

## POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SYMMETRIC BOUNDED DOMAINS

MASARU TAKEUCHI

(Received November 1, 1972)

**Introduction.** In this note we want to construct a complete orthonormal system of the Hilbert space  $H^2(D)$  of square integrable holomorphic functions on an irreducible symmetric bounded domain  $D$ . A symmetric bounded domain  $D$  is canonically realizable as a circular starlike bounded domain with the center  $0$  in a complex cartesian space by means of Harish-Chandra's imbedding (Harish-Chandra [3]), which is constructed as follows. The largest connected group  $G$  of holomorphic automorphisms of  $D$  is a connected semi-simple Lie group without center, which is transitive on  $D$ . Thus denoting the stabilizer in  $G$  of a point  $o \in D$  by  $K$ ,  $D$  is identified with the quotient space  $G/K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{k}$ ) be the Lie algebra of  $G$  (resp.  $K$ ) and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then there exists uniquely an element  $H$  of the center of  $\mathfrak{k}$  such that  $\text{ad} H$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{p}$  coincides with the complex structure tensor on the tangent space  $T_o(D)$  of  $D$  at the origin  $o$ , identifying as usual  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $T_o(D)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  be the Lie algebra of the complexification  $G^c$  of  $G$  and put  $Z = \sqrt{-1}H \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^\pm$  be the  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenspace in  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  of  $\text{ad} Z$ . Then they are invariant under the adjoint action of  $K$  and the complexification  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the direct sum of  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$  and  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ . Let  $U^c$  denote the normalizer of  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$  in  $G^c$ . Then  $D = G/K$  is holomorphically imbedded as an open submanifold into the quotient space  $G^c/U^c$  in the natural way. For any point  $z \in D$ , there exists uniquely a vector  $X \in (\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$  such that

$$\exp X \text{ mod } U^c = z.$$

The map  $z \mapsto X$  of  $D$  into  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$  is the desired imbedding. Note that the natural action of  $K$  on  $D$  can be extended to the adjoint action of  $K$  on the ambient space  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ .

Henceforth we assume that  $D$  is a bounded domain in  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$  realized in the above manner. Let  $(, )$  denote the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  and  $\tau$  the complex conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to the compact real form  $\mathfrak{k} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . We define a  $K$ -invariant hermitian inner product  $(, )_r$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  by

$$(X, Y)_r = -(X, \tau Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^c.$$

This defines a  $K$ -invariant Euclidean measure  $d\mu(X)$  on  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ . Let  $H^2(D)$  denote the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on  $D$ , which are square integrable with respect to the measure  $d\mu(X)$ . The inner product of  $H^2(D)$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .  $K$  acts on  $H^2(D)$  as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(X) = f(k^{-1}X) \quad \text{for } k \in K, X \in D.$$

Let  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  denote the graded space of polynomial functions on  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ . It has the natural hermitian inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\tau$  induced from the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\tau$  on  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ .  $K$  acts on  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(X) = f(\text{Ad } k^{-1}X) \quad \text{for } k \in K, X \in (\mathfrak{p}^c)^-.$$

Now let  $S$  denote the Shilov boundary of  $D$ . It is known (Korányi-Wolf [7]) that  $K$  acts transitively on  $S$ . Thus denoting by  $L$  the stabilizer in  $K$  of a point  $X_0 \in S$ ,  $S$  is identified with the quotient space  $K/L$ . Let  $dx$  denote the  $K$ -invariant measure on  $S$  induced from the normalized Haar measure of  $K$  and  $L^2(S)$  the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on  $S$  with respect to the measure  $dx$ . The inner product of  $L^2(S)$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .  $K$  acts on  $L^2(S)$  as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(X) = f(\text{Ad } k^{-1}X) \quad \text{for } k \in K, X \in S.$$

The space  $C^\infty(S)$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $S$  is a  $K$ -submodule of  $L^2(S)$ . The restrictions  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-) \rightarrow H^2(D)$  and  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-) \rightarrow L^2(S)$  are both  $K$ -equivariant monomorphisms. Their images will be denoted by  $S^*(D)$  and  $S^*(S)$ , respectively. They have natural gradings induced from that of  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$ . Then the "restriction"  $S^*(D) \rightarrow S^*(S)$  is defined in the natural manner and it is a  $K$ -equivariant isomorphism. Since  $D$  is a circular starlike bounded domain, a theorem of H. Cartan [2] yields that the subspace  $S^*(D)$  of  $H^2(D)$  is dense in  $H^2(D)$  (cf. 1).

We decompose first the  $K$ -module  $S^*(D)$  into irreducible components. We take a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and identify the real part  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  of the complexification  $\mathfrak{t}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$  with its dual space by means of Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . Let  $\Sigma \subset \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  denote the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}^c$ . We choose root vectors  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^c$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that

$$[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = -\frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha, \\ \tau X_\alpha = X_{-\alpha}.$$

A root is called *compact* if it is also a root of the complexification  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , otherwise it is called *non-compact*.  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ) denotes the set of compact roots (resp. of non-compact roots). We choose and fix once for all a linear order  $>$  on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  such that  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$  is spanned by the root spaces for non-compact positive

roots  $\Sigma_p^+$ . Two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$  are called *strongly orthogonal* if  $\alpha \pm \beta$  is not a root. We define a maximal strongly orthogonal subsystem

$$\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p\}, \quad \gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \dots > \gamma_p > 0, \quad p = \text{rank } D$$

of  $\Sigma_p^+$  as follows (cf. Harish-Chandra [3]). Let  $\gamma_1$  be the highest root of  $\Sigma$  and for each  $j$ ,  $\gamma_{j+1}$  be the highest positive non-compact root that is strongly orthogonal to  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j$ . We put

$$X_0 = -\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} X_{-\gamma}.$$

Then it is known (Korányi-Wolf [7]) that  $X_0$  is on the Shilov boundary  $S$  of  $D$ . Henceforth we shall take the above point  $X_0$  as the origin of  $S$ . We put for  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}, \nu \geq 0$

$$S^\nu(K, L) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i; n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_p \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^p n_i = \nu \right\},$$

and

$$S^*(K, L) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} S^\nu(K, L).$$

We shall prove the following

**Theorem A.** *Any irreducible  $K$ -submodule of  $S^*(D)$  is contained exactly once in  $S^*(D)$ . The set  $S^\nu(D)$  of highest weights (with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}^c$ ) of irreducible  $K$ -submodules contained in  $S^\nu(D)$  coincides with  $S^\nu(K, L)$ . Denoting by  $S_\lambda^*(D)$  (resp.  $S_\lambda^*(S)$ ) the irreducible  $K$ -submodule of  $S^*(D)$  (resp. of  $S^*(S)$ ) with the highest weight  $\lambda \in S^*(K, L)$ ,*

$$S^*(D) = \sum_{\lambda \in S^*(K, L)} \oplus S_\lambda^*(D)$$

and

$$S^*(S) = \sum_{\lambda \in S^*(K, L)} \oplus S_\lambda^*(S)$$

are the orthogonal sum relative to the inner product  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , respectively. The restriction  $f \mapsto f'$  of  $S_\lambda^*(D) \rightarrow S_\lambda^*(S)$  is a similitude for each  $\lambda \in S^*(K, L)$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $h_\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle = h_\lambda \langle f', g' \rangle \quad \text{for any } f, g \in S_\lambda^*(D).$$

Thus, if

$$\{f'_{\lambda, i}; 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}, \quad \lambda \in S^*(K, L)$$

is an orthonormal basis of  $S_\lambda^*(S)$ , then

$$\{\sqrt{h_\lambda}^{-1} f_{\lambda, i}; \lambda \in S^*(K, L), 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$$

is a complete orthonormal system of  $H^2(D)$ .

A basis  $\{f'_{\lambda,i}; 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$  is, for instance, constructed as follows. Take an irreducible  $K$ -module  $(\rho, V)$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$ , carrying a  $K$ -invariant hermitian inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{u_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$  of  $V$  such that the first vector  $u_1$  is  $L$ -invariant. This can be done in view of Frobenius' reciprocity since the  $K$ -module  $V$  is  $K$ -isomorphic with a  $K$ -submodule of  $C^\infty(S)$ . Then the functions  $f'_{\lambda,i} (1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda)$  defined by

$$f'_{\lambda,i}(kX_0) = \sqrt{d_\lambda}(u_i, \rho(k)u_i) \quad \text{for } k \in K$$

form an orthonormal basis of  $S_\lambda^*(S)$  (cf. 2).

We compute next the normalizing factor  $h_\lambda$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{a} = \{\sqrt{-1}\Delta\}_R$$

be the  $R$ -span of  $\sqrt{-1}\Delta$  in  $\mathfrak{t}$  and

$$\varpi: \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$$

denote the orthogonal projection of  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  onto  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ . For  $\gamma \in \varpi\Sigma - \{0\}$ , the number of roots  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $\varpi\alpha = \gamma$  is called the *multiplicity* of  $\gamma$ . Let  $r$  (resp.  $2s$ ) be the multiplicity of  $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)$  (resp. of  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1$ ). It follows from Theorem A and Frobenius' reciprocity that for each  $\lambda \in S^*(K, L)$  there exists uniquely an  $L$ -invariant polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda$  in  $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  such that  $\Omega_\lambda(X_0) = 1$ , where  $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  denotes the irreducible  $K$ -submodule of  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$ . The polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda$  is called the *zonal spherical polynomial* for  $D$  belonging to  $\lambda$ . Let

$$(\mathfrak{a}^-)^c = \{X_{-\gamma}; \gamma \in \Delta\}_C$$

be the  $C$ -span of  $\{X_{-\gamma}; \gamma \in \Delta\}$  in  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ . It is identified with the complex cartesian space  $C^p$  by the map

$$-\sum_{i=1}^p z_i X_{-\gamma_i} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the zonal spherical polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda$  restricted to  $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^c$  is a polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda(Y_1, \dots, Y_p)$  in  $p$ -variables. Let  $\mu(D)$  denote the volume of  $D$  with respect to the measure  $d\mu(X)$ . We shall prove the following

**Theorem B.** For  $\lambda \in S^*(K, L)$ , the normalizing factor  $h_\lambda$  is given by

$$h_\lambda = c(D) \int_{0 \leq y_i < 1 (1 \leq i \leq p)} \Omega_\lambda(y_1, \dots, y_p) \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (y_i - y_j)^r \right| \prod_{i=1}^p y_i^s dy_1 \cdots dy_p$$

where

$$c(D) = \mu(D) \left( \int_{0 \leq y_i < 1 (1 \leq i \leq p)} \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (y_i - y_j)^r \right| \prod_{i=1}^p y_i^s dy_1 \cdots dy_p \right)^{-1}.$$

Hua [6] proved Theorem A for classical domains by decomposing the character of the  $K$ -module  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  into the sum of irreducible characters of  $K$ , while Schmid [11] proved it for general domain  $D$ . Schmid proved

$$(a) \quad S^\nu(D) \subset S^\nu(K, L)$$

by seeing the character of the  $K$ -module  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  and by making use of E. Cartan's theory on spherical representations of a compact symmetric pair. But his proof of

$$(b) \quad S^\nu(K, L) \subset S^\nu(D)$$

is complicated and was done after nine successive lemmas. In this note we give another proof of (a) by means of a lemma of Murakami and Cartan's theory, and give a relatively short proof of (b) by means of a theorem of Harish-Chandra on invariant polynomials for a symmetric pair.

Hua [6] computed the factors  $h_\lambda$  for certain classical domains by integrating certain polynomials. Our integral formula in Theorem B will clarify the meaning of integrals of Hua.

### 1. Circular domains

A domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 is said to be a *circular domain* with the center 0 if together with any point  $z \in D$  the point  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} z$  is in  $D$  for any real  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $D$  is said to be a *starlike domain* with the center 0 if together with any point  $z \in D$  the point  $rz$  is in  $D$  for any real  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 \leq r < 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** (H. Cartan [2]) *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a circular domain with the center 0. Then any holomorphic function  $f$  on  $D$  can be developed in the sum of homogeneous polynomials  $P_\nu$  in  $n$ -variables with degree  $\nu$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ):*

$$f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P_\nu(z) \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

*The sum converges uniformly on any compact subset of  $D$ . The homogeneous polynomials  $P_\nu$  are uniquely determined for  $f$ .*

Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $d\mu(z)$  the Euclidean measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , induced from the standard hermitian inner product of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $H^2(D)$  denote the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on  $D$ , which are square integrable with respect to the measure  $d\mu(z)$ . The inner product of  $H^2(D)$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $S^*(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the graded space of polynomials in  $n$ -variables and  $S^*(D)$  the subspace of  $H^2(D)$  consisting of all functions on  $D$  obtained by the restriction of polynomials in  $S^*(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Then Theorem 1.1 yields the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a circular starlike bounded domain with the center 0. Then the subspace  $S^*(D)$  of  $H^2(D)$  is dense in  $H^2(D)$ .*

Proof. It suffices to show that if  $f \in H^2(D)$  with  $\langle\langle f, S^*(D) \rangle\rangle = \{0\}$ , then  $f=0$ . Theorem 1.1 implies that  $f$  can be developed as

$$f = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P_{\nu}, \quad P_{\nu} \in S^{\nu}(D),$$

uniformly convergent on any compact subset of  $D$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{P_{\nu,j}\}$  of  $S^{\nu}(D)$  with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$  for each  $\nu$ . Then we have

$$\langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i} \rangle\rangle = \delta_{\nu\mu} \delta_{ji}.$$

In fact, since  $d\mu(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta z}) = d\mu(z)$  for any  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have  $\langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i} \rangle\rangle = e^{\sqrt{-1}(C-\mu)\theta} \langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i} \rangle\rangle$  for any  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $f$  can be developed as

$$f = \sum_{\nu,j} a_{\nu,j} P_{\nu,j} \quad \text{with } a_{\nu,j} \in \mathbf{C},$$

uniformly convergent on any compact subset of  $D$ . Since  $D$  is a starlike domain, the closure  $r\bar{D}$  of  $rD$  is a compact subset of  $D$  for any  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $0 < r < 1$ , so that the above series converges uniformly on  $rD$ . Therefore for any  $P_{\mu,i}$  we have

$$\int_{rD} f(z) \overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)} d\mu(z) = \sum_{\nu,j} a_{\nu,j} \int_{rD} P_{\nu,j}(z) \overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)} d\mu(z).$$

If we put

$$z' = \frac{1}{r} z \quad \text{for } z \in rD,$$

then  $z = rz'$ ,  $d\mu(z) = r^{2n} d\mu(z')$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{rD} P_{\nu,j}(z) \overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)} d\mu(z) &= r^{2n+\nu+\mu} \int_D P_{\nu,j}(z') \overline{P_{\mu,i}(z')} d\mu(z') \\ &= r^{2n+\nu+\mu} \langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i} \rangle\rangle = r^{2n+2\mu} \delta_{\nu\mu} \delta_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{rD} f(z) \overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)} d\mu(z) = a_{\mu,i} r^{2n+2\mu}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mu,i} &= \lim_{r \uparrow 1} a_{\mu,i} r^{2n+2\mu} = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \int_{rD} f(z) \overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)} d\mu(z) \\ &= \langle\langle f, P_{\mu,i} \rangle\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{from the assumption}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $f=0$ .

q.e.d.

**2. Spherical representations of a compact symmetric pair**

Let  $K$  be a compact connected Lie group,  $L$  a closed subgroup of  $K$  and  $S$  be the quotient space  $K/L$ . The space of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $S$  will be denoted by  $C^\infty(S)$ . We shall often identify  $C^\infty(S)$  with the space of  $C^\infty$ -functions  $f$  on  $K$  such that

$$f(kl) = f(k) \quad \text{for any } k \in K, l \in L.$$

Let  $dx$  denote the  $K$ -invariant measure on  $S$  induced from the normalized Haar measure on  $K$  and  $L^2(S)$  the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on  $S$  with respect to the measure  $dx$ . The inner product of  $L^2(S)$  will be denoted by  $\langle , \rangle$ .  $K$  acts on  $L^2(S)$  as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(x) = f(k^{-1}x) \quad \text{for } k \in K, x \in S.$$

Then  $C^\infty(S)$  is a  $K$ -submodule of  $L^2(S)$ . A (continuous finite dimensional complex) representation

$$\rho: K \rightarrow GL(V)$$

of  $K$  is said to be *spherical* relative to  $L$  if the  $K$ -module  $V$  is equivalent to a  $K$ -submodule of  $C^\infty(S)$ , which amounts to the same from Frobenius' reciprocity that the  $K$ -module  $V$  has a non-zero  $L$ -invariant vector. We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(K, L)$  the set of equivalence classes of irreducible spherical representations of  $K$  relative to  $L$ . The totality of  $f \in C^\infty(S)$  contained in a finite dimensional  $K$ -submodule of  $C^\infty(S)$ , which will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$ , is a  $K$ -submodule of  $C^\infty(S)$ . A function in  $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$  is called a *spherical function* for the pair  $(K, L)$ . For  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)$ , the totality of  $f \in \mathfrak{o}(K, L)$  that transforms according to  $\rho$ , which will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$ , is a finite dimensional  $K$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{o}(K, L) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)} \oplus \mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$$

is the orthogonal sum with respect to the inner product  $\langle , \rangle$ . Peter-Weyl approximation theorem implies that the subspace  $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$  of  $L^2(S)$  is dense in  $L^2(S)$ . We assume furthermore that the pair  $(K, L)$  satisfies the condition

$$(*) \quad \text{any } \rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L) \text{ is contained exactly once in } \mathfrak{o}(K, L),$$

which is by Frobenius' reciprocity equivalent to that for any spherical representation

$$\rho: K \rightarrow GL(V)$$

of  $K$  relative to  $L$ , an  $L$ -invariant vector of  $V$  is unique up to scalar multiplication. Then for each  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)$ , there exists uniquely an  $L$ -invariant function  $\omega_\rho \in \mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$  such that  $\omega_\rho(e) = 1$ .  $\omega_\rho$  is called the *zonal spherical function* for  $(K, L)$  belonging to  $\rho$ . Let

$$\rho: K \rightarrow GL(V)$$

be a spherical representation of  $K$  relative to  $L$ . Choose a  $K$ -invariant hermitian inner product  $(,)$  on  $V$ . The equivalence class containing  $\rho$  will be denoted by the same letter  $\rho$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{u_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\rho\}$  of  $V$  such that  $u_1$  is  $L$ -invariant. Define  $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(S)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d_\rho$ ) by

$$\varphi_i(k) = (u_i, \rho(k)u_1) \quad \text{for } k \in K.$$

We know that they are linearly independent, in view of orthogonality relations of matrix elements  $(u_i, \rho(k)u_j)$ . For any  $k' \in K$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(k'^{-1}k) &= (u_i, \rho(k'^{-1}k)u_1) = (\rho(k')u_i, \rho(k)u_1) \\ &= \sum_j (\rho(k')u_i, u_j)(u_j, \rho(k)u_1) \\ &= \sum_j (\rho(k')u_i, u_j) \varphi_j(k), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. 
$$k'\varphi_i = \sum_j (\rho(k')u_i, u_j) \varphi_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq d_\rho).$$

In particular

$$l\varphi_1 = \varphi_1 \quad \text{for any } l \in L,$$

and

$$\varphi_1(e) = 1.$$

Therefore the system  $\{\varphi_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\rho\}$  forms a basis of  $\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$  and the zonal spherical function  $\omega_\rho$  is given by

$$\omega_\rho(k) = (u_1, \rho(k)u_1) \quad \text{for } k \in K.$$

Furthermore orthogonality relations implies that the system

$$\{\sqrt{d_\rho} \varphi_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\rho\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$  and that

$$\langle \omega_\rho, \omega_{\rho'} \rangle = \delta_{\rho\rho'} \frac{1}{d_\rho}.$$

Henceforth we assume that the pair  $(K, L)$  is a *symmetric pair*, i.e. there exists an involutive automorphism  $\theta$  of  $K$  such that if we put

$$K_\theta = \{k \in K; \theta(k) = k\},$$

$L$  lies between  $K_\theta$  and the connected component  $K_\theta^0$  of  $K_\theta$ . Then the pair  $(K, L)$  satisfies the condition (\*) (E. Cartan [1]). For example, a compact connected Lie group  $S$  admits a symmetric pair  $(K, L)$  such that  $S = K/L$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= S \times S, \\ L &= \{(x, x); x \in S\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta: (x, y) \mapsto (y, x) \quad \text{for } x, y \in S$$

have desired properties.

In the following we summarize some known facts on a symmetric pair (cf. Helgason [4]).

Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{l}$ ) be the Lie algebra of  $K$  (resp. of  $L$ ). The involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{k}$  obtained by differentiating the automorphism  $\theta$  of  $K$  will be also denoted by the same letter  $\theta$ .

Choose and fix once for all a  $\mathcal{C}$ -bilinear symmetric form  $(, )$  on the complexification  $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , which is invariant under both the  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear extension to  $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\theta$  and the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathcal{C}}$  and furthermore is negative definite on  $\mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k}$ . Then  $S$  is a Riemannian symmetric space with respect to the  $K$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $S$  defined by  $-(, )$ . We put

$$\mathfrak{s} = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}; \theta X = -X\} = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}; (X, \mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}\}.$$

Then we have orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{k}',$$

where  $\mathfrak{c}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}'$  is the derived algebra  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . We choose a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Such  $\mathfrak{a}$  are mutually conjugate under the adjoint action of  $L$ .  $\dim \mathfrak{a}$  is the rank of the symmetric pair  $(K, L)$ . Extend  $\mathfrak{a}$  to a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{l}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{t}' = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}'$  and  $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{k}'$ . The real vector space  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  has the natural inner product  $(, )$  induced from the bilinear form  $(, )$  on  $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathcal{C}}$ . We shall identify  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  with the dual space of  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  by means of the inner product  $(, )$ . We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be the orthogonal transformation on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  defined by

$$\sigma|_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma|_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}} = 1$$

and

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma): \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$$

be the orthogonal projection of  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  onto  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}$  denote the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{k}^{\mathcal{C}}$  with respect to the complexification  $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Let  $W_{\mathfrak{t}} = N_K(T)/T$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , where  $T$  is the connected subgroup of  $K$  generated by  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $N_K(T)$  is the normalizer of  $T$  in  $K$ .  $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant reduced root system in

$\sqrt{-1}t'$ . As a group of orthogonal transformations of  $\sqrt{-1}t$ ,  $W_t$  is generated by reflections with respect to roots in  $\Sigma_t$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_t^0 &= \Sigma_t \cap \sqrt{-1}b = \{\alpha \in \Sigma_t; \varpi\alpha = 0\}, \\ \Sigma_s &= \{\varpi\alpha; \alpha \in \Sigma_t - \Sigma_t^0\} = \varpi \Sigma_t - \{0\}, \\ W_s &= N_L(A)/Z_L(A), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is the connected subgroup of  $K$  generated by  $a$  and  $N_L(A)$  (resp.  $Z_L(A)$ ) the normalizer (resp. the centralizer) of  $A$  in  $L$ . An element of  $\Sigma_s$  is a restricted root of the symmetric space  $S$  and  $W_s$  is the Weyl group of  $S$ .  $\Sigma_s$  is a (not necessarily reduced) root system in  $\sqrt{-1}a'$ . As a group of orthogonal transformations of  $\sqrt{-1}t$ ,  $W_s$  is generated by reflections with respect to roots in  $\Sigma_s$ . A linear order  $>$  on  $\sqrt{-1}t$  is said to be *compatible* for  $\Sigma_t$  with respect to  $\sigma$  (or with respect to the orthogonal decomposition  $\sqrt{-1}t = \sqrt{-1}b \oplus \sqrt{-1}a$ ) if  $\alpha \in \Sigma_t, \alpha > 0$  and  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$  imply  $\sigma\alpha > 0$ . Take a compatible order  $>$  on  $\sqrt{-1}t$  and fix it once and for all. Let

$$\Pi_t = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$$

be the fundamental root system of  $\Sigma_t$  with respect to the order  $>$  and put

$$\Pi_t^0 = \Pi_t \cap \Sigma_t^0.$$

$W_t$  is also generated by reflections with respect to roots in  $\Pi_t$ . We have the decomposition

$$\sigma = sp \quad \text{where } s \in W_t, \quad p\Pi_t = \Pi_t$$

of  $\sigma$  in such a way that  $p^2=1, p(\Pi_t - \Pi_t^0) = \Pi_t - \Pi_t^0$  and  $\sigma\alpha_i \equiv p\alpha_i \pmod{\{\Pi_t^0\}}$  for any  $\alpha_i \in \Pi_t - \Pi_t^0$  (Satake [10]). We put

$$\Pi_s = \{\varpi\alpha_i; \alpha_i \in \Pi_t - \Pi_t^0\} = \varpi\Pi_t - \{0\}.$$

We may assume that  $\Pi_s = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p\}$  with  $\varpi\alpha_i = \gamma_i (1 \leq i \leq p)$ , changing indices of the  $\alpha_i$ 's if necessary.  $\Pi_s$  is the fundamental root system of  $\Sigma_s$  with respect to the order  $>$ . We put

$$\Sigma_s^* = \{\gamma \in \Sigma_s; 2\gamma \notin \Sigma_s\}.$$

Then  $\Sigma_s^*$  is a reduced root system in  $\sqrt{-1}a'$ . The fundamental root system  $\Pi_s^*$  of  $\Sigma_s^*$  with respect to the order  $>$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_s^* &= \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p\} \\ \text{where } \beta_i &= \begin{cases} \gamma_i & \text{if } 2\gamma_i \notin \Sigma_s \\ 2\gamma_i & \text{if } 2\gamma_i \in \Sigma_s. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$W_s$  is also generated by reflections with respect to roots of  $\Pi_s$  or of  $\Pi_s^*$ . Let

$\Sigma_t^+$  (resp.  $\Sigma_s^+$ ,  $(\Sigma_s^*)^+$ ) denote the set of positive roots in  $\Sigma_t$  (resp.  $\Sigma_s$ ,  $\Sigma_s^*$ ). Then

$$\Sigma_s^+ = \varpi (\Sigma_t^+ - \Sigma_t^0) = \varpi \Sigma_t^+ - \{0\} .$$

For  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}t$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we define

$$\lambda^* = \frac{2}{(\lambda, \lambda)} \lambda .$$

**Theorem 2.1.** (E. Cartan) *Assume that  $K$  is simply connected. Then*

- 1)  $K_\theta$  is connected.
- 2) The kernel of  $\exp: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow K$  is the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{a}$  generated by  $\{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\gamma^*; \gamma \in \Sigma_s\}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** (Harish-Chandra) *Let  $S_L^*(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.  $S_{W_S}^*(\mathfrak{a})$ ) be the space of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. on  $\mathfrak{a}$ ), which are invariant under the adjoint actions of  $L$  (resp. of  $W_S$ ). Then the restriction map*

$$S_L^*(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S_{W_S}^*(\mathfrak{a})$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Now we shall consider  $W_S$ -invariant characters of a maximal torus of  $S$ . Put

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(K, L) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}; \exp H \in L\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_c = \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{c}_\alpha \quad \text{where} \quad \mathfrak{c}_\alpha = \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{a} .$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is a  $W_S$ -invariant lattice in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\Gamma_c$  is a lattice in  $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$ . Let  $C_\alpha$  be the connected subgroup of  $K$  generated by  $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$ . Then the  $A$ -orbit  $\hat{A}$  in  $S$  through the origin  $x_0$  of  $S$  and the  $C_\alpha$ -orbit  $\hat{C}_\alpha$  in  $S$  through the origin have identifications

$$\hat{A} = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma$$

and

$$\hat{C}_\alpha = \mathfrak{c}_\alpha/\Gamma_c .$$

Hence both  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{C}_\alpha$  have structures of toral groups. The toral group  $\hat{A}$  is said to be a *maximal torus* of the symmetric space  $S$ . The adjoint action of  $W_S$  on  $A$  induces the action of  $W_S$  on  $A$ . This action is compatible with the natural action of  $W_S$  on  $\mathfrak{a}/\Gamma$  relative to the identification:  $\hat{A} = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma$ . Put

$$Z = Z(K, L) = \{\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}; (\lambda, H) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbf{Z} \text{ for any } H \in \Gamma\} .$$

$Z$  is isomorphic with the group  $\mathcal{D}(\hat{A})$  of characters of  $\hat{A}$  by the correspondence  $\lambda \mapsto e^\lambda$ , where  $e^\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{A})$  is defined by  $e^\lambda((\exp H)x_0) = \exp(\lambda, H)$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} D &= D(K, L) = \{\lambda \in Z; (\lambda, \gamma_i) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \gamma_i \in \Pi_s\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in Z; (\lambda, \gamma) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Sigma_s^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$D = \{\lambda \in Z; s\lambda \leq \lambda \text{ for any } s \in W_s\}.$$

An element of  $D$  is called a *dominant integral form* on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We define a lattice  $\Gamma_0'$  in  $\mathfrak{a}'$  to be the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{a}'$  generated by  $\{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*); \gamma \in \Sigma_s\}$ . We define a lattice  $\Gamma_0$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and a toral group  $\hat{A}_0$  by

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0 \oplus \Gamma_0'$$

and

$$\hat{A}_0 = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma_0.$$

Put

$$Z_0 = \{\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}; (\lambda, H) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}Z \text{ for any } H \in \Gamma_0\}$$

and

$$D_0 = D \cap Z_0.$$

$Z_0$  is isomorphic with the group  $\mathcal{D}(\hat{A}_0)$  of characters of  $\hat{A}_0$ . Put furthermore

$$Z_0' = Z_0 \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}' = \left\{ \lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'; \begin{matrix} 2(\lambda, \gamma) \in 2Z \\ (\gamma, \gamma) \end{matrix} \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Sigma_s \right\}$$

and

$$D_0' = D_0 \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}' = D \cap Z_0'.$$

**Lemma 1.** *If  $L=K_\theta$ , then*

$$\Gamma = \{\frac{1}{2}H; H \in \mathfrak{a}, \exp H = e\}.$$

Proof. For  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\exp H = e \Leftrightarrow \exp \frac{H}{2} \exp \frac{H}{2} = e \Leftrightarrow \exp \frac{H}{2} = \left(\exp \frac{H}{2}\right)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \exp \frac{H}{2} = \theta\left(\exp \frac{H}{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exp \frac{H}{2} \in K_\theta$ , which yields Lemma 1. q.e.d.

**Lemma 2.** 1)  $\Gamma_0' = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^p Z(\frac{1}{2}\beta_i^*)$

and it is  $W_S$ -invariant. Therefore  $\Gamma_0$  is  $W_S$ -invariant.

2)  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ . Therefore  $Z_0 \supset Z$  and  $D_0 \supset D$ .

3) If  $S$  is simply connected, then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0'$  (thus  $Z = Z_0 = Z_0'$ ,  $D = D_0 = D_0'$ ) and  $\hat{A}_0$  can be identified with  $\hat{A}$ .

Proof. 1) Denoting the reflection of  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to  $\beta_i \in \Pi_S^*$  by  $s_i \in W_S$ , we have

$$s_i \gamma^* = (s_i \gamma)^* = \gamma^* - \frac{2(\beta_i, \gamma)}{(\gamma, \gamma)} \beta_i^* \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Sigma_S.$$

It follows that  $\Gamma'_0$  is  $W_S$ -invariant. Since we have

$$(2\lambda)^* = \frac{2 \cdot 2\lambda}{4(\lambda, \lambda)} = \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda, \lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^* \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\alpha, \lambda \neq 0,$$

$\Gamma'_0$  is the subgroup of  $\alpha'$  generated by  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*)$  for  $\gamma \in \Sigma_S^*$ . Thus it suffices to show that

$$\gamma^* \in \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbb{Z} \beta_i^* \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \Sigma_S^*.$$

But this follows from the first equality since there exist  $\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_r} \in \Pi_S^*$  such that  $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_r} \gamma \in \Pi_S^*$ .

2) Since  $\Gamma_c \subset \Gamma$ , it suffices to show that  $\Gamma'_0 \subset \Gamma'$  for  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \alpha'$ . Let  $K'$  be the connected subgroup of  $K$  generated by  $\mathfrak{k}'$  and  $L' = K' \cap L$ . Then  $(K', L')$  is also a symmetric pair with respect to  $\theta$  and  $S' = K'/L'$  can be identified with the  $K'$ -orbit in  $S$  through the origin  $x_0$  of  $S$ . Let

$$\pi': K'_0 \rightarrow K'$$

be the covering homomorphism of the universal covering group  $K'_0$  of  $K'$  and put

$$L'_0 = \{k \in K'_0; \theta_0(k) = k\},$$

where  $\theta_0$  is the involutive automorphism of  $K'_0$  covering the involutive automorphism  $\theta$  of  $K'$ .  $K'_0$  is compact since  $K'$  is semi-simple.  $S'$  can be identified with  $K'_0/\pi'^{-1}(L')$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 1 that  $L'_0$  is connected and

$$\Gamma'_0 = \{H \in \alpha'; \exp_{K'_0} H \in L'_0\}.$$

Let  $A'$  (resp.  $A'_0$ ) be the connected subgroup of  $K'$  (resp. of  $K'_0$ ) generated by  $\alpha'$  and  $\hat{A}'$  (resp.  $\hat{A}'_0$ ) be the  $A'$ -orbit in  $S'$  (resp. the  $A'_0$ -orbit in  $S'_0 = K'_0/L'_0$ ) through the origin. Then we have identifications

$$\hat{A}' = \alpha'/\Gamma'$$

and

$$\hat{A}'_0 = \alpha'/\Gamma'_0.$$

On the other hand, since  $\pi'^{-1}(L') \supset L'_0$ , the covering homomorphism  $\pi'$  induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S'_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & S' \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \hat{A}'_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \hat{A}' \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\Gamma'_0 \subset \Gamma'.$$

3) Under the notation in 2), we have a covering map

$$\hat{C}_\alpha \times S' \rightarrow S.$$

It follows from the assumption that  $\hat{C}_\alpha = \{e\}$  and  $S'$  is simply connected. Thus the covering map  $\pi'$  is trivial and  $\Gamma' = \Gamma'_0$ . Moreover  $c_\alpha = \{0\}$  implies that  $\Gamma = \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma'_0$ . q.e.d.

REMARK. Define  $\Lambda_i \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}'$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) by

$$(\Lambda_i, \alpha_j^*) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq l).$$

Then define  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) by

$$M_i = \begin{cases} 2\Lambda_i & \text{if } p\alpha_i = \alpha_i \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \Pi_1^0) = \{0\} \\ \Lambda_i & \text{if } p\alpha_i = \alpha_i \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \Pi_1^0) \neq \{0\} \\ \Lambda_i + \Lambda_{i'} & \text{if } p\alpha_i = \alpha_{i'} \neq \alpha_i. \end{cases}$$

Then it can be verified (cf. Sugiura [12]) that  $M_i \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) and

$$(M_i, \frac{1}{2}\beta_j^*) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq p).$$

It follows that

$$Z'_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{Z} M_i$$

and

$$D'_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p m_i M_i; m_i \in \mathbf{Z}, m_i \geq 0 (1 \leq i \leq p) \right\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2,1) that  $W_S$  acts on  $\hat{A}_0 = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma_0$  and from Lemma 2,2) that we have a  $W_S$ -equivariant homomorphism

$$\pi_0: \hat{A}_0 \rightarrow \hat{A}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$  denote the character ring of  $\hat{A}$ . Then  $W_S$  acts on  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$  (or more generally on the space  $C^\infty(\hat{A})$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\hat{A}$ ) by

$$(s\chi)(\hat{a}) = \chi(s^{-1}\hat{a}) \quad \text{for } s \in W_S, \hat{a} \in \hat{A}.$$

This action coincides on  $Z = \mathcal{D}(\hat{A}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$  with the adjoint action of  $W_S$  on  $Z$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$  be the subring of  $W_S$ -invariant characters of  $\hat{A}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$  the  $\mathbf{C}$ -span of  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$  in  $C^\infty(\hat{A})$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)^c$  denote the same objects for  $\hat{A}_0$ . Then  $\pi_0$  induces a  $W_S$ -equivariant monomorphism

$$\pi_0^*: \mathcal{R}(\hat{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$$

and monomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0^* : \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0), \\ \pi_0^* : \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)^c. \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth we shall identify  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$  with a subring of  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$  with a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)^c$  by means of these monomorphisms  $\pi_0^*$ .

For  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ , we shall denote by  $\lambda_c$  the  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_c$ -component of  $\lambda$  with respect to the orthogonal decomposition

$$\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_c \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'.$$

The following facts can be proved in the same way as the classical results for a compact connected Lie group  $S$ , so the proofs are omitted.

We define an element  $\delta$  in  $Z_0$  by

$$\delta = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Sigma_S^*)^+} \gamma.$$

For  $\lambda \in Z_0$ , we define  $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$  by

$$\xi_\lambda = \sum_{s \in W_S} (\det s) e^{s\lambda}.$$

For  $\lambda \in Z$ ,  $\xi_\lambda$  is divisible by  $\xi_\delta$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$  and

$$\chi_\lambda = \frac{\xi_{\lambda+\delta}}{\xi_\delta}$$

is in  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$ . If  $\chi_\lambda$  has the expression

$$\chi_\lambda = \sum m_\mu e^\mu \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in Z, m_\mu \in \mathbf{Z}, m_\mu \neq 0,$$

then  $m_c$  are the same for any  $\mu$ . In particular, if  $\lambda \in D$ , then the highest component in the above expression of  $\chi_\lambda$  is  $e^\lambda$  with  $m_\lambda = 1$ . Any  $W_S$ -invariant character  $\chi \in \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$  of  $\hat{A}$  has an expression

$$\chi = \sum m_\lambda \chi_\lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \in D, m_\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

The expression is unique for  $\chi$ . In particular, the system  $\{\chi_\lambda; \lambda \in D\}$  forms a basis of the space  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$ .

Now we come back to spherical representations of a symmetric pair  $(K, L)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** (E. Cartan [1]) *Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)$  have the highest weight  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\omega_\lambda$  be the zonal spherical function for  $(K, L)$  belonging to  $\rho$ . Then*

- 1)  $\lambda \in D$ ,
- 2)  $\omega_\lambda$  restricted to  $\hat{A}$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$  and has an expression

$$\omega_\lambda = \sum a_\mu e^{-\mu} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in Z, a_\mu \in \mathbf{R}, a_\mu > 0, \sum a_\mu = 1,$$

with the lowest component  $a_\lambda e^{-\lambda}$ .

Proof. Proof of E. Cartan [1] was done in the case where  $K$  is semi-simple and  $L=K_\theta$ . His proof can be applied for our case without difficulties. But his proof of  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  is not complete. A correct proof is seen, for example, in Schmid [11]. q.e.d.

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $\lambda \in D$ , there exists an irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $K$  such that the highest weight of  $\rho$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^c$  is  $\lambda$ .*

Proof. Let  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$  with  $\exp H = e$ . Decompose  $H$  as

$$H = H' + H'' \quad \text{with} \quad H' \in \mathfrak{b}, H'' \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Then  $\exp H'' = (\exp H')^{-1} \in L$ , i.e.  $H'' \in \Gamma$ . It follows from  $\lambda \in Z \subset \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  that  $(\lambda, H) = (\lambda, H') + (\lambda, H'') = (\lambda, H'') \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbf{Z}$ . Moreover  $(\lambda, \alpha_i) = (\lambda, \varpi\alpha_i) \geq 0$  for any  $\alpha_i \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$  since  $\lambda \in D$ . Thus  $e^\lambda$  is a dominant character of the maximal torus  $T$  of  $K$ . Then the classical representation theory of compact connected Lie groups assures the existence of  $\rho$ . q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $Z_L(A)$  be the centralizer in  $L$  of  $A$  and  $Z_L(A)^0$  the connected component of  $Z_L(A)$ . Then*

$$Z_L(A) = Z_L(A)^0 \exp \Gamma.$$

Proof. The centralizer  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathfrak{a})$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}$  has the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \mathfrak{a},$$

where  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathfrak{a})$  is the centralizer in  $\mathfrak{l}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Since the centralizer  $Z_K(A)$  in the compact connected Lie group  $K$  of the torus  $A$  is connected, we have the decomposition

$$Z_K(A) = Z_L(A)^0 A.$$

It follows that any element  $m \in Z_L(A)$  can be written as

$$m = m'a \quad \text{with} \quad m' \in Z_L(A)^0, a \in A.$$

Then  $a = m'^{-1}m \in L$  so that  $a \in \exp \Gamma$ . Thus  $m \in Z_L(A)^0 \exp \Gamma$ , which proves Lemma 4. q.e.d.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $K^c$  denote the Chevalley complexification of  $K$ . Put*

$$K^* = L \exp \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s}$$

and

$$(K^*)^0 = L^0 \exp \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s},$$

where  $L^0$  denotes the connected component of  $L$ . Then  $(K^*)^0$  is a closed subgroup of

$K^c$  normalized by  $K^*$  and

$$K^* = (K^*)^0 \exp \Gamma .$$

Therefore  $K^*$  is a closed subgroup of  $K^c$  with the connected component  $(K^*)^0$ .

Proof. The first statement is clear. Take any element  $l \in L$ . From the conjugateness of maximal abelian subalgebras in  $\mathfrak{g}$  under the adjoint action of  $L^0$ , there exists  $l_1 \in L^0$  such that  $l_1 l \in N_L(A)$ . Since

$$N_L(A)/Z_L(A) = N_{L^0}(A)/Z_{L^0}(A) = W_S ,$$

we can choose  $l_2 \in L^0$  such that  $l_2 l_1 l \in Z_L(A)$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that there exist  $l_3 \in Z_L(A)^0$  and  $a \in \exp \Gamma$  such that  $l_2 l_1 l = l_3 a$ . Therefore  $l = l_1^{-1} l_2^{-1} l_3 a$  with  $l_1^{-1} l_2^{-1} l_3 \in L^0 \subset (K^*)^0$ , i.e.  $l \in (K^*)^0 \exp \Gamma$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5. q.e.d.

Now we can prove the following

**Theorem 2.4.** (E. Cartan [1], Sugiura [12], Helgason [5]) *For any  $\lambda \in D$ , there exists an irreducible spherical representation  $\rho$  of  $K$  relative to  $L$  such that the highest weight of  $\rho$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^c$  is  $\lambda$ .*

Together with Theorem 2.3 we have the following

**Corollary.** *For  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)$ , let  $\lambda(\rho)$  denote the highest weight of  $\rho$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^c$ . Then the correspondence  $\rho \mapsto \lambda(\rho)$  gives a bijection:*

$$\mathcal{D}(K, L) \rightarrow D(K, L) .$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. This theorem for the case where  $K$  is semi-simple and  $L=K_\theta$  was stated in E. Cartan [1] but its proof is not complete. It was stated for simply connected  $K$  without proof in Sugiura [12]. It was proved in Helgason [5] for the case where  $K$  is semi-simple and  $L$  is connected. Helgason's proof can be applied for our case without difficulties, so we shall confine ourselves to point out necessary modifications.

Let

$$\rho: K \rightarrow GL(V)$$

be the irreducible representation of  $K$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$  (Lemma 3). By extending  $\rho$  to the Chevalley complexification  $K^c$  of  $K$  and restricting it to the closed subgroup  $K^*$  of  $K^c$  (Lemma 5), we have an irreducible representation of  $K^*$ , which will be denoted by the same letter  $\rho$ . It suffices to show that  $\rho$  has a non-zero  $L$ -invariant. Let  $N$  be the connected subgroup of  $K^*$  generated by the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{k}^* \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_1^+ - \Sigma_1^0} \mathfrak{k}_\alpha^c ,$$

where  $\mathfrak{k}^*$  is the Lie algebra of  $K^*$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_\alpha^c$  is the root space of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  for  $\alpha$ . We shall first prove that the representation  $\rho$  of  $K^*$  is a conical representation of  $K^*$  in the sense of Helgason [5], i.e. if  $v_\lambda \in V$ ,  $v_\lambda \neq 0$ , is a highest weight vector for  $\rho$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{k}^c$ , we have

$$\rho(mn)v_\lambda = v_\lambda \quad \text{for any } m \in Z_L(A), n \in N.$$

Denoting the infinitesimal action of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  on  $V$  by the same letter  $\rho$ , we have

$$\rho(n)v_\lambda = \rho(\mathfrak{z}_\Gamma(\alpha))v_\lambda = \{0\}.$$

In fact,  $\rho(n)v_\lambda = \{0\}$  since  $n \subset \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_\Gamma^+} \mathfrak{k}_\alpha^c$ .  $\rho(\mathfrak{b}^c)v_\lambda = \{0\}$  for the complexification  $\mathfrak{b}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{b}$  since  $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}, \lambda) = \{0\}$ .  $\rho(\mathfrak{k}_\alpha^c)v_\lambda = \{0\}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_\Gamma^0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . It follows from  $(\alpha, \lambda) \in (\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}, \lambda) = \{0\}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_\Gamma^0$  that  $\lambda - \alpha$  is not a weight of  $\rho$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_\Gamma^0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Since the complexification of  $\mathfrak{z}_\Gamma(\alpha)$  is spanned by  $\mathfrak{b}^c$  and the  $\mathfrak{k}_\alpha^c$ 's for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_\Gamma^0$ , we have  $\rho(\mathfrak{z}_\Gamma(\alpha))v_\lambda = \{0\}$ . Therefore it suffices from Lemma 4 to show that

$$\rho(\exp H)v_\lambda = v_\lambda \quad \text{for any } H \in \Gamma.$$

But it is clear since  $\lambda \in Z$ , i.e.  $(\lambda, H) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}Z$  for any  $H \in \Gamma$ .

Thus we can prove in the same way as Helgason [5] that  $V$  has a non-zero  $L$ -invariant vector, by constructing a  $K^*$ -submodule  $V'$  of the  $K^*$ -module  $C^\infty(K^*)$  of  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $K^*$ , having a non-zero  $L$ -invariant, and by constructing a  $K^*$ -equivariant isomorphism of  $V$  onto  $V'$ . q.e.d.

Next we shall describe zonal spherical functions in terms of the basis  $\{\chi_\lambda; \lambda \in D\}$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$ .

For  $\hat{a} = (\exp H)x_0 \in \hat{A}$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we put

$$D(\hat{a}) = \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_\Gamma^+ - \Sigma_\Gamma^0} 2 \sin(\alpha, \sqrt{-1}H) \right|.$$

Let  $d\hat{a}$  denote the normalized Haar measure of  $\hat{A}$  and  $|W_S|$  the order of the Weyl group  $W_S$ . For  $W_S$ -invariant functions  $\chi, \chi'$  on  $\hat{A}$ , we define

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{c}{|W_S|} \int_{\hat{A}} \chi(\hat{a})\overline{\chi'(\hat{a})}D(\hat{a})d\hat{a},$$

where

$$c = \left( \frac{1}{|W_S|} \int_{\hat{A}} D(\hat{a})d\hat{a} \right)^{-1}.$$

$c=1$  in the case where  $S$  is a compact connected Lie group. In particular, if  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  can be extended to  $L$ -invariant functions  $f$  and  $f'$  on  $S$ , then  $\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle$  coincides with the inner product  $\langle f, f' \rangle$  in  $L^2(S)$  (cf. Helgason [4]).

Fix a dominant integral form  $\lambda \in D$ . We define a finite subset  $D_\lambda$  of  $D$  by

$$D_\lambda = \{\mu \in D; \mu_c = \lambda_c, \mu \leq \lambda\}.$$

Since the system  $\{\chi_\mu; \mu \in D\}$  forms a basis of  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$ , the matrix

$$(\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\nu \rangle)_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda}$$

is a positive definite hermitian matrix. Let

$$(b^{\mu\nu})_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda}$$

be the inverse matrix of the above matrix. In particular  $b^{\lambda\lambda} > 0$ . For any  $\mu \in D_\lambda$ , we put

$$c_\lambda^\mu = \frac{b^{\lambda\mu}}{\sqrt{d_\lambda b^{\lambda\lambda}}},$$

where  $d_\lambda$  is the degree of an irreducible representation of  $K$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$ . Then we have

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\lambda \in D$  and  $\omega_\lambda$  be the zonal spherical function belonging to the class of an irreducible representation of  $K$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$ . Then  $\omega_\lambda$  restricted to  $\hat{A}$  is given by*

$$\omega_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \bar{\chi}_\mu.$$

*Proof.* The idea of the following proof owes to Hua [6]. Let  $\mu \in D_\lambda$ . Then  $\omega_\mu$  restricted to  $\hat{A}$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^c$  by Theorem 2.3. It follows by Theorem 2.3 and Corollary of Theorem 2.4 that  $\omega_\mu$  has an expression

$$\omega_\mu = \sum_{\nu \in D_\lambda} c'^\nu_\mu \bar{\chi}_\nu \quad \text{with } c'^\nu_\mu \in \mathbf{R}, c'^\mu_\mu > 0, c'^\nu_\mu = 0 \text{ if } \nu > \mu.$$

We define an upper triangular matrix  $C'$  by

$$C' = (c'^\nu_\mu)_{\nu, \mu \in D_\lambda}.$$

Then we have

$$(\langle \omega_\mu, \omega_\nu \rangle)_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda} = {}^t C' (\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\nu \rangle)_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda} C'.$$

Since  $\langle \omega_\mu, \omega_\nu \rangle = d_\mu^{-1} \delta_{\mu\nu}$ , we have

$$(d_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu})_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda} = C'^{-1} B' {}^t C'^{-1},$$

where

$$B' = (b'^{\mu\nu})_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda} = (\langle \bar{\chi}_\mu, \bar{\chi}_\nu \rangle)_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda}^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$C' (d_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu})_{\mu, \nu \in D_\lambda} {}^t C' = B'.$$

Comparing  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -components of both sides, we have

$$c'^{\mu}_{\lambda} d_{\lambda} c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = b'^{\mu\lambda}.$$

In particular

$$(c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda})^2 d_{\lambda} = b'^{\lambda\lambda}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{b'^{\lambda\lambda}}{d_{\lambda}}},$$

hence

$$c'^{\mu}_{\lambda} = \frac{b'^{\mu\lambda}}{d_{\lambda} c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda}} = \frac{b'^{\mu\lambda}}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda} b'^{\lambda\lambda}}}.$$

Since  $b'^{\mu\nu} = b^{\nu\mu}$ , we have

$$c'^{\mu}_{\lambda} = \frac{b^{\lambda\mu}}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda} b^{\lambda\lambda}}} = c^{\mu}_{\lambda}. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

EXAMPLE. If  $S$  is a compact connected Lie group and  $(K, L)$  the symmetric pair with  $K/L = S$  as mentioned before, then the set  $\mathcal{D}(S)$  of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $S$  is in the bijective correspondence with  $\mathcal{D}(K, L)$  by the assignment  $\rho \mapsto \rho \boxtimes \rho^*$ , where  $\rho^*$  denotes the contragredient representation of  $\rho$ .  $\hat{A}$  is a maximal torus of the compact Lie group  $S$ . Let  $\chi_{\rho}$  be the invariant character of  $\hat{A}$  for the dominant integral form in  $D(K, L)$  corresponding to  $\rho \boxtimes \rho^*$  by the bijection in Corollary of Theorem 2.4. Then it is nothing but the character of  $\rho$ . It follows from orthogonality relations of irreducible characters that the matrix  $(b^{\lambda\mu})$  is the identity matrix. Thus the zonal spherical function  $\omega_{\rho \boxtimes \rho^*}$  belonging to  $\rho \boxtimes \rho^*$  is given by

$$\omega_{\rho \boxtimes \rho^*} = \frac{1}{d_{\rho}} \chi_{\rho},$$

where  $d_{\rho}$  is the degree of  $\rho$ .

### 3. Polynomial representations associated with symmetric bounded domains

Let  $D$  be an irreducible symmetric bounded domain with rank  $p$  realized in  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$  as in Introduction. We shall use the same notation as in Introduction.

Let

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$$

be the fundamental root system of  $\Sigma$  with respect to the order  $>$  and let  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \Pi \cap \Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}$ . It is known that  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$  is the fundamental root system of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}$ ,  $\Pi - \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$  consists of one element, say  $\alpha_1$ , which is the lowest root in  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$ , and for any  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \alpha_i \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$ ,  $m_1 = 1$ . Let  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$  denote the set of positive compact roots.

Put

$$\mathfrak{b} = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}; (\sqrt{-1}H, \Delta) = \{0\}\}.$$

Then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\sqrt{-1}t = \sqrt{-1}b \oplus \sqrt{-1}a$$

with respect to  $(,)$ . We define an orthogonal transformation  $\sigma$  on  $\sqrt{-1}t$  by  $\sigma|_b = -1$  and  $\sigma|_{\sqrt{-1}a} = 1$ . Let

$$\varpi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma): \sqrt{-1}t \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}a$$

be the orthogonal projection of  $\sqrt{-1}t$  onto  $\sqrt{-1}a$ . Let  $\kappa$  be the unique involutive element of the Weyl group  $W_{\mathfrak{t}}$  of  $K$  such that  $\kappa \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = -\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ . Since  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  is the set of weights on  $t^c$  of the irreducible  $K$ -module  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$ , we have  $\kappa \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ = \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  and  $\kappa \gamma_i = \alpha_i$ . Put

$$\Delta' = \kappa \Delta = \{\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_p\}, \quad \gamma'_i = \kappa \gamma_i \ (1 \leq i \leq p), \ \gamma'_1 = \alpha_1.$$

It is the original maximal strongly orthogonal subsystem of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  of Harish-Chandra [3]. For the system  $\Delta'$ , the orthogonal projection

$$\varpi': \sqrt{-1}t \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}a'$$

onto the  $\mathbf{R}$ -span  $\sqrt{-1}a'$  of  $\Delta'$  is defined in the same way as for  $\Delta$ . Put

$$P'_1 = \{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+; \varpi'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_i + \gamma'_j) \text{ for some } 1 \leq i < j \leq p\},$$

$$P'_\frac{1}{2} = \{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+; \varpi'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma'_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq p\},$$

$$K'_0 = \{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}; \varpi'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_i - \gamma'_j) \text{ for some } 1 \leq i < j \leq p\},$$

$$K'_\frac{1}{2} = \{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}; \varpi'(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma'_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq p\}.$$

Then (Harish-Chandra [3])  $\Sigma$  is the disjoint union of  $P'_1, -P'_1, P'_\frac{1}{2}, -P'_\frac{1}{2}, K'_0, K'_\frac{1}{2}, -K'_\frac{1}{2}$  and we have

$$\varpi'P'_1 = \{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_i + \gamma'_j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p\},$$

$$\varpi'P'_\frac{1}{2} = \{\frac{1}{2}\gamma'_i; 1 \leq i \leq p\} \quad \text{if } P'_\frac{1}{2} \neq \phi,$$

$$\varpi'K'_0 - \{0\} = \{\pm \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_i - \gamma'_j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p\},$$

$$\varpi'K'_\frac{1}{2} = \{\frac{1}{2}\gamma'_i; 1 \leq i \leq p\} \quad \text{if } P'_\frac{1}{2} \neq \phi.$$

Furthermore the multiplicity (with respect to  $\varpi'$ ) of any  $\gamma'_i$  is 1 and that of any  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma'_i$  is even. It follows that

$$\varpi' \Sigma - \{0\} = \begin{cases} \{\pm \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_i \pm \gamma'_j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p, \pm \gamma'_i; 1 \leq i \leq p\} & \text{if } P'_\frac{1}{2} = \phi \\ \{\pm \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_i \pm \gamma'_j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p, \pm \gamma'_i, \pm \frac{1}{2}\gamma'_i; 1 \leq i \leq p\} & \text{if } P'_\frac{1}{2} \neq \phi. \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have (Moore [8])

$$\varpi' \Pi - \{0\} = \begin{cases} \{\gamma'_1, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_2 - \gamma'_1), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_p - \gamma'_{p-1})\} & \text{if } P'_\frac{1}{2} = \phi \\ \{\gamma'_1, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_2 - \gamma'_1), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma'_p - \gamma'_{p-1}), -\frac{1}{2}\gamma'_p\} & \text{if } P'_\frac{1}{2} \neq \phi, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varpi' \prod_{\mathfrak{f}} - \{0\} = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2' - \gamma_1'), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p'}' - \gamma_{p-1}')\} & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}}' = \phi \\ \{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2' - \gamma_1'), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p'}' - \gamma_{p-1}'), -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{p'}'\} & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 1.** 1)

$$\varpi\alpha_1 = \begin{cases} \gamma_p & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}}' = \phi \\ \frac{1}{2}\gamma_p & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi. \end{cases}$$

2) (Schmid [11]) If  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi$  and

$$\sum_{\beta \in P_{\frac{1}{2}}'} m_{\beta} \beta \quad \text{with } m_{\beta} \geq 0$$

is in the  $\mathbf{R}$ -span  $\{P_1'\}_R$  of  $P_1'$ , then  $m_{\beta} = 0$  for any  $\beta$ .

Proof. For any  $\alpha \in \sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ = P_1' \cup P_{\frac{1}{2}}'$ ,  $\varpi'\alpha$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi'\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}m_1(\gamma_2' - \gamma_1') + \frac{1}{2}m_2(\gamma_3' - \gamma_2') + \dots + \frac{1}{2}m_{p-1}(\gamma_{p'}' - \gamma_{p-1}') \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}m_p\gamma_{p'}' + m_{p+1}\gamma_1' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2m_{p+1} - m_1)\gamma_1' + \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_2)\gamma_2' + \dots + \frac{1}{2}(m_{p-2} - m_{p-1})\gamma_{p-1}' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(m_{p-1} - m_p)\gamma_{p'}' \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $m_i \geq 0$ ,  $m_{p+1} = 1$ . Since  $\varpi'\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' + \gamma_j')$  or  $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i'$  for some  $i, j$ , we have

$$2 \geq m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_{p-1} \geq m_p \geq 0.$$

Furthermore  $\alpha \in P_1'$  (resp.  $\alpha \in P_{\frac{1}{2}}'$ ) if and only if  $m_p = 0$  (resp.  $m_p = 1$ ).

1) If  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}' = \phi$ , then  $\gamma_1 \in P_1'$ . For  $\alpha = \gamma_1$ , the coefficients in the above expression are  $m_1 = \dots = m_{p-1} = 2$ ,  $m_p = 0$  and  $\varpi'\gamma_1 = \gamma_{p'}'$ . If  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi$ , then for  $\alpha = \gamma_1$ , the coefficients are  $m_1 = \dots = m_{p-1} = 2$ ,  $m_p = 1$  and  $\varpi'\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{p'}'$ . Now the assertion 1) follows from  $\varpi\alpha_1 = \kappa^{-1}\varpi'\kappa\alpha_1 = \kappa^{-1}\varpi'\gamma_1$ .

2) Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \alpha_i \quad \text{with } n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_i \geq 0$$

be in  $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$ . It follows from the first argument that

(a) if  $\alpha \in P_1'$ ,  $\varpi'\alpha_i = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{p'}'$ , then  $n_i = 0$ ,

(b) if  $\alpha \in P_{\frac{1}{2}}'$ , then there exists  $\alpha_i \in \prod_{\mathfrak{f}}$  such that  $n_i > 0$  and  $\varpi'\alpha_i = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{p'}'$ .

This implies the assertion 2).

q.e.d.

Now  $P_1, P_{\frac{1}{2}}, K_0$  and  $K_{\frac{1}{2}}$  are defined for  $\Delta$  in the same way as for  $\Delta'$ . Then  $\kappa$  transforms  $P_1$  (resp.  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}, K_0, K_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ) onto  $P_1'$  (resp.  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}', K_0', K_{\frac{1}{2}}'$ ). It follows that the above mentioned properties due to Harish-Chandra are also satisfied by our objects for  $\Delta$ . But Moore's results should be modified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi \Pi - \{0\} &= \begin{cases} \{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1} - \gamma_p), \gamma_p \} & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}} = \phi \\ \{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1} - \gamma_p), \frac{1}{2}\gamma_p \} & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \phi. \end{cases} \\ \varpi \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \{0\} &= \begin{cases} \{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1} - \gamma_p) \} & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}} = \phi \\ \{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1} - \gamma_p), \frac{1}{2}\gamma_p \} & \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \phi. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

They follows from Lemma 1, 1) and

$$\varpi \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \kappa^{-1} \varpi' \kappa \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = -\kappa^{-1} \varpi' \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Note that  $K_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \sum_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$  while  $K_{\frac{1}{2}}' \subset -\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}^+$ .

**Lemma 2.** 1) *The order  $>$  is a compatible order for  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $\sigma$  in the sense of 2.*

2)  $\varpi K_0 - \{0\}$  is a root system with the fundamental root system

$$\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1} - \gamma_p) \}$$

with respect to the order  $>$ .

3) *If  $P_{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \phi$  and*

$$\sum_{\beta \in P_{\frac{1}{2}}} m_{\beta} \beta \quad \text{with } m_{\beta} \geq 0$$

is in the  $\mathbf{R}$ -span  $\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\}_{\mathbf{R}}$  of  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $m_{\beta} = 0$  for any  $\beta$ .

Proof. 1) is clear from the form of  $\varpi \Pi - \{0\}$  above.

2) is clear since

$$\varpi K_0 - \{0\} = \{ \pm \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p \}.$$

3) follows from Lemma 1, 2) and  $\kappa P_{\frac{1}{2}} = P_{\frac{1}{2}}', \kappa P_1 = P_1'$ .

q.e.d.

For  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we define as in 2

$$\lambda^* = \frac{2}{(\lambda, \lambda)} \lambda$$

and put

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} \gamma^* .$$

Since  $(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_i, \gamma_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \{ \alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}; (\alpha, Z_0) = 1 \} , \\ P_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \{ \alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}; (\alpha, Z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \} , \\ K_0 &= \{ \alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}; (\alpha, Z_0) = 0 \} , \\ K_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \{ \alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{t}}; (\alpha, Z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence eigenvalues of  $\text{ad } Z_0$  are  $\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}^c, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^c$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_{\pm 1}^c, \mathfrak{p}_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}^c, \mathfrak{k}_0^c, \mathfrak{k}_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}^c$  denote the corresponding eigenspaces. Note that the origin  $X_0$  of the Shilov boundary  $S$  is in  $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c$ .

The following results are due to Korányi-Wolf [7]. We define an element  $c$  of  $G^c$ , which is called *Cayley transform*, by

$$c = \exp \left( -\frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} (X_{\gamma} + X_{-\gamma}) \right)$$

and define an automorphism of  $G^c$  by

$$\theta(x) = c^2 x c^{-2} \quad \text{for } x \in G^c .$$

The automorphism  $\text{Ad } c^2$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  obtained by differentiating  $\theta$  will be also denoted by the same letter  $\theta$ . Then  $\theta^4 = 1$  and on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  it coincides with  $-\sigma$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_0 &= \{ X \in \mathfrak{g}; \theta^2 X = X \} , \\ \mathfrak{k}_0 &= \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k} , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p} .$$

Then  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  is  $\theta$ -invariant and

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 = \{ X \in \mathfrak{k}; [Z_0, X] = 0 \} .$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  is a real form of  $\mathfrak{k}_0^c$  containing  $\mathfrak{t}$  as a maximal abelian subalgebra.  $K_0$  is nothing but the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{k}_0^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}^c$ . The complexification  $\mathfrak{p}_0^c$  of  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  is the direct sum of  $\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c$ .  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a reductive subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0 .$$

Let  $G_0$  (resp.  $K_0$ ) be the connected subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ ) and let

$$L_0 = \{k \in K_0; \text{Ad}k X_0 = X_0\} = K_0 \cap L.$$

Put

$$D_0 = D \cap \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}$$

and

$$S_0 = S \cap \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}.$$

Then  $G_0$  acts on  $D_0$  transitively and  $K \cap G_0$  coincides with  $K_0$ , so that  $D_0$  is identified with the quotient space  $G_0/K_0$ . Furthermore  $K_0$  acts on  $S_0$  transitively so that  $S_0$  is identified with  $K_0/L_0$ .  $D_0$  is totally geodesic in  $D$  with respect to Bergmann metric of  $D$  and it is also an irreducible symmetric bounded domain with the same rank as  $D$ .  $S_0$  is the Shilov boundary of  $D_0$ . The complex structure of  $D_0$  is given at the origin by  $\text{ad} H_0$  with  $\sqrt{-1}H_0 = Z_0$ . We have

$$\varpi Z = Z_0.$$

The inclusion  $D_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}$  is nothing but the Harish-Chandra's imbedding of  $D_0 = G_0/K_0$ .  $(K_0, L_0)$  is a symmetric pair with respect to  $\theta$ , having the same rank as  $D$ . Hence

$$\mathfrak{l}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}_0; \theta X = X\}$$

is the Lie algebra of  $L_0$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of

$$\mathfrak{s}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}_0; \theta X = -X\}.$$

We can define a semi-linear transformation  $X \mapsto \bar{X}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}$  by

$$\bar{X} = \tau \theta X = \theta \tau X \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \{X \in \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}; \bar{X} = X\}.$$

It is a real form of  $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}$  and is invariant under the adjoint action of  $L_0$  on  $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}_1}$ . The correspondence  $X \mapsto [X, X_0]$  gives an isomorphism

$$\psi: \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{s}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{-1},$$

which is equivariant with respect to the adjoint actions of  $L_0$ .

Now we shall consider the polynomial representation  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^-)$  of  $K$ . Let  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^+)$  be the symmetric algebra over  $(\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^+$ .  $K$  acts on  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^+)$  by the natural extension  $\text{Ad}$  of the adjoint action of  $K$  on  $(\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^+$ . On the other hand, the non-degenerate pairing

$$(\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^+ \times (\mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{C}})^- \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

by means of the Killing form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  induces the identification

$$S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+) = S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-).$$

This identification is compatible with the actions of  $K$ , since the Killing form is invariant under the adjoint action of  $K$ . In the same way we have a  $K_0$ -equivariant identification

$$S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c) = S^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c).$$

$S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$  can be considered as a  $K_0$ -submodule of  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  by means of the natural monomorphism  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c) \rightarrow S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  induced from the inclusion  $\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c \subset (\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** (i) *Any irreducible  $K$ -submodule of  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  (resp.  $K_0$ -submodule of  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$ ) is contained exactly once in  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  (resp. in  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$ ).*

(ii) *For an irreducible  $K$ -submodule  $V$  of  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$ , we put*

$$V_0 = V \cap S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c).$$

*Then  $V \mapsto V_0$  is the one to one correspondence between the set of irreducible  $K$ -submodules of  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  and the set of irreducible  $K_0$ -submodules of  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$  in such a way that*

1) *The highest weights on  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  of  $V$  and  $V_0$  are the same.*

2) *The subspace of  $L$ -invariants in  $V$  is 1-dimensional and contained in  $V_0$ .*

(iii) *The highest weight  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  of an irreducible  $K$ -submodule  $V$  of  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  is of the form*

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i, \quad n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_p \geq 0.$$

*If  $\sum_i n_i = \nu$ , then  $V$  is contained in  $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$ . i.e.  $S^\nu(D) \subset S^\nu(K, L)$  under the notation in Introduction.*

For the proof of the theorem, we need the following

**Lemma 3.** (Murakami [9]) *Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  the complexification of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Assume that there exists  $Y \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}^c$  such that  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  is the direct sum of 0-eigenspace  $\mathfrak{k}_0^c$ , (+1)-eigenspace  $\mathfrak{k}_+^c$  and (-1)-eigenspace  $\mathfrak{k}_-^c$  of  $\text{ad } Y$ , respectively. Let  $(\rho, V)$  be a complex irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}$ -module with  $\mathfrak{k}$ -invariant hermitian inner product. Denoting the extension to  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  of  $\rho$  by the same letter  $\rho$ , let  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_m$  ( $a_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ) be eigenvalues of  $\rho(Y)$ , and  $S_t$  be  $a_t$ -eigenspace of  $\rho(Y)$  ( $1 \leq t \leq m$ ). Put  $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0^c \cap \mathfrak{k}$  ( , which is a real form of  $\mathfrak{k}_0^c$ ). Then*

1)  $a_t = a_1 - t + 1$  ( $1 \leq t \leq m$ ).

2) Each  $S_t$  is a  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ -submodule of  $V$  and

$$V = S_1 + \dots + S_m$$

*is the orthogonal direct sum.*

3)  $S_1$  and  $S_m$  are irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ -submodules of  $V$  and characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{v \in V; \rho(X)v = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{k}_+^c\}, \\ S_m &= \{v \in V; \rho(X)v = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{k}_-^c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The infinitesimal action of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  on  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$  induced from the adjoint action Ad of  $K$  will be denoted by ad.

Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $K$ -submodule of  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$ . Since  $Z$  is in the center of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$ , it follows from Schur's lemma that  $V$  is contained in an eigenspace of ad  $Z$  in  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^c)^+$ . But since ad  $Z$  is the scalar operator  $\nu$  on  $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$ ,  $V$  is contained in  $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  for some  $\nu$ . Let  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  be the highest weight of  $V$ . Put  $Y=2Z_0 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}^c$ . Then the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{k}^c = \mathfrak{k}_0^c + \mathfrak{k}_\frac{1}{2}^c + \mathfrak{k}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^c$$

satisfies the assumption in Lemma 3. So we have a decomposition

$$V = S_1 + \dots + S_m$$

into  $K_0$ -submodules, where  $S_1$  is an irreducible  $K_0$ -submodule and is the eigenspace for the maximum eigenvalue of ad  $Y$  in  $V$ . It is characterized by

$$S_1 = \{v \in V; \text{ad}(X)v = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{k}_\frac{1}{2}^c\}.$$

Thus a highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$  of the  $K$ -module  $V$  is contained in  $S_1$  because of  $\mathfrak{k}_\frac{1}{2} \subset \sum \mathfrak{k}_i^+$ . It follows that putting  $V_0 = S_1$ ,  $V_0$  is an irreducible  $K_0$ -submodule of  $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$ .

We shall show that  $V_0 = V \cap S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$ . We have the decomposition

$$S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+) = \sum_{r+s=\nu} S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^c) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_\frac{1}{2}^c)$$

as  $K_0$ -modules. ad  $Z_0$  is the scalar operator  $r + \frac{1}{2}s = \frac{1}{2}(r + \nu)$  on  $S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^c) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_\frac{1}{2}^c)$ . In the same way as the first argument, we can get the decomposition

$$V = V_1 + \dots + V_k$$

into irreducible  $K_0$ -submodules such that any  $V_i$  is contained in  $S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^c) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_\frac{1}{2}^c)$  for some  $(r, s)$ . Since  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  is  $K$ -isomorphic with  $S^*(S) \subset C^\infty(S)$ ,  $V$  has an  $L$ -invariant  $w \neq 0$ . Decompose  $w$  as

$$w = w_1 + \dots + w_k, \quad w_i \in V_i \ (1 \leq i \leq k).$$

At least one of the  $w_i$ 's, say  $w_1$ , is not zero. Let  $\lambda_1 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  be the highest weight of the irreducible  $K_0$ -module  $V_1$ . Since  $w_1$  is a non-zero  $L_0$ -invariant of  $V_1$ ,  $V_1$  is a spherical  $K_0$ -module relative to  $L_0$ .  $(K_0, L_0)$  is a symmetric pair,  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and the order  $>$  on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  is a compatible order for  $K_0$  with respect to  $\sigma$  by Lemma 1, 1), so we shall use the notations

$\Gamma(K_0, L_0), Z(K_0, L_0), D(K_0, L_0)$  in 2. Then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\lambda_1 \in D(K_0, L_0)$ . On the other hand, if  $V_1 \subset S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^c) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_2^c)$ ,  $\lambda_1$  is of the form

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in P_1} m_\alpha \alpha + \sum_{\beta \in P_2} m_\beta \beta, \quad m_\alpha, m_\beta \in \mathbf{Z}, m_\alpha \geq 0, m_\beta \geq 0$$

with  $\sum m_\alpha = r, \sum m_\beta = s$ . Since  $D(K_0, L_0) \subset \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a} = \{\Delta\}_R \subset \{P_1\}_R$ , we have

$$\sum_{\beta \in P_2} m_\beta \beta \in \{P_1\}_R.$$

It follows from Lemma 2,3) that  $r = \nu, s = 0$ , i.e.  $V_1 \subset V \cap S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_1^c)$ . On the other hand,  $V \cap S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_1^c) \subset V_0$  since the possible maximum eigenvalue of  $\text{ad } Y$  on  $V$  is  $2\nu$ . Thus we have that  $V_0 = V_1 = V \cap S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}^c)$ .

The above argument shows also that any  $L$ -invariant in  $V$  is contained in  $V_0$ . It is unique up to scalar since  $(K_0, L_0)$  is a symmetric pair.

Conversely, let  $V_0$  be an irreducible  $K_0$ -submodule of  $S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$  with the highest weight  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{t}$ . In the same way as the first argument, we know that  $V_0$  is contained in  $S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c)$  for some  $\nu$ . Let  $v_\lambda \in V_0$  be a highest weight vector. Then  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{k}_2^c v_\lambda = \{0\}$  because of  $[\mathfrak{k}_2^c, \mathfrak{p}_{+1}^c] = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\text{ad } X_\alpha v_\lambda = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \sum_+^*$ . We define  $V$  to be the  $\mathbf{C}$ -span of  $\{\text{Ad } k v_\lambda; k \in K\}$  in  $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$ . Then  $V$  is an irreducible  $K$ -submodule of  $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$  with the highest weight  $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{t}$ .

It is easy to see that each of the above correspondences  $V \mapsto V_0$  and  $V_0 \mapsto V$  is the inverse of the other. This proves assertions (i) and (ii).

(iii) We have  $[\frac{1}{2} \gamma_i^*, X_{-\gamma_j}] = -\delta_{ij} X_{-\gamma_j}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ) because of  $(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_j^*, \gamma_i) = \delta_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ). It follows that for  $H = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^p x_i (\frac{1}{2} \gamma_i^*) \in \mathfrak{a}$  we have

$$\text{Ad}(\exp H) X_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^p \exp(-2\pi \sqrt{-1} x_i) X_{-\gamma_i}.$$

Thus we have

$$\Gamma(K_0, L_0) = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{Z}(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_i^*)$$

and

$$Z(K_0, L_0) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{Z} \gamma_i.$$

It follows from Lemma 2,2) that

$$D(K_0, L_0) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i; n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_p \right\}.$$

Therefore  $\lambda$  is of the form

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i \quad \text{with } n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_p.$$

On the other hand,  $\lambda$  is of the form

$$\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in P_1} m_\alpha \alpha \quad \text{with } m_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}, m_\alpha \geq 0,$$

which implies that  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_p \geq 0$ . If  $V \subset S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^c)^+)$ , then  $V_0 \subset S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c)$  and  $\text{ad } Z_0$  is the scalar operator  $\nu$  on  $V_0$ , which equals  $(\lambda, Z_0) = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i$ . q.e.d.

REMARK. In terms of polynomial functions  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$ , for an irreducible  $K$ -submodule  $V$  of  $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$ ,  $V_0$  is obtained by restriction to  $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c$  of functions in  $V$ .

Proof of Theorem A. Orthogonality relations for the  $S_\lambda^*(D)$ 's (resp. for the  $S_\lambda^*(S)$ 's) and the assertion that the restriction  $S_\lambda^*(D) \rightarrow S_\lambda^*(S)$  is a similitude follow from Schur's lemma. So it suffices to show that the cardinalities of  $S^\nu(D)$  and  $S^\nu(K, L)$  are the same.

From the first argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (iii), we see that  $\psi(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*) = X_{-\gamma}$  ( $\gamma \in \Delta$ ) for the  $L_0$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\psi: \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$ . We put

$$\alpha^- = \psi(\sqrt{-1}\alpha) = \{X_{-\gamma}; \gamma \in \Delta\}_{\mathbf{R}} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{-1}.$$

Since the Weyl group  $W_{S_0}$  of  $S_0$  is isomorphic with the group of permutations of  $\Delta$  by Lemma 2,2), the "Weyl group"  $W_{S_0}^- = N_{L_0}(\alpha^-)/Z_{L_0}(\alpha^-)$ , where  $N_{L_0}(\alpha^-)$  (resp.  $Z_{L_0}(\alpha^-)$ ) is the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of  $\alpha^-$  in  $L_0$ , is isomorphic with the group of permutations of  $\{X_{-\gamma}; \gamma \in \Delta\}$ . On the other hand, since  $S_{L_0}^*(\mathfrak{s}_0)$  is isomorphic with  $S_{W_{S_0}}^*(\alpha)$  by Theorem 2.2,  $S_{L_0}^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1})$  is isomorphic with  $S_{W_{S_0}}^*(\alpha^-)$ . Hence  $S_{L_0}^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c)$  is isomorphic with  $S_{W_{S_0}}^*((\alpha^-)^c)$ . It follows from Theorem 3.1, (ii), 2) that the cardinality of  $S^\nu(D)$  is equal to  $\dim S_{L_0}^\nu(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c) = \dim S_{W_{S_0}}^\nu((\alpha^-)^c) =$  the number of linearly independent symmetric polynomials in  $p$ -variables with degree  $\nu$ , which is known to be the cardinality of  $S^\nu(K, L)$ . q.e.d.

#### 4. Normalizing factor $h_\lambda$

Let  $\hat{A} = \text{Ad } A(X_0)$ , denoting by  $A$  the connected subgroup of  $K_0$  generated by  $\alpha$ .  $\hat{A}$  has a natural group structure induced from that of  $\alpha$ . Let

$$T = \{t \in \mathbf{C}^*; |t| = 1\}$$

be the 1-dimensional torus. Under the identification in Introduction of  $(\alpha^-)^c$  with  $\mathbf{C}^p$ ,  $\alpha^-$  is identified with  $\mathbf{R}^p$  and  $\hat{A}$  with  $T^p$ . We see that the latter identification is compatible with group structures and complex conjugations, in view of the expression of  $\text{Ad}(\exp H)X_0$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1, (iii). Moreover, under the same identification we have (Moore [8])

$$D \cap \alpha^- = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^p; |x_i| < 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq p)\},$$

denoting by  $z_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) the  $i$ -th component of  $z \in \mathbf{C}^p$ . By means of this

identification we define a measure on  $\mathfrak{a}^-$  by

$$dH = dx_1 \cdots dx_p$$

and a function  $D(H)$  on  $\mathfrak{a}^-$  by

$$D(H) = \prod_{i=1}^p (2x_i)x_i^{2s} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} ((x_i+x_j)(x_i-x_j))^r \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{a}^-,$$

where  $r, 2s$  are multiplicities defined in Introduction. Then we have the following

**Lemma 1.** *There exists a constant  $c' > 0$  such that*

$$\int_D f(X) d\mu(X) = c' \int_{D \cap \mathfrak{a}^-} f(H) |D(H)| dH$$

for any integrable  $K$ -invariant function  $f$  on  $D$ .

Proof. It is easy to see that  $\text{Ad } cH = H$  for any  $H \in \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\text{Ad } c\gamma^* = X_\gamma - X_{-\gamma} \in \mathfrak{p}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Delta$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}^0 &= \text{Ad } c(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = \{X_\gamma - X_{-\gamma}; \gamma \in \Delta\}_R, \\ \mathfrak{h} &= \text{Ad } c(\mathfrak{b} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}_R = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^0.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_R$  is the real part of the complexification  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We define linear forms  $h_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) on  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  by

$$h_i(X_{\gamma_j} - X_{-\gamma_j}) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq p).$$

If  $h_i$  is identified with an element of  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  by means of the Killing form, we have  $\text{Ad } c(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i) = h_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). The linear order on  $\mathfrak{h}_R$  induced by  $\text{Ad } c$  from the order  $>$  on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  is a compatible order for  $\text{Ad } c\Sigma$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{h}_R = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^0$ . This follows from 3, Lemma 2,1). Thus positive restricted roots on  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  of the symmetric space  $D = G/K$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \{h_i \pm h_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq p, 2h_i; 1 \leq i \leq p\} & \quad \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}} = \phi, \\ \{h_i \pm h_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq p, 2h_i, h_i; 1 \leq i \leq p\} & \quad \text{if } P_{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \phi. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplicity of  $h_i \pm h_j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ ), i.e. the number of roots in  $\text{Ad } c\Sigma$  projecting to  $h_i \pm h_j$ , is the same as that of  $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i \pm \gamma_j)$ . Since the Weyl group  $W_D$  on  $\mathfrak{a}^0$  of  $D = G/K$  is generated by reflections with respect to  $h_1 - h_2, \dots, h_{p-1} - h_p, h_p$ , hence transitive on the set  $\{\pm h_i \pm h_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq p\}$ , it follows that

multiplicities of these roots are the same  $r$ . By the same reason, multiplicities of  $h_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) are the same  $2s$ , which is even from the results of Harish-Chandra mentioned in 3. In the same way we know that multiplicities of  $2h_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ) are 1. Thus the product  $D^0$  of positive restricted roots (multiplicity counted) is given by

$$D^0(H^0) = \prod_{i=1}^p 2h_i(H^0)h_i(H^0)^{2s} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} ((h_i+h_j)(H^0)(h_i-h_j)(H^0))^r \quad \text{for } H^0 \in \mathfrak{a}^0.$$

Let  $dX$  (resp.  $dH^0$ ) denote the Euclidean measure of  $\mathfrak{p}$  (resp. of  $\mathfrak{a}^0$ ) induced from the Killing form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and  $dk$  the normalied Haar measure of  $K$ . Then (cf. Helgason [4]) under the surjective map  $K \times \mathfrak{a}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  defined by  $(k, H^0) \mapsto \text{Ad } kH^0$ , these measures are related as follows:

$$dX = c'' |D^0(H^0)| dk dH^0 \quad \text{with some constant } c'' > 0.$$

Now we define a  $K$ -equivariant  $\mathbf{R}$ -isomorphism  $j: \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$  by

$$j(X) = \frac{1}{2}(X - [Z, X]) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

It is easy to see that  $j(X_\gamma - X_{-\gamma}) = -X_{-\gamma}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Delta$ , hence  $j\mathfrak{a}^0 = \mathfrak{a}^-$ . Since  $K$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , the map  $j$  is a similitude with respect to inner products  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the real part of  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\tau$ . Therefore under the surjective map  $K \times \mathfrak{a}^- \rightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$  defined by  $(k, H) \mapsto \text{Ad } kH$ , we have

$$d\mu(X) = c' |D(H)| dk dH \quad \text{with some constant } c' > 0.$$

Seeing  $\text{Ad } K(D \cap \mathfrak{a}^-) = D$ , we get the proof of Lemma 1. q.e.d.

Take a form  $\lambda \in S^*(K, L)$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{u_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$  of  $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\tau$  such that  $\{u_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_{\lambda,0}\}$  spans  $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-) \cap S^*(\mathfrak{p}^c_1)$  and  $u_1$  is  $L$ -invariant. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j^i(k) &= (\text{Ad } ku_j, u_i)_\tau & \text{for } k \in K \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq d_\lambda), \\ \varphi_i^i(k) &= \overline{\rho_1^i(k)} & \text{for } k \in K \quad (1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda), \\ f_i^i &= \sqrt{d_\lambda} \varphi_i^i & (1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The arguments in 2 show that  $\{f_i^i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $S_\lambda^*(S)$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\varphi_1^1$  is the zonal spherical function  $\omega_\lambda$  for  $(K, L)$  belonging to  $\lambda$ , identifying  $C^\infty(S)$  with the space of right  $L$ -invariant  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $K$ . The zonal spherical polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda$  for  $D$  belonging to  $\lambda$  defined in Introduction is characterized by that its restriction to  $S$  coincides with  $\omega_\lambda$ .  $\Omega_\lambda$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{p}^c_1$  is the zonal spherical polynomial for  $D_0$  belonging to  $\lambda$  and  $\omega_\lambda$  restricted to  $S_0$  is the zonal spherical function for  $(K_0, L_0)$  belonging to  $\lambda$ .  $\Omega_\lambda$

restricted to  $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^c$  is a symmetric polynomial since it is  $W_{\mathfrak{S}_0^-}$ -invariant. Let  $f_i \in S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda$ ) be the unique polynomial such that its restriction to  $S$  is  $f_i'$ . Then  $\{f_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda\}$  form an orthogonal basis of  $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-)$  with respect to  $(,)_r$  such that  $\{f_i; 1 \leq i \leq d_{\lambda,0}\}$  form an orthogonal basis of  $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^c)^-) \cap S^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c)$ . They satisfy relations

$$f_i(\text{Ad } k^{-1} X) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_\lambda} \rho_i^j(k) f_j(X) \quad \text{for } k \in K, X \in (\mathfrak{p}^c)^- \quad (1 \leq i \leq d_\lambda).$$

We put

$$\Phi_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} |f_i(X)|^2 \quad \text{for } X \in (\mathfrak{p}^c)^-.$$

Then for any  $k \in K$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(\text{Ad } k^{-1} X) &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_i \left( \sum_j \rho_i^j(k) f_j(X) \right) \overline{\left( \sum_k \rho_i^k(k) f_k(\overline{X}) \right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{j,k} \left( \sum_i \rho_i^j(k) \overline{\rho_i^k(k)} \right) f_j(X) \overline{f_k(\overline{X})} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{j,k} \delta_{jk} f_j(X) \overline{f_k(\overline{X})} = \Phi_\lambda(X) \quad \text{for } X \in (\mathfrak{p}^c)^-, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\Phi_\lambda$  is a  $K$ -invariant  $C^\infty$ -function on  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^-$ . Note that

$$\Phi_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{d_{\lambda,0}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_{\lambda,0}} |f_\alpha(X)|^2 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c.$$

**Lemma 2.**

$$h_\lambda = c' \int_{\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{a}^-} \Phi_\lambda(H) |D(H)| dH$$

Proof.

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Phi_\lambda(X) d\mu(X) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} \langle f_i, f_i \rangle = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} h_\lambda \langle f_i', f_i' \rangle = h_\lambda.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \Phi_\lambda(X) d\mu(X) = c' \int_{\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{a}^-} \Phi_\lambda(H) |D(H)| dH. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Proof of Theorem B. Making use of the complex conjugation  $X \mapsto \overline{X}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c$  defined in 3, we define  $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda \in S^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c)$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{d_{\lambda,0}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_{\lambda,0}} f_\alpha(X) \overline{f_\alpha(\overline{X})} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}^c.$$

Then  $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda = \Phi_\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}$  and we have for any  $k \in K_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(\text{Ad } k X_0) &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{\alpha} f_\alpha(\text{Ad } k X_0) \overline{f_\alpha(\text{Ad } k X_0)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{\alpha} f_\alpha(\text{Ad } k X_0) \overline{f_\alpha(\text{Ad } \theta(k) X_0)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{\alpha} f'_\alpha(k) \overline{f'_\alpha(\theta(k))} = \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_\alpha(k) \overline{\varphi_\alpha(\theta(k))} \\
 &= \sum_{\alpha} \overline{\rho_1^\alpha(k)} \rho_1^\alpha(\theta(k)) = \sum_{\alpha} \overline{\rho_1^\alpha(k)} \rho_1^\alpha(\theta(k)^{-1}) \\
 &= \overline{\rho_1(\theta(k)^{-1} k)} = \omega_\lambda(\theta(k)^{-1} k).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular for any  $a \in A$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(\text{Ad } a X_0) = \omega_\lambda(a^2),$$

i.e. for any  $\hat{a} \in \hat{A}$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(\hat{a}) = \omega_\lambda(\hat{a}^2) = \Omega_\lambda(\hat{a}^2).$$

Since  $\hat{A} = T^p$  is a compact real form of  $C^{*p}$  and  $C^{*p}$  is open in  $C^p = (\alpha^-)^c$ , we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(z_1, \dots, z_p) = \Omega_\lambda(z_1^2, \dots, z_p^2) \quad \text{for any } z \in C^p = (\alpha^-)^c.$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_\lambda &= c' \int_{D \cap \alpha^-} \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(H) |D(H)| dH \\
 &= c' \int_{|x_i| < 1 (1 \leq i \leq p)} \Omega_\lambda(x_1^2, \dots, x_p^2) \left| \prod_{i=1}^p (2x_i) x_i^{2s} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} ((x_i + x_j)(x_i - x_j))^r \right| dx_1 \dots dx_p \\
 &= c(D) \int_{0 < y_i < 1 (1 \leq i \leq p)} \Omega_\lambda(y_1, \dots, y_p) \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (y_i - y_j)^r \right| \prod_{i=1}^p y_i^s dy_1 \dots dy_p
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $c(D) > 0$ , which does not depend on  $\lambda$ . In particular, for  $\lambda = 0$

$$\mu(D) = h_0 = c(D) \int_{0 < y_i < 1 (1 \leq i \leq p)} \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (y_i - y_j)^r \right| \prod_{i=1}^p y_i^s dy_1 \dots dy_p,$$

since  $\Omega_0 \equiv 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem B.

q.e.d.

REMARK. It can be proved that  $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda$  is an  $L_0$ -invariant polynomial on  $\mathfrak{p}_1^c$ .

The multiplicities  $r, s$  are given as follows.

$D$	rank $D$	$r$	$s$
(I) $_{p,q}$ ( $p \leq q$ )	$p$	2	$q - p$
(II) $_n$	$[n/2]$	4	$\begin{cases} 2 & n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$
(III) $_n$	$n$	1	0
(IV) $_n$ ( $n \geq 3$ )	2	$n - 2$	0
(EIII)	2	6	4
(EVII)	3	8	0

The zonal spherical polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda$  is given as follows.

For integers  $n_1, \dots, n_p$  we define the *Schur function*  $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$  on the  $p$ -dimensional torus  $T^p$  by

$$\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}(t) = \frac{\det(t_i^{n_j+p-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}}{\det(t_i^{p-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}} \quad \text{for } t = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_p \end{bmatrix} \in T^p \subset \mathbf{C}^p.$$

$\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$  is symmetric in variables  $t_1, \dots, t_p$  and it is a polynomial in  $t_1, \dots, t_p$  if and only if  $n_i \geq 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). For an element  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i \in \sum_{i=1}^p \mathbf{Z} \gamma_i = Z(K_0, L_0)$ , the  $i$ -th coefficient  $n_i$  will be denoted by  $n_i(\lambda)$ .

Then we have

**Theorem 4.1.** *The zonal spherical polynomial  $\Omega_\lambda$  for  $D$  belonging to  $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$  is determined on  $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^c$  by the relation*

$$\Omega_\lambda(t) = \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \{n_1(\mu), \dots, n_p(\mu)\}(t) \quad \text{for any } t \in T^p = \hat{A} \subset (\mathfrak{a}^-)^c,$$

where the  $c_\lambda^\mu$ 's are coefficients in Theorem 2.5 for the symmetric pair  $(K_0, L_0)$ .

*Proof.* As we have seen in the proof of Theorem B,  $\Omega_\lambda$  is determined on  $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^c$  by

$$\Omega_\lambda(t) = \omega_\lambda(t) \quad \text{for any } t \in T^p = \hat{A}.$$

By Theorem 2.5,  $\omega_\lambda$  has an expression

$$\omega_\lambda(t) = \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \overline{\mathcal{X}_\mu(t)} \quad \text{for } t \in T^p = \hat{A}.$$

Since the Weyl group  $W_{S_0}$  acts on  $Z(K_0, L_0)$  by the group of permutations of  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p$ ,  $W_{S_0}$ -invariant characters  $\mathcal{X}_\lambda$  of  $\hat{A}$  are nothing but Schur functions. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.1, (iii), the  $i$ -th component of  $\text{Ad}(\exp H)X_0 \in T^p = \hat{A}$  is  $\exp(-(\gamma_i, H))$  for any  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ . It follows that

$$\mathcal{X}_\mu(t) = \{n_1(\mu), \dots, n_p(\mu)\}(\bar{t}) \quad \text{for } t \in T^p = \hat{A}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\lambda(t) &= \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \overline{\{n_1(\mu), \dots, n_p(\mu)\}(\bar{t})} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \{n_1(\mu), \dots, n_p(\mu)\}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in T^p = \hat{A}. \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the domain  $D$  of type  $(I)_{p,q}$  ( $p \leq q$ ),  $S_0$  is the unitary group  $U(p)$  of degree  $p$ . We have in view of Example in 2 that

$$\Omega_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \{n_1(\lambda), \dots, n_p(\lambda)\}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in T^p = \hat{A},$$

where  $d_\lambda$  is the degree of the irreducible representation of  $U(p)$  with the signature  $(n_1(\lambda), \dots, n_p(\lambda))$ . In the case of the domain  $D$  of type  $(IV)_n$ ,  $S_0$  is the Lie sphere and  $\Omega_\lambda$  can be described in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials, which are zonal spherical functions for the sphere. So our integral formula in Theorem B clarifies the meaning of integrals of Hua [6].

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

---

### References

- [1] E. Cartan: *Sur la détermination d'un système orthogonal complet dans un espace de Riemann symétrique clos*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **53** (1929), 217–252.
- [2] H. Cartan: *Les fonctions de deux variables complexes et le problème de la représentation analytique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **10** (1931), 1–114.
- [3] Harish-Chandra: *Representations of semi-simple Lie groups VI*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 564–628.
- [4] S. Helgason: *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1962.
- [5] ———: *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations*, Advances in Math. **5** (1970), 1–154.
- [6] L.K. Hua: *Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains*, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.
- [7] A. Korányi and J.A. Wolf: *Realization of hermitian symmetric spaces as generalized half-planes*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 265–288.
- [8] C.C. Moore: *Compactifications of symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 201–218, 358–378.
- [9] S. Murakami: *Cohomology Groups of Vector-valued Forms on Symmetric Spaces*, Lecture Note, Univ. of Chicago, 1966.
- [10] I. Satake: *On representations and compactifications of symmetric Riemannian spaces*, Ann. of Math. **71** (1960), 77–110.
- [11] W. Schmid: *Die Randwerte holomorpher Funktionen auf hermitesch symmetrischen Räumen*, Invent. Math. **9** (1969), 61–80.
- [12] M. Sugiura: *Representations of compact groups realized by spherical functions on symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **38** (1962), 111–113.

