

ON BILINEAR MODULE AND WITT RING OVER A COMMUTATIVE RING

Dedicated to Professor Keizo Asano on his 60th birthday

TERUO KANZAKI

(Received March 2, 1971)

Let R be any commutative ring, U and M arbitrary R -modules. We call that (M, B, U) is a bilinear R -module if $B: M \times M \rightarrow U: (x, y) \mapsto B(x, y)$ is a bilinear form, i.e. $B(x, -)$ and $B(-, y)$ are in $\text{Hom}_R(M, U)$ for every x and y in M . Furthermore, we call that (M, q, U) is quadratic R -module if $q: M \rightarrow U$ is a quadratic form, i.e. $q(rx) = r^2q(x)$ for all $r \in R, x \in M$, and $B_q: M \times M \rightarrow U$ defined by $B_q(x, y) = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$ for $x, y \in M$ is a bilinear form. In this paper, we study about automorphisms ρ of (M, B, U) which satisfy $B(\rho(x), \rho(y)) = B(x, y)$ for all x, y in M , for some commutative ring R and some R -module U , and study about Witt ring $W(R)$ and $W(R)$ -module $W(U)$ for a finitely generated projective rank one R -module U .

In §1, for non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module (M, B, U) we define a non-singular element and a symmetry which are generalizations of ordinary senses. Under some condition on U , we give some generalization of the classical theorem that the orthogonal group is generated by symmetries, if 2 is invertible in R and M is generated by orthogonal non-singular elements. In §2, analogously to [2], we can construct the theory of quadratic modules (M, q, U) and Witt group $W(U)$ for U in $\text{Pic}(R)$, where $\text{Pic}(R)$ is a category whose objects consist of finitely generated projective rank one R -modules and whose morphisms are R -isomorphisms. Then we shall show that $W(U)$ is a $W(R)$ -module, and if there exists V in $\text{Pic}(R)$ such that $V \otimes_R V \approx U$ then $W(U)$ is a free $W(R)$ -module with rank one. In §3, as supplementary result of [3], we study the structure of $W(R)$ over a complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Throughout this paper, we assume that every ring is commutative ring with unit element and every module is unitary.

1. Automorphism of bilinear module

Let R be any commutative ring and U an arbitrary R -module. A bilinear R -module $M = (M, B, U)$ is called non-degenerated if the homomorphism $M \rightarrow$

$\text{Hom}_R(M, U)$; $x \rightsquigarrow B(x, -)$ is R -isomorphism, where $B(x, -)$ is the R -homomorphism $M \rightarrow U$; $y \rightsquigarrow B(x, y)$. And, if $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$ for every x, y in M , then we call it symmetric bilinear R -module.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $M = (M, B, U)$ be any bilinear R -module, and x an element in M . A cyclic sub-bilinear R -module $(Rx, B|_{Rx}, U)$ is non-degenerated if and only if it satisfies that $(0 : x)_R = (0 : B(x, x))_R$ and $(0 : (0 : B(x, x))_R)_U = RB(x, x)$, where $(0 : x)_R = \{r \in R : rx = 0\}$ and $(0 : \alpha)_U = \{y \in U : \alpha y = 0\}$ for x in M or U and ideal α of R .*

Proof. Let $\theta : Rx \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Rx, U)$ be the homomorphism defined by $rx \rightsquigarrow B(rx, -)|_{Rx}$. We can show easily that θ is a monomorphism if and only if $(0 : B(x, x))_R \subset (0 : x)_R$, and θ is an epimorphism if and only if $(0 : (0 : x)_R)_U \subset RB(x, x)$.¹⁾ Since, in general, $(0 : B(x, x))_R \supset (0 : x)_R$ and $(0 : (0 : B(x, x))_R)_U \supset RB(x, x)$, therefore we have that θ is isomorphism if and only if $(0 : B(x, x))_R = (0 : x)_R$ and $(0 : (0 : B(x, x))_R)_U = RB(x, x)$.

DEFINITION. Let $M = (M, B, U)$ be any bilinear R -module. An element x in M is called a non-singular element if it satisfies $B(x, M) = RB(x, x)$, where $B(x, M) = \{B(x, y) : y \in M\}$.

REMARK 1.1. If $M = (M, B, U)$ is an arbitrary bilinear R -module, then an element x of M is non-singular if and only if $M = Rx + (Rx)^\perp$, where $(Rx)^\perp = \{y \in M : B(x, y) = 0\}$. If (M, B, U) is non-degenerated, then non-singular element x satisfies $(0 : x)_R = (0 : (B(x, x))_R)$. Furthermore, if (M, B, U) is non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) x is a non-singular element.
- 2) $M = Rx \oplus (Rx)^\perp$.
- 3) $(Rx, B|_{Rx}, U)$ is non-degenerated.

Lemma 1.1. *Let (M, B, U) be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module. If x is a non-singular element, then it satisfies $(0 : (0 : B(x, M))_R)_U = B(x, M)$.*

Proof. It is easy from Proposition 1.1. and Remark 1.1.

DEFINITION. Let $M = (M, B, U)$ be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module. For any non-singular element x in M , we can define an R -automorphism ρ_x of (M, B, U) as follows: For every element y in M , $\rho_x(y) = y - 2r_y x$,

1) θ is monomorphism $\overset{z}{\Rightarrow} B(rx, Rx) = 0$ implies $r \in (0 : x)_R \overset{z}{\Rightarrow} (0 : B(x, x))_R \subset (0 : x)_R$.
 θ is epimorphism $\overset{z}{\Leftarrow}$ for any $f \in \text{Hom}_R(Rx, U)$ ($\approx \text{Hom}_R(R/(0 : x)_R, U)$), there exists $rx \in Rx$ such that $f(sx) = B(rx, sx)$ for all $s \in R \overset{z}{\Leftarrow}$ for any $u \notin U$ ($\approx \text{Hom}_R(R, U)$) such that $(0 : x)_R u = 0$, there exists $r \in R$ such that $u = B(rx, x) = rB(x, x) \overset{z}{\Leftarrow} (0 : (0 : B(x, x))_R)_U \subset RB(x, x)$.

where r_y is an element of R such that $B(x, y) = r_y B(x, x)$ in $B(x, M) = RB(x, x)$. It is well defined, because we have $(0: x)_R = (0: B(x, x))_R$ from Remark 1.1, therefore r_y is determined by y . ρ_x is called symmetry. Then it is easy to see that

1) ρ_x is an R -automorphism of M such that $B(\rho_x(y), \rho_x(z)) = B(y, z)$ for every y, z in M ,

2) $\rho_x^2 = I$, $\rho_x(x) = -x$ and $\rho_x|(Rx)^\perp = I$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $M = (M, B, U)$ be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module, and suppose 2 is invertible in R . If x and y are non-singular elements such that $B(x, x) = B(y, y)$ and $B(x+y, x+y) = 0$, then there exists a symmetry ρ such that $\rho(y) = x$.*

Proof. Since $B(x, x) = B(y, y)$ and $B(x+y, x+y) = 0$, we have $0 = B(x+y, x+y) = 2(B(x, x) + B(x, y))$, that is, $B(x, x) = -B(x, y)$. On the other hand, $B(x-y, x-y) = 2(B(x, x) - B(x, y)) = 4B(x, x)$, and $B(y, M) = RB(y, y) = RB(x, x) = B(x, M)$, hence $B(x-y, M) \subset B(x, M) + B(y, M) = B(x, M) = RB(x, x) = RB(x-y, x-y)$. Therefore, $x-y$ is a non-singular element, and we can define a symmetry $\rho = \rho_{x-y}$, which satisfies $\rho_{x-y}(y) = y - 2r_y(x-y) = y - 2\left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)(x-y) = x$, where $r_y B(x-y, x-y) = B(x-y, y) = B(x, y) - B(y, y) = -\frac{1}{2}B(x-y, x-y)$.

Now, we assume the following condition:

(*) *For every non zero element u in U , there exists an idempotent e in R such that $Ru \supseteq eU \neq 0$.*

Lemma 1.3. *Let $M = (M, B, U)$ be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module satisfying the condition (*), and suppose 2 is invertible in R . Then there exists a non zero non-singular element.*

Proof. Since (M, B, U) is non-degenerated, there exists an element $x \neq 0$ such that $B(x, x) \neq 0$. By the condition (*) there exists an idempotent e in R such that $RB(x, x) \supseteq eU \neq 0$. Put $x' = ex$, then we have $RB(x', x') = B(x', M) = eU$, therefore x' is a non zero non-singular element in M .

We suppose the following stronger condition in the next proposition:

(**) *For every non zero element u in U , there exists an idempotent e in R such that $Ru = eU$.*

Lemma 1.4. *Let $M = (M, B, U)$ be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module, and suppose 2 is invertible in R . If x and y are non-singular elements of M such that $B(x, x) = B(y, y)$ and $RB(x+y, x+y) = eU \neq 0$ for some idempotent e in R , then there exists an automorphism π of (M, B, U) such that $\pi(y) = x$ and π is a product of symmetries.*

Proof. By the assumption, there exists an idempotent $e \neq 0$ in R such that R

$B(x+y, x+y)=eU \neq 0$. We put $x'=ex$, $y'=ey$, and $x''=(1-e)x$, $y''=(1-e)y$. Then $x' \neq 0$ and $y' \neq 0$ are non-singular elements, and $RB(x'+y', x'+y')=eU \neq 0$. If $x'' \neq 0$ (so that $y'' \neq 0$), then x'' and y'' are also non-singular elements and $B(x''+y'', x''+y'')=(1-e)B(x+y, x+y)=0$. By Lemma 1.2, $x''-y''$ is a non-singular element and the symmetry $\rho_{x''-y''}$ satisfies $\rho_{x''-y''}(y'')=x''$. Since y' is in $(R(x''-y''))^\perp$, we have $\rho_{x''-y''}(y')=y'$. On the other hand, $RB(x'+y', x'+y')=eU=B(x'+y', M)$, therefore $x'+y'$ is non-singular and $\rho_{x'+y'}(y')=y'-2r'_y(x'+y')=y'-(x'+y')=-x'$. Therefore $\rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'}(y')=x'$. Since x'' is in $(Rx)^\perp$ and in $(R(x'+y'))^\perp$, $\rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'}(x'')=x''$. Therefore $\rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'} \circ \rho_{x''-y''}(y)=\rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'} \circ \rho_{x''-y''}(y') + \rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'} \circ \rho_{x''-y''}(y'')=\rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'}(y') + \rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'}(x'')=x'+x''=x$. Accordingly, $\pi=\rho_{x'} \circ \rho_{x'+y'} \circ \rho_{x''-y''}$ is the automorphism demanded in this lemma.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $M=(M, B, U)$ be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module satisfying the condition (**), and suppose 2 is invertible in R . If x and y are non-singular elements in M such that $B(x, x)=B(y, y)$, then there exists an automorphism π of (M, B, U) such that $\pi(y)=x$ and π is a product of symmetries. Furthermore, if M is generated by a finite number of orthogonal elements, i.e. $M=\sum_{i=1}^n Rx_i$, $B(x_i, x_j)=0$ for $i \neq j$, then the group of all automorphism of (M, B, U) , (it is denoted by $O(M, B, U)=\{\pi \in \text{Aut}_R(M) : B(\pi(x)\pi, (y))=B(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in M\}$), is generated by symmetries of (M, B, U) .*

Proof. The first part is obtained by Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.4. We suppose that $M=\sum_{i=1}^n Rx_i$, $B(x_i, x_j)=0$ for $i \neq j$, and hence, x_1, \dots, x_n are non-singular elements. Let π be any element in $O(M, B, U)$. Using the first part of this proposition for x_1 and $\pi(x_1)$, we have an automorphism π_1 of (M, B, U) such that $\pi_1 \circ \pi(x_1)=x_1$ and π_1 is a product of symmetries. Repeating for x_2 and $\pi_1 \circ \pi(x_2)$, we have π_2 such that $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi(x_2)=x_2$ and π_2 is a product of symmetries. Furthermore, since $0=B(x_1, x_2)=B(\pi_1 \circ \pi(x_1), \pi_1 \circ \pi(x_2))=B(x_1, \pi_1 \circ \pi(x_2))$, from the construction of π_2 we have $\pi_2(x_1)=x_1$, therefore $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi(x_1)=x_1$. Thus, repeating these, we obtain automorphisms $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$ of (M, B, U) such that $\pi_n \circ \pi_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi(x_i)=x_i$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, and π_1, \dots, π_n are products of symmetries. Therefore $\pi=\pi_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_n^{-1}$ is a product of symmetries of (M, B, U) .

We consider the special case that R is a commutative Von Neumann regular ring, i.e. every principal ideal is generated by an idempotent, and $U=R$. Then from Proposition 1.2 we have easily

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a commutative Von Neumann regular ring, and $(M, B)=(M, B, R)$ a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module, and suppose 2 is invertible in R . If x and y are non-singular elements in (M, B, R) such that $B(x, x)=B(y, y)$, then there exists an automorphism π of (M, B, U) such that $\pi(y)=x$, and π is a product of symmetries. Furthermore, if M is generated by a*

finite number of orthogonal elements, then the group $O(M, B)$ is generated by symmetries.

Proposition 1.3. *Let (M, B, U) be a non-degenerated symmetric bilinear R -module satisfying the condition $(*)$, and suppose 2 is invertible. If (M, B, U) has maximum (or minimum) condition for non-degenerated sub-bilinear R -modules, then M is generated by a finite number of orthogonal non-singular elements and $O(M, B, U)$ is generated by symmetries.*

To prove the proposition we are necessary the following lemma:

Lemma 1.5. *Let (M, B, U) be a non-degenerated bilinear R -module. If N is an R -submodule of M such that N is a direct summand of M , then $N^\perp = \{y \in M : B(y, N) = 0\}$ is also direct summand of M . If $(N, B|N, U)$ is non-degenerated sub-bilinear R -module, then $M = N \oplus N^\perp$, and $(N^\perp, B|N^\perp, U)$ is also non-degenerated.*

Proof. The proof is obtained similarly to the proofs of Lemma (2.1) and Lemma (2.2) in [1].

Proof of Proposition 1.3. By Lemma 1.3, there exists a non zero non-singular element x_1 , and by Remark 1.1 $(Rx_1, B|Rx_1, U)$ is non-degenerate. Therefore, by Lemma 1.5 we have $M = Rx_1 \oplus (Rx_1)^\perp$ and $((Rx_1)^\perp, B|(Rx_1)^\perp, U)$ is also non-degenerated, and inductively we have orthogonal non-singular elements x_1, x_2, \dots , but by the maximum (or minimum) condition for non-degenerated sub-bilinear R -modules we have a finite number of orthogonal non-singular elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $M = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$. Thus, we have the proof of the first part. We shall show the second part. Let π be any element of $O(M, B, U)$. By Lemma 1.3, there is a non-singular element x_1 , then $M = Rx_1 \oplus (Rx_1)^\perp$, and Rx_1 and $(Rx_1)^\perp$ are non-degenerate. If $B(x_1 + \pi(x_1), x_1 + \pi(x_1)) = 0$, then by Lemma 1.2 there exists symmetry π_1 such that $\pi_1(\pi(x_1)) = x_1$, therefore $\pi_1 \circ \pi((Rx_1)^\perp) = (Rx_1)^\perp$ and $\pi_1 \circ \pi|Rx_1 = I$. If $B(x_1 + \pi(x_1), x_1 + \pi(x_1)) \neq 0$, then by the condition $(*)$ there exists an idempotent e in R such that $RB(x_1 + \pi(x_1), x_1 + \pi(x_1)) \supseteq eU \neq 0$. We put $x'_1 = ex_1$, then we have $RB(x'_1 + \pi(x'_1), x'_1 + \pi(x'_1)) = eU \neq 0$, and x'_1 is also non zero non-singular element in M . Therefore, $M = Rx'_1 \oplus (Rx'_1)^\perp$ and by Lemma 1.4 there exists an automorphism π_1 of (M, B, U) such that $\pi_1(\pi(x'_1)) = x'_1$ and π_1 is a product of symmetries. Accordingly, for non-degenerated sub-module $((Rx'_1)^\perp, B|(Rx'_1)^\perp, U)$ we have $\pi_1 \circ \pi((Rx'_1)^\perp) = (Rx'_1)^\perp$, and $\pi_1 \circ \pi|Rx'_1 = I$. Since (M, B, U) has maximum (or minimum) condition for non-degenerated sub-bilinear R -modules, we have a finite number of automorphisms $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m$ of (M, B, U) such that $\pi_m \circ \pi_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi = I$, that is, $\pi = \pi_1^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_m^{-1}$, and π_i is a product of symmetries for every i . We complete the proof.

2. Witt group and Witt ring

Let R be any commutative ring, and U an arbitrary R -module. Then we can construct the Witt group $W(U)$ which is a module over the Witt ring $W(R)$. In this section, we shall study about $W(R)$ -module $W(U)$. For an R -module M , (M, q, U) is called quadratic R -module, if $q: M \rightarrow U$ is a map satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) $q(rx) = r^2q(x)$ for every $r \in R$ and $x \in M$, and
- 2) $B_q: M \times M \rightarrow U$; $B_q(x, y) = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)$, $x, y \in M$, is a bilinear form.

It is called that (M, q, U) is non-degenerated if (M, B_q, U) is non-degenerated.

Lemma 2.1. *If (P, q, U) is non-degenerated quadratic R -module such that P is a finitely generated projective faithful R -module, then U is a finitely generated projective rank one R -module.*

Proof. Since (P, q, U) is non-degenerated and P is finitely generated projective, we have $P \approx \text{Hom}_R(P, U) \approx \text{Hom}_R(P, R) \otimes_R U$ as R -module. Furthermore, since P is finitely generated projective and faithful, by Proposition 6.1. in p. 37, [2], so is also U . Since $\text{rank}(P) = \text{rank}(\text{Hom}_R(P, R))$, we have $\text{rank}(U) = 1$.

From now, we consider all non-degenerated quadratic R -module (P, q, U) such that P is finitely generated projective R -module. By Lemma 2.1. we may assume that U is finitely generated projective rank one R -module. We denote by $\text{Pic}(U)$ a category which object is finitely generated projective rank one R -module and morphism is R -isomorphism.

We shall give analogous definitions and lemmas to [2] for quadratic R -module (M, q, U) with U in $\text{Pic}(R)$.²⁾

(2.1) Definition, $H(M, U) = (M \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, U), q_h, U)$ is called hyperbolic quadratic R -module, if $q_h: M \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, U) \rightarrow U$ is defined by $q_h(x+f) = f(x)$ for $x \in M$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, U)$.

If U is in $\text{Pic}(R)$, then the following lemmas are proved similarly to ones in [2].

(2.2) $H(M, U)$ is non-degenerated if and only if M is U -reflexive, i.e. $\Psi: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, U), U)$ defined by $\Psi(x)(f) = f(x)$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, U)$, $x \in M$, is isomorphism.

(2.3) Let (M, q, U) be a quadratic R -module. If M is a projective R -module, then there exists a bilinear form $B: M \times M \rightarrow U$ such that $B(x, x) = q(x)$ for every x in M .

(2.4) If (M, q, U) is a non-degenerated quadratic R -module, then M is U -refl-

2) A part of these definitions and lemmas is due to Prof. A. Micali, I studied from his seminar at Universidad de Rosario. I should like to express here my thanks to him.

exive, and so is also direct summand of M . If P is a finitely generated projective R -module, then P is U -reflexive for every U in $Pic(R)$.

(2.5) Definition. For quadratic R -modules (M, q, U) and (M', q', U') , $(f, g): (M, q, U) \rightarrow (M', q', U')$ is called homomorphism of quadratic R -module (M, q, U) to (M', q', U') , if $f: M \rightarrow M'$ and $g: U \rightarrow U'$ are R -homomorphism such that the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times M & \xrightarrow{f \times f} & M' \times M' \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q' \\ U & \xrightarrow{g} & U' \end{array}$$

If f is an isomorphism and $g=I$, ($U=U'$), then $(f, I): (M, q, U) \rightarrow (M', q', U)$ is called *isomorphism*, and denote it by $(M, q, U) \approx (M', q', U)$.

(2.6) Let (M, q, U) be a non-degenerated quadratic R -module, and M_0 a total isotropic R -submodule, i.e. $q(M_0)=0$, such that M_0 is a direct summand of M . Put $\Delta = \{N: R\text{-submodule such that } M = N \oplus M_0^\perp\}$, then we have that the map $N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_0, U); x \mapsto B_q(x, -)|_{M_0}$ is an R -isomorphism for every N in Δ . If M is a projective R -module, then there exists a total isotropic R -submodule N_0 , i.e. $q(N_0)=0$, in Δ , and we have $(M_0 \oplus N_0, q|_{M_0 \oplus N_0}, U) \approx H(M_0, U)$. Therefore, if (M, q, U) is a non-degenerated quadratic R -module such that M is projective R -module and there exists a total isotropic R -submodule M_0 such that $M_0^\perp = M$ and M_0 is a direct summand of M , then (M, q, U) is hyperbolic and $(M, q, U) \approx H(M_0, U)$.

(2.7) If (P, q, U) is a non-degenerated quadratic R -module such that P is projective R -module, then we have $(P, q, U) \perp (P, -q, U) \approx H(P, U)$, where $(P, q, U) \perp (P', q', U) = (P \oplus P', (q \perp q'), U)$ and $(q \perp q')(x \oplus y) = q(x) + q'(y)$ for $x \oplus y \in P \oplus P'$.

(2.8) Definition. Let U and U' be in $Pic(R)$, and (P, q, U) and (P', q', U') any quadratic R -modules. We can define the product $(P, q, U) \otimes (P', q', U') = (P \otimes_R P', q \otimes q', U \otimes_R U')$ as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} q \otimes q' : P \otimes_R P' &\rightarrow U \otimes_R U'; \quad q \otimes q' (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q(x_i) \otimes q'(x'_i) \\ &+ \sum_{i>j} B_{q \otimes q'}(x_i \otimes x'_i, x_j \otimes x'_j) \quad \text{for } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes x'_i \text{ in } P \otimes P', \text{ where} \\ B_{q \otimes q'} &= B_q \otimes B_{q'}; \quad (P \otimes_R P) \times (P' \otimes_R P') \rightarrow U \otimes_R U'. \end{aligned}$$

(2.9) Let U and U' be in $Pic(R)$. If (P, q, U) and (P', q', U') are non-degenerated quadratic R -module such that P and P' are finitely generated projective R -modules, then $(P, q, U) \otimes (P', q', U')$ is also non-degenerated quadratic R -module. Furthermore, if P'' is a finitely generated projective R -module and U'' in $Pic(R)$, then we have $(P, q, U) \otimes H(P'', U'') \approx H(P \otimes_R P'', U \otimes_R U'')$.

Now, we suppose that the following natural isomorphisms in $Pic(R)$ regard

as identities $I: U \otimes_R U' \rightarrow U' \otimes_R U; x \otimes y \rightsquigarrow y \otimes x, (U \otimes_R U') \otimes_R U'' \rightarrow U \otimes_R (U' \otimes_R U''); (x \otimes y) \otimes z \rightsquigarrow x \otimes (y \otimes z), U \otimes_R R \rightarrow U; x \otimes r \rightsquigarrow xr, R \otimes_R R \rightarrow R; r \otimes s \rightsquigarrow rs, U \otimes_R U^* \rightarrow R; x \otimes f \rightsquigarrow f(x), \dots$ etc., where $U^* = \text{Hom}_R(U, R)$. Then, for each U in $\text{Pic}(R)$ we can construct an abelian group $W(U)$ as follows: Let $\text{Qua}(U)$ be the set of all isomorphic classes of non-degenerated quadratic R -modules (P, q, U) such that P is finitely generated projective R -module. $\text{Qua}(U)$ makes an abelian semigroup with peration \perp such that, $[(P, q, U)] \perp [(P', q', U')] = [(P, q, U) \perp (P', q', U')]$, where $[\]$ denotes an isomorphic class. Let $H(U) = \{[H(P, U)] \text{ in } \text{Qua}(U): P \text{ is finitely generated projective } R\text{-module}\}$. Then $H(U)$ is a sub semi-group of $\text{Qua}(U)$, and $\text{Qua}(U)$ has an equivalence relation \sim defined by $\alpha \sim \beta \Leftrightarrow \exists \gamma, \delta \in H(U), \gamma \perp \alpha = \delta \perp \beta$. We denote the quotient $\text{Qua}(U)/\sim$ by $W(U)$, then $W(U)$ is also abelian semi-group with operation $+$ induced by \perp . But, by (2.7), $W(U)$ makes an additive group. $W(U)$ is called Witt group over U . On the other hand, the product \otimes of quadratic R -modules induces a product, that is, for U, U' in $\text{Pic}(R)$, $\text{Qua}(U) \times \text{Qua}(U') \rightarrow \text{Qua}(U \otimes_R U')$; $[(P, q, U)], [(P', q', U')] \rightarrow [(P, q, U) \otimes (P', q', U')]$ induces a product $W(U) \times W(U') \rightarrow W(U \otimes_R U')$ by (2.9). We denote this product by \cdot , then for $\alpha \in W(U), \beta \in W(U')$ and $\gamma \in W(U'')$, we have $\alpha \cdot \beta \in W(U \otimes_R U')$ and $(\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma)$ in $W(U \otimes_R U' \otimes_R U'')$.

Therefore, we have that $W(R)$ is a commutative ring, it is called Witt ring, and we have easily

Lemma 2.1. *Let U and U' be in $\text{Pic}(R)$. Then, $W(U)$ is $W(R)$ -module, $W(U) \cdot W(U') \subset W(U \otimes_R U')$, and $W(U) \cdot W(U^*)$ is an ideal of $W(R)$, where $U^* = \text{Hom}_R(U, R)$. If $f: U \rightarrow U'$ is isomorphism in $\text{Pic}(R)$, then f induces an $W(R)$ -isomorphism $W(f): W(U) \rightarrow W(U')$ defined by $[(P, q, U)] \rightarrow [(P, f \circ q, U')]$.*

From now, we assume that the commutative ring R has inverse element of 2. Then $W(R)$ has unit element $[(R, q_I, R)]$ defined by $q_I(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ for every x in R , and $W(U)$ is unitary $W(R)$ -module for every U in $\text{Pic}(R)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let U and V be in $\text{Pic}(R)$ such that $V \otimes_R V \approx U$ in $\text{Pic}(R)$. Then, any R -isomorphism $\Phi: V \otimes_R V \rightarrow U$ satisfies $\Phi(x \otimes y) = \Phi(y \otimes x)$ for every x, y in V .*

Proof. We can easily check that homomorphism $h: V \otimes_R V \rightarrow U$ is well defined by $h(x \otimes y) = \Phi(x \otimes y) - \Phi(y \otimes x)$ for $x \otimes y$ in $V \otimes_R V$. For any maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , we consider localization by \mathfrak{p} $h_{\mathfrak{p}}: (V \otimes_R V)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. But, $(V \otimes_R V)_{\mathfrak{p}} = V_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} V_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}} v \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} v$ for some $v \in V_{\mathfrak{p}}$, therefore $h_{\mathfrak{p}}(x \otimes y) = h_{\mathfrak{p}}(rv \otimes r'v) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(rv \otimes r'v) - \Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(r'v \otimes rv) = 0$ for every $x = rv, y = r'v$ in $V_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}v$. Accordingly, since $h_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , we have $h = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *If U is in $\text{Pic}(R)$ such that there exists V in $\text{Pic}(R)$ and*

$V \otimes_R V \approx U$ in $\text{Pic}(R)$, then Witt group $W(U)$ is a free $W(R)$ -module with rank one.

Proof. Let $\Phi: V \otimes_R V \rightarrow U$ be an R -isomorphism, and (V, q, U) a quadratic R -module defined by $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi(x \otimes x)$ for x in V . By Lemma 2.1, $B_q(x, y) = q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(x \otimes y) + \Phi(y \otimes x)) = \Phi(x \otimes y)$. We shall show that (V, q, U) is non-degenerated. Let $\theta: V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, U)$ be a homomorphism defined by $\theta(x) = B_q(x, -) = \Phi(x \otimes -)$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{CD} V^* \otimes_R V \otimes_R V @>I \otimes \Phi>> V^* \otimes_R U \\ @VV \mu \otimes I V @VV \nu V \\ R \otimes_R V = V @>\theta>> \text{Hom}_R(V, U), \end{CD}$$

where $\mu: V^* \otimes_R V \xrightarrow{\cong} R; f \otimes x \rightarrow f(x)$, and $\nu: V^* \otimes_R U \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(V, U); \nu(f \otimes y)(x) = f(x)y$ for $x \in V, y \in U$ and $f \in V^*$. Because, since V is a finitely generated projective rank one R -module, there exist f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in $V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R)$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in V such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i$ for all x in V , and by [4] we have $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i) = \text{rank}(V) = 1$. Therefore, for any x in V , in we have $\nu \circ (I \otimes \Phi) \circ (\mu^{-1} \otimes I)(x) = \nu \circ (I \otimes \Phi) \circ (\mu^{-1} \otimes I)(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i) \otimes x) = \nu \circ (I \otimes \Phi)(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes x_i \otimes x) = \nu(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \Phi(x_i \otimes x))$. But for any y in $V, \nu(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \Phi(x_i \otimes x))(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(y)\Phi(x_i \otimes x) = \Phi(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(y)x_i \otimes x) = \Phi(y \otimes x)$, therefore we have $\nu \circ (I \otimes \Phi) \circ (\mu^{-1} \otimes I) = \theta$. Since, $\nu, I \otimes \Phi$, and $\mu \otimes I$ are R -isomorphisms, therefore θ is an isomorphism, that is, (V, q, U) is non-degenerated. Similarly, we have a non-degenerated quadratic R -module (V^*, q^*, U^*) defined by $q^*(z) = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1*}(z \otimes z)$ for $z \in V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R)$, where $\Phi^{-1*}: V^* \otimes_R V^* \rightarrow U^*$ is dual of $\Phi^{-1}: U \rightarrow V \otimes_R V$, i.e. $\Phi^{-1*}(f \otimes g) = f \otimes g \circ \Phi^{-1}$ for $f \otimes g \in V^* \otimes_R V^*$. Then we have $(V, q, U) \otimes (V^*, q^*, U^*) \approx (R, q_I, R)$, by the identification $U \otimes_R U^* = R; x \otimes f = f(x)$, that is, for $(V, q, U) \otimes (V^*, q^*, U^*) = (V \otimes_R V^*, q \otimes q^*, U \otimes_R U^*)$, we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} V \otimes_R V^* @>q \otimes q^*>> U \otimes_R U^* \\ @VV \cong V @VV \cong V \\ R @>q_I>> R. \end{CD}$$

Because, $q \otimes q^*(\sum_i y_i \otimes g_i) = 2\sum_i q(y_i) \otimes q^*(g_i) + \sum_{i < j} B_q(y_i, y_j) \otimes B_q^*(g_i, g_j) = 2\sum_i \frac{1}{2}\Phi(y_i \otimes y_i) \otimes \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1*}(g_i \otimes g_i) + \sum_{i < j} \Phi(y_i \otimes y_j) \otimes \Phi^{-1*}(g_i \otimes g_j) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1*}(g_i \otimes g_i)(\Phi(y_i \otimes y_i)) + \sum_{i < j} \Phi^{-1*}(g_i \otimes g_j)(\Phi(y_i \otimes y_j)) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2}(g_i(y_i))^2 + \sum_{i < j} g_i(y_i)g_j(y_j) = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_i g_i(y_i))^2 = q_I(\sum_i g_i(y_i))$ for $\sum_i y_i \otimes g_i$ in $V \otimes V^*$.

Therefore we have $[[V^*, q^*, U^*]] \cdot [[V, q, U]] = [[V, q, U]] \cdot [[V^*, q^*, U^*]]$

$=[[R, q_r, R]] = I$ in $W(R)$. Accordingly, $W(U) \cdot W(U^*) = W(R)$ and $W(U) \cdot [[V^*, q^*, U^*]] = W(R)$, therefore $W(U) = W(R) \cdot [[V, q, U]]$ is rank one free $W(R)$ -module. We have the proof of Theorem.

We leave here the following question: Is $W(U)$ always a finitely generated projective rank one $W(R)$ -module or 0 for every U in $Pic(R)$?

3. Some example of Witt ring

In [3], we studied the structure of Witt rings in the special case over local rings. In this section, we give some supplementary result of [3]. In this section, we suppose that R is commutative ring such that 2 is invertible in R . We denote by $U(R)$ the group of all invertible elements in R , and $U(R)^{(2)} = \{r^2 : r \in U(R)\}$. Put $\overline{U(R)} = U(R)/U(R)^{(2)}$. We consider the group ring $Z[\overline{U(R)}]$ of the group $\overline{U(R)}$ over the integers Z . We denote by $H(R)$ the principal ideal of $Z[\overline{U(R)}]$ generated by $-\overline{1} + \overline{1}$ in $Z[\overline{U(R)}]$, where \overline{a} denotes a coset of $U(R)/U(R)^{(2)}$ containing a for $a \in U(R)$. We put $A(R) = Z[\overline{U(R)}]/H(R)$. If R is local ring, the ring $A(R)$ has the following properties (see [3]):

- (3.1) There exists a ring epimorphism $\Theta: A(R) \rightarrow W(R)$.
- (3.2) If -1 is a square element in R , then $A(R)$ is $Z/(2)$ -algebra and is local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $x^2 = 0$ for every x in \mathfrak{m} and $A(R)/\mathfrak{m} \approx Z/(2)$ as $Z/(2)$ -algebra.
- (3.3) If -1 is not square element in R , then $A(R) \approx Z[H]$, where H is a subgroup of $\overline{U(R)}$ such that $\overline{U(R)} = H \times \langle -\overline{1} \rangle$.
- (3.4) If $\overline{U(R)}$ has only two elements, i.e. $\overline{U(R)} = \{\overline{1}, \overline{a}\}$, then we have that
 - a) if -1 is a square element in R , then $\Theta: A(R) \rightarrow W(R)$ is ring isomorphism, and $W(R) \approx A(R) \approx Z/(2)[\langle \overline{a} \rangle] = Z/(2) \cdot \overline{1} + Z/(2) \cdot \overline{a}$, therefore $\overline{1}$ is unit element of $A(R)$ and the maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{m} = \{0, \overline{1} + \overline{a}\}$,
 - b) if -1 is not square element in R , then $A(R) \approx Z$ and $\ker \Theta \subset 4Z$.

Now, we consider a case where R is complete Noetherian local ring with finite residue field. Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and R/\mathfrak{p} is a finite field.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be as above. Then the group $\overline{U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)} = U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)/U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)^{(2)}$ has only two elements for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Proof. We consider the group epimorphism $f: U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n) \rightarrow U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)^{(2)}; \bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{x}^2$. Then we have $\ker f = \{-\overline{1}, \overline{1}\}$. Because, for any $\overline{a} \in \ker f, a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^n}$, hence $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ or $a \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e. $a = p_1 + 1$ or $a = p_2 - 1$ for some p_i in $\mathfrak{p}, i = 1, 2$. Therefore $a^2 = (p_i \pm 1)^2 = p_i^2 \pm 2p_i + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^n}$, hence $p_i(p_i \pm 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^n}$. Since $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}, p_i \pm 2$ is unit in R , hence $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}^n$, that is, $a = p_i \pm 1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^n}$. Since R is Noetherian and R/\mathfrak{p} is finite field, therefore R/\mathfrak{p}^n is

Artinian, and so R/\mathfrak{p}^n is finite ring for every integer $n > 0$. Thus, $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)$ is finite group and $[U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n): U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)^{(2)}] = 2$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and R/\mathfrak{p} is a finite field. Then, the completion \hat{R} of R by \mathfrak{p} -topology has the following properties;*

- 1) $\overline{U(\hat{R})} = U(\hat{R})/U(\hat{R})^{(2)}$ has only two elements, and
- 2) -1 is a square element in \hat{R} if and only if $-\bar{1}$ is a square element in R/\mathfrak{p} .

Proof. Let $f_{n,m}$ be the canonical epimorphism $R/\mathfrak{p}^n \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}^m$ for $n > m$. Since $\hat{R} = \varprojlim_n R/\mathfrak{p}^n = \{(\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_r, \dots) \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty R/\mathfrak{p}^n : f_{r,m}(\bar{a}_n) = \bar{a}_m \text{ for every } n > m\}$, therefore $U(\hat{R}) = \varprojlim_n U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)$, and the product in $U(\hat{R})$ is $\alpha \cdot \beta = (\overline{a_1 b_1}, \overline{a_2 b_2}, \dots)$ for $\alpha = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ and $\beta = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots)$ in $U(\hat{R})$. We have that $\alpha = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ is a square element in $U(\hat{R})$ if and only if \bar{a}_n is a square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If \bar{a}_n is square in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^n)$, then $\bar{a}_i = f_{n,i}(\bar{a}_n)$ is also square in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $0 < i \leq n$. Therefore $\alpha = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ is not a square element in $U(\hat{R})$ if and only if there exists a positive integer n such that \bar{a}_k is not a square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^k)$ for every $k \geq n$. If $\alpha = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ and $\beta = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots)$ are not square elements in $U(R)$, then there exists a positive integer m such that \bar{a}_i and \bar{b}_i are not square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $i \geq m$. But, by Lemma 3.1, $\bar{a}_i \bar{b}_i = \overline{a_i b_i}$ is a square elements in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $i \geq m$. Therefore $\alpha \cdot \beta$ must be a square element in $U(\hat{R})$. Accordingly, we have that $\overline{U(\hat{R})} = U(\hat{R})/U(\hat{R})^{(2)}$ has only two elements. Furthermore, if $\alpha = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ is not square element in $U(\hat{R})$, then there exists the minimum positive integer k such that \bar{a}_i is not square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $i \geq k$. Let $\beta = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots)$ be not square element in $U(\hat{R})$ such that \bar{b}_i is not square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $i \geq 1$.³⁾ Then $\alpha \cdot \beta = (\overline{a_1 b_1}, \overline{a_2 b_2}, \dots)$ is a square element in $U(\hat{R})$, therefore $\bar{a}_i \bar{b}_i$ is square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $i \geq 1$, hence by Lemma 3.1 we have $k = 1$. Accordingly, $\alpha = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ is not square element in $U(R)$ if and only if \bar{a}_1 is not square element in $U(R/\mathfrak{p})$. Thus, we complete the proof.

From (3.1), ..., (3.4) and Proposition 3.1, we have easily

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a Noetherian complete local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and R/\mathfrak{p} is a finite field. Then we have that*

- 1) if $-\bar{1}$ is a square element in R/\mathfrak{p} , then the Witt ring $W(R)$ is a group ring of a cyclic group of order 2 over $Z/(2)$.

3) There exist such element β in $U(\hat{R})$. Let b be an element of R such that \bar{b} is not square element in R/\mathfrak{p} , and $f_i: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}^i$ the canonical epimorphism $f_i(x) = \bar{x} \in R/\mathfrak{p}^i$ for $x \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Put $\bar{b}_i = f_i(b)$. Then $\beta = (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \dots)$ is in $U(\hat{R})$, and \bar{b}_i is not square in $U(R/\mathfrak{p}^i)$ for every $i \geq 1$.

2) if -1 is not square element in R/\mathfrak{p} , then the Witt ring $W(R)$ is isomorphic to $Z/(n)$, where n is a multiple of 4.

UNIVERSIDAD DE ROSARIO AND OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] H. Bass: *Modules which support nonsingular form*, J. Algebra **13** (1969), 246–262.
- [2] ———: *Lecture on Topics in Algebraic K-theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
- [3] S. Bruno et T. Kanzaki: *Sur l'anneau de Witt d'un anneau local*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A **272** (1971), 1691–1694.
- [4] A. Hattori: *Rank element of a projective module*, Nagoya Math. J. **25** (1965), 113–120.