

ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS OF QUASILINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS II

KIYOKO FURUYA

(Received May 15, 1981)

0. Introduction

In this paper we establish analyticity in t of solutions to quasilinear evolution equations

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{du}{dt} + A(t, u)u = f(t, u), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$(0.2) \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

The unknown, u , is a function of t with values in a Banach space X . For fixed t and $v \in X$, the linear operator $-A(t, v)$ is the generator of an analytic semi-group in X and $f(t, v) \in X$. In the case that the domain $D(A(t, v))$ of $A(t, v)$ does not depend on t and v , Massey [7] discussed analyticity in t for equation of the form (0.1).

In the present paper, we consider analyticity for (0.1), (0.2) under the assumptions that the domain $D(A(t, v)^h)$ of $A(t, v)^h$ is independent of t, v for some $h=1/m$ where m is a positive integer and that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is Hölder continuous in v in the sense that $\|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h} - I\| \leq C\|v-w\|^\eta$, while in the previous papers [2], [3] we discussed the same problem in the case that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is Lipschitz continuous. In order to prove the theorems we shall make use of the linear theory of Kato [5].

In the following $L(X, Y)$ is the space of linear operators from a normed space X to another normed space Y , and $B(X, Y)$ is the space of bounded linear operators belonging to $L(X, Y)$. $L(X) = L(X, X)$ and $B(X) = B(X, X)$. $\|\cdot\|$ will be used for the norm in both X and $B(X)$; it should be clear from the context which is intended. $\Sigma(\phi; T) \equiv \{t \in \mathbb{C}; |\arg t| < \phi, 0 < |t| < T\} \cup \{0\}$ is the sector in the complex plane.

We shall make the following assumptions:

- (A-1) There exist $h=1/m$, where m is an integer, $m \geq 2$, and $0 \leq \alpha < h/2$ such that $A_0^{-\alpha}$ is a well-defined operator $\in B(X)$ and $u_0 \in D(A_0^{1+\alpha})$ where $A_0 \equiv A(0, u_0)$.
- (A-2) A_0^{-1} is a completely continuous operator.

(A-3) There exist $R > 0, M > 0, T_0 > 0$ and $\phi_0 > 0$ such that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is a well-defined operator $\in L(X)$ for each $t \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0)$ and $v \in N \equiv \{v \in X; \|v - A_0^\alpha u_0\| < R\} \cap Y \cup \{A_0^\alpha u_0\}$, and the domain, $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))$, of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is dense in X . Where $Y \equiv \bigcup_{t>0} \{v \in X; \|v - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| < tM\}$ ($0 < M \leq \|a\|$) and we shall define $a \in X$ in the next section.

(A-4) For any $t \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0), v \in N$

$$(0.3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{the resolvent set of } A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) \text{ contains the left half-plane and there} \\ \text{exists } C_1 \text{ such that } \|(\lambda - A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))^{-1}\| \leq C_1(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

(A-5) The domain $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h) = D$ of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is independent of $t \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0), v \in N$.

(A-6) There exist $C_2, C_3, \sigma, 1 - h + \alpha < \sigma \leq 1, \alpha', \alpha < \alpha' < h/2, \eta, \frac{1 - h + \alpha''}{1 - \alpha} < \eta < 1$ such that

$$(0.4) \quad \|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h}\| \leq C_2 \quad t, s \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0), \quad v, w \in N.$$

$$(0.5) \quad \|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h} - I\| \leq C_3 \{|t - s|^\sigma + \|w - v\|^\eta\} \\ t, s \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0), \quad v, w \in N.$$

(A-7) The map $\Phi: (t, v) \mapsto A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A_0^{-h}$ is analytic from $(\Sigma(\phi_0; T_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times (N \setminus \{A_0^\alpha u_0\})$ to $B(X)$.

(A-8) $f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is defined and belongs to X for each $t \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0)$ and $v \in N, f(0, u_0) \in D(A_0^h)$, and there exists C_4 such that

$$(0.6) \quad \|f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) - f(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)\| \leq C_4 \{|t - s|^\sigma + \|w - v\|^\eta\} \\ t, s \in \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0), \quad w, v \in N.$$

(A-9) The map $\Psi: (t, v) \mapsto f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is analytic from $(\Sigma(\phi_0; T_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times N$ into X .

These constants C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) do not depend on t, s, v, w .

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let the assumptions (A-1)–(A-9) hold. Then there exist $T, 0 < T \leq T_0, \phi, 0 < \phi \leq \phi_0, K > 0, k, 1 - h < k < 1$ and at least one continuous function u mapping $\Sigma(\phi; T)$ into X such that $u(0) = u_0, u(t) \in D(A(t, u(t)))$ and $\|A_0^\alpha u(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0\| < R$ for $t \in \Sigma(\phi; T), u: \Sigma(\phi; T) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow X$ is analytic, $du/dt + A(t, u(t))u(t) = f(t, u(t))$ for $t \in \Sigma(\phi; T) \setminus \{0\}$, and $\|A_0^\alpha u(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0\| \leq K|t|^k$ for $t \in \Sigma(\phi; T)$.*

REMARKS. (1) Under the assumption that $D(A(t, u)^h)$ is constant, Sobolevskii [10] gave the existence of solutions to (0.1) with differentiable coefficients. But, as far as the author knows, the proof of [10] (or similar results) is not published yet.

(2) From the assumptions (A-3) and (A-4), $-A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ generates an analytic semigroup in X , and the fractional powers $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^\beta$ are defined for $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Properties of analytic semigroups and fractional powers, see Tanabe [11] Sobolevskii [9] Krein [6] Friedman [1] etc.

(3) In the previous papers [2] [3] we proved similar results with $\gamma=1$. In this case we need not the assumption (A-2).

The author wishes to express her hearty thanks to Professor Y. Kōmura for his kind advices and encouragements.

1. Preliminaries

We shall make the following assumptions:

I) For each $t \in [0, T]$, $A(t)$ is a densely defined, closed linear operator in X with its spectrum contained in a fixed sector $S_\theta \equiv \{z \in C; |\arg z| < \theta \leq \pi/2\}$. The resolvent of $A(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|[z - A(t)]^{-1}\| \leq M_0/|z| \quad \text{for } z \in S_\theta$$

where M_0 is a constant independent of t . Furthermore, $z=0$ also belongs to the resolvent set of $A(t)$ and

$$(1.2) \quad \|A(t)^{-1}\| \leq M_1$$

M_1 being independent of t .

II) For some $h=1/m$, where m is a positive integer ≥ 2 , $D(A(t)^h) = D$ is independent of t , and there are constants k , M_2 and M_3 such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|A(t)^h A(s)^{-h}\| \leq M_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T.$$

$$(1.4) \quad \|A(t)^h A(s)^{-h} - I\| \leq M_3 |t-s|^k, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T, \quad 1-h < k \leq 1.$$

REMARK. From (1.2) there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.2)' \quad \|A(t)^{-h}\| \leq C \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]$$

C being independent of t .

Under these assumptions, we get the following theorems. They are due to Kato.

Theorem A. *Let the conditions I) and II) be satisfied. Then there exists a unique evolution operator $U(t, s) \in B(X)$ defined for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, with the following properties. $U(t, s)$ is strongly continuous for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and*

$$(1.5) \quad U(t, r) = U(t, s)U(s, r), \quad r \leq s \leq t,$$

$$(1.6) \quad U(t, t) = I.$$

For $s < t$, the range of $U(t, s)$ is a subset of $D(A(t))$ and

$$(1.7) \quad A(t)U(t, s) \in B(X), \quad \|A(t)U(t, s)\| \leq M|t-s|^{-1},$$

where M is a constant depending only on $\theta, h, k, T, M_0, M_1, M_2$ and M_3 . Furthermore, $U(t, s)$ is strongly continuously differentiable in t for $t > s$ and

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s) + A(t)U(t, s) = 0.$$

If $u \in D$, $U(t, s)u$ is strongly continuously differentiable in s for $s < t$. In particular $u \in D(A(s_0))$, then

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, s)u \Big|_{s=s_0} = U(t, s_0)A(s_0)u.$$

If $f(t)$ is continuous in t , any strict solution of

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{du}{dt} + A(t)u = f(t)$$

must be expressible in the form

$$(1.11) \quad u(t) = U(t, 0)u(0) + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds.$$

Conversely, the $u(t)$ given by (1.11) is a strict solution of (1.10) if $f(t)$ is Hölder continuous on $[0, T]$; here $u(0)$ may be an arbitrary element of X .

Proof. See, [5].

Theorem B. Assume that $A(t)$ can be continued to a complex neighborhood Δ of the interval $[0, T]$ in such a way that the conditions I), II) are satisfied for $t, s \in \Delta$. Furthermore, let $A(t)^{-h}$ be holomorphic for $t \in \Delta$. Then the evolution operator $U(t, s)$ exists for $s \leq t$, satisfies the assertions of Theorem A and is holomorphic in s and t for $s < t$. (Here “ $s < t$ ” should be interpreted as meaning “ $t-s \in \Sigma$ ”, where Σ is the sector $|\arg t| < \pi/2 - \theta$ of the t -plane, and “ $s \leq t$ ” as “ $s < t$ or $s = t$ ”.) If $f(t)$ is holomorphic for $t \in \Delta$, $t > 0$, and Hölder continuous at $t=0$, every solution of (1.10) has a continuation holomorphic for $t \in \Delta$, $t > 0$.

Proof. See, [5].

It follows from I) and II) that

Proposition 1.

$$(1.12) \quad \|A(t)^\alpha \exp(\tau A(t))\| \leq N_6 |\tau|^{-\alpha} \quad : 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2, \quad |\arg \tau| \leq \pi/2 - \theta$$

$$(1.13) \quad \|A(t)^\alpha U(t, s)\| \leq (h+k-\alpha)^{-1} N_{18} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \quad : 0 \leq \alpha < k+h$$

$$(1.14) \quad \|A(t)^{\alpha+h}U(t, s)A(s)^{-h}\| \leq (k-\alpha)^{-1}N_{19}(t-s)^{-\alpha} \quad : 0 \leq \alpha < k, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Here the constants $N_i (i \geq 4, i \in \mathbb{N})$ are determined by $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, \theta, h, k, T$. The above Proposition is essentially proved in [5]. In addition to these, we need the following estimates in [3].

Proposition 2. *If $1-h < k < 1, 0 < \alpha < \alpha' < 1-k$, then for any $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$(1.15) \quad \|A(0)^\alpha[U(t, 0) - U(s, 0)]A(0)^{-1}\| \leq C(t-s)^{1-\alpha'}$$

$$(1.16) \quad \|A(0)^\alpha[U(t, r) - U(s, r)]\| \leq C(t-s)^{1-\alpha'}(s-r)^{-1},$$

where the constant C is determined by $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, \theta, h, k, \alpha, T$.

Proposition 3. *Let the function $f(t)$ be continuous on $[0, T]$. Then for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, 0 < \alpha < \alpha' < \alpha'' < h$, the following inequality holds:*

$$(1.17) \quad \|A_0^\alpha \left[\int_0^t U(t, r)f(r)dr - \int_0^s U(s, r)f(r)dr \right]\| \leq C_{\alpha\alpha'} |t-s|^{1-\alpha''} (|\log(t-s)| + 1) \max_{0 \leq r \leq T} \|f(r)\|.$$

Proposition 4. *If $0 < \alpha' < \alpha'' < h$, then for any $0 \leq r \leq t \leq T$, the following inequality holds:*

$$(1.18) \quad \|A(t)^{\alpha'}U(t, r)A(r)^{1-p_h}\| \leq C(t-r)^{p_h-\alpha''-1} \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Proposition 5. *Let the function $f(t)$ be Hölder continuous on $[0, T]$. Then for any $0 \leq r \leq T$, the following inequality holds:*

$$(1.19) \quad \|A(r)^{p_h} \int_0^r U(r, s)f(s)ds\| \leq Cr^{1-p_h} \quad : p = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Now we shall define a . We shall make the following assumptions;

(a-1) = (A-1)

(a-2) There exists $T_0 > 0$, such that $A_{u_0}(t) = A(t, u_0)$ is a well-defined operator from X to X for each $t \in [0, T_0)$.

(a-3) For any $t \in [0, T_0)$ the resolvent of $A_{u_0}(t)$ contains the left half-plane and there exists C_1 such that $\|(\lambda - A_{u_0}(t))^{-1}\| \leq C_1(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}, Re \lambda \leq 0$, and the domain, $D(A_{u_0}(t))$, of $A_{u_0}(t)$ is dense in X .

(a-4) The domain $D(A_{u_0}(t)^h) = D$ of $A_{u_0}(t)^h$ is independent of $t \in [0, T_0)$ and there exist $C_2, C_3, \sigma, 1-h+\alpha < \sigma \leq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{u_0}(t)^h A_{u_0}(s)^{-h}\| &\leq C_2 & t, s \in [0, T_0), \\ \|A_{u_0}(t)^h A_{u_0}(s)^{-h} - I\| &\leq C_3 |t-s|^\sigma & t, s \in [0, T_0). \end{aligned}$$

(a-5) $f_{u_0}(t) = f(t, u_0)$ is defined and belongs to X for each $t \in [0, T_0)$ and there

exists C_4 such that

$$\|f_{u_0}(t) - f_{u_0}(s)\| \leq C_4 |t - s|^\sigma \quad t, s \in [0, T_0].$$

These constants $C_i (i=1, 2, 3, 4)$ do not depend on t, s .

Then from the Theorem A, there is a unique solution of

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{u}}{dt} + A_{u_0}(t)\hat{u} = f_{u_0}(t) \\ \hat{u}(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

With the solution of (1.20) set

$$(1.21) \quad a = \frac{d^+}{dt} A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t) |_{t=0}.$$

We can define a since by $u_0 \in D(A_0^{1+\alpha}), f_{u_0}(0) \in D(A_0^h)$ and $1 - h + \alpha < \sigma \leq 1$. In fact from (1.13), (a-5) and (a-4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_{u_0}(t, s) f_{u_0}(s) ds\| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|A_0^\alpha U_{u_0}(t, s)\| \cdot \|f_{u_0}(s) - f_{u_0}(0)\| ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \|A_0^\alpha U_{u_0}(t, s) A_{u_0}(s)^{-h}\| \cdot \|A_{u_0}(s)^h A_0^{-h}\| \cdot \|A_0^h f_{u_0}(0)\| ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t (h+k-\alpha)^{-1} N_{18}(t-s)^{-\alpha} C_4 s^\sigma ds + \int_0^t C(t-s)^{h-\alpha'} C_2 \|A_0^h f_{u_0}(0)\| ds \\ & \leq C t^{1+h-\alpha'}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Existence of solutions on the real axis

We consider the Cauchy problem

$$(2.1) \quad du/dt + A(t, u)u = f(t, u) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

We shall make the following assumptions:

(R-1) There exist $h=1/m$, where m is an integer, $m \geq 2$, and $0 \leq \alpha < h/2$ such that $A_0^{-\alpha}$ is a well-defined operator $\in B(X)$ and $u_0 \in D(A_0^{1+\alpha})$ where $A_0 \equiv A(0, u_0)$.

(R-2) A_0^{-1} is a completely continuous operator.

(R-3) There exist $R > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is a well-defined operator $\in L(X)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in N \equiv \{v \in X; \|v - A_0^\alpha u_0\| < R\} \cap Y \cup \{A_0^\alpha u_0\}$ where $Y \equiv \bigcup_{t>0} \{v \in X; \|v - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| < tM\}$, $0 < M \leq \|a\|$, and the domain, $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))$, of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is dense in X .

(R-4) For any $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in N$

(2.3) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the resolvent set of } A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) \text{ contains the left half-plane and there} \\ \text{exists } C_1 \text{ such that } \|(\lambda - A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))^{-1}\| \leq C_1(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0. \end{array} \right.$

(R-5) The domain $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h) = D$ of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is independent of $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in N$.

(R-6) There exist $C_2, C_3, \sigma, 1 - h + \alpha < \sigma \leq 1, \alpha < \alpha'' < h/2, \frac{1-h+\alpha''}{1-\alpha} < \eta < 1$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h}\| \leq C_2 \quad t, s \in [0, T], \quad v, w \in N,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h} - I\| \leq C_3 \{ |t-s|^\sigma + \|v-w\|^\eta \} \\ t, s \in [0, T], \quad v, w \in N.$$

(R-7) $f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is defined and belongs to X for each $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in N$, and there exists C_4 such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) - f(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)\| \leq C_4 \{ |t-s|^\sigma + \|v-w\|^\eta \} \quad t, s \in [0, T], \quad w, v \in N.$$

Theorem 2. *Let the assumptions (R-1)–(R-7) hold. Then there exists $S_0, 0 < S_0 \leq T$, such that there exists at least one continuously differentiable solution of (2.1) for $0 < t < S_0$ that is continuous for $0 \leq t < S_0$ and satisfies (2.2).*

Proof. Let $\alpha < \alpha'' < h/2, (1-h+\alpha'')/\eta < \zeta < 1-\alpha, L > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We consider the set $F(S)$ of all functions $v(t)$, defined on $[0, S]$ which satisfy the following;

$$(2.7) \quad v(0) = A_0^\alpha u_0,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\| \leq L |t_1 - t_2|^\zeta \quad \text{for any } t_1, t_2 \in [0, S],$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|v(t) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| \leq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, S]$$

Suppose $S_1 \in (0, T]$. Then for any $v \in F(S_1)$

$$\|v(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0\| = \|v(t) - v(0)\| \leq L |t|^\zeta \quad \text{for } t \in [0, S_1].$$

So if $0 < S_2 < \min \{S_1, (RL^{-1})^{1/\zeta}\}$, then

$$(2.10) \quad \|v(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0\| < L(RL^{-1}) = R \quad \text{for } t \in [0, S_2].$$

Therefore from (2.9) we have $v(t) \in N$ for $t \in (0, S_2)$. Hence the operator

$$(2.11) \quad A_v(t) = A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t))$$

is well defined for $t \in [0, S_2]$ and, by (2.3)

$$\|(\lambda - A_v(t))^{-1}\| \leq C_1/(1 + |\lambda|) \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, S_2].$$

From (2.4) we obtain

$$\|A_v(t)^k A_v(s)^{-k}\| \leq C_2 \quad \text{if } t, s \in [0, S_2).$$

From (2.5) and (2.8) we also get

$$\|A_v(t)^k A_v(s)^{-k} - I\| \leq C_3 \{ |t-s|^\sigma + \|v(t) - v(s)\|^\eta \} \leq C_3 \{ S_2^{\sigma-k} + L^\eta S_2^{\zeta\eta-k} \} |t-s|^k$$

where $k = \min \{ \sigma, \zeta\eta \}$. $t, s \in [0, S_2)$

Note that $1 - h + \alpha < \sigma \leq 1$ and $(1 - h + \alpha'')/\eta < \zeta < 1 - \alpha$ imply $1 - h < k < 1$.

By Theorem A, there exists a fundamental solution $U_v(t, s)$ corresponding to $A_v(t)$ and all the estimates for fundamental solutions in the previous section hold uniformly with respect to v in $F(S_2)$. In particular, from (1.15) and (1.16) we get for $0 < \alpha < \alpha' < 1 - \zeta$, $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq S_2$

$$(2.12) \quad \|A_0^\alpha [U_v(t, 0) - U_v(s, 0)] A_0^{-1}\| \leq \tilde{C} |t-s|^{1-\alpha'}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|A_0^\alpha [U_v(t, r) - U_v(s, r)]\| \leq \tilde{C} |t-s|^{1-\alpha'} |s-r|^{-1}$$

where \tilde{C} is a constant depending on $\theta, h, \zeta, \alpha, C_1, C_2, C_3, S_2$.

Setting $f_v(t) = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v(t))$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.8) that

$$(2.14) \quad \|f_v(t) - f_v(s)\| \leq C_4 \{ |t-s|^\sigma + \|v(t) - v(s)\|^\eta \} \leq C_4 \{ T^{\sigma-k} + L^\eta T^{\zeta\eta-k} \} |t-s|^k.$$

Since $f_v(0) = f(0, A_0^{-\alpha} v(0)) = f(0, u_0)$ is independent of v , (2.14) implies that

$$(2.15) \quad \max_{0 \leq t < S_2} \|f_v(t)\| \leq \|f(0, u_0)\| + C_4 \{ S_2^{\sigma-k} + L^\eta S_2^{\zeta\eta-k} \} S_2^k \leq C_5.$$

Set $w_{v,\alpha}(t) = A_0^\alpha w_v(t)$, where w_v is the unique solution of

$$(2.16) \quad dw_v/dt + A_v(t)w_v = f_v(t) \quad t \in [0, S_2)$$

$$(2.17) \quad w_v(0) = u_0.$$

Then from (2.14) and Theorem A, $w_{v,\alpha}$ is given by

$$(2.18) \quad w_{v,\alpha}(t) = A_0^\alpha U_v(t, 0)u_0 + A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_v(t, s)f_v(s)ds.$$

In view of (2.18), for any t_1, t_2 in $[0, S_2)$ we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \|w_{v,\alpha}(t_1) - w_{v,\alpha}(t_2)\| \leq \|A_0^\alpha [U_v(t_1, 0) - U_v(t_2, 0)] A_0^{-1}\| \cdot \|A_0 u_0\| \\ + \|A_0^\alpha [\int_0^{t_1} U_v(t_1, s)f_v(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} U_v(t_2, s)f_v(s)ds]\|.$$

Making use of (2.14), (2.15) and (1.17), we find that

$$(2.20) \quad \|A_0^\alpha [\int_0^{t_1} U_v(t_1, s)f_v(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} U_v(t_2, s)f_v(s)ds]\| \\ \leq \tilde{C} |t_1 - t_2|^{1-\tilde{\alpha}} (|\log(t_1 - t_2)| + 1) \quad \text{where } \zeta < 1 - \tilde{\alpha} < 1 - \alpha.$$

Therefore from (2.19), (2.12) and (2.20) it follows that

$$\|w_{v,\alpha}(t_1) - w_{v,\alpha}(t_2)\| \leq \bar{C} |t_1 - t_2|^{1-\alpha'} \|A_0 u_0\| + C |t_1 - t_2|^{1-\bar{\alpha}} (|\log(t_1 - t_2)| + 1).$$

Hence if a positive number S_3 satisfies $\bar{C} S_3^{1-\zeta-\alpha'} \|A_0 u_0\| + C S_3^{1-\zeta-\bar{\alpha}-\varepsilon} |t_1 - t_2|^\varepsilon \times (|\log(t_1 - t_2)| + 1) \leq L$ where $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \zeta - \bar{\alpha}$ and if $S_3 \leq S_2$, the inequality

$$(2.21) \quad \|w_{v,\alpha}(t_1) - w_{v,\alpha}(t_2)\| \leq L |t_1 - t_2|^\zeta \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in [0, S_3)$$

holds.

We shall prove that if S_4 is sufficiently small, the following inequality holds;

$$(2.22) \quad \|w_{v,\alpha}(t) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| \leq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, S_4).$$

First, if S_5 , $0 < S_5 \leq S_3$, is sufficiently small, for any $0 \leq t < S_5$ the following inequality holds;

$$(2.23) \quad \|w_{v,\alpha}(t) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t)\| \leq Mt(1-\varepsilon)/2 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, S_5).$$

1) The case of bounded $A(t, A_0^\alpha v)$.

If $A(t_1, A_0^{-\alpha} v_1)$ is assumed to be bounded for some $t_1 \in [0, S_4)$ and some $v_1 \in N$, in addition to the assumption (R-4) and (R-5), it follows that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v) \in B(X)$ for all $t \in [0, S_4)$ and $v \in N$. In fact the boundedness of $A(t_1, A_0^{-\alpha} v_1)$ implies that of $A(t_1, A_0^{-\alpha} v_1)^h$ so that the constant domain $D = D(A(t_1, A_0^{-\alpha} v_1)^h)$ must coincide with X . Thus from closed graph theorem $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v)^h \in B(X)$ and hence $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v) \in B(X)$ for all t and v .

Let v_1, v_2 belong to $F(S_4)$ and set

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{cases} A_i(t) = A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v_i(t)) \\ U_i(t, s) = U_{v_i}(t, s) \\ f_i(t) = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v_i(t)) \\ w_i(t) = A_0^{-\alpha} w_{v_i,\alpha}(t) \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Thus, for $i=1, 2$,

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{cases} dw_i/dt + A_i(t)w_i = f_i(t) \\ w_i(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $w_1(t) \in D(A_2(t))$, $w_2(t) \in D(A_1(t))$ since $A_i(t) \in B(X)$ ($i=1, 2$), and we get

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(w_1 - w_2) + A_1(t)(w_1 - w_2) = [A_2(t) - A_1(t)]w_2 + [f_1(t) - f_2(t)].$$

Now, we shall show the following,

Lemma 1. $[A_2(t) - A_1(t)]w_2(t)$ is Hölder continuous in t for $0 \leq t < S_4$.

Proof of Lemma. Write

$$(2.27) \quad [A_2(t) - A_1(t)]w_2(t) - [A_2(s) - A_1(s)]w_2(s) \\ = [A_2(t) - A_2(s)]w_2(t) + A_2(s)[w_2(t) - w_2(s)] \\ - [A_1(t) - A_1(s)]w_2(t) - A_1(s)[w_2(t) - w_2(s)].$$

First we verify the following two inequalities:

$$(2.28) \quad \|[A_i(t) - A_i(s)]w_2(t)\| \leq D_1(t-s)^k \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < S_4, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(2.29) \quad \|A_i(s)[w_2(t) - w_2(s)]\| \leq D_2(t-s)^{1-h} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < S_4, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where the constants D_1, D_2 do not depend on v_i, s, t but depend on $\|A_0^h\|$.

From (2.4), (2.5), (1.13) and (2.15) we have

$$\|[A_i(t) - A_i(s)]w_2(t)\| \\ \leq \sum_{p=1}^m \|A_i(t)^{1-ph} [A_i(t)^h A_i(s)^{-h} - I] A_i(s)^{ph} \{U_2(t, 0)u_0 + \int_0^t U_2(t, r)f_2(r)dr\}\| \\ \leq \sum_{p=1}^m \|A_i(t)^h\|^{m-p} \|A_i(t)^h A_i(s)^{-h} - I\| \cdot \|A_i(s)^h\|^p [\|U_2(t, 0)u_0\| + \int_0^t \|U_2(t, r)f_2(r)\|dr] \\ \leq mC^m(t-s)^k [(h+k)^{-1}N_{18}\|u_0\| + t(h+k)^{-1}N_{18}C_3] \|A_0^h\|^m C_3 \\ \leq D_1(t-s)^k.$$

From (2.4), (2.12) and (2.20) we have

$$\|A_i(s)[w_2(t) - w_2(s)]\| \\ \leq \|A_i(s)A_0^{-\alpha}\| \cdot \|A_0^\alpha \{U_2(t, 0)u_0 + \int_0^t U_2(t, r)f_2(r)dr - U_2(s, 0)u_0 - \int_0^s U_2(s, r)f_2(r)dr\}\| \\ \leq \|A_i(s)A_0^{-\alpha}\| \{ \|A_0^\alpha [U_2(t, 0) - U_2(s, 0)]A_0^{-1}\| \cdot \|A_0 u_0\| \\ + \|A_0^\alpha [\int_0^t U_2(t, r)f_2(r)dr - \int_0^s U_2(s, r)f_2(r)dr]\| \} \\ \leq C_2^m \|A_0^h\|^m \|A_0^{-\alpha}\| \{ \tilde{C}(t-s)^{1-\alpha'} \|A_0 u_0\| + C(t-s)^{1-\alpha''} (|\log(t-s)| + 1) \} \\ \leq D_2(t-s)^{1-h}.$$

Thus using (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29) we obtain

$$(2.30) \quad \|[A_2(t) - A_1(t)]w_2(t) - [A_2(s) - A_1(s)]w_2(s)\| \\ \leq 2D_1|t-s|^\sigma + 2D_2|t-s|^{1-h} \\ \leq D_3|t-s|^{1-h}$$

so that $[A_2(t) - A_1(t)]w_2(t)$ is Hölder continuous.

q.e.d.

From (2.6) for any $0 \leq s \leq t < S_4$ it follows that

$$(2.31) \quad \|[f_1(t) - f_2(t)] - [f_1(s) - f_2(s)]\| \leq 2C|t-s|^k.$$

Hence from (2.30) and (2.31) the right-hand of (2.26) is Hölder continuous. Then applying Theorem A to (2.25) and $w_1(0) - w_2(0) = 0$ we can write

$$(2.32) \quad w_1(t) - w_2(t) = \int_0^t U_1(t, r) \{ [A_2(r) - A_1(r)] w_2(r) + [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] \} dr$$

Therefore from the definition of $w_{v, \alpha}$ we get the identity

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{aligned} w_{v_1, \alpha}(t) - w_{v_2, \alpha}(t) &= A_0^\alpha w_1(t) - A_0^\alpha w_2(t) \\ &= -A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_1(t, r) \{ [A_1(r) - A_2(r)] w_2(r) + [f_2(r) - f_1(r)] \} dr \\ &= -A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_1(t, r) \sum_{p=1}^m A_1(r)^{1-p} [A_1(r)^p A_2(r)^{-p} - I] A_2(r)^p w_2(r) dr \\ &\quad + A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr \\ &= -\sum_{p=1}^m \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) A_1(r)^{1-p} [A_1(r)^p A_2(r)^{-p} - I] A_2(r)^p w_2(r) dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr. \end{aligned}$$

In the following the constants E_1, E_2, \dots do not depend on $s, t, v_i, \|A_0^h\|$. So, put $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 = A_0^\alpha \hat{u}$;

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w_{v, \alpha}(t) - w_{A_0^\alpha \hat{u}, \alpha}(t)\| &= -\sum_{p=1}^m \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) A_1(r)^{1-p} [A_1(r)^p A_2(r)^{-p} - I] A_2(r)^p w_2(r) dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), (2.7), (2.18), (1.17) and (1.15) we get

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v(r) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(r)\| &\leq \|v(r) - v(0)\| + \|A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(r) - A_0^\alpha u_0\| \\ &\leq Lr^\zeta + \|A_0^\alpha \int_0^r U_{u_0}(r, s) f_{u_0}(s) ds\| + \|A_0^\alpha [U_{u_0}(r, 0) - U_{u_0}(0, 0)] A_0^{-1} A_0 u_0\| \\ &\leq Lr^\zeta + Cr^{1-\tilde{\alpha}} [|\log r| + 1] \max_{0 \leq t \leq r} \|f_{u_0}(t)\| + Cr^{1-\tilde{\alpha}} \\ &\leq Cr^\zeta \quad \text{where } \zeta < 1 - \tilde{\alpha} < 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For any $0 \leq t < S_5$ the following inequality holds;

$$(2.36) \quad \left\| \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr \right\| \leq Ct^{1-\alpha'+\zeta\eta}.$$

We see this, using (1.13), (2.6) and (2.35) for $0 < \alpha < \alpha' < h/2$, as follows;

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr \right\| &\leq \int_0^t M_{\alpha\alpha'} (h+k-\alpha')^{-1} N_{18}(t-r)^{-\alpha'} C_4 Cr^{\zeta\eta} dr \\ &\leq Ct^{1-\alpha'+\zeta\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

We cite (1.18) for $A=A_1$, $U=U_1$;

$$(2.38) \quad \|A_1(t)^{\alpha'} U_1(t, r) A_1(r)^{1-\rho h}\| \leq E_2(t-r)^{\rho h - \alpha'' - 1}.$$

Note that

$$(2.39) \quad A_2(r)^{\rho h} w_2(r) = A_2(r)^{\rho h} U_2(r, 0) u_0 + A_2(r)^{\rho h} \int_0^r U_2(r, s) f_2(s) ds$$

$$(2.40) \quad \|A_2(r)^{\rho h} U_2(r, 0) u_0\| \leq \|A_2(r)^{\rho h} U_2(r, 0) A_0^{-h}\| \cdot \|A_0^h u_0\| \\ \leq (k - \rho h + h)^{-1} N_{19} r^{h - \rho h} \|A_0^h u_0\| \\ \leq E_3 r^{h - \rho h}$$

by (1.14).

From (1.19) we find that

$$(2.41) \quad \|A_2(r)^{\rho h} \int_0^r U_2(r, s) f_2(s) ds\| \leq E_4 r^{1 - \rho h}.$$

Hence using (2.39), (2.40) and (2.41) we have

$$(2.42) \quad \|A_2(r)^{\rho h} w_2(r)\| \leq E_3 r^{h - \rho h} + E_4 r^{1 - \rho h} \\ \leq E_5 r^{h - \rho h}.$$

Therefore from (2.38), (2.5), (2.42) and (2.35) it follows that

$$(2.43) \quad \left\| \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) A_1(r)^{1-\rho h} [A_1(r)^h A_2(r)^{-h} - I] A_2(r)^{\rho h} w_2(r) dr \right\| \\ \leq \int_0^t E_2(t-r)^{\rho h - \alpha'' - 1} \|v(r) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(r)\|^\eta E_5 r^{h - \rho h} dr \\ \leq \int_0^t E_2(t-r)^{\rho h - \alpha'' - 1} C r^\zeta E_5 r^{h - \rho h} dr \\ \leq C t^{h - \alpha'' + \zeta \eta}.$$

Then from (2.34), (2.43) and (2.36) we have

$$(2.44) \quad \|w_{v, \alpha}(t) - v_{A_0^\alpha \hat{u}, \alpha}(t)\| \leq m C t^{1 - \alpha'' + \zeta \eta} + C t^{h - \alpha'' + \zeta \eta} \\ \leq C t^{h - \alpha'' + \zeta \eta}.$$

Put $v_1 = A_0^\alpha u_0$ and $v_2 = A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t)$, from (2.18) and (1.15) it follows that

$$(2.45) \quad \|\hat{u}(r) - u_0\| \\ \leq \| [U_{u_0}(r, 0) - U_{u_0}(0, 0)] A_0^{-1} A_0 u_0 \| + \int_0^r \|U_{u_0}(r, s) f_{u_0}(s)\| ds \\ \leq C r^{1 - \tilde{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{where } 0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < \alpha.$$

Then as we get (2.44), we have

$$(2.46) \quad \|w_{A_0^\alpha \hat{u}, \alpha}(t) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t)\| \leq C t^{h - \alpha'' + \eta(1 - \tilde{\varepsilon})}.$$

Note that $(1-h+\alpha'')/\eta < \zeta < 1-\alpha$ implies $h-\alpha''+\eta(1-\tilde{\epsilon}) > h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta > 1$. Therefore from (2.44) and (2.46)

$$(*) \quad \|w_{v,\alpha}(t) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t)\| \leq C t^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta-1} \times t \\ \leq C S_5^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta-1} \times t \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, S_5].$$

So if $0 < S_5 \leq \min(S_3, \{M(1-\varepsilon)/2C\}^{1+\alpha''-h-\zeta\eta})$, then

$$\|w_{v,\alpha}(t) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t)\| \leq M(1-\varepsilon)t/2.$$

Thus (2.23) is obtained.

2) The general case.

We now turn to general case in which $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is not necessarily bounded. We first construct a sequence of bounded operators $A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ that approximate $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ in a certain sense. We set

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{cases} A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) = A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)J_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) \\ J_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) = [1+n^{-1}A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h]^{-m} \end{cases} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Obviously $A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ belongs to $B(X)$ and satisfy the assumptions I, II). Therefore, all the estimates deduced in the preceding section are valid, whose constants do not depend on n . Hence from I) there exists a fundamental solution $U_{i,n}(t, s)$ corresponding to $A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v_i(t))$ and a solution $w_{i,n}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw_{i,n}}{dt} + A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v_i(t))w_{i,n} = f_i(t) \\ w_{i,n}(0) = u_0 \end{cases} \quad v_i \in F(S_4), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then we get by (*)

$$(2.48) \quad \|A_n(0, u_0)^\alpha [w_{1,n}(t) - w_{2,n}(t)]\| \leq C S_5^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta-1} \times t.$$

Due to Kato [5], we obtained that $A_n^\alpha(0, u_0)U_{i,n}(t, 0) \rightarrow A_0^\alpha U_i(t, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (2.23) is obtained.

Next, from (1.21) for any $\delta > 0$ there is a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{t} [A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0] - a \right\| < \delta \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, t_0].$$

Then choose $\delta = M(1-\varepsilon)/2$ there is a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2.49) \quad \|A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t) - [A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta]\| \\ = \left\| \frac{1}{t} [A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0] - a \right\| t \\ \leq M(1-\varepsilon)t/2 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, t_0]$$

Hence if $0 < S_4 \leq \min\{S_5, t_0\}$, then from (2.23) and (2.49)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.50) \quad & \|w_{v,\alpha}(t) - [A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta]\| \\
 & \leq \|w_{v,\alpha}(t) - A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t)\| + \|A_0^\alpha \hat{u}(t) - [A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta]\| \\
 & \leq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{for any } t \in [0, S_4]
 \end{aligned}$$

holds. Thus (2.22) is proved.

Since (2.17) implies

$$(2.51) \quad w_{v,\alpha}(0) = A_0^\alpha w_v(0) = A_0^\alpha u_0,$$

we get $w_{v,\alpha} \in F(S_4)$.

We defined a transformation $T: v \mapsto w_{v,\alpha}$ for $v \in F(S_4)$. Then from (2.51) (2.21) and (2.50) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tv)(0) &= w_{v,\alpha}(0) = A_0^\alpha u_0, \\
 \|(Tv)(t_1) - (Tv)(t_2)\| &\leq L|t_1 - t_2|^\zeta \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in [0, S_4] \\
 \|Tv(t) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| &\leq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, S_4)
 \end{aligned}$$

that is, T maps $F(S_4)$ into itself.

We now consider $F(S_4)$ as a subset of the Banach space $\tilde{Y} \equiv C([0, S_4]; X)$ consisting of all the continuous functions $v(t)$ from $[0, S_4]$ into X with norm

$$\|v\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq S_4} \|v(t)\|.$$

We shall prove that T is a continuous mapping in $F(S_4)$ (with the topology induced by \tilde{Y}).

1) The case of bounded $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$.

Let v_1 and v_2 belong to $F(S_4)$. From (2.33)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.52) \quad & w_{v_1,\alpha}(t) - w_{v_2,\alpha}(t) \\
 & = - \sum_{p=1}^m \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) A_1(r)^{1-ph} [A_1(r)^h A_2(r)^{-h} - I] A_2(r)^{ph} w_2(r) dr \\
 & \quad + \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

For any $0 \leq t < S_4$, the following inequality holds:

$$(2.53) \quad \left\| \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr \right\| \leq E_1 t^{1-h} \|v_1 - v_2\|^\eta.$$

We see this, using (1.13) and (2.6) for $0 < \alpha < \alpha' < h$, as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr \right\| \\
 & \leq \int_0^t \|A_0^\alpha A_1(t)^{-\alpha'}\| \cdot \|A_1(t)^{\alpha'} U_1(t, r)\| \cdot \|f_1(r) - f_2(r)\| dr \\
 & \leq \int_0^t M_{\alpha\alpha'} (h+k-\alpha')^{-1} N_{18}(t-r)^{-\alpha'} C_4 \|v_1(r) - v_2(r)\|^\eta dr \\
 & \leq E_1 t^{1-h} \|v_1 - v_2\|^\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (2.33), (2.38), (2.5), (2.42) and (2.53) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.54) \quad & \|w_{v_1, \alpha}(t) - w_{v_2, \alpha}(t)\| \\
 & \leq \sum_{\beta=1}^m \int_0^t \|A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) A_1(r)^{1-\beta h} \cdot \|A_1(r)^h A_2(r)^{-h} - I\| \cdot \|A_2(r)^{\beta h} w_2(r)\| dr \\
 & \quad + \left\| \int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t, r) [f_1(r) - f_2(r)] dr \right\| \\
 & \leq \sum_{\beta=1}^m \int_0^t E_2 (t-r)^{\beta h - \alpha'' - 1} \|v_1(r) - v_2(r)\|^n E_5 r^{h-\beta h} dr + E_1 t^{1-h} \|v_1 - v_2\|^n \\
 & \leq E_4 (t^{h-\alpha''} + t^{1-h}) \|v_1 - v_2\|^n \\
 & \leq E_2 t^{h-\alpha''} \|v_1 - v_2\|^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.55) \quad & \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq S_4} \|w_{v_1, \alpha}(t) - w_{v_2, \alpha}(t)\| \\
 & \leq E_7 S_4^{h-\alpha''} \|v_1 - v_2\|^n \quad v_1, v_2 \in F(S_4).
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that T is a continuous operator.

2) The general case.
we get by (2.54)

$$(2.56) \quad \|A_n(0, u_0)^\alpha [w_{v_1, n}(t) - w_{v_2, n}(t)]\| \leq E_8 S_4^{h-\alpha''} \|v_1 - v_2\|^n \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$

Due to Kato [5], we obtain that $A_n(0, u_0)^\alpha U_{i, n}(t, 0) \rightarrow A_0^\alpha U_i(t, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus T is a continuous operator.

We now claim that the set $TF(S_4)$ is contained in a compact subset of Y . Indeed, the functions $v(t)$ of $F(S_4)$ are uniformly bounded (by (2.10)) and equicontinuous (by (2.8)). If we can show that for each t the set $\{w_{v, \alpha}(t); v \in F(S_4)\}$ is contained in a compact subset of X , then by applying Ascoli's Theorem we can prove that $TF(S_4)$ is contained in a compact set of Y .

We can write, for each $t \in [0, S_4)$, $w_{v, \alpha}(t) = A_0^{-\gamma} A_0^\gamma w_{v, \alpha}(t)$ where $0 < \gamma < h - \alpha$. From (2.12) and (2.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A_0^\gamma w_{v, \alpha}(t)\| & = \|A_0^\gamma [A_0^\alpha U_v(t, 0) u_0 + A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_v(t, s) f_v(s) ds]\| \\
 & \leq \|A_0^{\gamma+\alpha} [U_v(t, 0) - U_v(0, 0)] A_0^{-1} A_0 u_0\| + \|A_0^{\gamma+\alpha} u_0\| \\
 & \quad + \|A_0^{\gamma+\alpha} A_v(t)^{-h} \cdot \|A_v(t)^h \int_0^t U_v(t, s) f_v(s) ds\| \\
 & \leq \bar{C} t^{1-\alpha-\gamma-\varepsilon} \|A_0 u_0\| + \|A_0^{\alpha+\gamma} u_0\| + M E_4 t^{1-h} \\
 & \leq E_9.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{A_0^\gamma w_{v, \alpha}(t); v \in F(S_4)\}$ is a bounded subset of X . And by assumption (A-2), $A_0^{-\gamma}$ is completely continuous. Therefore $\{w_{v, \alpha}(t); v \in F(S_4)\}$ is indeed contained in a compact subset of X .

We can now apply Schauder's fixed point theorem and deduce that T has a fixed point v in $F(S_4)$. Noting $Tv = w_{v,\alpha}$ and $w_{v,\alpha}(t) = A_0^\alpha w_v(t)$, we have $A_0^\alpha w_v(t) = v(t)$ or $w_v(t) = A_0^{-\alpha} v(t)$. Applying (2.16) we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} A_0^{-\alpha} v(t) + A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v(t)) A_0^{-\alpha} v(t) = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v(t)).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2 for $S = S_4$ and $u = A_0^{-\alpha} v$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

From (0.3) there are constants $C_5, \phi_1 > 0, T_1 > 0$ such that for $t \in \Sigma(\phi_1; T_1), v \in N$ and $|\theta| < \phi_1$, the resolvent set of $e^{i\theta} A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v)$ contains the left half-plane and

$$(3.1) \quad \|(\lambda - e^{i\theta} A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v))^{-1}\| \leq C_5(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1} \quad \text{Re } \lambda \leq 0,$$

We let $\phi = \min\{\phi_0, \phi_1\}, (1 - h + \alpha'')/\eta < \zeta < 1 - \alpha, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $L > 0$.

We consider the set $E(S)$ of all functions $\bar{v}(t)$, defined on $\Sigma(\phi; S)$ which satisfy the following;

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{v}: \Sigma(\phi; S) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow X \text{ is analytic,}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{v}(0) = A_0^\alpha u_0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\bar{v}(t) - \bar{v}(0)\| \leq L|t|^\zeta \quad \text{for any } t \in \Sigma(\phi; S)$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|\bar{v}(t_1) - \bar{v}(t_2)\| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|^\zeta \quad \text{for any real } t_1, t_2 \in [0, S),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|\bar{v}(t) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| \leq M|t|(1 - \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } t \in \Sigma(\phi; S)$$

If $0 < S_1 < \min\{T_0, (RL^{-1})^{1/\zeta}\}$, then

$$\|\bar{v}(t) - A_0^\alpha u_0\| \leq L|t|^\zeta < L(RL^{-1}) = R \quad \text{for } t \in \Sigma(\phi; S_1).$$

Let us note that if S_1 is small enough to $\bar{v}(t) \in N$ for $t \in (0, S_1)$ the operator

$$A_{\bar{v}}(t) = A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} \bar{v}(t))$$

and the function

$$f_{\bar{v}}(t) = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha} \bar{v}(t))$$

are well defined for $t \in \Sigma(\phi; S_1)$, since $\Sigma(\phi; S_1) \subset \Sigma(\phi_0; T_0)$.

We first restrict t to be real in (0.1), $t \in [0, S_1)$. Then it follows from (0.3)–(0.6) that the family $\{A_{\bar{v}}(t); 0 \leq t < S_1\}$ and the function $f_{\bar{v}}: [0, S_1) \rightarrow X$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A. Thus there is a continuous function $\bar{w}: [0, S_1) \rightarrow X$ which is the unique solution of

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} d\bar{w}_{\bar{v}}/dt + A_{\bar{v}}(t)\bar{w}_{\bar{v}} = f_{\bar{v}}(t) \\ \bar{w}_{\bar{v}}(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

For $0 < \varepsilon < S_1/2$ we consider the sector $\Sigma(\phi; S_1 - 2\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\} + \varepsilon$. Since the function $t \mapsto A_{\bar{v}}(t)^h A(0)^{-h}$ and $t \mapsto f_{\bar{v}}(t)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of the closure of $\Sigma(\phi; S_1 - 2\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\} + \varepsilon$ and by (0.6) $f_{\bar{v}}(t)$ is Hölder continuous, we can apply Theorem B; $\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}$ has an extension to $\cup \{\Sigma(\phi; S_1 - 2\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\} + \varepsilon; \varepsilon > 0\} = \Sigma(\phi; S_1) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}: \Sigma(\phi; S_1) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow X$ is analytic, $\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t) \in D(A_{\bar{v}}(t))$ and $d\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t)/dt + A_{\bar{v}}(t)\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t) = f_{\bar{v}}(t)$ for $t \in \Sigma(\phi; S_1) \setminus \{0\}$.

Next we shall show that $A_0^\alpha \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}: \Sigma(\phi; S_1) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow X$ is analytic. Actually seeing that $t \mapsto A_{\bar{v}}(t)^h A(0)^{-h}$ is analytic, $t \mapsto A(0)^h A_{\bar{v}}(t)^{-h}$ is analytic. By rewriting the equation as $A_{\bar{v}}(t)\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t) = f_{\bar{v}}(t) - \tilde{w}'_{\bar{v}}(t)$ and using the fact that $t \mapsto \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t)$ and $t \mapsto f_{\bar{v}}(t)$ are analytic, we have that $t \mapsto A_{\bar{v}}(t)^h \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t) = A_{\bar{v}}(t)^{h-1} [f_{\bar{v}}(t) - \tilde{w}'_{\bar{v}}(t)]$ is analytic. Then $t \mapsto A_0^\alpha \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t) = A_0^{\alpha-h} A_0^h A_{\bar{v}}(t)^{-h} A_{\bar{v}}(t)^h \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t)$ is analytic from $\Sigma(\phi; S_1) \setminus \{0\}$ to X .

Set $\tilde{w}_{\bar{v},\alpha}(t) = A_0^\alpha \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t)$.

Let us restrict t to be real, $t \in [0, S_1]$. From assumptions (A-1)–(A-6) and (A-8), assumptions (R-1)–(R-7) hold. Therefore if $S_1 > 0$ is small enough, as we get (2.21), we can show that

$$\|\tilde{w}_{\bar{v},\alpha}(t_1) - \tilde{w}_{\bar{v},\alpha}(t_2)\| \leq L |t_1 - t_2|^\zeta \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in [0, S_1].$$

We shall show that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \|\tilde{w}_{\bar{v},\alpha}(t) - \tilde{w}_{\bar{v},\alpha}(0)\| \leq L |t|^\zeta & \text{for } t \in \Sigma(\phi; S_1). \\ \|\tilde{w}_{\bar{v},\alpha}(t) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)\| \leq M |t| (1 - \varepsilon) & \text{for } t \in \Sigma(\phi; S_1). \end{cases}$$

In order to prove it, in (3.7) we make the change of variable $t = \tau e^{i\theta}$, $\tau \in [0, S_1]$, $|\theta| < \phi$, so equations (3.7) become

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} + e^{i\theta} A_{\bar{v}}(\tau e^{i\theta}) v = e^{i\theta} f_{\bar{v}}(\tau e^{i\theta}), \\ v(0, e^{i\theta}) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $v(\tau, e^{i\theta}) = \tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(\tau e^{i\theta})$, $\tilde{w}_{\bar{v}}(t) = v(|t|, t/|t|)$.

We hold $|\theta| < \phi$ fixed and let

$$B(\tau, \bar{v}, \theta) = e^{i\theta} A(\tau e^{i\theta}, \bar{v}), \quad g(\tau, \bar{v}, \theta) = e^{i\theta} f(\tau e^{i\theta}, \bar{v})$$

for $\tau \in [0, S_1]$, $A_0^\alpha \bar{v} \in N$, $|\theta| < \phi$. We shall show that for fixed θ , $B(\tau, \bar{v}, \theta)$ and $g(\tau, \bar{v}, \theta)$ satisfy the assumptions (R-1)–(R-7) with constants independent of θ .

First, note that

$$B_0^{-1} = B(0, u_0, \theta)^{-1} = e^{-i\theta} A(0, u_0)^{-1} = e^{-i\theta} A_0^{-1},$$

and (R-2) is verified.

Since $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha} w)$ is well defined for any $w \in N$ and $t \in \Sigma(\phi; T)$, and

$$B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta) \equiv B(\tau, B(0, u_0, \theta)^{-\alpha}w, \theta) = e^{i\theta}A(\tau e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}(e^{-i\alpha\theta}w))$$

$B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)$ is well defined for $w \in N_\theta$ and $\tau \in [0, T_1)$, which verifies (R-3) where $N_\theta = e^{i\alpha\theta}N$.

(R-4) is verified since by (3.1) and $D(B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta) = D(A(\tau e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha} \times (e^{-i\alpha\theta}w)))$.

For any $w \in N_\theta$ and $\tau \in [0, T_1)$ we have

$$D(B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)^h) = D(e^{i\theta h}A(\tau, A_0^{-\alpha}(e^{-i\alpha\theta}w))^h) \equiv D,$$

and (R-5) is verified.

From (0.4) and (0.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B(\tau_1, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)^h B(\tau_2, B_0^{-\alpha}v, \theta)^{-h}\| \\ &= \|e^{i\theta h}A(\tau_1 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}w)^h e^{-i\theta h}A(\tau_2 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}v)^h\| \\ &\leq C_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B(\tau_1, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)^h B(\tau_2, B_0^{-\alpha}v, \theta)^{-h} - I\| \\ &\leq \|A(\tau_1 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}w)^h A(\tau_2 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}v)^{-h} - I\| \\ &\leq C_3 \{|\tau_1 e^{i\theta} - \tau_2 e^{i\theta}|^\sigma + \|e^{i\alpha\theta}w - e^{-i\alpha\theta}v\|^\eta\} \\ &\leq C \{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^\sigma + \|w - v\|^\eta\} \quad w, v \in N_\theta, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in [0, T_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (R-6) is verified.

Finally, from (0.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g(\tau_1, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta) - g(\tau_2, B_0^{-\alpha}v, \theta)\| \\ &= \|e^{i\theta}f(\tau_1 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}w) - e^{i\theta}f(\tau_2 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}v)\| \\ &\leq C_4 \{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^\sigma + \|w - v\|^\eta\} \quad w, v \in N_\theta, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in [0, T_1), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (R-7).

Hence as we get (2.21), we can show that there exists a unique solution $v(\tau, e^{i\theta})$ of (3.9) defined for $\tau \in [0, S_1)$, $|\theta| < \phi$, which satisfies

$$\|A_0^\alpha v(\tau_1, e^{i\theta}) - A_0^\alpha v(\tau_2, e^{i\theta})\| \leq L |\tau_1 - \tau_2|^\xi \quad \text{for } \tau_1, \tau_2 \in [0, S_1)$$

and

$$\|A_0^\alpha v(\tau, e^{i\theta}) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + t\alpha)\| \leq M |t| (1 - \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } \tau \in [0, S_1).$$

Therefore we obtain (3.8).

Since (3.7) implies

$$\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}, \alpha}(0) = A_0^\alpha \tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(0) = A_0^\alpha u_0$$

we get $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}, \alpha} \in E(S_1)$.

We define a transformation $\tilde{T}: \tilde{v} \rightarrow \tilde{w}$ for $\tilde{v} \in E(S_1)$. Then \tilde{T} maps $E(S_1)$

into itself.

Denote by $F_0(S)$ the set of the restrictions $v(t)$ of all functions $\bar{v}(t)$ in $E(S)$ to $[0, S)$. And we define a transformation T_0 in the way $(T_0v)(t) = (\tilde{T}\bar{v})(t)$ for $t \in [0, S_1)$. Then T_0 maps $F_0(S_1)$ into itself.

Therefore we can use the argument in §2 with $F_0(S_1)$ in stead of $F(S_4)$. And we can show that w_v is a unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} dw_v/dt + A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t))w_v = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t)) \\ w_v(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where $v \in F_0(S_1)$, $w_v = A_0^{-\alpha}Tv$ and T is the map which is defined in §2.

Since the functions $\bar{v}(t)$ of $E(S_1)$ are uniformly bounded, $F_0(S_1)$ is a closed convex subset of the Banach space $\tilde{Y} \equiv C([0, S_1); X)$.

On the other hand from the definitions of T_0 , T and (3.7) it follows that $A_0^{-\alpha}T_0v = A_0^{-\alpha}Tv$ by uniqueness. It follows from Theorem 2 that there is a fixed point $v \in F_0(S_1)$ such that $Tv = v$. Therefore

$$(\tilde{T}\bar{v})(t) = (T_0v)(t) = (Tv)(t) = v(t) = \bar{v}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, S_1).$$

Noting \bar{v} and $\tilde{T}\bar{v}$ are analytic from $\sum(\phi; S_1) \setminus \{0\}$ to X , we have $\tilde{T}\bar{v} = \bar{v}$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1 for $T = S_1$ and $u = A_0^{-\alpha}\bar{v}$.

References

- [1] A. Friedman: *Partial differential equations*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969.
- [2] K. Furuya: *A note on quasilinear evolution equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **56** (1980), 256–258.
- [3] K. Furuya: *Analyticity of solutions of quasilinear evolution equations*, Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 669–698.
- [4] T.L. Hayden and Massey III: *Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **57** (1975), 423–439.
- [5] T. Kato: *Abstract evolution equations of parabolic type in Banach and Hilbert spaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **5** (1961), 93–125.
- [6] S.G. Krein: *Linear differential equations in a Banach space*, Izdatel'stov Nauka, Moscow (in Russian); Japanese transl., Yoshioka-shoten, Kyoto, 1972.
- [7] F.J. Massay III: *Analyticity of solutions of nonlinear evolution equations*, J. Differential Equations **22** (1976), 416–427.
- [8] S. Ōuchi: *On the analyticity in time of solutions of initial boundary value problems for semi-linear parabolic differential equations with monotone nonlinearity*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A **20** (1974), 19–41.
- [9] P.E. Sobolevskii: *Equations of parabolic type in a Banach space*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. **10** (1961), 297–350 (in Russian); English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. transl. (2) **49** (1965), 1–62.
- [10] P.E. Sobolevskii: *Parabolic equations in Banach space with an unbounded variable*

- operator, a fractional power of which has a constant domain of definition*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138** (1961), 59–62. (in Russian); English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 545–548.
- [11] H. Tanabe: Equations of evolution, Iwanami-shoten, Tokyo, 1975. (in Japanese); English transl., Monogr. & studies in math. vol. 6, Pitman, 1979.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Fukazawa, Setagaya-ku
Tokyo 158, Japan