

COHOMOLOGY OF DISCRETE SUBGROUPS OF $Sp(p, q)$

Dedicated to Professor Shingo Murakami on his sixtieth birthday

YASUKO KONNO

(Received January 19, 1987)

Introduction

Let G be a connected semi-simple Lie group with finite center and no compact factors. Let Γ be a uniform discrete subgroup of G and (ρ, F) be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G . We are interested in the cohomology space $H^*(\Gamma, F)$. The purpose of this paper is to prove a non-vanishing theorem for $H^*(\Gamma, F)$ in the case of $G=Sp(p, q)$ ($p \geq q \geq 1$).

As it is well-known, we can describe $H^*(\Gamma, F)$ in terms of the relative Lie algebra cohomology. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G and K be a maximal compact subgroup of G . Denote by \hat{G} the unitary dual of G . For $(U, H_U) \in \hat{G}$, we denote by H_U^0 the space of K -finite vectors in H_U . Then H_U^0 is an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Also $m(U, \Gamma)$ denotes the multiplicity with which U occurs in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Define the subset \hat{G}_ρ of \hat{G} as follows;

$$\hat{G}_\rho = \{U \in \hat{G} \mid \chi_U = \chi_{\rho^*}\}$$

where ρ^* is the contragredient representation of ρ and χ_U (resp. χ_{ρ^*}) is the infinitesimal character of U (resp. ρ^*). Then, from the formula of Matsu-shima-Murakami ([1], VII, Theorem 6.1), we have

$$(0.1) \quad H^*(\Gamma, F) = \sum_{U \in \hat{G}_\rho} m(U, \Gamma) H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_U^0 \otimes F).$$

From now on, we assume that G is simple. Depending on Kumaresan's work, Vogan and Zuckerman obtained the following precise vanishing theorem for the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology ([5], Theorem 8.1); if U is non-trivial, we have

$$H^i(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_U^0 \otimes F) = \{0\} \quad (i < r_G)$$

where r_G is the positive integer determined by G and given by Table 8.2 in [5] for non-complex groups. From this result and (0.1), if F is non-trivial, we have

$$H^i(\Gamma, F) = \{0\} \quad (i < r_G).$$

Note that r_G depends only on G and, in general, $r_G \geq \text{rank}_{\mathbf{R}} G$. On the other hand, the vanishing of $H^i(\Gamma, F)$ below the \mathbf{R} -rank has been obtained in some papers ([1], VII, Proposition 6.4). There are some simple groups such that $r_G = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{R}} G$. In the case of $G = SU(p, q)$ ($p \geq q \geq 1$), where $r_G = q = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{R}} G$, Borel and Wallach showed that this vanishing theorem is best possible ([1], VIII, Corollary 5.9).

We concentrate our attention on the case of $G = Sp(p, q)$. In this case, $r_G = 2q$ and hence $r_G > q = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{R}} G$. Therefore it is interesting to ask if the above vanishing theorem is best possible for $G = Sp(p, q)$. In this paper, we show that, in the case of $G = Sp(p, q)$, the first possible non-zero cohomology $H^*(\Gamma, F)$ appears indeed at the degree $2q = r_G$. Main results are Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.2. In the case that F is trivial and $q = 1$, Theorem 3.4 is contained in the results of [3], Theorem 3.2 (see Remark 3.5). Also Theorem 4.2 for trivial F improves a part of the results of [4], Theorem 4.1 (see Remark 4.4).

Our method is similar to that in [1], VIII and depends heavily on the results there.

1. The imbedding of $Sp(p, q)$ into $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$

1.1. Throughout this paper, G will denote the group $Sp(p, q)$ ($p \geq q \geq 1$). At first we give our realization of G and provide some notations.

We set $n = p + q$. Let $K_{p,q}$ be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix given by

$$K_{p,q} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} I_p & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & -I_q & \\ \hline & & I_p & 0 \\ & 0 & \hline & & 0 & -I_q \end{array} \right)$$

where I_m is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. The group G is given by

$$G = \{g \in Sp(n, \mathbf{C}) \mid {}^t g K_{p,q} \bar{g} = K_{p,q}\}.$$

As a maximal compact subgroup of G , we choose $K = G \cap U(2n)$. Let \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{k}) be the Lie algebra of G (resp. K) and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . For a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} , denote by \mathfrak{u}_c the complexification of \mathfrak{u} .

Let E_{ij} be the square matrix with 1 in the (i, j) -position and 0 elsewhere. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, set

$$T_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_{ii} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -E_{ii} \end{array} \right)$$

and define

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j T_j \mid \mu_j \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Then \mathfrak{t} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$. Also define $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{t}_c^*$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) by

$$\lambda_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j T_j \right) = \mu_i.$$

The root system Δ (resp. $\Delta_{\mathfrak{t}}$) of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{t}_c)$ (resp. $(\mathfrak{k}_c, \mathfrak{t}_c)$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \{ \pm \lambda_i \pm \lambda_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n \} \\ \text{(resp. } \Delta_{\mathfrak{t}} &= \{ \pm \lambda_i \pm \lambda_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq p \text{ or } p+1 \leq i, j \leq p+q \}). \end{aligned}$$

We choose an order of $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t})^*$ so that the set of simple roots in Δ is $\{ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n, 2\lambda_n \}$. Denote by Δ^+ (resp. $\Delta_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$) the set of positive roots in Δ (resp. $\Delta_{\mathfrak{t}}$). Throughout this paper we fix this order.

For later use, we choose root vectors of \mathfrak{g}_c as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & F_{ij} \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \\ X_{-\lambda_i - \lambda_j} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline F_{ij} & 0 \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \\ X_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_{ij} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -E_{ji} \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j) \end{aligned}$$

where $F_{ij} = E_{ij} + E_{ji}$ if $i \neq j$ and $F_{ij} = E_{ii}$ if $i = j$. Then $\{T_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g}_c .

1.2. Now we construct an imbedding of G into $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$. Our imbedding is obtained by composing an imbedding of G into $SU(2p, 2q)$ and an imbedding of $SU(2p, 2q)$ into $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$. From now on, G' denotes the group $SU(2p, 2q)$. As a maximal compact subgroup of G' , we choose $K' = G' \cap U(2n)$. Let \mathfrak{g}' be the Lie algebra of G' .

The group G is naturally imbedded into the unitary group of the hermitian form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} defined by $K_{p,q}$. We put

$$Z = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} I_p & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_q \\ \hline & I_p & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_q \end{array} \right)$$

Then ${}^tZK_{p,q}Z$ gives the standard hermitian form with signature $(2p, 2q)$. So, if we define

$$\psi(g) = {}^tZgZ \quad (g \in G),$$

we obtain an imbedding $\psi; G \rightarrow G'$. Clearly we have $\psi(K) \subset K'$.

Moreover we will imbed G' into $Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$. Naturally we consider $GL(2n, \mathbf{C})$, and hence G' , as to be the subgroups of $GL(4n, \mathbf{R})$. Define the orthogonal matrix Z' by

$$Z' = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} I_{2p} & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & -I_{2q} & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & I_{2n} \end{array} \right)$$

Then it is easily checked that, if we define

$$\psi'(g) = {}^tZ'gZ' \quad (g \in G'),$$

we obtain an imbedding $\psi'; G' \rightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$. This is the same imbedding that is constructed in [1], VIII, § 2.

In this way we obtain the imbedding

$$\iota = \psi' \circ \psi; G \rightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbf{R}).$$

These imbeddings ψ, ψ' and ι induce the imbeddings of Lie algebras and we use the same letters for them;

$$\begin{aligned} \psi & ; \mathfrak{g}_c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_c \\ \psi' & ; \mathfrak{g}'_c \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{C}) \\ \iota & ; \mathfrak{g}_c \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{C}). \end{aligned}$$

1.3. Here we give the explicit form of the image of ι . It will be used in § 2. For this, we choose a basis of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{C})$ as follows;

$$S_i = \sqrt{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & E_{ii} \\ \hline -E_{ii} & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2n),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{ij}^+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} F_{ij} & -\sqrt{-1}F_{ij} \\ \hline -\sqrt{-1}F_{ij} & -F_{ij} \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i, j \leq 2n) \\
 Y_{ij}^- &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} F_{ij} & \sqrt{-1}F_{ij} \\ \hline \sqrt{-1}F_{ij} & -F_{ij} \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i, j \leq 2n) \\
 Z_{ij}^+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_{ij}-E_{ji} & \sqrt{-1}F_{ij} \\ \hline -\sqrt{-1}F_{ij} & E_{ij}-E_{ji} \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i < j \leq 2n) \\
 Z_{ij}^- &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_{ji}-E_{ij} & \sqrt{-1}F_{ji} \\ \hline -\sqrt{-1}F_{ji} & E_{ji}-E_{ij} \end{array} \right) & (1 \leq i < j \leq 2n)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $F_{ij}=E_{ij}+E_{ji}$ if $i \neq j$ and $F_{ij}=E_{ii}$ if $i=j$. By straightforward computations we obtain the following explicit description for the image of ι ; for $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq k < l \leq p+q$,

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 \iota(T_i) &= S_i - S_{p+i} \\
 \iota(T_k) &= -S_{p+k} + S_{p+q+k} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}) &= Z_{i, p+j}^{\pm} + Z_{j, p+i}^{\pm} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm(\lambda_i + \lambda_k)}) &= -Y_{i, p+q+k}^{\pm} + Y_{p+i, p+k}^{\mp} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm(\lambda_k + \lambda_l)}) &= -Z_{p+k, p+q+l}^{\mp} - Z_{p+l, p+q+k}^{\mp} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm 2\lambda_i}) &= Z_{i, p+i}^{\pm} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm 2\lambda_k}) &= -Z_{p+k, p+q+k}^{\mp} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}) &= Z_{i, j}^{\pm} - Z_{p+i, p+j}^{\mp} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)}) &= -Y_{i, p+k}^{\pm} + Y_{p+i, p+q+k}^{\mp} \\
 \iota(X_{\pm(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)}) &= -Z_{p+k, p+l}^{\mp} + Z_{p+q+k, p+q+l}^{\pm} .
 \end{aligned} \right.$$

2. The construction of unitary representations

In this section, we construct a certain series of irreducible unitary representations of G . In [1] Borel and Wallach constructed some irreducible representations of G' by using the oscillator representation. Our representations are obtained from these representations through the imbedding ψ ; $G \rightarrow G'$. We will often use the results and notations in [1], VIII.

2.1. First we sketch briefly the results in [1], VIII, § 2. Let $Mp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ be the Metaplectic group and $(W, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}))$ be the oscillator representation of

$Mp(2n, \mathbf{R})$. The imbedding $\psi'; G' \rightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ lifts to an injective homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}'; G' \rightarrow Mp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ ([1], VIII, Lemma 2.9). Define the unitary representation $(V, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}))$ of G' by

$$V(g) = W(\tilde{\psi}'(g)) \quad (g \in G').$$

Then $(V, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}))$ decomposes into the direct sum of irreducible representations of G' . In fact, for $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, define the subspace H_r of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ by

$$H_r = \{\phi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid W(\text{Exp } tJ_{2p,2q})(\phi) = \exp(-\sqrt{-1}(p-q+r)t)\phi\}$$

where Exp is the exponential mapping of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{R})$ into $Mp(2n, \mathbf{R})$ and

$$J_{2p,2q} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & -I_{2p} & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & I_{2q} \\ \hline I_{2p} & 0 & & \\ \hline 0 & -I_{2q} & & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{R}).$$

Then H_r is stable under G' and so we put

$$V_r(g) = V(g)|_{H_r} \quad (g \in G').$$

From [1], VIII, Lemma 2.8, for each $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, (V_r, H_r) is an irreducible unitary representation of G' and we have

$$L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} H_r.$$

In the remainder of this section, we fix $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ the Schwartz space on \mathbf{R}^{2n} with the Schwartz topology and set $H_r^\infty = H_r \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$. Then H_r^∞ is the space of C^∞ -vectors for V_r in H_r ([1], VIII, Lemma 1.11). Also, we denote by H_r^0 the space of K' -finite vectors for V_r in H_r . The space H_r^0 is an irreducible admissible (\mathfrak{g}', K') -module.

In order to choose an orthogonal basis of H_r^0 , we need some notations. Let (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) be the coordinates of \mathbf{R}^{2n} . Following [1], VIII, 1.16, for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, define the operator D_j and A_j^\pm by

$$D_j = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - x_j^2 \right), \quad A_j^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \pm x_j \right).$$

Denote by \mathbf{Z}_+ the set of non-negative integers. For $m = (m_1, \dots, m_{2n}) \in (\mathbf{Z}_+)^{2n}$, we set

$$\phi_m = (A_1^-)^{m_1} (A_2^-)^{m_2} \cdots (A_{2n}^-)^{m_{2n}} \phi_0$$

where ϕ_0 is the C^∞ -function on \mathbf{R}^{2n} defined by

$$\phi_0(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^2\right) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}).$$

(Note that ϕ_m is equal to ψ_m in [1], VIII 1.16, up to the multiplication by a constant.) Then, by [1], VIII, Lemma 1.17, $\{\phi_m | m \in (\mathbf{Z}_+)^{2n}\}$ are mutually orthogonal in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and we have

$$(2.1) \quad H_r^0 = \bigoplus_{m \in \Phi_r} \mathbf{C} \phi_m$$

where $\Phi_r = \{m \in (\mathbf{Z}_+)^{2n} | \sum_{i=1}^{2p} m_i - \sum_{i=2p+1}^{2n} m_i = r\}$.

2.2. Now we construct unitary representations of G . Using the imbedding $\psi; G \rightarrow G'$, we define

$$U_r(g) = V_r(\psi(g)) \quad (g \in G).$$

Then we obtain the unitary representation (U_r, H_r) of G . Clearly, the subspace H_r^0 of H_r is included in the space of K -finite vectors for U_r in H_r and stable under \mathfrak{g} and K . Thus H_r^0 is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. The infinitesimal representation of \mathfrak{g} on H_r^0 induced from U_r is denoted by the same letter U_r .

We will examine the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module H_r^0 in detail. First we consider the infinitesimal representation $(W, \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n}))$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{C})$ induced from $(W, L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}))$. By [2], p. 232, Theorem 5.4, the action of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbf{C})$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ is explicitly given as follows;

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} W(S_i) = D_i & (1 \leq i \leq 2n) \\ W(Y_{ij}^\dagger) = \pm 2A_i^\dagger A_j^\dagger & (1 \leq i, j \leq 2n, i \neq j) \\ W(Y_{ii}^\dagger) = \pm A_i^\dagger A_i^\dagger & (1 \leq i \leq 2n) \\ W(Z_{ij}^\dagger) = 2A_i^\dagger A_j^\dagger & (1 \leq i < j \leq 2n). \end{cases}$$

Using the relation formulas among D_j and A_j^\dagger in [1], VIII, 1.16, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} D_j(\phi_m) = -\frac{1}{2}(2m_j + 1)\phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ A_i^\dagger A_j^\dagger(\phi_m) = \frac{1}{4} m_i m_j \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, \dots, m_{j-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ A_i^\dagger A_i^\dagger(\phi_m) = \frac{1}{4} m_i(m_i - 1)\phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-2}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ A_i^- A_j^-(\phi_m) = \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_{j+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ A_i^- A_i^-(\phi_m) = \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{i+2}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ A_i^\dagger A_j^-(\phi_m) = -\frac{1}{2} m_i \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, \dots, m_{j+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \end{cases}$$

where $m \in (\mathbf{Z}_+)^{2n}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 2n$ and $\phi_{k_1, \dots, k_{2n}}$ is considered to be 0 if $k_i < 0$ for some i . Therefore, combining (1.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have the following formulas; for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq k, l \leq p+q$,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} U_r(T_i)(\phi_m) = (m_{p+i} - m_i)\phi_m \\ U_r(T_k)(\phi_m) = (m_{p+k} - m_{p+q+k})\phi_m \end{cases}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} U_r(X_{\lambda_i + \lambda_j})(\phi_m) = -m_i \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, \dots, m_{p+j}+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad -m_j \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_j-1, \dots, m_{p+i}+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{2\lambda_i})(\phi_m) = -m_i \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, \dots, m_{p+i}+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{\lambda_k + \lambda_l})(\phi_m) = m_{p+q+l} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+k}+1, \dots, m_{p+q+l-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad + m_{p+q+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+l}+1, \dots, m_{p+q+k-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{2\lambda_k})(\phi_m) = m_{p+q+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+k}+1, \dots, m_{p+q+k-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j})(\phi_m) = -m_i \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, \dots, m_j+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad + m_{p+j} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+i}+1, \dots, m_{p+j-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{\lambda_k - \lambda_l})(\phi_m) = m_{p+l} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+k}+1, \dots, m_{p+l-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad - m_{p+q+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+q+k-1}, \dots, m_{p+q+l+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} U_r(X_{-\lambda_i - \lambda_j})(\phi_m) = -m_{p+i} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_j+1, \dots, m_{p+i-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad - m_{p+j} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i+1, \dots, m_{p+j-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{-2\lambda_i})(\phi_m) = -m_{p+i} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i+1, \dots, m_{p+i-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{-\lambda_k - \lambda_l})(\phi_m) = m_{p+l} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+l-1}, \dots, m_{p+q+k+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad + m_{p+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+k-1}, \dots, m_{p+q+l+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{-2\lambda_k})(\phi_m) = m_{p+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+k-1}, \dots, m_{p+q+k+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{-\lambda_i + \lambda_j})(\phi_m) = -m_j \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i+1, \dots, m_j-1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad + m_{p+i} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+i-1}, \dots, m_{p+j}+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{-\lambda_k + \lambda_l})(\phi_m) = m_{p+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+k-1}, \dots, m_{p+l}+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad - m_{p+q+l} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+q+k+1}, \dots, m_{p+q+l-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} U_r(X_{\lambda_i + \lambda_k})(\phi_m) = -\frac{1}{2} m_i m_{p+q+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, \dots, m_{p+q+k-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad + 2\phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+i}+1, \dots, m_{p+k}+1, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ U_r(X_{\lambda_i - \lambda_k})(\phi_m) = -\frac{1}{2} m_i m_{p+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, \dots, m_{p+k-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad - 2\phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+i}+1, \dots, m_{p+q+k+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} U_r(X_{-\lambda_i - \lambda_k})(\phi_m) = -\frac{1}{2} m_{p+i} m_{p+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+i-1}, \dots, m_{p+k-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ \quad \quad \quad + 2\phi_{m_1, \dots, m_i+1, \dots, m_{p+q+k+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} U_r(X_{-\lambda_i+\lambda_k})(\phi_m) &= \frac{1}{2} m_{p+i} m_{p+q+k} \phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{p+i-1}, \dots, m_{p+q+k-1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \\ &\quad + 2\phi_{m_1, \dots, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_{p+k+1}, \dots, m_{2n}} \end{aligned} \right.$$

Of course, in these formulas, $\phi_{k_1, \dots, k_{2n}}$ should be considered as to be 0 if $k_i < 0$ for some i .

Now we can determine the set of weights of the \mathfrak{g}_c -module H_r^0 . Let ϕ_m be in H_r^0 . By (2.4) we have

$$U_r(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i T_i)(\phi_m) = \{ \sum_{i=1}^p (m_{p+i} - m_i) \mu_i + \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (m_{p+k} - m_{p+q+k}) \mu_k \} \phi_m$$

From this, the following lemma immediately follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $m = (m_1, \dots, m_{2n})$ be in Φ_r . In the \mathfrak{g}_c -module H_r^0 , ϕ_m is a weight vector corresponding to the weight*

$$\Lambda_m = \sum_{i=1}^p (m_{p+i} - m_i) \lambda_i + \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (m_{p+k} - m_{p+q+k}) \lambda_k.$$

We remark that the multiplicity of Λ_m in H_r^0 is not finite.

2.3. Here we determine the K -spectrum of H_r^0 . Let \hat{K} be the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of K . Define the subset D_K of \mathfrak{t}_c^* by

$$D_K = \left\{ \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i \left| \begin{array}{l} a_i \in \mathbf{Z} \\ a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_p \geq 0 \\ a_{p+1} \geq a_{p+2} \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then there is the bijective correspondence between \hat{K} and D_K . That is, $\lambda \in D_K$ corresponds to the irreducible K -module with highest weight λ . We denote by E_λ this K -module.

Let $s \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $s \geq -r$. We define the finite dimensional subspace $H_{r,s}^0$ of H_r^0 by

$$H_{r,s}^0 = \bigoplus_{m \in \Phi_{r,s}} \mathbf{C} \phi_m,$$

where the subset $\Phi_{r,s}$ of Φ_r is given by

$$\Phi_{r,s} = \{ m \in (\mathbf{Z}_+)^{2n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{2p} m_i = r + s, \sum_{i=2p+1}^{2n} m_i = s \}.$$

From (2.1), we have

$$H_r^0 = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}_+, s \geq -r} H_{r,s}^0.$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $s \geq -r$. Then $H_{r,s}^0$ is the irreducible K -submodule of H_r^0 with highest weight $(r+s)\lambda_1 + s\lambda_{p+1} \in D_K$. Hence we have*

$$H_r^0 = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_+, s \geq -r} E_{(r+s)\lambda_1 + s\lambda_{p+1}}$$

as K -modules.

Proof. Put $E_s = E_{(r+s)\lambda_1 + s\lambda_{p+1}}$. Let X be in \mathfrak{k}_e . By (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), $U_r(X)(\phi_m)$ is a linear combination of $\phi_{m'}$ $= \phi_{m'_1, \dots, m'_{2n}}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2p} m'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2p} m_i, \quad \sum_{i=2p+1}^{2n} m'_i = \sum_{i=2p+1}^{2n} m_i.$$

Therefore $H_{r,s}^0$ is stable under \mathfrak{k}_e .

Now we put $\phi = \phi_{0, \dots, 0, r+s, 0, \dots, 0, s, 0, \dots, 0}$, where $r+s$ (resp. s) appears in the $(p+1)$ -th (resp. $(2p+1)$ -th) position. Then $\phi \in H_{r,s}^0$ and, by Lemma 2.1, ϕ is a weight vector corresponding to the weight $(r+s)\lambda_1 + s\lambda_{p+1}$. It is easy to see that this weight is the highest among all the weights for $H_{r,s}^0$. Hence E_s certainly occurs in $H_{r,s}^0$.

We compare the dimension of $H_{r,s}^0$ with that of E_s . Since $\{\phi_m \mid m \in \Phi_{r,s}\}$ is a basis of $H_{r,s}^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_{r,s}^0 &= \#\Phi_{r,s} \\ &= \binom{2p+r+s-1}{r+s} \cdot \binom{2q+s-1}{s} \\ &= \frac{(2p+r+s-1)!(2q+s-1)!}{(2p-1)!(r+s)!(2q-1)!s!}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Weyl's dimension formula gives the dimension of E_s . Denote by $(\ , \)_{\mathfrak{k}}$ the inner product in $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k})^*$ induced from the Killing form of \mathfrak{k}_e . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_i, \lambda_j)_{\mathfrak{k}} &= 0 && \text{if } i \neq j, \\ (\lambda_i, \lambda_i)_{\mathfrak{k}} &= \begin{cases} (4p+4)^{-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq p, \\ (4q+4)^{-1} & \text{if } p+1 \leq i \leq p+q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Also put $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+} \alpha$. Then we have

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^p (p-i+1)\lambda_i + \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+q} (p+q-k+1)\lambda_k.$$

From these formulas, easy calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \dim E_s &= \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+} ((r+s)\lambda_1 + s\lambda_{p+1} + \delta_{\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha)_{\mathfrak{k}}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}^+} (\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha)_{\mathfrak{k}}} \\ &= \frac{(2p+r+s-1)!(2q+s-1)!}{(2p-1)!(r+s)!(2q-1)!s!} \\ &= \dim H_{r,s}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H_{r,s}^0$ is equivalent to E_s .

2.4. In this stage, we must determine the space of K -finite vectors in H_r for U_r .

Lemma 2.3. *The space of K -finite vectors in H_r for U_r coincides with H_r^0 .*

Proof. For $\tau \in \hat{K}$, let $H_r(\tau)$ be the isotypic K -submodule of H_r of type τ . Clearly H_r^0 is stable under K and $H_r^0 \subset \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} H_r(\tau)$. Hence we have $H_r^0 = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} H_r^0 \cap H_r(\tau)$. Since H_r^0 is dense in H_r , by [7], Chapter 4, Proposition 4.4.3.4, the closure of $H_r^0 \cap H_r(\tau)$ is $H_r(\tau)$. By Proposition 2.2, $H_r^0 \cap H_r(\tau)$ is finite dimensional. Therefore we have $H_r^0 \cap H_r(\tau) = H_r(\tau)$ and hence $H_r^0 = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} H_r(\tau)$. The lemma is proved.

Together with Proposition 2.2, this lemma shows that (U_r, H_r) is admissible. Moreover we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *For $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, the unitary representation (U_r, H_r) of G is irreducible.*

Proof. From [7], Chapter 4, Theorem 4.5.5.4, it is sufficient to prove that the \mathfrak{g} -module H_r^0 is algebraically irreducible. Let H be a non-zero \mathfrak{g} -stable subspace of H_r^0 . Since H is stable under \mathfrak{k} , by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$H = \bigoplus_{s \in S(H)} H_{r,s}^0,$$

where $S(H)$ is a non-empty subset of \mathbf{Z}_+ . Suppose $s_0 \in S(H)$, that is, $H_{r,s_0}^0 \subset H$. We take a particular element

$$\phi = \phi_{0, \dots, 0, r+s_0, 0, \dots, 0, s_0, 0, \dots, 0}$$

in H_{r,s_0}^0 , where $r+s_0$ (resp. s_0) appears in the $(p+1)$ -th (resp. $(2p+1)$ -th) position. Then, by (2.7), we have

$$U_r(X_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{p+1}})(\phi) = 2\phi_{0, \dots, 0, r+s_0+1, 0, \dots, 0, s_0+1, 0, \dots, 0}.$$

Here the left hand side belongs to H and the right hand side belongs to H_{r,s_0+1}^0 .

This implies $H \cap H_{r,s_0+1}^0 \neq \{0\}$. Therefore we have $H_{r,s_0+1}^0 \subset H$, that is, $s_0+1 \in S(H)$.

Similarly, if $s_0 > \max\{0, -r\}$, we have

$$U_r(X_{-\lambda_1-\lambda_{p+1}})(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2}(r+s_0)s_0\phi_{0,\dots,0,r+s_0-1,0,\dots,0,s_0-1,0,\dots,0} + 2\phi_{1,0,\dots,0,r+s_0,0,\dots,0,s_0,0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0}$$

where 1 appears in the first and $(2p+q+1)$ -th position. In this formula, the first term of the right hand side belongs to H_{r,s_0-1}^0 and the second term belongs to H_{r,s_0+1}^0 . Since $H_{r,s_0+1}^0 \subset H$, we have $H \cap H_{r,s_0-1}^0 \neq \{0\}$ and hence $s_0-1 \in S(H)$.

By the induction, we have $S(H) = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid s \geq -r\}$, that is, $H = H_r^0$. This proves the proposition.

After all we obtain a series of irreducible unitary representations of G ; $\{(U_r, H_r) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

3. The (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology

In this section, we study the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology space of the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module H_r^0 ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$).

3.1. First of all we recall a known result which is our starting point. Let (U, H_U) be in \hat{G} and (ρ, F) be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G . Denote by $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g}_e . The representation of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by U (resp. ρ) is denoted by the same letter U (resp. ρ). Let C be the Casimir element of \mathfrak{g}_e . Then both the operators $U(C)$ and $\rho(C)$ are the scalar operators. Put $U(C) = c_U \cdot \text{Id}$ and $\rho(C) = c_\rho \cdot \text{Id}$, where $c_U, c_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ and Id denotes the identity operator. If we note that K is connected, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. ([1], II, Proposition 3.1)

- (1). If $c_U \neq c_\rho$, then $H^j(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_U^0 \otimes F) = \{0\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.
- (2). If $c_U = c_\rho$, then $H^j(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_U^0 \otimes F) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^j \mathfrak{p}, H_U^0 \otimes F)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

3.2. For $(U_r, H_r) \in \hat{G}$, we will calculate the operator $U_r(C)$.

Proposition 3.2. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$U_r(C) = (4n+4)^{-1}(r+2p)(r-2q) \cdot \text{Id}.$$

Proof. We use a concrete realization of C and calculate explicitly the action of $U_r(C)$ on a particular element in H_r^0 .

Recall that the Killing form of \mathfrak{g}_e is given by

$$(X, Y) = 2(n+1) \text{Tr } XY \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_e).$$

Using the basis of \mathfrak{g}_e in 1.1., we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4(n+1)C &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} X_{-\lambda_i - \lambda_j} + X_{-\lambda_i - \lambda_j} X_{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{2\lambda_i} X_{-2\lambda_i} + X_{-2\lambda_i} X_{2\lambda_i}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} X_{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} + X_{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} X_{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}). \end{aligned}$$

First we consider the case that $r \geq 0$. Take a particular element $\phi = \phi_{r, 0, \dots, 0} \in H_r^0$. Using (2.4), ..., (2.8), we calculate straightforwardly $4(n+1)U_r(C)(\phi)$. Some terms turn out to vanish and the other terms are given as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n U_r(T_i T_i)(\phi) &= r^2 \phi \\ U_r(X_{\lambda_i \pm \lambda_k} X_{-\lambda_i \mp \lambda_k})(\phi) &= \begin{cases} -(r+1)\phi \pm 4\phi' & \text{if } i=1 \\ -\phi \pm 4\phi'' & \text{if } i \neq 1 \end{cases} \\ U_r(X_{-\lambda_i \mp \lambda_j} X_{\lambda_i \pm \lambda_j})(\phi) &= \begin{cases} r\phi & \text{if } i=1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 1 \end{cases} \\ U_r(X_{-\lambda_i \mp \lambda_k} X_{\lambda_i \pm \lambda_k})(\phi) &= \begin{cases} -\phi \pm 4\phi' & \text{if } i=1 \\ -\phi \pm 4\phi'' & \text{if } i \neq 1 \end{cases} \\ 2U_r(X_{-2\lambda_i} X_{2\lambda_i})(\phi) &= \begin{cases} 2r\phi & \text{if } i=1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i < j \leq p$, $p+1 \leq k \leq p+q$ and ϕ' , ϕ'' are certain elements in H_r^0 determined by ϕ . From these formulas, we can easily show that

$$4(n+1)U_r(C)(\phi) = (r+2p)(r-2q)\phi.$$

In the case that $r < 0$, if we take $\phi = \phi_{0, \dots, 0, -r} \in H_r^0$, similar calculations yield the above formula. Thus the proposition is proved.

3.3. Now we will show the non-vanishing of the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology of H_r^0 . For this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. For $2q\lambda_1 \in D_K$, we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p}, E_{2q\lambda_1}) = 1.$$

Proof. Any weight of $\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p}_e$ is the sum of $2q$ distinct non-compact roots of \mathfrak{g}_e . Since we have

$$2q\lambda_1 = \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+q} \{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_k) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)\},$$

$2q\lambda_1$ is a weight of $\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p}_e$ with multiplicity 1. It is easy to see that $2q\lambda_1$ is the

highest among all the weights of $\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p}_e$. The lemma is proved.

For $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $l\lambda_1$ is a dominant integral form for $(\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{t}_e)$. Denote by (ρ_l, F_l) the irreducible finite dimensional representation of G with highest weight $l\lambda_1$; that is, (ρ_l, F_l) is the l -th symmetric tensor product of the standard representation of G on \mathbf{C}^{2n} . Let (ρ_l^*, F_l^*) be the contragredient representation of (ρ_l, F_l) .

Theorem 3.4. *If $r \geq 2q$, then we have*

$$H^{2q}(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_r^0 \otimes F_{r-2q}^*) \neq \{0\}.$$

Proof. As it is well-known, the operator $\rho_{r-2q}^*(C)$ is given by

$$\rho_{r-2q}^*(C) = \{((r-2q)\lambda_1 + \delta, (r-2q)\lambda_1 + \delta) - (\delta, \delta)\} \cdot \text{Id},$$

where $(\ , \)$ is the inner product in $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t})^*$ induced from the Killing form of \mathfrak{g}_e and $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1)\lambda_i, \\ (\lambda_i, \lambda_j) &= (4n+4)^{-1} \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

By easy computations, we have

$$\rho_{r-2q}^*(C) = (4n+4)^{-1}(r+2p)(r-2q) \cdot \text{Id}.$$

From this and Proposition 3.2, $U_r(C)$ and $\rho_{r-2q}^*(C)$ act as the multiplication by the same scalar. Hence Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^{2q}(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_r^0 \otimes F_{r-2q}^*) &= \dim \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p}, H_r^0 \otimes F_{r-2q}^*) \\ &= \dim \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p} \otimes F_{r-2q}, H_r^0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \dim \text{Hom}_K(E_{r\lambda_1}, H_r^0) = 1.$$

Also, since $r\lambda_1 = 2q\lambda_1 + (r-2q)\lambda_1$, Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$(3.2) \quad \dim \text{Hom}_K(E_{r\lambda_1}, \wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p} \otimes F_{r-2q}) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, combining (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^{2q}\mathfrak{p} \otimes F_{r-2q}, H_r^0) \neq 0.$$

This proves the theorem.

REMARK 3.5. By Theorem 1.4 in [5], there is at most one irreducible unitary representation (U, H_U) such that $U(C)$ acts by the same scalar as

$\rho_{r-2q}^*(\mathbf{C})$ and E_{r, λ_1} occurs in H_U^0 . Our representation (U_r, H_r) is this very representation. Therefore we can determine the position of U_r in the Langlands' classification. In the case of $q=1$, (U_2, H_2) is equivalent to the Langlands' representation $J_{1,2}$ in [3], Theorem 3.2.

4. The imbedding of U_r into $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$

In this section, we fix $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. We will construct a certain uniform discrete subgroup Γ of G such that $m(U_r, \Gamma) \neq 0$. Together with Theorem 3.4 and (0.1), this will prove the non-vanishing of the cohomology of Γ . The results in this section depend heavily on the results in [1], VIII, § 5.

4.1. Our discrete subgroup will be constructed arithmetically. First we realize G and G' as subgroups of linear algebraic groups.

Let k be a totally real finite extension of \mathbf{Q} and d be the degree of k over \mathbf{Q} . Assume that $d \geq 2$. Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d\}$ be the set of isomorphisms of k into \mathbf{R} . We regard k as a subfield of \mathbf{R} so that σ_1 is the identity mapping. Put $k' = k(\sqrt{-1})$. We extend $\sigma \in \Sigma$ to the imbedding of k' into \mathbf{C} which leaves $\sqrt{-1}$ fixed. If H is a linear algebraic group in $GL(l, \mathbf{C})$ defined over k or \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{B} is a subfield of \mathbf{C} , we put $H(\mathbf{B}) = H \cap GL(l, \mathbf{B})$.

Denote by $E_{k'}$ the vector space $(k')^{2n}$. We can choose $a \in k$ so that a is positive and the conjugates ${}^\sigma a$ by $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ($\sigma \neq \sigma_1$) are all negative. Fix such a . Let h (resp. b) be a non-degenerate hermitian form (resp. a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form) on $E_{k'}$ defined by the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} I_p & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & -aI_q & \\ \hline & & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} & I_p & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & aI_q \\ \hline -I_p & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & -aI_q & \\ & & 0 \end{array} \right)).$$

Then h is an indefinite hermitian form with signature $(2p, 2q)$ but the conjugates ${}^\sigma h$ by σ ($\sigma \neq \sigma_1$) are positive definite.

Using h and b , we can construct the linear algebraic group \mathbf{G} defined over k such that

$$\mathbf{G}(k) = \left\{ g \in SL(2n, k') \mid \begin{array}{l} h(gz, gw) = h(z, w) \\ b(gz, gw) = b(z, w) \end{array} \quad (z, w \in E_{k'}) \right\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to G over \mathbf{R} . Similarly, using only h , we obtain the linear algebraic group \mathbf{G}' defined over k such that

$$G'(k) = \{g \in SL(2n, k') \mid h(gz, gw) = h(z, w) \quad (z, w \in E_{k'})\}.$$

Also, $G'(\mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to G' over \mathbf{R} .

Naturally, we have the rational imbedding of G into G' defined over k . We denote by $\psi; G \rightarrow G'$ this imbedding. It should be noted that, up to conjugation over \mathbf{R} , $\psi|_{G(\mathbf{R})}; G(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow G'(\mathbf{R})$ coincides with the imbedding $\psi; G \rightarrow G'$ in 1.2.

4.2. Now we denote by $\text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}}$ the functor of the restriction of scalars from k to \mathbf{Q} . Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}} G$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}} G'$. Then we have the canonical imbedding $\text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}} \psi; \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ defined over \mathbf{Q} . Put $\Psi = \text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}} \psi$.

Over \mathbf{R} , we have the following isomorphisms ([2], 7.16);

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &\cong {}^{\sigma_1}G \times {}^{\sigma_2}G \times \cdots \times {}^{\sigma_d}G \\ \mathcal{G}' &\cong {}^{\sigma_1}G' \times {}^{\sigma_2}G' \times \cdots \times {}^{\sigma_d}G', \end{aligned}$$

where, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, ${}^{\sigma}G$ (resp. ${}^{\sigma}G'$) denotes the conjugate of G (resp. G') by σ . So we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R}) \cong G \times Sp(n) \times \cdots \times Sp(n)$$

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{R}) \cong G' \times SU(2n) \times \cdots \times SU(2n).$$

Under these isomorphisms, the imbedding Ψ is the product of the conjugations ${}^{\sigma_i}\psi; {}^{\sigma_i}G \rightarrow {}^{\sigma_i}G'$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$) of ψ .

As in [1], VIII, 5.3, \mathcal{G}' is naturally imbedded into Sp_N over \mathbf{Q} where $N = 2nd$. In fact, consider $E_{k'}$ as to be a $4n$ -dimensional vector space over k and write E_k instead of $E_{k'}$. We define the skew-symmetric k -bilinear form β on E_k by

$$h(z, w) = \mu(z, w) + \sqrt{-1}\beta(z, w) \quad (z, w \in E_k).$$

Then G' is imbedded into the symplectic group Sp_{2n} defined by β over k . Further, if we consider $E_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}} E_k$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{Q}} = \text{Res}_{k/\mathbf{Q}} \beta$, \mathcal{G}' is naturally imbedded into the group Sp_N defined by $\beta_{\mathbf{Q}}$ over \mathbf{Q} . Denote by $\Psi'; \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow Sp_N$ this imbedding.

Thus we obtain the imbedding $\Psi' \circ \Psi; \mathcal{G} \rightarrow Sp_N$ defined over \mathbf{Q} . We choose a basis of $E_{\mathbf{Q}}$ so that $\beta_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is of standard form. With respect to this basis, we consider Sp_N as to be the subgroup of $GL(2N, \mathbf{C})$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Z}) &= \{g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Q}) \mid (\Psi' \circ \Psi)(g) \in Sp(N, \mathbf{Z})\} \\ \mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{Z}) &= \{g \in \mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{Q}) \mid \Psi'(g) \in Sp(N, \mathbf{Z})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Z})$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{Z})$) is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R})$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{R})$) ([2], 7.11, 7.12). By a standard argument about arithmetic subgroups, $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Z})$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{Z})$) turns out to be a uniform discrete subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R})$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{R})$)

([1], VIII, 5.4). In the direct product (4.1) (resp. (4.2)), denote by $p_1; \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow G$ (resp. $p'_1; \mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow G'$) the projection to the first component. Define

$$\Gamma_0 = p_1(\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Z})), \quad \Gamma'_0 = p'_1(\mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{Z})).$$

Then Γ_0 (resp. Γ'_0) is a uniform discrete subgroup of G (resp. G') ([1], VIII, 5.5). Clearly we have

$$\psi(\Gamma_0) \subset \Gamma'_0.$$

As for the group G' and its representation (V_r, H_r) , Borel and Wallach obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 ([1], VIII, Corollary 5.8). *There is a subgroup Γ' of finite index in Γ'_0 such that $m(V_r, \Gamma') \neq 0$, where $m(V_r, \Gamma')$ is the multiplicity of V_r in $L^2(\Gamma' \backslash G')$.*

As the proof of this theorem in [1] shows, Γ' is indeed a congruence subgroup of Γ'_0 ; that is, Γ' is given by

$$\Gamma' = p'_1(\Omega')$$

where Ω' is a congruence subgroup of $\mathcal{G}'(\mathbf{Z})$. Using this subgroup Γ' , we can construct our desired subgroup of G .

Theorem 4.2. *There is a subgroup Γ of finite index in Γ_0 such that $m(U_r, \Gamma) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let Γ' and Ω' be as above. There is a congruence subgroup Ω of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Z})$ such that $\Psi(\Omega) \subset \Omega'$ ([2], 7.12). Put $\Gamma = p_1(\Omega)$. Then Γ is a subgroup of finite index in Γ_0 and we have

$$(4.3) \quad \psi(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma'.$$

In the following, we will prove that $m(U_r, \Gamma) \neq 0$. As in 2.1, let H_r^∞ be the space of C^∞ -vectors in H_r for the representation (V_r, H_r) of G' . Since $m(V_r, \Gamma') \neq 0$, by [1], VIII, Theorem 4.3, there is a non-trivial continuous linear functional λ of H_r^∞ such that

$$\lambda \circ V_r(\gamma) = \lambda$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$. Using λ , we want to construct a non-trivial intertwining operator of H_r into $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. For $\phi \in H_r^\infty$, define a function $A'(\phi); G' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$A'(\phi)(g) = \lambda(V_r(g)\phi) \quad (g \in G').$$

Then $A'(\phi)$ is a C^∞ -function on G' and left Γ' -invariant. Since G is imbedded into G' by ψ as a Lie subgroup, $A'(\phi) \circ \psi; G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a C^∞ -function on G . Also,

by (4.3), $A'(\phi) \circ \psi$ is left Γ -invariant. So we can define a linear mapping $A; H_r^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\phi)(\Gamma g) &= A'(\phi)(\psi(g)) \\ &= \lambda(U_r(g)\phi) \quad (\phi \in H_r^\infty, g \in G). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have

$$A(U_r(g)\phi) = U_\Gamma(g)A(\phi) \quad (\phi \in H_r^\infty, g \in G)$$

where U_Γ is the right regular representation of G on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Moreover, from the continuity of λ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad A(U_r(X)\phi) = U_\Gamma(X)A(\phi) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \phi \in H_r^\infty).$$

Let \langle , \rangle (resp. \langle , \rangle_Γ) be the inner product on H_r (resp. $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$). For K -finite vectors $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in H_r^0$, set

$$(4.5) \quad (\phi_1, \phi_2) = \langle A(\phi_1), A(\phi_2) \rangle_\Gamma.$$

Then $(,)$ defines a \mathfrak{g} -invariant hermitian form on the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module H_r^0 . Here, by Proposition 2.2, H_r^0 decomposes into the sum of the isotypic K -submodules;

$$H_r^0 = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}_+, s \geq -r} H_{r,s}^0.$$

Since $A|_{H_r^0}; H_r^0 \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is K -equivariant, this decomposition is the orthogonal direct sum with respect to $(,)$, too. Also, each $H_{r,s}^0$ is finite dimensional. From these facts, it is easy to see that there is a linear mapping $B; H_r^0 \rightarrow H_r^0$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad (\phi_1, \phi_2) = \langle B\phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \quad (\phi_1, \phi_2 \in H_r^0).$$

Then, by (4.4), we have

$$B(U_r(X)\phi) = U_r(X)(B(\phi)) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}, \phi \in H_r^0).$$

Since H_r^0 is an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, B is a scalar operator $\nu \cdot \text{Id}$ where $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\nu \geq 0$. Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\langle A(\phi_1), A(\phi_2) \rangle_\Gamma = \nu \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle \quad (\phi_1, \phi_2 \in H_r^0).$$

This implies that $A|_{H_r^0}$ is continuous with respect to the topology of H_r^0 in H_r . Hence the operator $A|_{H_r^0}$ extends to a bounded operator

$$\bar{A}; H_r \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G).$$

Note that H_r^0 consists of analytic vectors for U_r and G is connected. Then (4.4) implies that

$$\bar{A}(U_r(g)\phi) = U_\Gamma(g)\bar{A}(\phi) \quad (\phi \in H_r, g \in G)$$

and hence \bar{A} is an intertwining operator of (U_r, H_r) into $(U_\Gamma, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$.

On the other hand, λ is non-trivial. From the density of H_r^0 in H_r^∞ , $\lambda|_{H_r^0}$ is non-trivial. Hence \bar{A} is non-trivial. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 4.3. *For $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, there is a uniform discrete subgroup Γ of G such that*

$$H^{2q}(\Gamma, F_l^*) \neq \{0\}.$$

Proof. Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$H^{2q}(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{l+2q}^0 \otimes F_l^*) \neq \{0\}.$$

Then, by [1], I, Theorem 5.3, the infinitesimal character of H_{l+2q} is equal to that of F_l . Applying Theorem 4.2 to U_{l+2q} , we obtain a uniform discrete subgroup Γ such that $m(U_{l+2q}, \Gamma) \neq 0$. Then, by (0.1), we have

$$H^{2q}(\Gamma, F_l^*) \neq \{0\}.$$

REMARK 4.4. In the above corollary, we consider the case $l=0$. Then we have

$$H^{2q}(\Gamma, \mathbf{C}) \neq \{0\}.$$

More precisely, $H^{2q}(\Gamma, \mathbf{C})$ contains a cohomology class which corresponds to a non-trivial automorphic representation. This improves the result in [4]. In [4], Millson and Raghunathan showed that, for some Γ , $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{C})$ contains such a class for any i strictly between 0 and $4pq$ and divisible by either $4p$ or $4q$ ([4], Theorem 4.1).

References

- [1] A. Borel, N. Wallach: Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups and representations of reductive groups, Ann. Math. Studies, No. 94, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [2] A. Borel: Introduction aux groupes arithmetiques, Hermann, 1969.
- [3] D.H. Collingwood: *A note on continuous cohomology for semi-simple Lie groups*, Math. Z. **189** (1985), 65–70.
- [4] J.J. Millson, M.S. Raghunathan: *Geometric construction of homology for arithmetic groups I*, Geometry and analysis, Indian Academy of Sciences and Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Springer, 1981, 103–123.
- [5] D.A. Vogan, Jr, G.J. Zuckerman: *Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology*, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), 51–90.
- [6] N. Wallach: Symplectic geometry and Fourier analysis, Math. Sci. Press, Boston, 1977.

- [7] G. Warner: Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups I, *Grund. Math. Wiss.* 188, Springer, 1972.

Department of Integrated Arts and Sciences
University of Osaka Prefecture
Sakai, Osaka 591
Japan