

ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE AUGMENTATION QUOTIENTS RELATIVE TO AN N_p -SERIES

KAZUNARI SHINYA

(Received January 30, 1984)

1. Introduction

Let G be a group with lower central series $G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq G_3 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G_n \supseteq G_{n+1} \supseteq \cdots$, and define

$$W_n(G) = \sum \bigotimes_{i=1}^n Sp^{a_i}(G_i/G_{i+1}),$$

where \sum runs over all non-negative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n such that $\sum ia_i = n$, and $Sp^{a_i}(G_i/G_{i+1})$ is the a_i -th symmetric power of the abelian group G_i/G_{i+1} . Let $I(G)$ be the augmentation ideal of G in $\mathbb{Z}G$. We denote by $Q_n(G)$ the additive groups $I^n(G)/I^{n+1}(G)$ for $n \geq 1$. Some results are known about the structure of $Q_n(G)$.

It is well known that $Q_1(G) \simeq W_1(G)$ for any group G . G. Losey [3] proved that $Q_2(G) \simeq W_2(G)$ for any finitely generated group G . Tahara [6], [7] proved that $Q_3(G) \simeq W_3(G)/R_3^*$ and $Q_4(G) \simeq W_4(G)/R_4^*$ hold for any finite group G , where R_3^* and R_4^* are precisely determined subgroups of $W_3(G)$ and $W_4(G)$. Furthermore Sandling and Tahara [5] proved that $Q_n(G) \simeq W_n(G)$ ($n \geq 1$) if G_i/G_{i+1} is free abelian for any $i \geq 1$.

Let p be a prime number. In the first half of this paper we restrict our attention to groups of exponent p , and prove that

$$Q_n(G) \simeq W_n(G)/R_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where R_{n+1} is a precisely determined subgroup of $W_n(G)$ (Theorem 8). As its corollaries we have a well known result 1), and a new result 2) as follows:

1) $D_n(G) = G_n$ for any such group G , where $D_n(G)$ is the n -th dimension subgroup of G (Corollary 9).

2) Let G be a finite group with lower central series

$$G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq G_c \supseteq G_{c+1} = 1.$$

If this series is an N_p -series then $Q_n(G) \simeq W_n(G)$ for $n < p$ (Remark 12).

In the latter half we prove that $Q_p(G) \simeq W_p(G)$ if the lower central series of G is an N_p -series (Theorem 13). Furthermore we construct a subgroup

R_{p+2} of $W_{p+1}(G)$ for which $Q_{p+1}(G) \simeq W_{p+1}(G)/R_{p+2}$ holds if the lower central series of G is an N_p -series (Theorem 14). As for dimension subgroup problem, we will show that $D_n(G) = G_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, if the lower central series of G is an N_p -series (Theorem 15).

2. Notations and definitions

Let G be a finite p -group of order p^m , and let \mathfrak{G} be a fixed finite N_p -series

$$G = H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq H_c \supseteq H_{c+1} = 1,$$

that is $[H_i, H_j] \subseteq H_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 1$, and $H_i^p \subseteq H_{ip}$ for all $i \geq 1$. The series \mathfrak{G} defines a weight function ω of G in the usual way; $\omega(g) = i$ if $g \in H_i - H_{i+1}$, $\omega(g) = \infty$ if $g = 1$. Conditions of N_p -series imply that $\omega([g, h]) \geq \omega(g) + \omega(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$, and $\omega(g^p) \geq p\omega(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Since each factor H_i/H_{i+1} is an elementary abelian p -group, we can put

$$t_i = \text{rank}(H_i/H_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, c.$$

We fix an ordered uniqueness basis Φ for G ;

$$\Phi = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}, \quad \omega(x_1) \leq \omega(x_2) \leq \dots \leq \omega(x_m).$$

Let Λ_n be the \mathbb{Z} -linear span in $\mathbb{Z}G$ of all the elements

$$(g_1 - 1)(g_2 - 1) \dots (g_k - 1), \quad \sum \omega(g_i) \geq n.$$

Then

$$I(G) = \Lambda_1 \supseteq \Lambda_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Lambda_n \supseteq \dots$$

is a series of ideals of $\mathbb{Z}G$ with the property that $\Lambda_i \Lambda_j \subseteq \Lambda_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 1$. This filtration determines a family of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules $Q_n(\mathfrak{G}) = \Lambda_n / \Lambda_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. These modules are called the *augmentation quotients of G relative to \mathfrak{G}* .

A *proper sequence* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is an ordered m -tuple of non-negative integers α_i ; α is *basic* if $0 \leq \alpha_i < p$ for all i . The weight of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is $W(\alpha) = \sum \omega(x_i)\alpha_i$. Let A_n be the set of all proper sequences of weight n . Corresponding to each proper (basic) sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$, we have the *proper (basic) product*

$$P(\alpha) = (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \dots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m}.$$

We define $i_\alpha = \max \{i : \alpha_i \neq 0\}$ if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \neq \mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $i_0 = 1$.

We set $W_n(\mathfrak{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bigotimes_{i=1}^n Sp^{a_i}(H_i/H_{i+1})$, where \sum runs over all non-negative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n such that $\sum ia_i = n$, and $Sp^{a_i}(H_i/H_{i+1})$ is the a_i -th symmetric power of the abelian group H_i/H_{i+1} . Define $m_\omega(n)$ to be the least non-negative integer such that $W(\alpha) + m_\omega(n)(p-1)\omega(x_{i_\alpha}) \geq n$.

G. Losey and N. Losey [3] proved the following:

Lemma 1. For any $n \geq 1$, Λ_n has a free \mathbf{Z} -basis

$$B_n = \{p^{m\alpha(n)}P(\alpha) : \alpha \neq 0 \text{ basic}\}.$$

3. The structure of $Q_n(\mathfrak{G})$ and its applications

In this section we deal only with groups of exponent p . Let G be a finite p -group of order p^m with exponent p . Then any N -series $\mathfrak{G} : G = H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq H_c \supseteq H_{c+1} = 1$ is an N_p -series.

DEFINITION 2.

1) Define the p -sequences of numbers $\{a_k^0\}_{k=0}^\infty, \{a_k^1\}_{k=0}^\infty, \dots, \{a_k^{p-1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0^0 \\ a_0^1 \\ a_0^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_0^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1^0 \\ a_1^1 \\ a_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_1^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1}^0 \\ a_{k+1}^1 \\ a_{k+1}^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{k+1}^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\binom{p}{1} \\ 0 & 1 & & & -\binom{p}{2} \\ & & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & 1 & -\binom{p}{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_k^0 \\ a_k^1 \\ a_k^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_k^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $k \geq 1$.

Note that the next identity holds for any $x \in G$ of order p and for any non-negative integer n :

$$(x-1)^n = a_n^0 \cdot 1 + a_n^1(x-1) + \dots + a_n^{p-1}(x-1)^{p-1}$$

2) Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$ be a basic sequence. We define the integer C_α^β as $C_\alpha^\beta = a_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} a_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \dots a_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m}$.

We can express $P(\alpha)$ as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of basic products by the following:

Lemma 3. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence with $W(\alpha) = n$, then

$$1) P(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta : \text{basic}} C_\alpha^\beta P(\beta),$$

- 2) $p^{m_{\beta^{(n)}}} | C_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ for any basic sequence β ,
- 3) if α is basic then $C_{\alpha}^{\beta} \neq 0$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha$.

Proof. Expand each $(x_i - 1)^{\alpha_i}$ as in Definition 2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\alpha) &= (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\beta_1=0}^{p-1} a_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} (x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\beta_2=0}^{p-1} a_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \right\} \cdots \left\{ \sum_{\beta_m=0}^{p-1} a_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} (x_m - 1)^{\beta_m} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m=0}^{p-1} a_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} a_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \cdots a_{\alpha_m}^{\beta_m} (x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\beta_m} \\ &= \sum_{\beta: \text{basic}} C_{\alpha}^{\beta} P(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus 1) is obtained. Since $\{p^{m_{\beta^{(n)}}} P(\beta) | \beta \neq 0: \text{basic}\}$ is a basis system of Λ_n , $P(\alpha)$ is uniquely expressed as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of $p^{m_{\beta^{(n)}}} P(\beta)$ with $\beta \neq 0$ basic. On the other hand $\{P(\beta) | \beta: \text{basic}\}$ is a basis system of $\mathbf{Z}G$. So $P(\alpha)$ is uniquely expressed as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of $P(\beta)$, β basic. Then uniqueness of coefficients implies that $p^{m_{\beta^{(n)}}} | C_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ for all basic sequence β . 3) is trivial from 1).

DEFINITION 4. Let α be a proper sequence with $W(\alpha) = n$. For any basic sequence β , we put $D_{\alpha}^{\beta} = C_{\alpha}^{\beta} / p^{m_{\beta^{(n)}}} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore

$$P(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta: \text{basic}} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} p^{m_{\beta^{(n)}}} P(\beta).$$

Note that $D_{\beta}^{\beta} = 1$ if β is a basic sequence with $W(\beta) \geq 1$.

Lemma 5 (Passi and Vermani [4]). *Let p be a prime number and $H = \langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order p^m . Then*

$$p^{m-1} (a-1)^{(r+1)(p-1)+1} \equiv (-1)^{(r+1)} p^{m+r} (a-1) \pmod{I^{(r+1)(p-1)+2}(H)}$$

for all $r \geq 0$.

Corollary 6. *Let $x \in \Phi$, then*

$$(x-1)^{r(p-1)+1} \equiv (-1)^r p^r (x-1) \pmod{\Lambda_{\{r(p-1)+2\}\omega(x)}}$$

for all $r \geq 0$.

Proof. We set $m=1$ in Lemma 5, then we have

$$(x-1)^{(r+1)(p-1)+1} \equiv (-1)^{(r+1)} p^{(r+1)} (x-1) \pmod{I^{(r+1)(p-1)+2}(\langle x \rangle)}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. This trivially holds for $r = -1$. Then we have

$$(x-1)^{r(p-1)+1} \equiv (-1)^r p^r (x-1) \pmod{I^{r(p-1)+2}(\langle x \rangle)} \quad \text{for } r \geq 0.$$

Since $I^{r(p-1)+2}(\langle x \rangle) = (x-1)^{r(p-1)+2}Z\langle x \rangle$, we have $I^{r(p-1)+2}(\langle x \rangle) \subseteq \Lambda_{(r(p-1)+2)\omega(x)}$. So the result follows.

Lemma 7.

- 1) $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$ is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^r , where $r = \sum \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{a_i + t_i - 1}{a_i}$, and \sum runs over all non-negative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n such that $\sum_{i=1}^n ia_i = n$.
- 2) Regard $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$ as vector space over Z/pZ , then $\{\bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \bar{x}_1 \otimes \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} \bar{x}_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m}^{\alpha_m} \bar{x}_m : \alpha \in A_n\}$ is a basis system of $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$, where

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} \bar{x}_1 \otimes \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} \bar{x}_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m}^{\alpha_m} \bar{x}_m = \begin{cases} \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i} \bar{x}_i \vee \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \bar{x}_{i+1} \vee \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \bar{x}_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \\ \text{if } \omega(x_i) = \omega(x_{i+1}), \\ \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i} \bar{x}_i \vee \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i} \bar{x}_i \otimes \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \bar{x}_{i+1} \vee \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \bar{x}_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \\ \text{if } \omega(x_i) < \omega(x_{i+1}) \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{x}_i = x_i H_{\omega(x_i)+1}$

Proof. Easy to prove.

For convenience we write x_i instead of \bar{x}_i . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \neq 0$ be a basic sequence. Then we call α to be regular for n if $W(\alpha) + m_\alpha(n)(p-1) \times \omega(x_{i_\alpha}) = n$.

Theorem 8. Let R_{n+1} be the submodule of $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$ generated by the elements of the form

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} x_1 \otimes \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m}^{\alpha_m} x_m - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{\beta_1}^{\beta_1} x_1 \otimes \bigotimes_{\beta_2}^{\beta_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta-1}}^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} x_{i_\beta-1} \otimes \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)}^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ runs over all elements of A_n . Then $\Lambda_n / \Lambda_{n+1}$ is isomorphic to $W_n(\mathfrak{S}) / R_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. We shall divide the proof in the following four steps.

Step 1. We define a homomorphism ψ_n from Λ_n to $W_n(\mathfrak{S}) / R_{n+1}$ which is defined on the basis of Λ_n . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a basic sequence with $W(\alpha) \geq 1$. Then

$$P(\alpha) = (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_{i_\alpha-1} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\alpha-1}} (x_{i_\alpha} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\alpha}}.$$

Define the image of $p^{m_\alpha(n)} P(\alpha)$ under ψ_n as follows:

- 1) If α is regular for n then

$$\psi_n(p^{m_\alpha(n)}P(\alpha)) = (-1)^{m_\alpha(n)} \bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i_\alpha-1}}^{\alpha_{i_\alpha-1}} x_{i_\alpha-1} \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i_\alpha} + m_\alpha(n)(p-1)}^{\alpha_{i_\alpha} + m_\alpha(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\alpha} + R_{n+1}.$$

2) If α is not regular for n then

$$\psi_n(p^{m_\alpha(n)}P(\alpha)) = R_{n+1}.$$

Then we shall show that $\psi_n(\Lambda_{n+1})=R_{n+1}$ and hence ψ_n induces a homomorphism ψ_n^* from Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} to $W_n(\mathfrak{S})/R_{n+1}$.

It suffices to prove it on the \mathbf{Z} -basis of Λ_{n+1} . Let $p^{m_\alpha(n+1)}P(\alpha) \in B_{n+1}$. By the definition of $m_\alpha(n)$ we have $m_\alpha(n) \leq m_\alpha(n+1) \leq m_\alpha(n) + 1$. If $m_\alpha(n+1) = m_\alpha(n)$ then α is not regular for n since $W(\alpha) + m_\alpha(n)(p-1)\omega(x_{i_\alpha}) = W(\alpha) + m_\alpha(n+1)(p-1)\omega(x_{i_\alpha}) \geq n+1$. Therefore by the definition of ψ_n we have

$$\psi_n(p^{m_\alpha(n+1)}P(\alpha)) = \psi_n(p^{m_\alpha(n)}P(\alpha)) = R_{n+1}.$$

If $m_\alpha(n+1) = m_\alpha(n) + 1$ then

$$\psi_n(p^{m_\alpha(n+1)}P(\alpha)) = p\psi_n(p^{m_\alpha(n)}P(\alpha)) = R_{n+1},$$

since $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$ is an elementary abelian p -group. So the result follows.

Step 2. We define a linear transformation ϕ_n from $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$ to Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} as follows: By Lemma 7 $\{\bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m}^{\alpha_m} x_m; \alpha \in A_n\}$ is a basis system of $W_n(\mathfrak{S})$. Note that G. Losey and N. Losey proved that Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} is an elementary abelian p -group. Define the image of $\bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m}^{\alpha_m} x_m$ under ϕ_n as the element

$$(x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{n+1},$$

and extend it $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ -linearly.

Then we shall show that $\phi_n(R_{n+1}) = \Lambda_{n+1}$, so ϕ_n induces a homomorphism ϕ_n^* from $W_n(\mathfrak{S})/R_{n+1}$ to Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence with $W(\alpha) = n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n(\bigotimes_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m}^{\alpha_m} x_m - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{\beta_1}^{\beta_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\beta_2}^{\beta_2} x_2 \\ & \quad \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta-1}}^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} x_{i_\beta-1} \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)}^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta}) \\ &= (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \\ & \quad \cdots (x_{i_\beta-1} - 1)^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} (x_{i_\beta} - 1)^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} + \Lambda_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma: \text{basic}} D_\alpha^\gamma p^{m_\gamma(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\gamma_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\gamma_2} \cdots (x_{i_\gamma-1} - 1)^{\gamma_{i_\gamma-1}} (x_{i_\gamma} - 1)^{\gamma_{i_\gamma}} \\ & \quad - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \cdots \\ & \quad (x_{i_\beta-1} - 1)^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} (x_{i_\beta} - 1)^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} + \Lambda_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\beta : \text{regular for } n} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} (x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \cdots (x_{i_{\beta-1}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta-1}}} \{ p^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}}} - (-1)^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}} + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1)} \} + \Lambda_{n+1}.$$

By Corollary 6 we have

$$p^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}}} - (-1)^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}} + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1)} \in \Lambda_{\{\beta_{i_{\beta}} + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1) + 1\} \omega(x_{i_{\beta}})}.$$

Therefore

$$(x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \cdots (x_{i_{\beta-1}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta-1}}} \{ p^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}}} - (-1)^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}} + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1)} \}$$

belongs to Λ_r , where $r = W(\beta) + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1)\omega(x_{i_{\beta}}) + \omega(x_{i_{\beta}}) \geq n + 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m} x_m - \sum_{\beta : \text{regular for } n} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} (-1)^{m_{\beta}(n)} \bigotimes_{\beta_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\beta_2} x_2 \right. \\ & \left. \cdots \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_{\beta-1}}} x_{i_{\beta-1}} \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_{\beta}} + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1)} x_{i_{\beta}} \right) = \Lambda_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have $\phi_n(R_{n+1}) = \Lambda_{n+1}$, and so ϕ_n induces a homomorphism ϕ_n^* from $W_n(\mathfrak{S})/R_{n+1}$ to Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} .

Step 3. We shall prove that $\psi_n^* \circ \phi_n^*$ is the identity map on $W_n(\mathfrak{S})/R_{n+1}$.

Since $W_n(\mathfrak{S})/R_{n+1}$ is generated by $\{ \bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{n+1} : \alpha \in A_n \}$, it suffices to prove

$$\psi_n^* \circ \phi_n^* \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{n+1} \right) = \bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{n+1}$$

for any $\alpha \in A_n$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence with $W(\alpha) = n$, namely $\alpha \in A_n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_n^* \circ \phi_n^* \left(\bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{n+1} \right) \\ &= \psi_n^* \left((x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{n+1} \right) \\ &= \psi_n^* \left(\sum_{\beta : \text{regular for } n} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} p^{m_{\beta}(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\beta_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\beta_2} \cdots \right. \\ & \quad \left. (x_{i_{\beta-1}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta-1}}} (x_{i_{\beta}} - 1)^{\beta_{i_{\beta}}} + \Lambda_{n+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\beta : \text{regular for } n} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} (-1)^{m_{\beta}(n)} \bigotimes_{\beta_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\beta_2} x_2 \cdots \\ & \quad \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_{\beta-1}}} x_{i_{\beta-1}} \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_{\beta}} + m_{\beta}(n)(p-1)} x_{i_{\beta}} + R_{n+1} \\ &= \bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Finally we shall prove that $\phi_n^* \circ \psi_n^*$ is the identity map on Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} . Since Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} is generated by $\{ p^{m_{\alpha}(n)} P(\alpha) + \Lambda_{n+1} : \alpha : \text{regular for } n \}$, it suffices to prove

$$\phi_n^* \circ \psi_n^* (p^{m_\omega(n)} P(\alpha) + \Lambda_{n+1}) = p^{m_\omega(n)} P(\alpha) + \Lambda_{n+1}$$

for such an α . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a sequence regular for n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_n^* \circ \psi_n^* (p^{m_\omega(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_{i_\omega - 1} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\omega - 1}} (x_{i_\omega} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\omega}} + \Lambda_{n+1}) \\ &= \phi_n^* ((-1)^{m_\omega(n)} \bigotimes_{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i_\omega - 1}} x_{i_\omega - 1} \bigotimes_{\alpha_{i_\omega} + m_\omega(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\omega} + R_{n+1}) \\ &= (-1)^{m_\omega(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_{i_\omega - 1} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\omega - 1}} (x_{i_\omega} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\omega} + m_\omega(n)(p-1)} + \Lambda_{n+1} \\ &= p^{m_\omega(n)} (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_{i_\omega - 1} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\omega - 1}} (x_{i_\omega} - 1)^{\alpha_{i_\omega}} + \Lambda_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

by using Corollary 6.

Step 1~Step 4 imply that $\Lambda_n/\Lambda_{n+1} \simeq W_n(\mathbb{S})/R_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 9 (P.M. Cohn [1]). *Let G be a group of prime exponent p . Let $\{H_j\}$ be an N -series for G and $\{\Lambda_j\}$ the canonical filtration of $I(G)$ relative to $\{H_j\}$. Then $D(\Lambda_n) = H_n$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on n . By standard reduction arguments we may assume that $H_{n+1} = 1$, $D(\Lambda_n) = H_n$ and G is finite. Define the homomorphism f from H_n to Λ_n/Λ_{n+1} by $f(x) = (x - 1) + \Lambda_{n+1}$. Then $D(\Lambda_{n+1}) = \ker f$. Let $x \in H_n$ be an element of $D(\Lambda_{n+1})$. Write x as $x = \prod x_j^{c_j}$ ($0 \leq c_j < p$) using elements of uniqueness basis of weight n . Then $f(x) = \sum c_j (x_j - 1) + \Lambda_{n+1}$ and $\psi_n^*(f(x)) = \sum c_j x_j + R_{n+1}$. Since $f(x) \in \Lambda_{n+1}$, $\sum c_j x_j$ can be expressed as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of generators of R_{n+1} . But the elements of uniqueness basis of weight n do not appear in the generators of R_{n+1} . We shall prove it. If an element of uniqueness basis of weight n is in the generators of R_{n+1} , there must exist some proper sequence $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ of weight n such that

$$x_k - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{\beta_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\beta_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta - 1}} x_{i_\beta - 1} \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta} \neq 0.$$

Now α is a basic sequence, so by Lemma 3 $D_\alpha^\beta \neq 0$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha$. Trivially $m_\alpha(n) = 0$ and $D_\alpha^\alpha = 1$, so

$$x_k - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{\beta_1} x_1 \bigotimes_{\beta_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta - 1}} x_{i_\beta - 1} \bigotimes_{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta} = 0.$$

Thus any element of uniqueness basis of weight n does not appear in the generators of R_{n+1} . If some $c_j \neq 0$, $\sum c_j x_j$ is not able to be expressed as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of generators of R_{n+1} . This implies $c_j = 0$ for all j , and $x = \prod_j x_j^{c_j} = 1$. Therefore the result follows.

Passi and Vermani [4] proved the following

Theorem 10. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_k}}$ $r = \text{Min}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |m_i - m_j|$ and $k > 1$. Then $I^n(G)/I^{n+1}(G) \simeq Sp^n(G)$ if and only if $n \leq p + r(p-1)$.

As a special case of this result we have that if G is an elementary abelian p -group of order $\geq p^2$ then $I^n(G)/I^{n+1}(G) \simeq Sp^n(G)$ if and only if $n \leq p$. Our method is available for non-abelian p -group of exponent p and we have a similar result as follows.

Corollary 11. Let G be a finite p -group of exponent p with N -series $\mathfrak{G}: G = H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_c \supseteq H_{c+1} = 1$ with $|H_1/H_2| \geq p^2$. Then $\Lambda_n/\Lambda_{n+1} \simeq W_n(\mathfrak{G})$ if and only if $n \leq p$.

Proof. Let $\Phi = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ be the uniqueness basis for G relative to \mathfrak{G} . By Theorem 8 $\Lambda_n/\Lambda_{n+1} \simeq W_n(\mathfrak{G})/R_{n+1}$. We shall prove that $R_{n+1} = 0$ for $n \leq p$ and $R_{n+1} \neq 0$ for $n > p$.

Case 1. $n < p$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence of weight n . Then α is a basic sequence. By Lemma 3, $D_\alpha^\beta \neq 0$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha$. Trivially $m_\alpha(n) = 0$ and $D_\alpha^\alpha = 1$. These conditions imply that

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\alpha_1} x_i \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\alpha_2} x_i \cdots \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\alpha_m} x_i - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_1} x_i \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_2} x_i \cdots \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} x_{i_\beta-1} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta} = 0.$$

Therefore $R_{n+1} = 0$ for $n < p$.

Case 2. $n = p$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence of weight p . If α is a basic sequence it follows as above that

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\alpha_1} x_i \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\alpha_2} x_i \cdots \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\alpha_m} x_i - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } p} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(p)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_1} x_i \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_2} x_i \cdots \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} x_{i_\beta-1} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(p)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta} = 0.$$

If α is not a basic sequence then α has the form $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, p, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some j and $\omega(x_j) = 1$. $C_\alpha^\beta = a_1^{\beta_1} \cdots a_{j-1}^{\beta_{j-1}} a_j^p a_{j+1}^{\beta_{j+1}} \cdots a_m^{\beta_m} \neq 0$ implies $\beta_j \neq 0$ and $\beta_k = 0$ ($k \neq j$). Let β_0 be a basic sequence of the form $\beta_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. If β is any basic sequence different from β_0 , then $C_\alpha^\beta = 0$ or β is not regular for p . Clearly $m_{\beta_0}(p) = 1$ and $D_\alpha^{\beta_0} = a_j^p/p = -1$. Therefore

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^p x_j - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } p} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(p)} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_1} x_i \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_2} x_i \cdots \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_{i_\beta-1}} x_{i_\beta-1} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(p)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta} = 0.$$

Thus we have $R_{p+1} = 0$.

Case 3. $n > p$.

Since $|H_1/H_2| \geq p^2$, there exists a proper sequence $\alpha = (n-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in A_n . If $C_\alpha^\beta = a_{n-1}^{\beta_1} a_1^{\beta_2} a_0^{\beta_3} \dots a_0^{\beta_m} \neq 0$ for a basic sequence $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$, then $\beta_2 = 1$ and $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = \dots = \beta_m = 0$. Moreover if $\beta = (\beta_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is regular for n , then

$$\bigotimes_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \bigotimes_{x_2}^{\beta_2 + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_2 = \bigotimes_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \bigotimes_{x_2}^{n-\beta_1} x_2 \neq \bigotimes_{x_1 \vee x_2}^{n-1} x_2,$$

since $\beta_1 < p \leq n-1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigotimes_{x_1 \vee x_2}^{n-1} x_2 - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \bigotimes_{x_2}^{\beta_2} x_2 \dots \bigotimes_{x_{i_{\beta-1}}}^{\beta_{i_{\beta-1}}} \bigotimes_{x_{i_\beta}}^{\beta_{i_\beta} + m_\beta(n)(p-1)} x_{i_\beta} \\ &= \bigotimes_{x_1 \vee x_2}^{n-1} x_2 - \sum_{\beta: \text{regular for } n} D_\alpha^\beta (-1)^{m_\beta(n)} \bigotimes_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \bigotimes_{x_2}^{n-\beta_1} x_2 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $R_{n+1} \neq 0$ for all $n > p$. Therefore the result follows.

REMARK 12. When we determine the structure of $Q_n(\mathfrak{G})$ ($n < p$) for an N_p -series $\mathfrak{G}: G = H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq H_c \supseteq H_{c+1} = 1$, we may assume that $H_p = 1$. So we may assume that G has exponent p . Then by Corollary 11 we have $Q_n(\mathfrak{G}) \simeq W_n(\mathfrak{G})$ ($n < p$) for any N_p -series of a finite p -group G . (It is easy to see $R_{n+1} = 0$ for $n < p$ if H_1/H_2 is a cyclic group of order p .)

4. The structure of Λ_p/Λ_{p+1} and $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2}$

In the previous section we proved that $\Lambda_n/\Lambda_{n+1} \simeq W_n(\mathfrak{G})$ holds for $n < p$ and for any N_p -series \mathfrak{G} of the finite p -group G . In this section we determine the structure of Λ_p/Λ_{p+1} and $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2}$.

Theorem 13. *Let G be a finite p -group with N_p -series \mathfrak{G} , and $\{\Lambda_j\}$ its canonical filtration of $I(G)$ with respect to \mathfrak{G} . Then Λ_p/Λ_{p+1} is isomorphic to $W_p(\mathfrak{G})$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 8. Since $\{p^{m_\alpha(n)}P(\alpha) + \Lambda_{p+1} \mid \alpha: \text{regular for } p\}$ is a basis system of the vector space Λ_p/Λ_{p+1} , we can define a linear transformation $\psi: \Lambda_p/\Lambda_{p+1} \rightarrow W_p(\mathfrak{G})$ as follows: Let α be a regular sequence for p . Then $p^{m_\alpha(n)}P(\alpha)$ is either $p(x_i - 1)$ with $\omega(x_i) = 1$, or $P(\alpha)$ with $W(\alpha) = p$. We define to be $\psi(p(x_i - 1) + \Lambda_{p+1}) = -\bigotimes_{x_i}^p x_i + x_i^p$ and $\psi(P(\alpha) + \Lambda_{p+1}) = \psi((x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \dots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{p+1}) = \bigotimes_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \bigotimes_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \bigotimes_{x_m}^{\alpha_m} x_m$. Next we define a linear transformation $\phi: W_p(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow \Lambda_p/\Lambda_{p+1}$ by just the same way as ϕ_p which we defined in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 8. Then we can easily show that $\psi_0\phi$ and $\phi_0\psi$ are the identity maps on $W_p(\mathfrak{G})$ and Λ_p/Λ_{p+1} respectively, and hence $\Lambda_p/\Lambda_{p+1} \simeq W_p(\mathfrak{G})$.

Theorem 14. *Let G be a finite p -group with N_p -series $\mathfrak{S} = \{H_j\}, \{\Lambda_j\}$ its canonical filtration of $I(G)$ with respect to \mathfrak{S} and $\Phi = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ its uniqueness basis. Then $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2}$ is isomorphic to $W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})/R_{p+2}$ where R_{p+2} is generated by the elements*

$$x_i \overset{p}{\otimes} x_j - \overset{p}{\otimes} x_i \vee x_j - x_i \otimes x_j^p + x_j \otimes x_i^p + [x_i^p, x_j], \quad i < j \text{ and } \omega(x_i) = \omega(x_j) = 1.$$

Proof. Tahara [6] proved that $\Lambda_3/\Lambda_4 \cong W_3(\mathfrak{S})/R_4^*$ holds for any N -series \mathfrak{S} of the finite group G , where R_4^* is the submodule of $W_3(\mathfrak{S})$ generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d(j)}{d(i)} \binom{d(i)}{2} \overset{2}{\otimes} x_{1i} \vee x_{1j} - \binom{d(j)}{2} x_{1i} \overset{2}{\otimes} x_{1j} + x_{1i} \otimes x_{1j}^2 \\ & - \frac{d(j)}{d(i)} \{x_{1j} \otimes x_{1i}^{d(i)}\} - \frac{d(j)}{d(i)} [x_{1i}^{d(i)}, x_{1j}], \quad i < j. \end{aligned}$$

The case $p=2$ of Theorem 14 is directly obtained by this Theorem. Let p be an odd prime. We shall divide the proof in the following 4 steps.

Step 1. $B_{p+1} = \{p^{m\omega(p+1)}P(\alpha) \mid \alpha \neq 0: \text{basic}\}$ is classified into following three subsets a)~c), and we define a homomorphism ψ from Λ_{p+1} to $W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})/R_{p+2}$ as follows:

- a) $p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1), i \leq j$ and $\omega(x_i) = \omega(x_j) = 1,$
 $\psi(p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)) = -x_i \overset{p}{\otimes} x_j + x_i \otimes x_j^p + R_{p+2},$
- b) $P(\alpha) = (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m}, W(\alpha) = p + 1,$
 $\psi(P(\alpha)) = \overset{\alpha_1}{\otimes} x_1 \overset{\alpha_2}{\otimes} x_2 \cdots \overset{\alpha_m}{\otimes} x_m + R_{p+2},$
- c) $p^{m\omega(p+1)}P(\alpha), \alpha$ not regular for $p + 1, \psi(p^{m\omega(p+1)}P(\alpha)) = R_{p+2}.$

Then in the same way as in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 8, we can easily show $\psi(\Lambda_{p+2}) = R_{p+2}$ and hence ψ induces the homomorphism ψ^* ; $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2} \rightarrow W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})/R_{p+2}.$

Step 2. We define a linear transformation ϕ from $W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})$ to $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2}$ by defining it on the basis of $W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})$ as follows:

$$\phi(\overset{\alpha_1}{\otimes} x_1 \overset{\alpha_2}{\otimes} x_2 \cdots \overset{\alpha_m}{\otimes} x_m) = (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{p+2},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A_{p+1}.$ Then we shall prove that $\phi(R_{p+2}) = \Lambda_{p+2}$ and ϕ induces the linear transformation ϕ^* from $W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})/R_{p+2}$ to $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2}.$

Since

$$(x_i - 1)^p (x_j - 1) = -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} (x_i - 1)^k (x_j - 1) + (x_i^p - 1)(x_j - 1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x_i^p - 1)(x_j - 1) &= (x_j - 1)(x_i^p - 1) + ([x_i^p, x_j] - 1) + (x_j - 1)([x_i^p, x_j] - 1) \\ &+ (x_i^p - 1)([x_i^p, x_j] - 1) + (x_j - 1)(x_i^p - 1)([x_i^p, x_j] - 1), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(x_i \overset{p}{\bigotimes} x_j - \overset{p}{\bigotimes} x_i \vee x_j - x_i \otimes x_j^p + x_j \otimes x_i^p + [x_i^p, x_j]) \\ &= (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)^p - (x_i - 1)^p(x_j - 1) - (x_i - 1)(x_j^p - 1) \\ & \quad + (x_j - 1)(x_i^p - 1) + ([x_i^p, x_j] - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2} \\ &= -\sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)^k + \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} (x_i - 1)^k(x_j - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2} \\ &= \Lambda_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi(R_{p+2}) = \Lambda_{p+2}$ and ϕ^* is induced.

Step 3. We shall prove that $\phi^* \circ \psi^*$ is the identity map on $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2}$. It suffices to prove it on $\{p^{m\omega^{(p+1)}}P(\alpha) + \Lambda_{p+2} \mid \alpha: \text{regular for } p+1\}$. If $p^{m\omega^{(p+1)}}P(\alpha) = p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)$ with $i \leq j$ and $\omega(x_i) = \omega(x_j) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi^* \circ \psi^*(p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}) = \phi^*(-x_i \overset{p}{\bigotimes} x_j + x_i \otimes x_j^p + R_{p+2}) \\ &= -(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)^p + (x_i - 1)(x_j^p - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} (x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)^k + \Lambda_{p+2}, \\ &= p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p^{m\omega^{(p+1)}}P(\alpha) = (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1}(x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and $W(\alpha) = p+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi^* \circ \psi^*((x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1}(x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \phi^*(\overset{\alpha_1}{\bigotimes} x_1 \overset{\alpha_2}{\bigotimes} x_2 \cdots \overset{\alpha_m}{\bigotimes} x_m + R_{p+2}) \\ &= (x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1}(x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now our assertion is proved.

Step 4. Finally we shall show that $\psi^* \circ \phi^*$ is the identity map on $W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})/R_{p+2}$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A_{p+1}$. Clearly α has one of the following 4 forms:

- a) $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, p+1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\omega(x_i) = 1$,
- b) $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, p, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\omega(x_i) = \omega(x_j) = 1$,
- c) $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, p, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\omega(x_i) = \omega(x_j) = 1$,
- d) $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$, α basic and $W(\alpha) = p+1$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a proper sequence of type a). Since

$$\begin{aligned} (x_i - 1)^{p+1} + \Lambda_{p+2} &= (x_i - 1) \left\{ -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} (x_i - 1)^k + (x_i^p - 1) \right\} + \Lambda_{p+2} \\ &= -p(x_i - 1)^2 + (x_i - 1)(x_i^p - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \circ \phi^* (\bigotimes^{p+1} x_i + R_{p+2}) &= \psi^* ((x_i - 1)^{p+1} + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \psi^* (-p(x_i - 1)^2 + (x_i - 1)(x_i^p - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \bigotimes^{p+1} x_i - x_i \otimes x_i^p + x_i \otimes x_i^p + R_{p+2} \\ &= \bigotimes^{p+1} x_i + R_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let α be a proper sequence of type b). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \circ \phi^* (\bigvee^p x_i \vee x_j + R_{p+2}) &= \psi^* ((x_i - 1)^p (x_j - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \psi^* (-p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) + (x_i^p - 1)(x_j - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= x_i \bigvee^p x_j - x_i \otimes x_j^p + x_j \otimes x_i^p + [x_i^p, x_j] + R_{p+2} \\ &= \bigvee^p x_i \vee x_j + R_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let α be a proper sequence of type c). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \circ \phi^* (x_i \bigvee^p x_j + R_{p+2}) &= \psi^* ((x_i - 1)(x_j - 1)^p + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \psi^* (-p(x_i - 1)(x_j - 1) + (x_i - 1)(x_j^p - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= x_i \bigvee^p x_j + R_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let α be a basic sequence of type d). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* \circ \phi^* (\bigotimes^{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes^{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{p+2}) &= \psi^* ((x_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - 1)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_m - 1)^{\alpha_m} + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \psi^* (-p(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (x_1 - 1)(x_2^p - 1) + \Lambda_{p+2}) \\ &= \bigotimes^{\alpha_1} x_1 \bigotimes^{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots \bigotimes^{\alpha_m} x_m + R_{p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1~Step 4 imply that $\Lambda_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+2} \cong W_{p+1}(\mathfrak{S})/R_{p+2}$.

Using Theorem 14 we can easily show that $D(\Lambda_{p+2})=H_{p+2}$ for any N_p -series of the finite p -group G . But we can get more powerful result as follows.

Theorem 15. *Let G be a finite p -group with N_p -series $\mathfrak{S}=\{H_i\}$, and $\{\Lambda_i\}$ its canonical filtration of $I(G)$ with respect to \mathfrak{S} . Then $D(\Lambda_n)=H_n$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on n . We may assume $D(\Lambda_n)=H_n$, and $H_{n+1}=1$. We fix an ordered uniqueness basis $\Phi=\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_s \mid \omega(x_1) \leq \omega(x_2) \leq \dots \leq \omega(x_m) < n, \omega(y_1)=\omega(y_2)=\dots=\omega(y_s)=n\}$ for G . Let $x \in H_n$ be an element of $D(\Lambda_{n+1})$. Write x as $x = \prod y_j^{c_j}$ ($0 \leq c_j < p$). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
x-1 &= \prod_j y_j^{c_j} - 1 = \prod_j \{(y_j-1)+1\}^{c_j} - 1 \\
&= \prod_j \left\{ \sum_{k_j=0}^{c_j} \binom{c_j}{k_j} (y_j-1)^{k_j} \right\} - 1 \\
&= \sum_{k_1=0}^{c_1} \cdots \sum_{k_s=0}^{c_s} \binom{c_1}{k_1} \binom{c_2}{k_2} \cdots \binom{c_s}{k_s} (y_1-1)^{k_1} \cdots (y_s-1)^{k_s} - 1 \\
&= c_1(y_1-1) + \cdots + c_s(y_s-1) + \text{higher terms} .
\end{aligned}$$

Note that each $(y_1-1)^{k_1}(y_2-1)^{k_2}\cdots(y_s-1)^{k_s}$ is basic product. As $x-1$ belongs to Λ_{n+1} , $x-1$ is expressed as a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of $p^{m_\alpha(n+1)}P(\alpha)$ $\alpha \neq \mathbf{0}$ basic. Write $x-1$ as follows:

$$x-1 = \sum_{\alpha: \text{basic}} a_\alpha p^{m_\alpha(n+1)} P(\alpha) \quad (a_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let β_j be a basic sequence such that $P(\beta_j) = (y_j-1)$. By uniqueness of coefficients we have $a_{\beta_j} p^{m_{\beta_j}(n+1)} = c_j$ for all j . Since $m_{\beta_j}(n+1) = 1$, c_j is a multiple of p . This gives $c_j = 0$ for all j , because $0 \leq c_j < p$. So $x = \prod_j y_j^{c_j} = 1$. Thus we have $D(\Lambda_{n+1}) = H_{n+1}$.

REMARK 16. Corollary 9 is also obtained from this theorem.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professors H. Nagao and K. Tahara for their kind suggestions.

References

- [1] P.M. Cohn: *Generalization of a theorem of Magnus*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 2 (1952), 297–310 (see Correction at the end of the same volume).
- [2] G. Losey: *On the structure of $Q_2(G)$ for finitely generated groups*, Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973), 353–359.
- [3] G. Losey and N. Losey: *Augmentation quotients of some non-abelian finite groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 85 (1979), 261–270.
- [4] I.B.S. Passi and L.R. Vermani: *The associated graded ring of an integral group ring*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 82 (1977), 25–33.
- [5] R. Sandling and K. Tahara: *Augmentation quotients of group rings and symmetric powers*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 85 (1979), 247–252.
- [6] K. Tahara: *On the structure of $Q_3(G)$ and the fourth dimension subgroups*, Japan. J. Math. New Ser. 3 (1977), 381–394.
- [7] K. Tahara: *The augmentation quotients of group rings and the fifth dimension subgroups*, J. Algebra 71 (1981), 141–173.

Shimadzu Corporation
 1 Nishinokyo, Kuwabara-cho
 Nakagyo-ku, Kyoto 604
 Japan