

ON SOME ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF THE SOLUTION FOR A STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION ON HILBERT SPACES

MA HONG

(Received February 27, 1986)

1. Introduction

Let (H, p) and (K, q) be separable Hilbert spaces with inner products p and q respectively. $\sigma_2(H, K)$ denotes the Hilbert space of totality of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from H into K .

For a cylindrical Brownian motion B on H , we consider the following stochastic differential equation on K :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = G(X(t))dB(t) + b(X(t))dt \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where $G: K \rightarrow \sigma_2(H, K)$ is Borel measurable and so is $b: K \rightarrow K$. Moreover G and b satisfy the following Lipschitz condition (A_1) :

(A_1) There exists a positive constant α such that

$$\begin{cases} q(b(x) - b(y)) \leq \alpha q(x - y) \\ \|G(x) - G(y)\| \leq \alpha q(x - y), \end{cases}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ mean the Hilbert-Schmidt norm in $\sigma_2(H, K)$ and $q^2(x) = q(x, x)$.

Therefore according to M. Yor [7] and Y. Miyahara [6], we have

Proposition. *There exists a unique solution X of (1.1), which is a diffusion with generator L ;*

$$(1.2) \quad Lf(x) = q(f'(x), b(x)) + (1/2)\text{trace}(G^*(x)f''(x)G(x)).$$

Moreover X has continuous paths, i.e. with probability 1,

$$(1.3) \quad q(X(t) - X(s)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t - s \rightarrow 0.$$

In the finite dimensional case, A. Friedman [1] investigated various asymptotic properties of $X(t)$, and especially he gave recurrence and transience criteria in terms of G and b . The purpose of this paper is to extend Friedman's results

on recurrence to the infinite dimensional case.

The following auxiliary theorem will be proved in §2.

Theorem 1. *Suppose the condition (A₂).*

(A₂) *For any $R > 0$, there exist $z_R \in K$, and two positive constants Γ_R and γ_R such that*

$$(1.4) \quad p^2(G^*(x)z_R)\Gamma_R + q(b(x), z_R) \geq \gamma_R \quad \text{whenever } q(x) \leq R,$$

where G^* is the conjugate operator of G and $p^2(h) = p(h, h)$ for $h \in H$.

Then for any $x \in K$

$$(1.5) \quad P_x \{ \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(X(t)) = \infty \} = 1$$

holds.

According to A. Friedman [1], we define

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &= p^2(G^*(x)y)/q^2(y), \quad B(x) = \|G^*(x)\|^2, \\ C(x, y) &= 2q(b(x), y), \\ S(x, y) &= \frac{B(x) + C(x, y)}{A(x, y)} - 1 \quad \text{and } S(x) = S(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the non-degeneracy condition (A₃);

(A₃) $p(G^*(x)y) > 0$ for any non-zero $y \in K$ and $x \in K$.

So the condition (A₃) implies that

$$(1.6) \quad A(x, y) > 0 \quad \text{for } y \neq 0.$$

In §3 we will prove the following Theorems 2 and 3, using the similar method as A. Friedman [1].

Theorem 2. *Besides (A₂) and (A₃), we assume (A₄).*

(A₄) *There exist a positive constant R_0 and a continuous function ε_1 on $[0, \infty)$, such that*

$$(1.7) \quad S(x) \geq 1 + \varepsilon_1(q(x)) \quad \text{whenever } q(x) \geq R_0,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{R_0}^{\infty} (1/t) \exp \left[- \int_{R_0}^t \varepsilon_1(s)/s \, ds \right] dt < +\infty.$$

Then the solution X is transient, i.e.

$$(1.9) \quad P_x(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(X(t)) = \infty) = 1 \quad \text{for any } x \in K.$$

Theorem 3. Besides (A_2) and (A_3) , we assume (A_5) .

(A_5) For any $z \in K$, there exist a positive constant R_z and a continuous function ε_2 on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad S(x, x-z) \leq 1 + \varepsilon_2(q(x-z)) \quad \text{whenever } q(x-z) > R_z,$$

and for some $R^* > 0$,

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{R^*}^{\infty} (1/t) \exp \left[- \int_{R^*}^t \varepsilon_2(s)/s \, ds \right] dt = \infty .$$

Then X is recurrent, i.e. for any ball $B_\alpha(z) = \{y: q(y-z) \leq \alpha\}$, $\alpha > 0$

$$(1.12) \quad P_x(X(t_n) \in B_\alpha(z) \text{ for some } t_1 < t_2 < \dots \uparrow \infty) = 1 \text{ for any } x \in K .$$

See Funaki [2] for a result related to Theorem 3. Moreover, we have from the separability of K

Corollary. Under the conditions (A_2) , (A_3) and (A_5) ,

$$P_x \{ \text{closure of } \{X(t); t \in [0, \infty)\} = K \} = 1 \text{ for any } x \in K .$$

Finally, as a simple example, we treat an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process

$$dX(t) = GdB(t) - cX(t)dt ,$$

where $G \in \sigma_2(H, K)$, and c is a real constant, and will discuss how the asymptotic behavior of $X(t)$ depends on the constant c .

2. Proof of Theorem 1

First of all, we will recall the definition of the solution of (1.1), according to M. Yor [7]. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space. A cylindrical Brownian motion B on H means a Wiener process $(0, p^2)$ on H , namely $B: [0, \infty) \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$ satisfies the following conditions

- (1) $B(0, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$,
- (2) $B(\cdot, h, \cdot)/p(h)$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion for $h \neq 0$,
- (3) for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}^1$,

$$B(t, \lambda h + \mu k, \cdot) = \lambda B(t, h, \cdot) + \mu B(t, k, \cdot) \quad \text{a.s. .}$$

Put $\mathcal{F}_t :=$ the σ -field generated by $\{B(s, h, \cdot); s \leq t, h \in H\}$. A K -valued process X is called a solution of (1.1) if

- (1) X is \mathcal{F}_t -progressively measurable,
- (2) $E \left[\int_0^T q^2(X(s)) ds \right] < \infty$ for any $T > 0$,

and

(3) for any t

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t G(X(s))dB(s) + \int_0^t b(X(s))ds \quad \text{a.s.}$$

holds, where the second term is the stochastic integral and the third term is the Bochner integral.

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix $R > 0$ arbitrarily. By (A_2) we can take z_R, γ_R and Γ_R of (1.4). So z_R is not zero. Put $\xi_1 := z_R/q(z_R)$ and define ϕ by

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(x) = A[e^{\alpha(R+1)} - e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)}],$$

where A and α are some positive constants, which will be determined later. Then ϕ is Fréchet differentiable at any order and its first and second derivatives are given by

$$(2.2) \quad \phi'(x)[h] = -A\alpha e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)}q(h, \xi_1)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \phi''(x)[h_1, h_2] = -A\alpha^2 e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)}q(h_1, \xi_1)q(h_2, \xi_1),$$

namely $\phi'(x) \in K^*$ can be regarded as

$$(2.4) \quad \phi'(x) = -A\alpha e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)}\xi_1 \in K$$

and $\phi''(x) \in \mathcal{L}(K \rightarrow K^*)$ (linear map from K into K^*) can be regarded as

$$(2.5) \quad \phi''(x) = -A\alpha^2 e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)}\xi_1 \otimes \xi_1.$$

Therefore $G^*(x)\phi''(x)G(x) \in \mathcal{L}(H \rightarrow H)$, and

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{trace}(G^*(x)\phi''(x)G(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(e_i, G^*(x)\phi''(x)G(x)e_i) \\ &= -A\alpha^2 e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^2(G^*(x)\xi_1, e_i) \\ &= -A\alpha^2 e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)} p^2(G^*(x)\xi_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i; i=1, 2, \dots\}$ is an ONB in H . Hence we see that from (2.4) and (2.6)

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} L\phi(x) &= q(\phi'(x), b(x)) + (1/2)\text{trace}(G^*(x)\phi''(x)G(x)) \\ &= -A\alpha e^{\alpha q(x, \xi_1)}[q(b(x), \xi_1) + (1/2)p^2(G^*(x)\xi_1)\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha = 2\Gamma_R q(z_R) \quad \text{and} \quad A = \exp\{2\Gamma_R q(z_R)R\} / 2\Gamma_R \gamma_R.$$

Then recalling the definition of ξ_1 and (1.4), we have

$$(2.9) \quad L\phi(x) \leq -1 \quad \text{whenever} \quad q(x) \leq R.$$

Since ϕ is smooth, Itô's formula derives

$$(2.10) \quad \phi(X(t)) = \phi(x) + \int_0^t L\phi(X(s))ds + \int_0^t \langle G^*(X(s))\phi'(X(s)), dB(s) \rangle$$

where $\langle G^*(X(s))\phi'(X(s)), dB(s) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dot{p}(G^*(X(s))\phi'(X(s)), e_i)dB(s, e_i)$.

Let $\tau = \tau_R$ be the first exit time from the ball $B_R := \{y \in K; q(y) \leq R\}$, i.e.

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \inf \{t > 0; X(t) \notin B_R\}, \\ \infty & \text{if the above set is empty.} \end{cases}$$

Then (2.10) yields

$$(2.11) \quad E_x(\phi(X(t \wedge \tau))) = \phi(x) + E_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} L\phi(X(s))ds \right] \leq \phi(x) - E_x(t \wedge \tau).$$

On the other hand, if $q(y) \leq R$, then we have

$$(2.12) \quad 0 \leq \phi(y) \leq Ae^{\alpha(R+1)},$$

the right hand side of which we denote by M . Therefore we can get from (2.11) and (2.12),

$$(2.13) \quad E_x(t \wedge \tau) \leq \phi(x) \leq M \quad \text{for } x \in B_R.$$

Since $t \wedge \tau$ is increasing to τ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the monotone convergence theorem implies

$$(2.14) \quad E_x(\tau) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_x(t \wedge \tau) \leq M.$$

Hence we have

$$(2.15) \quad P_x(\tau_R < \infty) = 1,$$

that is

$$(2.16) \quad P_x(\sup_{t>0} q(X(t)) \geq R) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in B_R.$$

Since R is arbitrary, we complete the proof.

3. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

To prove Theorem 2, define functions θ, I, F and f by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(r) &:= 1 + \varepsilon_1(r) \quad r \geq R_0, & I(s) &:= \int_{R_0}^s \theta(t)/t dt, \\ F(r) &:= \int_r^{\infty} e^{-I(s)} ds \quad r \geq R_0, & f(x) &:= F(q(x)) \quad q(x) \geq R_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the condition (A_4) means " $F(r) < \infty$ " and we can easily calculate Fréchet derivatives f' and f'' :

$$(3.1) \quad f'(x) [h] = F'(q(x))q(x, h)/q(x)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f''(x) [h_1, h_2] &= F''(q(x))q(x, h_1)q(x, h_2)/q^2(x) \\ &\quad + F'(q(x))q(h_1, h_2)/q(x) \\ &\quad - F'(q(x))q(x, h_1)q(x, h_2)/q^3(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{trace}(G^*(x)f''(x)G(x)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(e_j, G^*(x)f''(x)G(x)e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q(G(x)e_j, f''(x)G(x)e_j) \\ &= [F''(q(x))/q^2(x)] \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^2(G(x)e_j, x) + [F'(q(x))/q(x)] \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^2(G(x)e_j) \\ &\quad - [F'(q(x))/q^3(x)] \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^2(G(x)e_j, x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} Lf(x) &= (1/2)F''(q(x))A(x, x) + (1/2)F'(q(x))\{B(x) - A(x, x) + C(x, x)\}/q(x) \\ &= (1/2)A(x, x)\{F''(q(x)) + \theta(q(x))F'(q(x))/q(x)\} \\ &\quad + (1/2)A(x, x)F'(q(x))\{S(x) - \theta(q(x))\}/q(x) \\ &= (1/2)A(x, x)F'(q(x))\{S(x) - \theta(q(x))\}/q(x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $R_0 < \alpha < R < \beta$, we put

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \tau_{\alpha\beta} := \begin{cases} \inf \{t > 0; q(X(t)) \notin (\alpha, \beta)\} \\ \infty & \text{if the above set is empty,} \end{cases} \\ \Omega^*(\alpha) := \{\omega \in \Omega; X(\tau_R + t_n, \omega) \in B_\alpha \text{ for some } t_1 < t_2 < \dots \uparrow \infty\}, \\ \Omega(\alpha) := \{\omega \in \Omega; X(t, \omega) \in B_\alpha \text{ for some } t\}, \end{cases}$$

where τ_R is the exit time from the ball B_R .

Recalling Theorem 1, we have

$$(3.6) \quad P_y(\tau_{\alpha\beta} < \infty) = 1 \quad \text{for any } y \in K.$$

Hence, using Itô's formula and (3.4), we get for $\alpha < q(y) < \beta$

$$(3.7) \quad E_y \{f(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta}))\} - f(y) = E_y \left\{ \int_0^{\tau_{\alpha\beta}} Lf(X(s)) ds \right\} \leq 0.$$

Appealing to the definition of f , we have for $\alpha < q(y) < \beta$

$$(3.8) \quad F(\alpha)P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \alpha) + F(\beta)P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \beta) \leq F(q(y)).$$

Therefore we have

$$P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \alpha) \leq F(q(y))/F(\alpha) \quad \text{for } \alpha < q(y) < \beta.$$

Since $P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \alpha)$ is increasing to $P_y(\Omega(\alpha))$ as $\beta \uparrow \infty$, we see that

$$(3.9) \quad P_y(\Omega(\alpha)) \leq F(q(y))/F(\alpha) \quad \text{for } \alpha < q(y).$$

Using the strong Markov property of X , we get

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} P_x(\Omega^*(\alpha)) &\leq E_x[P_{X(\tau_R)}(\Omega(\alpha))] \leq E_x[F(q(X(\tau_R)))/F(\alpha)] \\ &= E_x[F(R)/F(\alpha)] = F(R)/F(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for $q(x) < R$. Tending R to ∞ , we conclude

$$(3.11) \quad P_x(\Omega^*(\alpha)) = 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in K,$$

since $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} F(R) = 0$. Therefore we have

$$(3.12) \quad P_x(\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(X(t)) \geq \alpha) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

For the proof of Theorem 3, we will show for simplicity

$$(3.13) \quad P_x(X(t_n) \in B_\alpha) \text{ for some } t_1 < t_2 < \dots \uparrow \infty) = 1.$$

Because we can apply the similar argument for $B_\alpha(z)$, replacing $X(t)$ by $X(t) - z$. Define θ, I, F and f by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(r) &:= 1 + \varepsilon_2(r) \quad r \geq R_0, \quad I(s) := \int_{R_0}^s \theta(t)/t \, dt \\ F(r) &:= - \int_{R_0}^r e^{-I(s)} \, ds, \quad f(x) := F(q(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the condition (A₅) implies that

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} F(r) = -\infty.$$

Since f is twice Fréchet differentiable, in the same way as (3.4) we have

$$(3.15) \quad Lf(x) \geq (1/2)A(x, x)F'(q(x))\{S(x) - \theta(q(x))\}/|q(x)| \geq 0.$$

From this, as we derived (3.8) from (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$(3.16) \quad F(\alpha)P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \alpha) + F(\beta)P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \beta) \geq F(q(y)).$$

Tending β to ∞ , we get from (3.14)

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \beta) = 0.$$

Therefore (3.6) derives

$$(3.18) \quad P_y(\Omega(\alpha)) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P_y(q(X(\tau_{\alpha\beta})) = \alpha) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \alpha > 0.$$

For any fixed $\alpha < R_1 < R_2 < \dots \uparrow \infty$, we define stopping times t_m and σ_m by

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= \inf \{t; X(t) \in B_\alpha\}, \quad \sigma_1 := \inf \{t; t > t_1 \text{ and } X(t) \in \partial B_{R_1}\}, \\ &\vdots \\ t_m &:= \inf \{t; t > \sigma_{m-1} \text{ and } X(t) \in B_\alpha\}, \quad \sigma_m := \inf \{t; t > t_m \text{ and } X(t) \in \partial B_{R_m}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can easily see that

$$(3.19) \quad P_x(t_1 < \infty) = P_x(\Omega(\alpha)) = 1 \quad \text{for any } x \in K$$

and that from Theorem 1, for $m=1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3.20) \quad P_x(\sigma_m < \infty) = 1 \quad \text{for any } x \in K.$$

Again using the strong Markov property, we get by (3.19)

$$(3.21) \quad P_x(t_2 < \infty) = E_x[P_{X(\sigma_1)}(t_1 < \infty)] = 1 \quad \text{for any } x \in K.$$

Assume that $P_x(t_m < \infty) = 1$ for any $x \in K$. Then (3.20) and the strong Markov property derive

$$(3.22) \quad P_x(t_{m+1} < \infty) = E_x[P_{X(\sigma_m)}(t_m < \infty)] = 1 \quad \text{for any } x \in K.$$

Therefore we see that for $m=1, 2, \dots$,

$$P_x(t_m < \infty) = 1 \quad \text{for any } x \in K.$$

Since $t_1 < \sigma_1 < t_2 < \sigma_2 < \dots$, we can show that

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

by virtue of continuity (1.3) of X . Now we complete the proof.

EXAMPLE. Consider an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type stochastic differential equation

$$(3.24) \quad dX(t) = GdB(t) - cX(t)dt.$$

We assume the condition (A_3) , i.e.

$$(3.25) \quad p^2(G^*z) > 0 \quad \text{if } z \neq 0.$$

For any fixed normalized $z \in K$, we put $\Gamma_R := (1 + |c|R)/p^2(G^*z)$. Then

$$p^2(G^*z)\Gamma_R - cq(x, z) \geq 1 + |c|R - |c|q(x)q(y) \geq 1,$$

namely (A_2) holds.

Now, first assume that c is negative. Then we can easily see that

$$S(x) = (||G||^2 - 2cq^2(x))q^2(x)/p^2(G^*x) - 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (\|G\|^2 - 2cq^2(x)) / \|G\|^2 - 1 \\ &= -2cq^2(x) / \|G\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $R_0 = \|G\| / \sqrt{|c|}$, we have

$$S(x) \geq 2 \quad \text{whenever } q(x) \geq R_0,$$

namely the constant function $\varepsilon_1 \equiv 1$ satisfies (1.7) and (1.8). So (A_4) holds in this case.

Next, consider the case when c is positive. Fix $z \in K$ arbitrarily. Then we have

$$A(x, x-z) = p^2(G^*(x-z)) / q^2(x-z), \quad C(x, x-z) = -2cq^2(x-z) - 2cq(z, x-z)$$

and

$$S(x, x-z) = [\|G^*\|^2 - 2cq^2(x-z) - 2cq(z, x-z)]q^2(x-z) / p^2(G^*(x-z)) - 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, x-z) - 1 &\leq [\|G\|^2 - 2cq^2(x-z) - 2cq(z, x-z)]q^2(x-z) / p^2(G^*(x-z)) \\ &\leq [\|G\|^2 - 2cq^2(x-z) + 2cq(z)q(x-z)]q^2(x-z) / p^2(G^*(x-z)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the quadratic form $Q(\xi) = \|G\|^2 - 2c\xi^2 + 2cq(z)\xi$. Since c is positive, there exists $R_z > 0$ such that

$$Q(\xi) < 0 \quad \text{for } \xi > R_z.$$

Therefore $S(x, x-z) \leq 1$ whenever $q(x-z) > R_z$. Setting $\varepsilon_2 = 0$ and $R^* = R_z$, we have (A_5) .

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor M. Nisio for her valuable suggestions and continuous encouragement.

References

- [1] A. Friedman: Stochastic differential equations and applications, Vol. 1 and Vol. 2, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] T. Funaki: *Random motion of strings and related stochastic evolution equation*, Nagoya Math. J. **89** (1983), 129-193.
- [3] K. Itô: Foundations of stochastic differential equation in infinite dimensional spaces, CBMS-NSF 47, SIAM, 1984.
- [4] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe: Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, North-Holland/Kodansha, 1981.
- [5] Y. Miyahara: *Infinite dimensional Langevin equation and Fokker-Plank equation*, Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 177-223.
- [6] ———: Stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces, Seminar on Prob.

Vol. 53 (1981) (in Japanese).

- [7] M. Yor: *Existence et unicité de diffusions à valeurs dans un espace de Hilbert*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B **10** (1974), 55–58.

Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University
Chengdu, China