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## Introduction

Let $S_{c}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional sphere with constant curvature $c$. Let $\Delta$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $S_{1}^{n}$. The spectre and eigen-functions of $\Delta$ are well-known [2]. Let $V^{d}$ be the eigen-space of $\Delta$ corresponding to the $d$-th eigen-value $\lambda_{d}=d(d+n-1)$. Let $f_{0}, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{m(d)}$ be an orthonormal basis of $V^{d}$ with respect to the inner product. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n, d} & : S_{k(d)}^{n} \rightarrow S_{1}^{m(d)}\left(\subset \boldsymbol{R}^{m(d)+1}\right) \\
& ; p \rightarrow 1 /(m(d)+1)\left(f_{0}(p), f_{1}(p), \cdots, f_{m(d)}(p)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isometric minimal immersion, where $k(d)$ and $m(d)$ are as follows [6];

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k(d)=n / d(d+n-1) \\
& m(d)=(2 d+n-1)(d+n-2)!/ d!(n-1)!-1
\end{aligned}
$$

It is proved that any isometric minimal immersion of $S_{c}^{2}$ into $S_{1}^{N}$ is equivalent to $\psi_{2, d}$ for some $d$, [3], [6]. But it is not true if the dimension $n$ is greater than 3. In fact do Carmo and Wallach proved the following

Theorem 0.1 (do Carmo and Wallach, [7]). Let $f: S_{c}^{n} \rightarrow S_{1}^{N}$ be an isometric minimal immersion. Then
(i) there exists an integer $d$ such that $c=k(d)$.
(ii) There exists a positive semi-definite matrix $A$ of size $(m(d)+1) \times(m(d)+1)$ such that $f$ is equivalent to $A \circ \psi_{n, d}$.
(iii) If $n=2$ or $d \leqq 3$, then $A$ is the identity matrix.
(iv) If $n \geqq 3$ and $d \geqq 4$, then $A$ is parametrized by a compact convex body $L$ in some finite dimensional vector space, $\operatorname{dim} L \geqq 18$. If $A$ is an interior point of $L$ then $N=m(d)$, and if $A$ is a boundary point of $L$ then $N<m(d)$.

There are some problems concerning (iv) of the above Theorem.

Problem 0.2 (Chern, [4]). Let $S_{c}^{3} \rightarrow S_{1}^{7}$ be an isometric minimal immersion. Is it totally geodesic?

In [5], do Carmo posed a more general
Problem 0.3. Determine the lower bound $1(d)$ of the dimension $N$ of the sphere $S_{1}^{N}$ into which a given $S_{k(d)}^{n}$ can be isometrically and minimally immersed.

Recently Problem 0.2 was negatively answered by N. Ejiri [8]. In fact he proved that there exists an isometric minimal immersion $S_{1 / 16}^{3} \rightarrow S_{1}^{6}$.

As for the Problem 0.3, scarcely anything is known.
In this paper we confine our consideration to the case $n=3$. In this case $S^{3}$ has a structure of a Lie group, $S^{3}=S U(2)$. We investigate whether there exists an orbit in a representation space $V$ of $S U(2)$, which is a minimal submanifold in the unit sphere in $V$. And we give an estimate for $1(d)$ (of the Problem 0.3 in the case $n=3$ ). The following will be proved.

Theorem A. Let $d$ be an integer, $d \geqq 4$. Then there exists an isometric minimal immersion of $S_{3 / d(d+2)}^{3}$ into $S_{1}^{2 d+1}$.

Theorem B. Let $d$ be an even integer, $d \geqq 6$. Then there exists an isometric minimal immersion of $S_{3 / d(d+2)}^{3}$ into $S_{1}^{d}$.

## 1. Complex linear representations of $S U(2)$

In this section we give a brief review on the complex linear representation of $S U(2)$.

The special unitary group $S U(2)$ is the group of matrices which acts on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ and leaves invariant the usual Hermitian inner product on $\boldsymbol{C}$. We can identify $S U(2)$ with the 3-dimensional unit sphere $S_{1}^{3}\left(\subset C^{2}\right)$ by

$$
S U(2) \rightarrow S_{1}^{3}: g \rightarrow g \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right], g \in S U(2)
$$

Then the induced metric on $S U(2)$ by the above diffeomorphism is the biinvariant metric on $S U(2)$.

A homogeneous polynomial on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ is called of degree $d$ if it satisfies

$$
P(\lambda z, \lambda w)=\lambda^{d} P(z, w), \lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}, z, w \in \boldsymbol{C} .
$$

For each positive integer $d$, let $V(d)$ be the space of homogeneous polynomials of type $(d, 0)$ on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$. Then $S U(2)$ acts on $V(d)$ as follows

$$
(\rho(g)(P))(z, w)=P\left(^{t}\left(g^{-1} \cdot t(z, w)\right)\right), g \in S U(2), z, w \in \boldsymbol{C}, P \in V(d) .
$$

Then $(V(d), \rho)$ is a complex irreducible representation and each complex irreducible representation of $S U(2)$ is equivalent to $(V(d), \rho)$ for some $d$ [12].

Define a Hermitian inner product in $V(d)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P, Q)=(d+1) \int_{g \in S U(2)} P\left(^{t}\left(g \cdot{ }^{t}(1.0)\right)\right) \overline{Q\left(^{t}\left(g \cdot \cdot^{t}(1.0)\right)\right)} d g \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d g$ is the normalized Haar measure on $S U(2)$. Let $P_{i}$ be the polynomial in $V(d)$ defined by

$$
P_{i}(z, w)=\left({ }_{d} C_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} z^{d-i} w^{i}, z, w \in \boldsymbol{C} .
$$

Then $P_{0}, P_{1}, \cdots, P_{d}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V(d)$.
Let $\mathfrak{n t}(2)$ be the Lie algebra of $S U(2)$. Take the following basis of $\mathfrak{s u t ( 2 )}$ and fix them once for all.

$$
X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(-1)^{1 / 2} & 0 \\
0 & -(-1)^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right], \quad X_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad X_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (-1)^{1 / 2} \\
(-1)^{1 / 2} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then the bracket relations of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ are

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=2 X_{3}, \quad\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]=2 X_{1}, \quad\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]=2 X_{2} .
$$

We denote also by $\rho$ the representation of $\mathfrak{A l}(2)$ induced by the representation of $S U(2)$, i.e.,

$$
\rho(A)(P)=d /\left.d t\right|_{t=0} \rho(\exp t A)(P), A \in \mathfrak{B l u}(2)
$$

Then by a direct calculation we get

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\rho\left(X_{1}\right)\left(P_{j}\right)=(-1)^{1 / 2}(2 j-d) P_{j}, & 0 \leqq j \leqq d, \\
\rho\left(X_{2}\right)\left(P_{j}\right)=-((d-j)(j+1))^{1 / 2} P_{j+1}+(j(d-j+1))^{1 / 2} P_{j-1} \\
& 0 \leqq j \leqq d, \\
\rho\left(X_{3}\right)\left(P_{j}\right)=-(-(d-j)(j+1))^{1 / 2} P_{j+1}-(-j(d-j+1))^{1 / 2} P_{j-1},  \tag{1.2}\\
0 \leqq j \leqq d,
\end{array}
$$

where we put $P_{-1}=P_{d+1}=0$.

## 2. Real irreducible representations of $\boldsymbol{S U ( 2 )}$

In this section we give a brief review on real irreducible representations of $S U(2)$.

Let $G$ be a compact Lie group and $(V, \rho)$ be a complex irreducible representation of $G$. Then $(V, \rho)$ is said to be self-conjugate if $V$ has a structure map $j$, i.e., a conjugate linear map on $V$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j(\rho(g) v)=\rho(g) j(v), \quad g \in G, \quad v \in V, \\
& j(\alpha v+\beta w)=\bar{\alpha} j(v)+\bar{\beta} j(w), \quad \alpha, \beta \in C, v, w \in V, \\
& j^{2}= \pm 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A self-conjugate representation ( $V, \rho$ ) is said to be of index 1 (resp. -1 ) if $j^{2}=1$ (resp. $j^{2}=-1$ ). For simple Lie groups self-conjugate representations and their indices are known [13]. We denote by $\left(V_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \rho\right)$ the representation of $G$ over $\boldsymbol{R}$ obtained by the restriction of the coefficient field from $\boldsymbol{C}$ to $\boldsymbol{R}$.

Let $(V, \rho)$ be a self-conjugate representation of $G$ of index -1 . Then $\left(V_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \rho\right)$ is also irreducible. But $\left(V_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \rho\right)$ is reducible if $(V, \rho)$ is a self-conjugate representation of $G$ of index 1 . Namely $(1+j) V_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ and $(1-j) V_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ are mutually equivalent real irreducible representation of $G$ and

$$
V_{\boldsymbol{R}}=(1+j) V_{\boldsymbol{R}}+(1-j) V_{\boldsymbol{R}},(\text { direct sum })
$$

For these facts we refer, for instance, to [1].
Now we confine our attention to the case $G=S U(2)$.
Let $j$ be a conjugate-linear automorphism on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2}$ defined by

$$
j(z, w)=(-\bar{w}, \bar{z}), \quad z, w \in \boldsymbol{C}
$$

Extend $j$ to an automorphism on $V(d)$ by

$$
(j P)(z, w)=\overline{P(j(z, w))}, \quad z, w \in \boldsymbol{C}
$$

Then $j$ is a structure map on $V(d)$ with $j^{2}=(-1)^{d} 1$. So $\left(V(d)_{R}, \rho\right)$ is a selfconjugate representation of index $(-1)^{d}$. Let $d$ be an even integer $d=2 d^{\prime}$ and put $Q_{i}=(-1)^{1 / 2} P_{i}, 0 \leqq i \leqq d$. Then

$$
j P_{i}=(-1)^{i} P_{d-i}, \quad j Q_{i}=-(-1)^{i} Q_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leqq i \leqq d
$$

Since $P_{0}, P_{1}, \cdots, P_{d}, Q_{0}, Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{d}$ are basis of $V(d)_{R},(1+j) P_{i},(1+j) Q_{i}, 0 \leqq$ $i \leqq d$, are generators of $(1+j) V(d)_{R}$. It is easily seen that $(1+j) P_{i},(1-j) Q_{i}$, $0 \leqq i \leqq d-1$ and $(1+j) P_{d^{\prime}}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.(1+j) Q_{d^{\prime}}\right]$ are basis of $(1+j) V(d)_{R}$ if $a^{\prime}$ is an even [resp. odd] integer. We denote $(1+j) V(d)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ by $V_{0}(d)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $d$ be an even integer, $d=2 d^{\prime}$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} P_{i}$ is contained in $V_{0}(d)$ if and only if

$$
z_{i}=(-1)^{i} \bar{z}_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leqq i \leqq d^{\prime}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} P_{i}= & \left(\operatorname{Re} z_{0} P_{0}+\operatorname{Re} z_{d} P_{d}\right)+\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{0} Q_{0}+\operatorname{Im} z_{d} Q_{d}\right) \\
& +\left(\operatorname{Re} z_{1} P_{1}+\operatorname{Re} z_{d-1} P_{d-1}\right)+\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{1} Q_{1}+\operatorname{Im} z_{d-1} Q_{d-1}\right) \\
& +\cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& +z_{d^{\prime}} P_{d^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember that $P_{j}+(-1)^{j} P_{d-j}, Q_{j}-(-1)^{j} Q_{d-j}, 0 \leqq j \leqq d^{\prime}-1$ and $P_{d^{\prime}}$ [resp. $Q_{d^{\prime}}$ ] are basis of $V_{0}(d)$ if $d^{\prime}$ is an even [resp. odd] integer. So $\sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} P_{i}$ is contained in $V_{0}(d)$ if and only if
$\operatorname{Re} z_{i}=(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Re} z_{d-i}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z_{i}=-(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Im} z_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leqq i \leqq d^{\prime}-1$. $\operatorname{Im} z_{d^{\prime}}=0$ [resp. $\left.\operatorname{Re} z_{d^{\prime}}=0\right]$ if $d^{\prime}$ is even [resp. odd].

So we get the Lemma.
Q.E.D.

## 3. Orbits in a sphere

Let $G$ be a Lie subgroup in $S O(N+1)$. Then $G$ acts on the unit sphere $S_{1}^{N}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{N+1}$ centered at the origin in a natural manner. Take a point $p_{0}$ in $S_{1}^{N}$ and let $M$ be the orbit of the action of $G$ through $p_{0}$.

Let g be the Lie algebra of $G$. We denote by $A^{*}$ the vector field on $S_{1}^{N}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mid p}^{*}=d / d t_{\mid t=0} \exp (t A)(p), \quad p \in S_{1}^{N} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider elements of $g$ as skew symmetric $(N+1) \times(N+1)$-matrices in a natural manner. Then we get from (3.1) the following

$$
A_{\mid p}^{*}=A(p), \quad A \in \mathrm{~g}, p \in S_{1}^{N}
$$

So the tangent space of $M$ at $p$ is

$$
T_{p}(M)=\{A(p) \mid A \in \mathrm{~g}\}
$$

Let $N_{p}(M)$ be the normal space at $p$ in $S_{1}^{N}$. Consider the tangent space $T_{p}(M)$ and the normal space $N_{p}(M)$ as a subspace in $\boldsymbol{R}^{N+1}$. Then $\boldsymbol{R}^{N+1}$ is decomposed into the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}^{N+1}=\boldsymbol{R} p+T_{p}(M)+N_{p}(M) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a vector $A$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{N+1}$, we denote $A^{T}$ and $A^{N}$ the $T_{p}(M)$-component and $N_{p}(M)$-component of $A$ in the decomposition (3.2) respectively.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a Lie subgroup in $S O(N+1)$. Let $\alpha$ be the second fundamental form of the orbit $G \cdot p$ in $S_{i}^{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)_{\mid p}=(A(B(p)))^{N},  \tag{3.3}\\
& \nabla_{B^{*}} A^{*}{ }_{\mid p}=(A(B(p)))^{T}, \quad A, B \in \mathrm{~g} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Riemannian connecion on $M$.
Proof. Let $D$ be the Riemannian connection in $\boldsymbol{R}^{N+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{B^{*}} A_{\mid p}^{*} & =d / d t_{\mid t=0} A_{\operatorname{lexp}(t B)(p)} \\
& =d / d t_{\mid t=0} A(\exp (t B)(p)) \\
& =A(B(p))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)_{\mid p}=\left(D_{B^{*}} A^{*}{ }_{\mid p}\right)^{N}$ and $\nabla_{B^{*}} A^{*}{ }_{\mid p}=\left(D_{B^{*}} A^{*}{ }_{\mid p}\right)^{T}$, we get the Lemma. Q.E.D.

## 4. Left invariant metrics on $S U(2)$ and $S O(3)$

In this section we denote by $G$ the Lie group $S U(2)$ or $S O(3)$. The Lie algebras of $S U(2)$ and $S O(3)$ are mutually isomorphic. We denote them by $\mathfrak{S u t}(2)$.

Let $B$ be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{H l}(2)$. Then $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ defined in $\S 1$ are orthonormal with respect to $-B / 8$. Let $g_{0}$ be the Riemannian metric on $G$ which is the bi-invariant extension of $-B / 8$.
 an element $\sigma$ in $G$ such that
(i) $X_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ad}(\sigma)\left(X_{i}\right), i=1,2,3$, are mutually orthogonal with respect to $g$.
(ii) $g=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2} \omega_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3} \omega_{3}^{2}$, where $\lambda_{i}$ are positive constants and $\omega_{i}(\cdot)=g_{0}\left(X_{i}^{\prime}, \cdot\right)$, $i=1,2,3$.

Let $g$ be the Riemannian metric on $G$ which is the left invariant extension of the inner product $g$ on $\mathfrak{H l}(2)$. Extend $X_{i}^{\prime} /\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}, 1 \leqq i \leqq 3$, to the left invariant vector fields $Y_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq 3$. Let $\theta_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq 3$, be the dual coframe fields on $G$ to $Y_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq 3$. Let $\theta_{i j}$ (resp. $\Omega_{i j}$ ) be the connection (resp. curvature) form of $(G, g)$ with respect to the orthonormal frame fields $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}$. Then we get easily

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{12}=-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) /\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \theta_{3}, \\
& \theta_{23}=-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right) /\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \theta_{1}, \\
& \theta_{31}=-\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) /\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \theta_{2}, \\
& \Omega_{12}=\left(\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}-3 \lambda_{3}^{2}+2 \lambda_{3}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right) / \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \theta_{1} \Lambda \theta_{2}, \\
& \Omega_{23}=\left(\left(\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}-3 \lambda_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\right) / \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \theta_{2} \Lambda \theta_{3}, \\
& \Omega_{31}=\left(\left(\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}-3 \lambda_{2}^{2}+2 \lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{1}\right)\right) / \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \theta_{3} \Lambda \theta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $(G, g)$ is a space of constant curvature $k$ if and only if $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=1 / k$, i.e., $g=(1 / k) g_{0}$.

Let $(V, \rho)$ be a real representation of $G$ and $\langle$,$\rangle be a G$-invariant inner product on $V$. Then an orbit $M$ of $G$ through a unit vector $p \in V$ is contained in the unit sphere $S_{1}$ (in $V$ centered at the origin).

Lemma 4.2. (i) The orbit $M$ is a 3-dimensional space of constant curvature $k$ if and only if

$$
\left\langle\rho\left(X_{i}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{j}\right)(p)\right\rangle=\delta_{i j} / k, \quad 1 \leqq i, j \leqq 3 .
$$

(ii) Assume that the orbit $M$ is a 3-dimensional space of constant curvature $k$.

Then $M$ is a minimal submanifold in $S_{1}$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \rho\left(X_{j}\right)^{2}(p)=-3 k p
$$

Proof. Define a map $f: G \rightarrow S_{1}$ by

$$
f(\sigma)=\rho(\sigma)(p), \quad \sigma \in S_{1}
$$

Then

$$
f_{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=\rho\left(X_{i}\right)(p)
$$

Let $g$ be the induced metric on $G$ of $f_{*}$. Then $g$ is a left invariant metric. So $(G, g)$ is a 3 -dimensional space of constant curvature $k$ if and only if $g=(1 / k) g_{0}$. By definition of $g$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) & =\left\langle\rho\left(X_{i}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{j}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
& =g_{0}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) / k \\
& =\delta_{i j} / k, \quad 1 \leqq i, j \leqq 3
\end{aligned}
$$

if and only if $g=(1 / k) g_{0}$.
(ii) Since $(G, g)$ is a space of constant curvature, $\exp t X_{i}$ are geodesics in $(G, g)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\left(\rho\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}(p)$ is normal to $M$. Consider the vector $\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\rho\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}(p)$ in $V$, which is normal to $M$. Then its $N_{p}(M)$-components in the decomposition (3.2) is the mean curvature vector of $M$ in $S_{1}$ at $p$. Since $M$ is an orbit of a representation of $G, M$ is a minimal submanifold in $S_{1}$ if and only if the mean curvature vector of $M$ in $S_{1}$ at one point is 0 . So $M$ is a minimal submanifold if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(p\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}(p)=c p \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c$. Assume that (4.1) holds, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\rho\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}(p), p\right\rangle \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\langle\rho\left(X_{i}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{i}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
& =-3 k
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

## 5. Proof of Theorems

For each integer $d$, there exists a (complex) irreducible linear representation of $S U(2)$. We denote by $\left(V(d)_{R}, \rho\right)$ the real representation of $S U(2)$ obtained by the restriction of the coefficient field. Then $\left(V(d)_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \rho\right)$ is irreducible if $d$ is odd. $\left(V(d)_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \rho\right)$ is reducible if $d$ is even and we denote by $V_{0}(d)$ one of the irreducible component of $V(d)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. In this section we study whether there exists an orbit of constant curvature which is a minimal submanifold in the unit sphere in $V(d)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ or $V_{0}(d)$.

Let $\langle$,$\rangle be the real part of the S U(2)$-invariant Hermitian inner product (,) on $V(d)$ defined in (1.1). Then $\langle$,$\rangle is an S U(2)$-invariant inner product on $V(d)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$.

Let $p=\sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} P_{i} \in S_{1}^{2 d+1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} \bar{z}_{i}=1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a formula of Freudenthal [14], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(X_{1}\right)^{2}+\rho\left(X_{2}\right)^{2}+\rho\left(X_{3}\right)^{2}=-d(d+2) 1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2.
Lemma 5.1. If an orbit $M=\rho(S U(2))(p)$ is a space of constant curvature $k$, then
(i) $k=3 / d(d+2)$,
(ii) $M$ is a minimal submanifold in $S_{1}^{2 d+1}$.

By virtue of the above Lemma, we have only to verify the existence of an orbit of constant curvature in $S_{1}^{2 d+1}$ to prove Theorem A.

Extend $\rho: \mathfrak{S u}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g l}(d+1, \boldsymbol{C})$ to $\mathfrak{s l}(2, \boldsymbol{C})=(\mathfrak{B u}(2))^{\boldsymbol{C}}$ and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=-(-1)^{1 / 2} X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad X=X_{2}-(-1)^{1 / 2} X_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& Y=-X_{2}-(-1)^{1 / 2} X_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from (1.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho(H)\left(P_{j}\right)=(2 j-d) P_{j}, \quad 0 \leqq j \leqq d,  \tag{5.3}\\
& \rho(X)\left(P_{j}\right)=-2((d-j)(j+1))^{1 / 2} P_{j+1}, \quad 0 \leqq j \leqq d,  \tag{5.3}\\
& \rho(Y)\left(P_{j}\right)=-2(j(d-j+1))^{1 / 2} P_{j-1}, \quad 0 \leqq j \leqq d
\end{align*}
$$

where we put $P_{-1}=P_{d+1}=0$.
Lemma 5.2. An orbit $M=\rho(S U(2))(p)$ is a space of constant curvature $3 / d(d+2)$ if and on!y if

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\rho(H)(p), \rho(X)(p))+\overline{(\rho(H)(p), \rho(Y)(p))}=0  \tag{5.4}\\
& (\rho(X)(p), \rho(Y)(p))=0  \tag{5.4}\\
& (\rho(H)(p), \rho(H)(p))=d(d+2) / 3 \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By definition of $H, X$ and $Y$

$$
X_{1}=(-1)^{1 / 2} H, \quad X_{2}=X-Y, \quad X_{3}=(-1)^{1 / 2}(X+Y)
$$

A simple computation shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\rho\left(X_{1}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{2}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle(-1)^{1 / 2} \rho(H)(p), \rho(X)(p)-\rho(Y)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & -\operatorname{Im}(\rho(H)(p), \rho(X)(p))+\operatorname{Im}(\rho(H)(p), \rho(Y)(p)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\rho\left(X_{1}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{3}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & \operatorname{Re}(\rho(H)(p), \rho(X)(p))+\operatorname{Re}(\rho(H)(p), \rho(Y)(p)), \\
& \left\langle\rho\left(X_{2}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{3}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & 2 \operatorname{Im}(\rho(X)(p), \rho(Y)(p)), \\
& \left\langle\rho\left(X_{1}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{1}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & (\rho(H)(p), \rho(H)(p)), \\
& \left\langle\rho\left(X_{2}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{2}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & (\rho(X)(p), \rho(X)(p))+(\rho(Y)(p), \rho(Y)(p))-2 \operatorname{Re}(\rho(X)(p), \rho(Y)(p)) \\
& \left\langle\rho\left(X_{3}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{3}\right)(p)\right\rangle \\
= & (\rho(X)(p), \rho(X)(p))+(\rho(Y)(p), \rho(Y)(p))+2 \operatorname{Re}(\rho(X)(p), \rho(Y)(p)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An orbit $M=\rho(S U(2))(p)$ is a space of constant curvature $3 / d(d+2)$ if and only if

$$
\left\langle\rho\left(X_{i}\right)(p), \rho\left(X_{j}\right)(p)\right\rangle=d(d+2) / 3 \delta_{i j}, \quad 1 \leqq i, i \leqq 3,
$$

by Lemma 4.2. Taking (5.2) into account, the Lemma is an immediate consequence.
Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorems. Let $p=\sum_{j=0}^{d} z_{j} P_{j}$ be a point in $S_{1}^{2 d+1}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{d} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j}=1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $(5.3)_{1},(5.3)_{2}$ and $(5.3)_{3}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(H)(p)=\sum_{j=0}^{d}(2 j-d) z_{j} P_{j}, \\
& \rho(X)(p)=-2 \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}((d-j)(j+1))^{1 / 2} z_{j} P_{j+1}, \\
& \rho(Y)(p)=-2 \sum_{j=1}^{d}(j(d-j+1))^{1 / 2} z_{j} P_{j-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho((H)(p), \rho(X)(p))+\overline{(\rho(H)(p), \rho(Y)(p)}) \\
= & -2 \sum_{j=1}^{d}(2 j-d)(j(d-j+1))^{1 / 2} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j-1}-2 \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(2 j-d)((j+1)(d-j))^{1 / 2} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j+1}, \\
& (\rho(X)(p), \rho(Y)(p)) \\
= & 4 \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(j(j+1)(d-j+1)(d-j))^{1 / 2} z_{j-1} \bar{z}_{j+1}, \\
& (\rho(H)(p), \rho(H)(p)) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{d}\left(d^{2}-4 d j+4 j^{2}\right) z_{j} \bar{z}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So (5.4) $)_{1}$ and $(5.4)_{2}$ is equivalent to the following
$(5.5)_{1} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d}(2 j-d)(j(d-j+1))^{1 / 2} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(2 j-d)((j+1)(d-j))^{1 / 2} z_{j} \bar{z}_{j+1}=0$, $(5.5)_{2} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(j(j+1)(d-j+1)(d-j))^{1 / 2} z_{j-1} \bar{z}_{j+1}=0$.
Taking (5.1) into account, (5.4) ${ }_{3}$ is equivalent to
$(5.5)_{3} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{d}\left(6 j^{2}-6 d j+d^{2}-d\right) z_{j} \bar{z}_{j}=0$.
Now we prove the system of equations $(5.5)_{1},(5.5)_{2}$ and $(5.5)_{3}$ has a solution under the condition (5.1)

When $d=4$ we put

$$
z_{i}= \begin{cases}1 / 2, & \text { if } i=0,4 \\ (-2)^{1 / 2} / 2, & \text { if } i=2 \\ 0, & \text { if } i=1,3\end{cases}
$$

When $d$ is an even integer $d=2 d^{\prime}$ and $d \geqq 6$, we put

$$
z_{i}= \begin{cases}\left(\left(d^{\prime}+1\right) / 6 d^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} & , \\ (-1)^{d^{\prime} / 2}\left(\left(2 d^{\prime}-1\right) / 3 d^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}, & \text { if } j=d^{\prime} \\ 0 & , \\ \text { if otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

When $d$ is an odd integer $d=2 d^{\prime}+1, d^{\prime} \geqq 2$, we put

$$
z_{i}= \begin{cases}\left(\left(d^{\prime}+2\right) /\left(3 d^{\prime}+3\right)\right)^{1 / 2}, & \text { if } i=0 \\ \left(\left(2 d^{\prime}+1\right) /\left(3 d^{\prime}+3\right)\right)^{1 / 2}, & \text { if } i=d^{\prime}+1 \\ 0, & \text { if otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then it is easily verified that $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{d}\right)$ is a solution of the equation. So Theorem A is proved.

When $d$ is an even integer, $d \geqq 6, \sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} P_{i}$ is contained in $V_{0}(d)$ by Lemma 2.1. So the orbit passing this point must be contained in the unit sphere in $V_{0}(d)$. So we get Theorem B.
Q.E.D.

In Theorem B the case $d=4$ is excluded. But this is a natural consequence of the following

Theorem 5.7 (J.D. Moore, [10]). Let $M$ be a connected $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $k$ isometrically and minimally immersed in a simply connected ( $2 n-1$ )-dimensional Riemannian manifold $N$ of constant curvature $K$. Then either $M$ is totally geodesic or it is flat.

Recently Li [9] proved the following

Theorem. If $\Phi: S^{m} \rightarrow S_{1}^{n}$ is an isometric minimal immersion, then $\Phi\left(S^{m}\right)$ is either an embedded sphere or an embedded projective space.

But this is not true if the codimension is not maximal. Let $M$ be the orbit passing $\left(2^{1 / 2} P_{0}-(-5)^{1 / 2} P_{3}+2^{1 / 2} P_{6}\right) / 3$ in $V_{0}(6)$. As we proved, $M$ is a space of constant curvature $1 / 16$ and is a minimal submanifold in $S_{1}^{6}$. But the orbit is neither an embedded sphere nor an embedded projective space in $S_{1}^{6}$. Namely we have the following

Proposition 5.8. Let $\pi$ be the covering map

$$
\pi: S U(2) \rightarrow M ; g \rightarrow \rho(g)\left(\left(2^{1 / 2} P_{0}-(-5)^{1 / 2} P_{3}+2^{1 / 2} P_{6}\right) / 3\right)
$$

Then $\pi$ is at least 6-fold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Proof. Put } g= & {\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & \\
& \alpha^{-1}
\end{array}\right], \alpha=e^{(-1)^{1 / 2} k \pi / 3}(0 \leqq k \leqq 5) . \quad \text { Then } } \\
& =\left(2^{1 / 2} \alpha^{-6} P_{0}-(-5)^{1 / 2} \alpha^{-3} \alpha^{3} P_{3}+2^{1 / 2} \alpha^{6} P_{6}\right) / 3 \\
& =\left(2^{1 / 2} P_{0}-(-5)^{1 / 2} P_{3}+2^{1 / 2} P_{6}\right) / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

So the covering $\pi$ is at least 6 -fold.
Q.E.D.
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