

## MODULES OVER DEDEKIND PRIME RINGS. V

HIDETOSHI MARUBAYASHI

(Received December 1, 1977)

Let  $R$  be a Dedekind prime ring, let  $F$  be a non-trivial right additive topology on  $R$  and let  $F_l$  be the left additive topology corresponding to  $F$  (cf. [8]). For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $F^n$  be the set of all right ideals containing a finite intersection of elements in  $F$ , each of which has at most  $n$  as the length of composition series of its factor module. An exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules is  $EF^n$ -pure if the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}/R, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}/R, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}/R, N) \rightarrow 0$  is splitting exact, where  $Q_{F^n} = \varinjlim I^{-1}$  ( $I$  ranges over all elements in  $F^n$ ). If  $R$  is the ring of integers,  $p$  is a prime number and  $F$  is the topology of all powers of  $p$ , then  $EF^n$ -purity is equivalent to  $p^n$ -purity in the sense of [12].

The aim of this paper is to investigate the structure of  $EF^n$ -pure injective modules. In Section 1, a notion of maximal  $F^n$ -torsion modules will be introduced. It is shown, in Theorem 1.10, that there is a duality between all maximal  $F^n$ -torsion modules and all direct summands of direct products of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$  by using the results in [9], where  $\hat{R}_{F^n} = \varprojlim R/J$  ( $J \in F^n$ ). In Section 2, we shall study the category  $C(F^n)$  of  $F^n$ -reduced,  $EF^n$ -pure injective modules. After discussing some properties of  $EF^n$ -purities and  $F^n$ -purities we shall give, in Theorem 2.9, characterizations of projective objects in the category  $C(F^n)$ . In particular, it is established that a module is a direct summand of a direct product of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$  if and only if it is a projective object in  $C(F^n)$ .  $F$  is bounded if each element of  $F$  contains a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . If  $F$  is bounded, then  $\hat{R}_{F^n} = \prod R/P^n$ , where  $P$  ranges over all prime ideals contained in  $F$ . So our results may essentially be interesting in case  $F$  contains completely faithful right ideals of  $R$  in the sense of [3].

### 1. The Harrison duality

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will be a Dedekind prime ring with the two-sided quotient ring  $Q$  and  $K=Q/R \neq 0$ . By a module we shall understand a unitary right  $R$ -module. In place of  $\otimes_R, \text{Hom}_R, \text{Ext}_R$  and  $\text{Tor}^R$ , we shall just write  $\otimes, \text{Hom}, \text{Ext}$  and  $\text{Tor}$ , respectively. Since  $R$  is hereditary,  $\text{Tor}_n = 0 = \text{Ext}^n$  for all  $n > 1$  and so we shall use  $\text{Ext}$  for  $\text{Ext}^1$  and  $\text{Tor}$  for  $\text{Tor}_1$ . Let  $I$  be

an essential right ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/I$  is an artinian module by Theorem 1.3 of [3]. So the length of the composition series of the module  $R/I$  is finite. We call it the *length* of  $I$ . Let  $F$  be any non-trivial right additive topology, then  $F$  consists of essential right ideals of  $R$  (cf. p. 548 in [8]). For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $F^n$  be the set of all right ideals containing a finite intersection of elements in  $F$ , each of which has at most  $n$  as the length. Let  $M$  be a module. An element  $m$  of  $M$  is said to be  $F^n$ -torsion if  $O(m) = \{r \in R \mid mr = 0\} \in F^n$ , and we denote the set of all  $F^n$ -torsion elements in  $M$  by  $M_{F^n}$ .  $M_{F^n}$  is a submodule of  $M$ , because  $F^n$  is a pretopology on  $R$ . Following [8], we shall denote the left additive topology corresponding to  $F$  by  $F_l$ . In a similar way we can define the concepts of  $F_l^n$ -torsion elements and  $F_l^n$ -torsion submodules for left modules. We put  $Q_{F^n} = \varinjlim (I \in F^n) I^{-1}$  and  $Q_{F_l^n} = \varinjlim (J \in F_l^n) J^{-1}$ .

Concerning the terminology we refer to [8] and [9].

**Lemma 1.1.** (1)  $Q_{F^n} = Q_{F_l^n}$  and so  $Q_{F^n}$  is an  $(R, R)$ -bimodule.  
 (2)  $K_{F^n} = Q_{F^n}/R = K_{F_l}$

Proof. (1) We shall prove that  $Q_{F^n} \cong Q_{F_l^n}$ . To prove this let  $J$  be any element of  $F_l^n$  with length  $J \leq n$ . Then the length of the composition series of the module  $J^{-1}/R$  is at most  $n$ . By Proposition 1.4 of [8],  $J^{-1}/R$  is  $F$ -torsion. Hence, for every element  $q \in J^{-1}$ , we have  $qI_q \subseteq R$  for some  $I_q \in F$  with length  $I_q \leq n$ . Hence  $q \in qI_q I_q^{-1} \subseteq R I_q^{-1} \subseteq Q_{F^n}$  and thus  $J^{-1} \subseteq Q_{F^n}$ . So  $Q_{F_l^n} \subseteq Q_{F^n}$  by Lemma 4.8 of [5]. Similarly  $Q_{F^n} \subseteq Q_{F_l^n}$  and thus  $Q_{F_l^n} = Q_{F^n}$ .

(2) is evident from (1).

The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\iota} Q_{F^n} \rightarrow K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0$  yields the exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(M, K_{F^n}) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota_*} M \otimes Q_{F^n} \rightarrow M \otimes K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n}, M) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} M \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M),$$

where  $\iota_*(m) = m \otimes 1$  and  $\iota^*(f) = f(1)$  ( $m \in M$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n}, M)$ ).

**Lemma 1.2.** (1)  $\text{Tor}(M, K_{F^n}) \cong M_{F^n}$ .  
 (2) If  $M$  is  $F^n$ -torsion, then  $M \otimes Q_{F^n} \cong M \otimes K_{F^n}$ .  
 (3)  $\text{Im } \iota^* \subseteq \cap MJ$  ( $J \in F_l^n$ ).

Proof. (1) is obtained by the similar way as in Theorem 3.2 of [11], and (2) is evident from (1) and the above exact sequence.

(3) Let  $J$  be any element of  $F_l^n$ . Then from the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow J^{-1} \rightarrow J^{-1}/R \rightarrow 0$  we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n}, M) & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(J^{-1}, M) & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(J^{-1}/R, M) \end{array}$$

From this diagram and Proposition 3.2 of [13], we get  $\text{Im } \iota^* \subseteq MJ$  and so  $\text{Im } \iota^* \subseteq \cap MJ$ .

We denote the submodule  $\text{Im } \iota^*$  of the module  $M$  by  $MF^n$ , and if  $MF^n = 0$ , then  $M$  is said to be  $F^n$ -reduced. If  $M$  is  $F^n$ -reduced, then it is  $F$ -reduced in the sense of [9].

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence such that  $\text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, L) = 0$  and  $M$  is  $F^n$ -reduced. Then  $N$  is  $F^n$ -reduced.*

Proof. This is evident from the following commutative diagram with exact columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n}, M) & \rightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n}, N) & \rightarrow & N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0. \end{array}$$

For any module  $M$  we denote by  $M_F$  the submodule of  $F$ -torsion elements in  $M$ . If  $M_F = 0$ , then we say that  $M$  is  $F$ -torsion-free.

Following [9], an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure if the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow L_F \rightarrow M_F \rightarrow N_F \rightarrow 0$  is splitting exact. A module is  $F^\infty$ -pure injective if it has the injective property to the class of  $F^\infty$ -pure exact sequences. We denote the injective hull of a module  $M$  by  $E(M)$ , and the  $F$ -injective hull of  $M$  by  $E_F(M)$ . By the results in §1 of [9], we have the following:

- (1) A module  $G$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure injective if and only if  $G \cong E(GF^\infty) \oplus \text{Ext}(K_F, G)$ , where  $GF^\infty$  is the maximal  $F_I$ -divisible submodule of  $G$ .
- (2) For a module  $G$ , the following are equivalent:
  - (i)  $G$  is  $F$ -reduced and  $F^\infty$ -pure injective.
  - (ii)  $\delta: G \cong \text{Ext}(K_F, G)$ , where  $\delta$  is the connecting homomorphism.
  - (iii)  $G$  is  $F$ -reduced and  $\text{Ext}(Q_F, G) = 0$ .
  - (iv)  $G$  is  $F$ -reduced and  $\text{Ext}(X, G) = 0$  for every  $F$ -torsion-free module  $X$ .

These results will be used in this paper without references.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $M$  be a module. Then  $H_n = \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M)$  and  $G_n = \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  are both  $F^n$ -reduced and  $F^\infty$ -pure injective.*

Proof. (1)  $H_n$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure injective by Proposition 5.1 of [13] and Proposition 1.4 of [9]. Further, from the exact sequence  $Q_{F^n} \rightarrow K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0$  and Proposition 5.2' of [2, Chap. II], we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, H_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n}, H_n) & & \\ & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{F^n} \otimes K_{F^n}, M) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Hom}(Q_{F^n} \otimes K_{F^n}, M) & & \parallel \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.2,  $f$  is an isomorphism and so  $H_n$  is  $F^n$ -reduced.

(2) From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E(M) \xrightarrow{g} E(M)/M \rightarrow 0$  we derive an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, E(M)) \xrightarrow{g_*} \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, E(M)/M) \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, E(M))$  is  $F$ -reduced and  $F^\infty$ -pure injective,  $\text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, E(M))) = 0$ . So  $\text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \text{Im } g_*) = 0$  and thus  $G_n$  is  $F^n$ -reduced by (1) and Lemma 1.3. By Proposition 3.5a of [2, Chap. VI], we have  $\text{Ext}(Q_F, G_n) \cong \text{Ext}(\text{Tor}(Q_F, K_{F^n}), M) = 0$ . Therefore  $G_n$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure injective.

Let  $M$  be any module. From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q_{F^n} \rightarrow K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0$  we have the exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \xrightarrow{\delta'} \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)), \\ M \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, M). \end{array}$$

The second exact sequence yields a homomorphism  $\delta_*: \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M))$ .

**Lemma 1.5.**  $\delta'$  and  $\delta_*$  are both isomorphisms.

Proof. From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q_{F^n} \rightarrow K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0$  we have the isomorphism:  $\text{Tor}(K_{F^n}, K_{F^n}) \cong R \otimes K_{F^n}$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 of [11] we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(R \otimes K_{F^n}, M) & \cong & \text{Ext}(\text{Tor}(K_{F^n}, K_{F^n}), M) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Hom}(R, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)). \end{array}$$

Hence  $\delta'$  is an isomorphism.

From Theorem 1.5 of [9] we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{h} & E(MF^\infty) \oplus \text{Ext}(K_F, M) & \rightarrow & \text{Coker } h \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow p & & \\ & & M & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \text{Ext}(K_F, M), & & \end{array}$$

where  $p$  is the projection and  $\delta_1$  is the connecting homomorphism. Since  $\text{Coker } h$  is  $F$ -torsion-free and injective, applying  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \_)$  to the diagram we have the isomorphism  $\delta_{1*}: \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \cong \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_F, M))$ . From the exact

sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_{F^n} \xrightarrow{\theta} K_F$  we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \text{Ext}(K_F, M) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \theta^* \\ M & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M). \end{array}$$

From this diagram we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{1*}} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_F, M)) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow (\theta^*)_* \\ \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)) \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.5a of [2, Chap. VI] and Lemma 1.2,  $(\theta^*)_*$  is an isomorphism and so  $\delta_*$  is also an isomorphism.

From the inclusion map  $\theta: K_{F^n} \rightarrow K_F$ , we get the epimorphism  $\theta^*: \text{Ext}(K_F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  for any module  $M$ .

**Lemma 1.6.**  $\text{Ker } \theta^* = \text{Ext}(K_F, M)F^n$ .

Proof. We consider the following commutative diagram with exact row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \theta^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_F, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta' & & \\ \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ker } \theta^*) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_F, M)) & \xrightarrow{(\theta^*)_*} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)) & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.5 and its proof,  $\delta'$  and  $(\theta^*)_*$  are both isomorphisms. Hence  $\text{Ker } \theta^* = \text{Ext}(K_F, M)F^n$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $N$  be an  $F_l$ -torsion left module. Then  $E_{F_l}(N)$  is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of  $K_F$ .

Proof. Since  $E(N)$  is a torsion left module, there is a torsion left module  $L$  such  $E(N) \oplus L = \Sigma \oplus K$ . So  $\Sigma \oplus K_F = \Sigma \oplus K_{F_l} = (\Sigma \oplus K)_{F_l} = E(N)_{F_l} \oplus L_{F_l}$  by Proposition 1.4 of [8]. It is evident that  $E(N)_{F_l} \subseteq E_{F_l}(N)$  by Proposition 6.3 of [14]. Since  $N$  is  $F_l$ -torsion, the converse inclusion also holds. Thus  $E_{F_l}(N)$  is a direct summand of  $\Sigma \oplus K_F$ .

An  $F$ -torsion module  $D$  is said to be maximal  $F^n$ -torsion provided  $(E(D))_{F^n} = D$ . This is clearly equivalent to  $(E_F(D))_{F^n} = D$ . For any module  $M$  we define  $\hat{M}_{F^n} = \varprojlim M/MJ$  ( $J \in F^n$ ). Then  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$  becomes a ring and  $\hat{M}_{F^n}$  becomes an  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$ -module by the similar way as in §4 of [13]. Let  $\alpha: M \rightarrow \hat{M}_{F^n}$  be the canonical map. Then  $\text{Ker } \alpha = \cap MJ$  ( $J \in F^n$ ).

**Lemma 1.8.** Let  $M$  be an  $F$ -torsion-free module. Then

- (1)  $M \otimes K_{F^n}$  is maximal  $F^n$ -torsion.
- (2) There are isomorphism  $\hat{M}_{F^n} \cong \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M \otimes K_{F^n}) \cong \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \delta \\ \hat{M}_{F^n} & \cong & \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M \otimes K_{F^n}) & \cong & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $\beta(m)(\bar{q})=m\otimes\bar{q}$  ( $m\in M, \bar{q}\in K_{F^n}$ ) and  $\delta$  is the connecting homomorphism.

Proof. (1) The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & Q_{F^n} & \rightarrow & K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \theta \\ 0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & Q_F & \rightarrow & K_F \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

yields the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(A): \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & M\otimes Q_{F^n} & \rightarrow & M\otimes K_{F^n} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \kappa_* & & \downarrow \theta_* \\ 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & M\otimes Q_F & \rightarrow & M\otimes K_F \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 1.1, Lemma 2.5 of [8] and Lemma 1.7,  $\text{Tor}(M, Q_F/Q_{F^n})=0$ . Hence  $\kappa_*$  is a monomorphism and so  $\theta_*$  is also a monomorphism. Let  $x$  be any element in  $M\otimes K_F$  such that  $I=O(x)\in F^n$  and let  $y$  be an element in  $M\otimes Q_F$  mapping on  $x$ . Then  $yI\subseteq M$  and so  $y\in M\otimes I^{-1}$  in  $M\otimes Q_F$ . This implies that  $y\in M\otimes Q_{F^n}$  and thus  $x\in M\otimes K_{F^n}$ . Hence  $(M\otimes K_F)_{F^n}=M\otimes K_{F^n}$ . Therefore  $M\otimes K_{F^n}$  is maximal  $F^n$ -torsion, because  $M\otimes K_F$  is  $F$ -injective.

(2) By the similar way as in Lemma 2.7 of [8], we have  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M\otimes K_F)\cong\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(K_F, M\otimes K_F)\cong\text{Ext}(K_F, M) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M\otimes K_F)\cong\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Since  $(M\otimes K_F)_{F^n}=M\otimes K_{F^n}$ , we have  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M\otimes K_F)\cong\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M\otimes K_{F^n})$ . This is the proof of the assertion to the right diagram. Next we consider the following commutative diagram with exact right column:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{M}_{F_i} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Hom}(K_F, M\otimes K_F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{M}_{F_i^n} & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M\otimes K_F) (= \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, M\otimes K_{F^n})) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0, \end{array}$$

where  $\eta(\hat{m})(\bar{q})=m_j\otimes\bar{q}$  ( $\hat{m}=[m_j+MJ]$ ),  $\bar{q}=[q+R]$  and  $q\in J^{-1}$ ,  $\eta'$  is the homomorphism induced by  $\eta$  and the map:  $\hat{M}_{F_i}\rightarrow\hat{M}_{F_i^n}$  is the natural homomorphism. By Lemma 2.7 of [8],  $\eta$  is an isomorphism. If  $\eta'(\hat{m})=0$ , where

$\hat{m} = ([m_J + MJ]) \in \hat{M}_{F^n}$ , then  $m_J \otimes J^{-1}/R = 0$  in  $M \otimes K_{F^n}$ . This implies that  $m_J \otimes J^{-1} \subseteq M$  by the diagram (A) and so  $m_J \in MJ$ . Hence  $\hat{m} = 0$  and thus  $\eta'$  is an isomorphism. The commutativity of the left diagram is clear.

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $M$  be an  $F$ -torsion-free module. Then  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct product of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$ .*

Proof. Since  $M_F = 0$ , the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow \Sigma \oplus R \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure and so the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_F, \text{Ker } f) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_F, \Sigma \oplus R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_F, M) \rightarrow 0$  is splitting exact by Lemma 1.3 of [9]. By Proposition 3.5a of [2, Chap. VI] and Lemma 1.2, this sequence yields the splitting exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ker } f) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow 0$ . So it suffices to prove that  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus K_{F^n})$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \Pi K_{F^n})$  by Lemma 1.8. To prove this we consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & 0 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow & \Sigma \oplus K_{F^n} & \rightarrow (\Pi K_{F^n})_{F^n} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow & \Sigma \oplus K_F & \rightarrow (\Pi K_F)_F. \end{array}$$

The second row splits, because  $\Sigma \oplus K_F$  is  $F$ -injective. Since  $(\Sigma \oplus K_F)_{F^n} = \Sigma \oplus K_{F^n}$ , the splitting map induces an splitting map of the first row. Hence  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus K_{F^n})$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, (\Pi K_{F^n})_{F^n}) = \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \Pi K_{F^n})$ .

**Theorem 1.10** (The Harrison duality). *The correspondence*

$$(B) \quad D \rightarrow G = \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, D)$$

*is one-to-one between all maximal  $F^n$ -torsion modules  $D$  and all direct summands  $G$  of direct products of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$ . The inverse of (B) is given by the correspondence  $G \rightarrow G \otimes K_{F^n}$ .*

Proof. (i) Let  $D$  be maximal  $F^n$ -torsion and let  $H = \text{Hom}(K_F, E_F(D))$ . Then  $H$  is  $F$ -torsion-free,  $F^\infty$ -pure injective and  $\eta: H \otimes K_F \cong E_F(D)$  by Theorem 2.2 of [9], where  $\eta(x \otimes \bar{q}) = x(\bar{q})$  ( $x \in H$  and  $\bar{q} \in K_F$ ). From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_{F^n} \xrightarrow{\theta} K_F$ , we get the exact sequence:  $H \xrightarrow{\theta^*} \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, E_F(D)) (= \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, D)) \rightarrow 0$ . This yields the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \otimes K_F & \cong & E_F(D) \\ \uparrow \theta_* & & \uparrow \eta' \\ H \otimes K_{F^n} & \rightarrow & D \\ & \searrow (\theta^*)_* \nearrow \varphi & \\ & \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, D) \otimes K_{F^n}, & \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi(x \otimes \bar{q}) = x(\bar{q})$  and  $\eta'$  is the map induced by  $\eta$ . Since  $H$  is  $F$ -torsion-free,  $\theta_*$  is a monomorphism and  $H \otimes K_{F^n}$  is maximal  $F^n$ -torsion. Hence  $\eta'$  is an isomorphism, and  $\varphi(\theta^*)_* = \eta'$  implies that  $\varphi$  is also an isomorphism, because  $(\theta^*)_*$  is an epimorphism. From  $D \cong H \otimes K_{F^n}$ , we have  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, D) \cong \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, H \otimes K_{F^n}) \cong \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, H)$  by Lemma 1.8. Hence  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, D)$  is a direct summand of a direct product of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$  by Lemma 1.9.

(ii) Let  $G$  be a direct summand of a direct product of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$ . Then we may assume from Lemma 1.8 that  $G \oplus X = \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \Pi K_{F^n}) = \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, (\Pi K_{F^n})_{F^n})$ , where  $X$  is a module. Since  $(\Pi K_{F^n})_{F^n}$  is maximal  $F^n$ -torsion, we get, by (i), the isomorphism  $\varphi: (G \otimes K_{F^n}) \oplus (X \otimes K_{F^n}) \cong (\Pi K_{F^n})_{F^n}$ . Hence  $G \otimes K_{F^n}$  is maximal  $F^n$ -torsion. Applying  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \_)$  to the isomorphism we obtain the isomorphism  $\varphi_*: \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, G \otimes K_{F^n}) \oplus \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, X \otimes K_{F^n}) \cong G \oplus X$ . We may define  $\lambda: G \oplus X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, G \otimes K_{F^n}) \oplus \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, X \otimes K_{F^n})$  by  $\lambda(g+x)(\bar{q}) = (g \otimes \bar{q}) + (x \otimes \bar{q})$ , where  $g \in G, x \in X$  and  $\bar{q} \in K_{F^n}$ . Then it follows that  $\varphi_* \lambda = 1$  and that  $\lambda(G) \subseteq \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, G \otimes K_{F^n}), \lambda(X) \subseteq \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, X \otimes K_{F^n})$ . Hence  $G \cong \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, G \otimes K_{F^n})$ .

This duality was first exhibited by Harrison in [4] between all divisible, torsion abelian groups and all reduced, torsion-free cotorsion abelian groups. This duality was generalized by Matlis [10] to modules over commutative integral domains. To modules over non-commutative complete discrete valuation rings the result was established by Liebert [6]. The author generalized it in [9] to the case of modules over Dedekind prime rings.

**2. Projective objects of the category of  $F^n$ -reduced,  $EF^n$ -pure injective modules**

In this section we shall define a notion of  $EF^n$ -pure injective modules and give characterizations of direct summands of direct products of copies of  $\hat{R}_{F^n}$  which were discussed in §1.

A short exact sequence

$$(E): 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

of modules is said to be  $F^n$ -pure if  $MJ \cap L = LJ$  for all  $J \in F^n$ . (E) is said to be  $Ext$ - $F^n$ -pure (abbr.  $EF^n$ -pure) if the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, N) \rightarrow 0$  is splitting exact. A module  $G$  is  $EF^n$ -pure injective if it has the injective property relative to the class of  $EF^n$ -pure exact sequences. A right additive topology  $F$  is bounded if any element of  $F$  contains a non-zero ideal of  $R$ .

- Lemma 2.1.** (1) *If (E) is  $EF^n$ -pure, then it is  $F^n$ -pure.*  
 (2) *If (E) is  $F^\infty$ -pure, then it is  $EF^n$ -pure.*



(3) If  $F$  is bounded and  $(E)$  is  $F^n$ -pure, then it is  $EF^n$ -pure.

Proof. (1) If  $(E)$  is  $EF^n$ -pure, then the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  is splitting exact. Let  $J$  be any element of  $F^n$ . Then we get the following commutative diagram with splitting exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(J^{-1}/R, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, L)) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(J^{-1}/R, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)) & & \\
 & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\text{Tor}(J^{-1}/R, K_{F^n}), L) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(\text{Tor}(J^{-1}/R, K_{F^n}), M) & & \\
 & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(J^{-1}/R, L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(J^{-1}/R, M) & & \\
 & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow L/LJ & \longrightarrow & M/MJ & & 
 \end{array}$$

Hence  $(E)$  is  $F^n$ -pure.

(2) If  $(E)$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure, then the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_F, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_F, M)$  is splitting exact by Lemma 1.3 of [9]. Applying to  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \ )$  the above sequence we get the splitting exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  by the same way as in (1). Therefore  $(E)$  is  $EF^n$ -pure.

(3) Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then the set  $F_P = \{I \mid I \supseteq P^k \text{ for some non-negative integer } k, I \text{ is a right ideal of } R\}$  is a right additive topology. We shall prove that  $F_P^n = \{I \mid I \supseteq P^n \text{ and } I \in F_P\}$ . It is well known that  $R/P^n = (D)_n$ , where  $D$  is a completely primary ring with the Jacobson radical  $J(D)$  such that one-sided ideals of  $D$  are only  $\{J(D)^l \mid l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (cf. Theorem 4.32 of [1]). we can easily see that  $P^n \in F_P^n$ . Let  $I$  be any element of  $F_P^n$ . To prove that  $I \supseteq P^n$ , it suffices to prove it in case the length of  $I$  is  $k$  ( $k \leq n$ ). If  $k=1$  and  $I \not\supseteq P$ . Then  $I+P=R$ . Since  $I \in F_P$ , we may assume that  $I \not\supseteq P^{i-1}$  and  $I \supseteq P^i$  for some natural number  $i$ . It follows that  $P^{i-1} = (I+P)P^{i-1} \subseteq I$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $I \supseteq P$ . Assume that  $k > 1$ . Let  $I_0$  be any element of  $F_P$  such that  $I_0 \not\supseteq I$  and the length of  $I_0$  is  $k-1$ . By induction assumption, we have  $I_0 \supseteq P^{k-1}$ . Write  $I_0 = aR + I$ . Then we have  $a^{-1}I \supseteq P$ , since the length of  $a^{-1}I$  is 1. Thus we get  $P^k = P^{k-1}P \subseteq I_0P \subseteq I$ . Hence  $I \supseteq P^n$ , as desired.

Now let  $F$  be a bounded right additive topology. Then by Proposition 1.2 of [8],  $F$  is determined by a class  $\{S_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  of simple modules and each  $S_\gamma$  is annihilated by a prime ideal  $P_\gamma$  of  $R$ , since  $F$  is bounded. Further, we obtain that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is an element in  $F$  if and only if simple modules in  $R/P$  are isomorphic to  $S_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , because  $R/P$  is a simple and artinian ring. So  $F = \{I \mid I \supseteq P_1^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap P_k^{n_k}, \text{ where } P_i \in F \text{ and } n_1, \dots, n_k \text{ are non-negative integers}\}$  by Proposition 1.2 of [8]. Thus we have  $F^n = \{I \mid I \supseteq P_1^n \cap \dots \cap P_k^n, \text{ where } P_i \in F\}$  and so  $K_{F^n} = \Sigma \oplus P^{-n}/R$ , where  $P$  ranges over all prime ideals contained in  $F$ . If  $(E)$  is  $F^n$ -pure, then it is  $P^n$ -pure in the sense

of [7] for any  $P \in F$  and so the sequence  $0 \rightarrow L/LP^n \rightarrow M/MP^n$  splits by Lemma 1.1 of [7]. Hence we get the commutative diagram with splitting exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow \Pi L/LP^n & \longrightarrow & \Pi M/MP^n \\ & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, L) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M). \end{array}$$

Therefore (E) is  $EF^n$ -pure.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The following conditions of a short exact sequence (E):  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  are equivalent:*

- (1) (E) is  $F^n$ -pure.
- (2) For any finitely generated  $F^n$ -torsion module  $T$ , the natural homomorphism  $\text{Hom}(T, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, N) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.
- (3) For any  $F_i^n$ -torsion left module  $T$ , the natural homomorphism  $0 \rightarrow L \otimes T \rightarrow M \otimes T$  is exact.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be any element of  $F^n$ . Then  $I^{-1}/R \subseteq K_{F^n}$  by Lemma 1.1 and it is finitely generated. So  $I^{-1}/R \cong \Sigma \oplus R/J_i$  for some  $J_i \in F_i^n$  by Theorem 3.11 of [3]. Applying  $\text{Hom}(\_, K_F)$  to this isomorphism we get  $R/I \cong \Sigma \oplus J_i^{-1}/R$  by Proposition 3.3 of [13], because  $\text{Hom}(R/J_i, K_F) \cong J_i^{-1}/R$ . Further any finitely generated  $F^n$ -torsion module is a finite direct sum of modules  $R/I$  ( $I \in F^n$ ). Combining these facts with Lemma 5.2 of [11], we get the equivalence of (1) and (2). For any module  $X$  and any left ideal  $J$ ,  $(X/XJ) \cong X \otimes R/J$  and  $\otimes$  commutes with direct limits. So the equivalence of (1) and (3) is also evident.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  is  $F^n$ -pure, then the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow L_{F^n} \rightarrow M_{F^n} \rightarrow N_{F^n} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 2.2.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For a module  $G$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is  $F^n$ -reduced and  $EF^n$ -pure injective.
- (2)  $G$  is  $F^n$ -reduced and  $F^\infty$ -pure injective.
- (3) The connecting homomorphism  $\delta: G \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, G)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It is evident from Lemma 2.1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): We consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \cong \text{Ext}(K_F, G) & & \\ \parallel \delta & \downarrow \theta^* & \\ G \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, G) & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & 0. & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.6 and the assumption,  $\theta^*$  is an isomorphism and so  $\delta$  is an iso-

morphism.

(3)⇒(1): It is evident from Lemma 1.4 and definition.

Let  $f: M \rightarrow E(MF^n)$  be an extension of the inclusion map  $MF^n \rightarrow M$  and  $\delta: M \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  be the connecting homomorphism. We define a map  $g: M \rightarrow E(MF^n) \oplus \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  by  $g(m) = (f(m), \delta(m))$  for every  $m \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} E(MF^n) \oplus \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow \text{Coker } g \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact and  $EF^n$ -pure. Further  $E(MF^n) \oplus \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  is  $EF^n$ -pure injective and  $\text{Coker } g$  is injective.*

Proof. By the similar way as in Lemma 2.7 of [7],  $\text{Coker } g$  is injective. The other assertions follow from Lemmas 1.5 and 2.4.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be any module. Then the natural homomorphism  $\eta: M \rightarrow M/MF^n$  induces the following commutative diagram:*

$$(C) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, M) \\ \downarrow \eta & & \Downarrow \eta_1 & & \Downarrow \eta_2 \\ M/MF^n & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M/MF^n) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, M/MF^n) \end{array}$$

Proof. It is evident that  $f, \eta_1, \eta_2$  are all epimorphisms.  $\delta$  induces the homomorphism  $\bar{\delta}: M/MF^n \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$  such that  $\bar{\delta}\eta = \delta$ . Hence we get the commutative diagram with exact column:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)) \\ \downarrow \eta_1 & (\bar{\delta})_* \nearrow & \\ \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M/MF^n) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.5,  $\delta_*$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\eta_1$  is an isomorphism. So it follows from the diagram (C) that  $\eta_2$  is also an isomorphism.

**Corollary 2.7.** *For any module  $M$ ,  $M/MF^n$  is  $F^n$ -reduced.*

Proof. It is clear from the diagram (C).

Let  $C(F^n)$  be the category of  $F^n$ -reduced and  $EF^n$ -pure injective modules together with their homomorphisms. We note that a module  $G$  is an element in  $C(F^n)$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}(Q_F, G) = 0 = GF^n$  by Proposition 1.4 of [9] and Lemma 2.4.

**Proposition 2.8.**  *$C(F^n)$  is an Abelian category.*

Proof. Let  $M, N$  be modules in  $C(F^n)$  and  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism. Then the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow 0$  yields an exact sequence:  $0 = \text{Hom}(Q_F, \text{Im } f) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_F, \text{Ker } f) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_F, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_F, \text{Im } f) \rightarrow 0$ . The first term is zero, because  $Q_F$  is  $F$ -injective and  $\text{Im } f$  is  $F$ -reduced. Therefore  $\text{Ext}(Q_F, \text{Ker } f) = 0 = \text{Ext}(Q_F, \text{Im } f)$ , because  $\text{Ext}(Q_F, M) = 0$  and so  $\text{Ker } f, \text{Im } f \in C(F^n)$ . Next we consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $\text{Coker } f$  is  $F^n$ -reduced. Since  $\text{Ext}(Q_F, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q_F, \text{Coker } f) \rightarrow 0$  is exact, it follows that  $\text{Coker } f \in C(F^n)$ . It is easy to prove the other axioms for Abelian categories.

A module in  $C(F^n)$  is said to be  $C(F^n)$ -projective if it is a projective object in the category  $C(F^n)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is  $C(F^n)$ -projective.
- (2)  $G$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R)$ .
- (3)  $G$  is a direct summand of  $\Pi \hat{R}_{F^n}$ .
- (4)  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M)$ , where  $M$  is an  $F$ -torsion-free module.
- (5)  $G$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n})$ .

Proof. We shall give the following implications:  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (5)$  and  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (2)$ .

$(2) \Leftrightarrow (5)$ : By Lemmas 1.8 and 2.5, the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{g} E(RF^n) \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n} \rightarrow \text{Coker } g \rightarrow 0$  is  $EF^n$ -pure and  $\text{Coker } g$  is divisible. So it is  $F^n$ -pure by Lemma 2.1. Hence the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Sigma \oplus R \xrightarrow{\Sigma \oplus g} \Sigma \oplus E(RF^n) \oplus \Sigma \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n} \xrightarrow{k} \text{Coker } (\Sigma \oplus g) \rightarrow 0$  is  $F^n$ -pure and  $\text{Coker } (\Sigma \oplus g)$  is divisible. Applying  $\text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus E(RF^n) \oplus \Sigma \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n}) \xrightarrow{k^*} \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, \text{Coker } (\Sigma \oplus g)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n}) \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand  $F^n$ -purity of the exact sequence yields the isomorphism  $\bar{k}: (\Sigma \oplus E(RF^n) \oplus \Sigma \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n})_{F^n} \cong (\text{Coker } (\Sigma \oplus g))_{F^n}$  by Lemma 2.3. So  $k_*$  is an isomorphism and thus we get  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \cong \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus \hat{R}_{F^n})$ .

$(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : An exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow \Sigma \oplus R \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow 0$  yields the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f_* \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, G) (\cong G) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R), G \in C(F^n)$ , we have  $\text{Ker } f_* \in C(F^n)$  by Proposition 2.8. Hence, by assumption, the sequence splits.

$(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : This is clear from Lemma 1.9.

$(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ : By Theorem 1.10  $G \cong \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, D)$ , where  $D$  is a maximal  $F^n$ -torsion module. We let  $H = \text{Hom}(K_F, E_F(D))$ . Then it is  $F$ -torsion-free and  $G \cong \text{Hom}(K_{F^n}, H \otimes K_{F^n}) \cong \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, H)$  by Lemma 1.8 and the proof of

Theorem 1.10.

(4)⇒(2): Let  $M$  be an  $F$ -torsion-free module. Then an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } k \rightarrow \Sigma \oplus R \xrightarrow{k} M \rightarrow 0$  is  $F^\infty$ -pure and so it is  $EF^n$ -pure. Hence the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \text{Ker } k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \rightarrow 0$  is splitting exact.

(2)⇒(1): It suffices to prove that  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R)$  is  $C(F^n)$ -projective. We consider a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \Sigma \oplus R & & & & \\
 & & \swarrow \eta & \delta & \searrow \delta & & \\
 \text{(D)} & 0 \rightarrow \Sigma \oplus R / (\Sigma \oplus R)F^n & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow g & \\
 & M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \longrightarrow & 0, & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $M$  and  $N \in C(F^n)$ ,  $f$  is an epimorphism,  $\delta$  is the connecting homomorphism and  $\eta$  is the natural homomorphism. Then there is a homomorphism  $h: \Sigma \oplus R \rightarrow M$  such that  $g\delta = fh$ . The homomorphism  $h$  and  $\eta$  yield the commutative diagram by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, M) \\
 \uparrow h & & \uparrow h_* \\
 \Sigma \oplus R & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \\
 \downarrow \eta & & \parallel \eta_* \\
 (\Sigma \oplus R) / (\Sigma \oplus R)F^n & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, (\Sigma \oplus R) / (\Sigma \oplus R)F^n).
 \end{array}$$

We put  $\bar{h} = \delta_1 h_* \eta_*^{-1} \delta_2$ . Then  $h = \bar{h} \eta$ . The upper row in the diagram (D) is exact and is  $EF^n$ -pure by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and Corollary 2.7. So we have a homomorphism  $k: \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) \rightarrow M$  such that  $k\delta = \bar{h}$ . Hence  $g\delta = fh = f\bar{h}\eta = fk\delta\eta = fk\delta$ . So  $g - fk$  induces a homomorphism  $\overline{g - fk}: \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) / \delta(\Sigma \oplus R) \rightarrow N$ . On the other hand  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R) / \delta(\Sigma \oplus R) \cong \text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R)$  and  $\text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R)$  is a homomorphic image of  $\text{Ext}(Q, \Sigma \oplus R)$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}(Q_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R)$  is injective. Since  $N$  is reduced, i.e., the injective submodule of  $N$  is zero,  $\overline{g - fk} = \bar{0}$  and so  $g = fk$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, \Sigma \oplus R)$  is  $C(F^n)$ -projective.

**Corollary 2.10.** *There is one-to-one between all maximal  $F^n$ -torsion modules and all  $C(F^n)$ -projective objects in the category  $C(F^n)$ .*

REMARK. (1) Let  $C(F^\infty)$  be the category of  $F$ -reduced and  $F^\infty$ -pure injective modules together with their homomorphisms. Then the corresponding results to Theorem 2.9 also hold for the category  $C(F^\infty)$ , where  $\hat{R}_{F_i^\infty} = \hat{R}_{F_i}$  and  $K_{F_i^\infty} = K_{F_i}$ . Further a module  $G$  is  $C(F^\infty)$ -projective if and only if  $G \in C(F^\infty)$  and  $G$  is  $F$ -torsion-free by Proposition 2.3 of [9].

(2)  $C(F^n)$ -projective objects are not necessarily  $F$ -torsion-free. For example, let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  and let  $F_P = \{I \mid I \supseteq P^k \text{ for some } k\}$ . Then the  $C(F_P^n)$ -projective object  $\text{Ext}(K_{F_P^n}, R)$  is  $F_P$ -torsion, because  $\text{Ext}(K_{F_P^n}, R) \cong R/P^n$  by Proposition 3.2 of [13].

(3)  $C(F^n)$ -projective objects are not necessarily  $F$ -torsion. For example, let  $R$  be a simple hereditary noetherian prime ring and let  $F$  be any non trivial right additive topology. Then  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, R)$  is exact, because  $RF^n = 0$ . Thus the  $C(F^n)$ -projective object  $\text{Ext}(K_{F^n}, R)$  is not  $F$ -torsion.

---

### References

- [1] K. Asano: Theory of rings and ideals, Tokyo (in Japanese), 1947.
- [2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: Homological algebra, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [3] D. Eisenbud and J.C. Robson: *Modules over Dedekind prime rings*, J. Algebra **16** (1970), 67–85.
- [4] D.K. Harrison: *Infinite abelian groups and homological methods*, Ann. of Math. **69** (1959), 366–391.
- [5] J. Kuzmanovich: *Localizations of Dedekind prime rings*, J. Algebra **21** (1972), 378–393.
- [6] W. Liebert: *Characterization of the endomorphism rings of divisible torsion modules and reduced complete torsion-free modules over complete discrete valuation rings*, Pacific J. Math. **37** (1971), 141–170.
- [7] H. Marubayashi: *Modules over Dedekind prime rings*. II, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1974), 517–545.
- [8] H. Marubayashi: *Modules over Dedekind prime rings*. III, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1974), 547–558.
- [9] H. Marubayashi: *Modules over Dedekind prime rings*. IV, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1974), 559–567.
- [10] E. Matlis: *Cotorsion Modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1964).
- [11] R.J. Nunke: *Modules of extensions over Dedekind rings*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 222–241.
- [12] R.J. Nunke: *Purity*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1961), 562.
- [13] B.O. Stenström: *On the completion of modules in an additive topology*, J. Algebra **16** (1970), 523–540.
- [14] B.O. Stenström: *Rings and modules of quotients*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1971.

Department of Mathematics  
College of General Education  
Osaka University  
Toyonaka, Osaka 560  
Japan