

A NOTE ON THE ORTHOGONAL GROUP OF A QUADRATIC MODULE OF RANK TWO OVER A COMMUTATIVE RING

TERUO KANZAKI

(Received April 24, 1973)

Let A be an arbitrary commutative ring with the identity element. This note will give an elementary property on the orthogonal group of a non-degenerate quadratic A -module of rank two. Throughout this paper, we will assume that (V, q) is a non-degenerate quadratic A -module such that V is a finitely generated projective A -module and $[V_m: A_m]=2$ for all maximal ideal m of A . The Clifford algebra $C(V, q)$ is a quadratic extension of $C_0(V, q)$, the set of homogeneous elements of degree 0 in $C(V, q)$, and $C_0(V, q)$ is a commutative and separable quadratic extension of A (cf. [3], [4]). Set $B=C_0(V, q)$. B is a Galois extension of A with a Galois group $G=\{I, \tau\}$, and τ is the unique A -algebra automorphism of B such that the fixed subring of B is A ([4], [5]). By [3], V is an invertible B -bimodule, and (V, ϕ) , $\phi: V \times V \rightarrow B$; $\phi(x, y)=xy$ in $C(V, q)$ for $x, y \in V$, is a non-degenerate hermitian B -module ((2.4) in [3]). We denote by $I(A)$ the set of idempotents in A , which is an abelian group with respect to the product $*$; $e * e' = e + e' - 2ee'$ for $e, e' \in I(A)$. Then, by [1], the group $\text{Aut}(B/A)$ of all A -algebra automorphisms of B is $\{e\tau + (1-e)I; e \in I(A)\}$, and is isomorphic to $I(A)$ by the isomorphism $\mu: I(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B/A); e \mapsto \mu = e\tau + (1-e)I$. Let $O(V, q)$ be the orthogonal group of (V, q) , i.e. $O(V, q) = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}_A(V, V); q(\rho v) = q(v) \text{ and } \rho(V) = V\}$. For any $\rho \in O(V, q)$, ρ is extended to an A -algebra automorphism $\tilde{\rho}$ of $C(V, q)$ which induces an automorphism of B . Accordingly, there exists a group homomorphism $\eta: O(V, q) \rightarrow I(A); \rho \mapsto \mu^{-1}(\rho|B)$. We put $O^+(V, q) = \{\rho \in O(V, q); \rho|B=I\}$ and $O^-(V, q) = \{\rho \in O(V, q); \tilde{\rho}|B \neq I\}$.

REMARK 1. Let V be a free A -module with the basis $\{u, v\}$, $V=Au \oplus Av$. For $\rho \in O(V, q)$, let $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ denote the matrix of ρ with respect to the basis $\{u, v\}$. Then $(\det \rho)^2 = 1$. If ρ is in $O^+(V, q)$ then $\det \rho = 1$. If $\tilde{\rho}|B = \tau$ then $\det \rho = -1$.

Proof. Since $C(V, q) = A \oplus Au v \oplus Au \oplus Av$ and $B = A \oplus Au v$, we have $\tilde{\rho}(uv) = (au + bv)(cu + dv) = B_q(cu, bv) + acq(u) + bdq(v) + (\det \rho)uv$. Since $(uv)^2 = B_q(u, v)uv - q(u)q(v)$, we have $B = C^+(V, q) = (A, B_q(u, v), -1)$ and $\tau(uv) = B_q(u, v)$

$-uv$ (cf. Proposition 3 in [2]). If $\tilde{\rho}|B=I$ then $\det \rho=1$ and $B_q(cu, bv)+acq(u)+bdq(v)=0$. If $\tilde{\rho}|B=\tau$ then $\det \rho=-1$ and $(cb-1)B_q(u, v)+acq(u)+bdq(v)=0$.

Let $N: U(B)\rightarrow U(A)$ be a group homomorphism of the unit group of B to the unit group of A defined by $N(b)=b\tau(b)$.

Proposition 1. $O^+(V, q)$ is an abelian group, and is isomorphic to $\text{Ker } N$.

Proof. Since $C(V, q)=B\oplus V$ and V is an invertible B -bimodule, if ρ is in $O^+(V, q)$, then $\tilde{\rho}|B=I$, and $\tilde{\rho}|V=\rho$ induces an isometry of the hermitian B -module (V, ϕ) onto itself, hence there exists an element b in $U(B)$ such that $\rho(v)=bv$ for all $v\in V$. Accordingly, $\phi(x, y)=\phi(\rho(x), \rho(y))=\phi(bx, by)=b\tau(b)\phi(x, y)=N(b)\phi(x, y)$, and we have $N(b)=1$, since B is generated by $\phi(V, V)$. The correspondence $\rho \rightsquigarrow b$ is a group monomorphism of $O^+(V, q)$ to $\text{Ker } N$. Conversely, for any b in $\text{Ker } N$, it is easily obtained that b induces an isometry of (V, q) onto itself. Therefore, $O^+(V, q)\approx \text{Ker } N$.

Corollary 1. $O(V, q)=\bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}_0|B=\mu_0\in \text{Aut}(B/A)} \rho_0\circ O^+(V, q)$ and the following sequence is exact;

$$(1) \longrightarrow \text{Ker } N \longrightarrow O(V, q) \xrightarrow{\eta} I(A).$$

Proposition 2. Let ρ_0 be an element in $O^-(V, q)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_0|B=\tau$. Then, there exist α in A such that $\rho_0^2=\alpha I$ and $\alpha^2=1$. For every $\rho\in O^-(V, q)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|B=\tau$, we have $\rho^2=\rho_0^2=\alpha I$.

Proof. Let ρ_0 be an element in $O^-(V, q)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_0|B=\tau$, ρ_0^2 is in $O^+(V, q)$, hence there is α in $\text{Ker } N$ such that $\rho_0^2(v)=\alpha v$ for all $v\in V$. Since $\alpha\rho_0(v)=\rho_0^3(v)=\rho_0(\alpha v)=\tau(\alpha)\rho_0(v)$ for all $v\in V$ and V is faithful over B , we have that $\tau(\alpha)=\alpha$ is in $B^\tau=A$ and $\alpha^2=N(\alpha)=1$. For any $\rho\in O^-(V, q)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|B=\tau$, $\rho\circ\rho_0^{-1}$ is in $O^+(V, q)$, and so there exists b in $\text{Ker } N$ such that $\rho(v)=b\rho_0(v)$ for all $v\in V$. Accordingly, we have $\rho^2=b\rho_0b\rho_0=b\tau(b)\rho_0^2=\rho_0^2$.

Corollary 2. If A has no idempotents other than 0 and 1, and if $O(V, q)\neq O^+(V, q)$, then there exists α in $U(A)\cap \text{Ker } N$ such that $\rho^2=\alpha I$ for every ρ in $O^-(V, q)$. Furthermore, if 2 is invertible in A , then $\alpha=1$.

Proof. We assume that A has no idempotents other than 0 and 1, $\frac{1}{2}$ is in A , and $O^-(V, q)\neq \phi$. Since $\text{Aut}(B/A)=G=\{I, \tau\}$, there exists α in A such that $\alpha^2=1$ and $\rho^2=\alpha I$ for every $\rho\in O^-(V, q)$. $\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ becomes an idempotent in A . Therefore, $\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$ is 1 or 0, that is, α is 1 or -1 . We will show $\alpha=1$. Assume $\alpha=-1$. For any maximal ideal m of A , we consider the localization (V_m, q_m)

$=A_m u \oplus A_m v$, and the induced isometry ρ on (V_m, q_m) for $\rho \in O^-(V, q)$. Let $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ denote the matrix of ρ with respect to the basis u, v . For the fact that $\det \rho = ad - bc = -1$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain that $a(a+d) = -2$ and $b=c=0$, and so $\rho(u) = au$ and $a^2 = -1$. Accordingly, $q_m(u) = q_m(\rho(u)) = q_m(au) = a^2 q_m(u) = -q_m(u)$. Since we can choose u such that $q_m(u) \neq 0$, this is a contradiction. Consequently, $\alpha = 1$.

Proposition 3. *Let A be a commutative ring such that A has no idempotents other than 0 and 1, 2 is invertible in A . If $O(V, q) \neq O^+(V, q)$, then for every $\rho \in O^-(V, q)$, there exists an invertible A -submodule U of V such that $\rho|U = -I, \rho|U^\perp = I$ and $V = U \oplus (U)^\perp$.*

Proof. If ρ is in $O^-(V, q)$, by Corollary 2, $\rho^2 = I$, hence we have that $\frac{I-\rho}{2}$ and $\frac{I+\rho}{2}$ are idempotents and $I = \frac{I-\rho}{2} + \frac{I+\rho}{2}$. Since $\rho|B = \tau$, we have $\rho \neq I$, hence $\frac{I-\rho}{2}$ is neither 0 nor I . This mention is held for the localization with respect to every maximal ideal of A . Therefore, $U = \frac{I-\rho}{2}(V)$ and $U' = \frac{I+\rho}{2}(V)$ are finitely generated projective A -modules of rank one, and we can check that U and U' are mutually orthogonal, $V = U \oplus U'$ and $U' = U^\perp$. Since $\rho \circ \frac{I-\rho}{2} = -\left(\frac{I-\rho}{2}\right)$ and $\rho \circ \frac{I+\rho}{2} = \frac{I+\rho}{2}$, we have $\rho|U = -I, \rho|U' = \rho|U^\perp = I$ and $V = U \oplus U^\perp$.

REMARK 2. Let A be as Proposition 3. If we call such an isometry in Proposition 3 a symmetry of (V, q) , then $O(V, q)$ is an abelian group having no symmetries, or every element of $O(V, q)$ is a product of one or two symmetries.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] S.U. Chase, D.K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg: *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 15-33.
- [2] T. Kanzaki: *On the quadratic extensions and the extended Witt ring of a commutative ring*, Nagoya Math. J. **49** (1973), 127-141.
- [3] T. Kanzaki: *On non-commutative quadratic extensions of a commutative ring*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 597-605.
- [4] A. Micali and O.E. Villamayor: *Sur les algebres de Clifford*. II, J. Reine Angew. Math. **242** (1970), 61-90.
- [5] C. Small: *The group of quadratic extensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **2** (1972), 83-105.

