij}x_{rj} - qx_{rj}x_{ij} & \quad (i < r), \\ x_{ij}x_{rs} - x_{rs}x_{ij} & \quad (i < r, j > s), \\ x_{ij}x_{rs} - x_{rs}x_{ij} - (q - q^{-1})x_{is}x_{rj} & \quad (i < r, j < s), \end{aligned}$$

where $R\langle x_{ij} | i, j=1, \dots, n \rangle$ is the tensor algebra of the free R -module with basis $\{x_{ij} | i, j=1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma A.2. (i) C is a free R -module with basis

$$\{x_{11}^{a_{11}} x_{12}^{a_{12}} \cdots x_{1n}^{a_{1n}} x_{21}^{a_{21}} \cdots x_{2n}^{a_{2n}} \cdots x_{nn}^{a_{nn}} | a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

(ii) C is an integral domain; i.e., if f, g are elements of C satisfying $fg=0$, we have $f=0$ or $g=0$.

Proof. (i) It is easily checked that the R -module C is generated by the elements

$$x_{11}^{a_{11}} x_{12}^{a_{12}} \cdots x_{1n}^{a_{1n}} x_{21}^{a_{21}} \cdots x_{2n}^{a_{2n}} \cdots x_{nn}^{a_{nn}} \quad (a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Considering the natural algebra homomorphism $C \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^\circ$ ($x_{ij} \mapsto \hat{\rho}_{ij}$), it is enough to show that the elements

$$\hat{\rho}_{11}^{a_{11}} \hat{\rho}_{12}^{a_{12}} \cdots \hat{\rho}_{1n}^{a_{1n}} \hat{\rho}_{21}^{a_{21}} \cdots \hat{\rho}_{2n}^{a_{2n}} \cdots \hat{\rho}_{nn}^{a_{nn}} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^* \quad (a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

are linearly independent over R . This follows from the facts that $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^*$ is a torsion free R -module and that the elements

$$\hat{\rho}_{11}^{a_{11}} \hat{\rho}_{12}^{a_{12}} \cdots \hat{\rho}_{1n}^{a_{1n}} \hat{\rho}_{21}^{a_{21}} \cdots \hat{\rho}_{2n}^{a_{2n}} \cdots \hat{\rho}_{nn}^{a_{nn}} \bmod \hbar \quad (a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^*/\hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^* = U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^*$ are linearly independent over k .

(ii) This follows from (i) and the fact that $C/\hbar C$ is an integral domain. \square

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} x_{1\sigma(1)} x_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{n\sigma(n)} - 1 \in C, \\ \varphi^0 &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} y_{1\sigma(1)} y_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots y_{n\sigma(n)} - 1 \in k[y_{ij}], \end{aligned}$$

where $k[y_{ij}]$ is the polynomial ring with variables y_{ij} ($i, j=1, \dots, n$). We have natural identifications $k[SL_n] = k[y_{ij}]/(\varphi^0)$ and $k \otimes_R C = k[y_{ij}]$. Let C_p (resp. $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p$, resp. $k[y_{ij}]_p$, resp. $k[SL_n]_p$) be the linear span in C (resp. $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]$, resp. $k[y_{ij}]$, resp. $k[SL_n]$) of the monomials in x_{ij} (resp. ρ_{ij} , resp. y_{ij} , resp. $y_{ij} \bmod \varphi^0$) of degree $\leq p$.

- Lemma A.3.** (i) C_p is a free R -module of rank $= \dim k[y_{ij}]_p$.
(ii) $C_{p-n}\varphi$ is a free R -module of rank $= \dim k[y_{ij}]_{p-n}$.
(iii) $\dim(k \otimes_R (C_p/C_{p-n}\varphi)) = \dim k[y_{ij}]_p - \dim k[y_{ij}]_{p-n}$.
(iv) $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p$ is a free R -module of rank $\geq \dim k[y_{ij}]_p - \dim k[y_{ij}]_{p-n}$.

Proof. The statements (i), (ii), (iii) are clear from Lemma A.2. Let us show (iv). Since $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p$ is a finitely generated R -submodule of the free R -module $A_{\hbar}[SL_n]$, it is a free R -module of finite rank. Hence the surjectivity of the k -linear map

$$A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p / \hbar A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p \rightarrow A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p / (A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p \cap \hbar A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p) \simeq k[SL_n]_p$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p &= \dim (A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p) / \hbar A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p \\ &\geq \dim k[SL_n]_p \\ &= \dim k[y_{ij}]_p - \dim k[y_{ij}]_{p-n} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition A.1. We have to show that the natural algebra homomorphism $C/C\varphi \rightarrow A_{\hbar}[SL_n]$ ($x_{ij} \mapsto \rho_{ij}$) is an isomorphism. Since this is surjective by Lemma 2.3.1, it is sufficient to show that the R -homomorphism $C_p/(C\varphi \cap C_p) \rightarrow A_{\hbar}[SL_n]$ is injective for each p . Therefore it suffices to prove that the surjective R -homomorphism $C_p/C_{p-n}\varphi \rightarrow A_{\hbar}[SL_n]_p$ is an isomorphism. This follows from Lemma A.3. \square

References

- [1] E. Abe: Hopf algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980.
- [2] V.G. Drinfeld: *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32** (1985), 254–258.
- [3] V.G. Drinfeld: *Quantum groups*, in “Proc. ICM, Berkeley, 1986,” Amer. Math. Soc., 1988.
- [4] M. Jimbo: *A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.
- [5] M. Jimbo: *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebras and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986), 247–252.
- [6] G. Lusztig: *Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras*, Adv. in Math. **70** (1988), 237–249.
- [7] M. Rosso: *Finite dimensional representations of the quantum analog of a complex simple Lie algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **117** (1988), 581–593.
- [8] J.P. Serre: *Algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes*, Benjamin, New York, 1966.
- [9] T. Tanisaki: *Harish-Chandra isomorphisms for quantum algebras*, to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.
- [10] S.L. Woronowicz: *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 613–665.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka University
Toyonaka 560, Japan

